### Decidability and Undecidability\*

#### Xiaofeng Gao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University, P.R.China

CS363-Computability Theory

<sup>\*</sup> Special thanks is given to Prof. Yuxi Fu for sharing his teaching materials.



#### Outline

- Undecidable Problem in Computability
  - Undecidability
  - Reduction
  - Rice's Theorem
- Partial Decidable Predicates
  - Partial Decidability
  - Theorems and Examples

### Outline

- Undecidable Problem in Computability
  - Undecidability
  - Reduction
  - Rice's Theorem
- Partial Decidable Predicates
  - Partial Decidability
  - Theorems and Examples

### Decidability and Undecidability

A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable if its characteristic function  $c_M(\mathbf{x})$  given by

$$c_M(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds}, \\ 0, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

The predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is undecidable if it is not decidable.

An algorithm for computing  $c_M$  is called a decision procedure for M(x).

**Theorem**. The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

**Theorem**. The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem**. The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Suppose c(x) was computable. Then the function g(x) defined below would also be computable.

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem**. The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Suppose c(x) was computable. Then the function g(x) defined below would also be computable.

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let m be an index for g. Then

$$m \in W_m \text{ iff } c(m) = 0 \text{ iff } m \notin W_m.$$



**Corollary**. There is a computable function h such that both  $x \in Dom(h)$  and  $x \in Ran(h)$  are undecidable.

**Corollary**. There is a computable function h such that both  $x \in Dom(h)$  and  $x \in Ran(h)$  are undecidable.

Proof. Let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary**. There is a computable function h such that both ' $x \in Dom(h)$ ' and ' $x \in Ran(h)$ ' are undecidable.

Proof. Let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $x \in Dom(h)$  iff  $x \in W_x$  iff  $x \in Ran(h)$ .

### Undecidability Result (Halting Problem)

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x(y)$  is defined' is undecidable.

### Undecidability Result (Halting Problem)

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x(y)$  is defined' is undecidable.

*Proof.* If  $y \in W_x$  were decidable then  $x \in W_x$  would be decidable.

### **Undecidability Result (Halting Problem)**

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x(y)$  is defined' is undecidable.

*Proof.* If  $y \in W_x$  were decidable then  $x \in W_x$  would be decidable.

In this proof we have reduced the problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' to the problem ' $y \in W_x$ '. The reduction shows that the latter is at least as hard as the former.

## Methodology: Reduction

Many problems can be shown to be undecidable by showing that they are at least as difficult as  $x \in W_x$ 

Thus we can reduce one problem to another to prove the undecidability property.

If a problem  $M(\mathbf{x})$  would lead to a solution to general problem  $x \in W_x$ , then we say that  $x \in W_x$  is reduced to  $M(\mathbf{x})$ .

The decidability of  $M(\mathbf{x})$  implies the decidability of  $x \in W_x$ , from which we can conclude the undecidability of M(x).

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.



**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

**Theorem**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

It is clear that  $\phi_{k(x)} = \mathbf{0}$  iff  $x \in W_x$ .

#### **Further Discussion**

Let g be the characteristic function of  $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_x = \mathbf{0}; \\ 0 & \text{if } \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that g is computable, then so is the function h(x) = g(k(x)). However, we have

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_{k(x)} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ i.e. } x \in W_x \\ 0 & \text{if } \phi_{k(x)} \neq \mathbf{0}, \text{ i.e. } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$$

Thus *h* is not computable. Hence *g* is not computable, and the problem  $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$  is undecidable.

**Corollary**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \phi_y$ ' is undecidable.



**Corollary**. The problem ' $\phi_x = \phi_y$ ' is undecidable.

Let c be a number such that  $\phi_c = \mathbf{0}$ .

If f(x, