

Proposal of CALORICH AI

Discrimination of Muon and Pion Decay in NA62

Crystal Geng, Daniel Merigo, Kelvin Wong, Peng Zhang

May 13, 2023

Contents

1	Executive summary	1
2	Introduction	4
2.1	RICH detector	4
2.2	Project deliverable	4
3	Data science techniques	4
3.1	Dataset	4
3.2	Machine learning	4
4	Timeline	6
5	References	6
6	Appendix A: Background Information	7
7	Appendix B: Data Structure	8
8	Appendix C: Meeting Schedule	8

1 Executive summary

The “*CALORICH AI*” project is proposed by TRIUMF, Canada’s national particle accelerator center, to improve the Ring-Imaging CHerenkov detector (RICH detector) particle identification performance. Our work this year is an extension of the “*RICH AI*” project (Van den Hooff N 2022), attempted by an MDS capstone team of similar goals last year.

The project dataset comes from the NA62 experiment at CERN, the European Organization for Nuclear Research, which involves a particle physics experiment designed to study rare decays of charged kaons. The capstone project aims to build a model to accurately classify a particle as a pion produced in a decay event. The formal deliverable for our project is a trained model that could be used for classification. We aim to train the model using the data from a subset of the 2021A NA62 experiments, which contains around 2.4 million decay events labeled by calorimeter (Gil et al. 2017).

For more background information, please refer to Appendix A.




Figure 1: RICH detector (Gil et al. 2017)




Figure 2: NA62 experiment beam and detector set up (Gil et al. 2017)




Figure 3: Ring data sample, with fitted probabilities (Lazzeroni et al. 2019)

2 Introduction

2.1 RICH detector

Our data comes from the RICH detector, shown in Figure 1 (Anzivino et al. 2020). It collects data on photon signals emitted during each particle decay (an event). Other sensors, shown in Figure 2 (Anzivino et al. 2020), measure supplementary data such as particle momentum, velocity, and time of passage.

The data collected by the RICH detector forms an image of a ring, shown in Figure 3, as the projection of the conical light emitted due to the Cherenkov radiation (Gil et al. 2017). Therefore, we can represent the signal as a 2D scatterplot (Lazzeroni and Collaboration 2019).

2.2 Project deliverable

The project deliverable is a trained model for the classification of the decay events and the entire code with adequate comments to reproduce the results and, potentially, train on new data. The goal in building the model would be to classify each particle decay, emphasizing pion decay accurately. A knowledge transfer session is also proposed so that it can be further developed by the NA62 project team at TRIUMF if needed.

3 Data science techniques

3.1 Dataset

We are working on a small slice of data collected from the 2021A NA62 experiment. which is around 2.7GiB in HDF5 format. It contains around 2.4 million labeled events. Each event has a variable number of hits. In total, there are around 101 million records of hits across all events.

The raw data structure can be found in Appendix B.

3.2 Machine learning

3.2.1 Task

Our project’s objective is to develop a machine-learning model capable of accurately fitting the radius of the ring, enabling the identification of individual particle decay events as either pions or muons. The experiment primarily concentrates on the rate of rare kaon decay into pions, while muon and positron decays are of lesser interest. The challenge of this task stems from the class imbalance in the data, with pions being considerably rarer compared to muons.

3.2.2 Current approach

The state-of-the-art algorithm currently employed by TRIUMF utilizes an analytical approach without incorporating machine learning for particle classification. Specifically, they implement a statistical algorithm based on the maximum likelihood estimation method to fit a ring to the PMT hit data, using the resulting radius for particle decay classification.

With this methodology, TRIUMF achieves the following results:

1. Pion efficiency (or true positive rate for pion classification) of 95%
2. Misclassification of a pion as a muon (or false negative) of 1%

3.2.3 Goals

In building our machine learning model, we have the following goals:

1. Achieve a pion efficiency of above 95%
2. Reduce pion/muon misclassification to 0.01%

3.2.4 Metrics

In building our machine learning model, we will attempt to maximize pion efficiency, defined as:

$$\text{pion efficiency} = \frac{\text{total number of pions detected}}{\text{total number of pions in dataset}}$$

We propose using the metrics root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination (R^2) to compare different machine learning models.

3.2.5 Baseline model

We will use a gradient-boosted tree regressor as our baseline model. In our preliminary experiment, we will test both XGBoost (Chen and Guestrin 2016) and LightGBM (Ke et al. 2017), and training them on our raw dataset. The model exhibiting superior performance will be selected as the baseline.

3.2.6 Deep learning models

The primary concern with XGBoost and LightGBM models is their inability to handle hit data of variable lengths. To effectively incorporate hit positions as features, we need to employ a more sophisticated approach using deep learning.

The first step towards deep learning is to convert the position data corresponding to in-time hits as an input and use that as a feature instead. The reason is that the in-time hits are sparse, compared with the number of the detectors (typically no more than 50 hits in 2×976 detectors), leaving a large portion of the array with no information. Consequently, a traditional CNN method would be disadvantageous due to the sparse input data.

To address this issue, we have identified two potential model architectures:

1. PointNet (Qi et al. 2017)
2. Graph Convolutional Neural Networks (“Graph CNN”) (Zhou et al. 2018)

PointNet is designed to directly consume point cloud data without converting positional data to grids or voxels; this can resolve the sparsity problem associated with the traditional CNN approach. Furthermore, PointNet uses a symmetric max pooling function, making it robust to changes in the order of the coordinates comprising the point cloud data.

Dynamic Graph CNN is an architecture capable of handling dynamic data to capture temporal and spatial dependencies. As a result, Dynamic Graph CNN is better suited for capturing local information within the point cloud data. Additionally, it has a shorter training time requirement, improving computational efficiency and reducing time consumption.

3.2.7 Regression methods

There are three different regression methods that we will attempt in our neural networks:

1. Simple regression
2. Quantile regression
3. Mixture density networks

3.2.8 Implementation

The baseline model will be implemented Python package `xgboost` or `lightgbm` respectively. The deep learning models will be built with PyTorch (Paszke et al. 2019) and PyTorch Geometric (Fey and Lenssen 2019).

4 Timeline

The total time allocated for our capstone project is nine weeks. We propose the following high-level project timeline (for a detailed day-to-day schedule, refer to Appendix C):

Week 1 & 2 (May 1 to May 12)

- MDS hackathon
- Gain an understanding of the problems in the NA62 experiment
- Conduct exploratory data analysis (EDA) to identify patterns and potential biases in raw data
- Carry out preliminary machine learning (ML) experiments
- Clarify and define the TRIUMF team’s needs and expectations
- Prepare and complete proposal presentation and report for the MDS mentor and the TRIUMF team

Week 3 & 4 (May 15 to May 26)

- Finalize preliminary ML experiments
- Select appropriate models and input features
- Implement model training on the full dataset provided
- Conduct hyperparameter tuning

Week 5 (May 28 to June 2)

- Test models against test data and evaluate their performance
- Compare results with the current state-of-the-art algorithm and previous MDS capstone modeling efforts
- Prepare and submit a draft model product

Week 6 (June 5 to June 9)

- Gather feedback from the TRIUMF team and MDS mentor
- Further iterate and improve models
- Modularize code and prepare any necessary documentation

Week 7 (June 12 to June 16)

- Finalize project deliverables
- Prepare the final presentation
- Present the project to the MDS faculty members and class

Week 8 (June 19 to June 23)

- Submit final report and product to MDS mentor for review and feedback
- Revise final report and product based on mentor’s comments

Week 9 (June 26 to June 28)

- Submit the final report and product to TRIUMF
- Present the project to TRIUMF

5 References

- Anzivino, G, M Barbanera, A Bizzeti, F Brizioli, F Bucci, A Cassese, P Cenci, et al. 2020. “Light Detection System and Time Resolution of the NA62 RICH.” *Journal of Instrumentation* 15 (10): P10025.
- Chen, Tianqi, and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. “XGBoost.” In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939785>.
- Fey, Matthias, and Jan Eric Lenssen. 2019. “Fast Graph Representation Learning with PyTorch Geometric.” arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1903.02428>.

- Gil, E. Cortina, E. Martin Albarran, E. Minucci, G. Nüssle, S. Padolski, P. Petrov, N. Szilasi, et al. 2017. “The Beam and Detector of the NA62 Experiment at CERN.” *Journal of Instrumentation* 12 (05): P05025–25. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/05/p05025>.
- Ke, Guolin, Qi Meng, Thomas Finley, Taifeng Wang, Wei Chen, Weidong Ma, Qiwei Ye, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2017. “Lightgbm: A Highly Efficient Gradient Boosting Decision Tree.” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 30: 3146–54.
- Lazzeroni, Cristina, and on behalf of the NA62 Collaboration. 2019. “: First Results from the Na62 Experiment at CERN.” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1137 (1): 012001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1137/1/012001>.
- Paszke, Adam, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, et al. 2019. “PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library.” In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, edited by H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. dAlché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, 8024–35. Curran Associates, Inc. <http://papers.neurips.cc/paper/9015-pytorch-an-imperative-style-high-performance-deep-learning-library.pdf>.
- Qi, Charles R, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J Guibas. 2017. “Pointnet: Deep Learning on Point Sets for 3d Classification and Segmentation.” In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 652–60.
- Van den Hooff N, Iyer M, Pandey R. 2022. “RICH AI Final Report.” <https://triumf-capstone2022.github.io/richai/welcome.html>.
- Zhou, Jie, Ganqu Cui, Zhengyan Zhang, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2018. “Graph Neural Networks: A Review of Methods and Applications.” *CoRR* abs/1812.08434. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08434>.

6 Appendix A: Background Information

The project dataset comes from the NA62 experiment at CERN, the European Organization for Nuclear Research, which involves a particle physics experiment designed to study rare decays of charged kaons.

The NA62 experiment at CERN is a particle physics experiment designed to study rare decays of charged kaons (K^+ mesons), which are subatomic particles composed of one up quark and one strange antiquark. The primary goal of the NA62 experiment is to probe the Standard Model of particle physics. This current theoretical framework describes the fundamental particles and their interactions, by measuring the ultra-rare decay of a charged kaon into a charged pion and a neutrino-antineutrino pair:

$$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$$

By observing and measuring this rare decay, physicists hope to prove the accuracy and completeness of the Standard Model. If the observed decay rate differs significantly from the predicted value, it could indicate the existence of new, undiscovered particles or interactions, providing clues to new physics beyond the Standard Model.

The data we are working with is collected from this procedure (Gil et al. 2017):

1. A kaon-rich beam is sent into the beam and detector setup in “bursts” every four to five seconds (shown in Figure 2).
2. During each burst, multiple particle decays occur, with each decay assigned a unique “event” ID.
3. As the decay product accelerates through a chamber filled with neon gas in the RICH detector (shown in Figure 1), it generates a cone of light.
4. The light cone is reflected by a mirror mosaic onto a photomultiplier tube (PMT) array, ideally forming a “ring” pattern.
5. Each PMT in the array records whether it was struck by light and the arrival time for each light hit.
6. The hodoscope counters (CHOD) detector (shown in Figure 2), registers the time particle decay occurs.

7 Appendix B: Data Structure

There are **Events**, **Hits**, and **Hitmapping** datasets within the HDF5 file.

The **Events** dataset contains the recorded decays. It contains the following attributes:

- ID (composite of Run ID, Burst ID, Event ID, and Track ID)
- Track momentum
- CHOD time
- Track position
- Features from TRIUMF's maximum likelihood fit:
 - Ring radius
 - Ring centre (x, y)
 - Ring likelihood
- Decay label, labeled by calorimeter (pion, muon, positron)

The **Hits** dataset corresponds to each of excited sensor. It contains the following attributes:

- Assigned flag, output from the current NA62 algorithm
- Hit position (calculated by the composite IDs)
- Hit time

The **Hitmapping** dataset maintains a one-to-many relationship between the **Events** and **Hits**. An event has a variable number of hits, and each hit must belong to one and only one event.

In addition to the dataset, we also have a file that converts the sensor ID into its relative (x, y) coordinates, so that it could be used in training.

The total number of decays ("events") for each class is as follows:

- 2,160,219 muon decays
- 215,955 pion decays
- 28,515 positron decays

8 Appendix C: Meeting Schedule

To ensure effective communication throughout the project, we propose the following meeting schedule:

- Meetings with TRIUMF team Every Tuesday, 11:00 am at TRIUMF onsite boardroom
- Meetings with MDS mentor Every Tuesday, 1:00 pm at UBC ICCS 204
- Group meetings Stand-up meeting on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays at 12:00 pm via Slack huddle Weekly in-person meetings for every Friday, 3:00 pm at UBC after weekly seminars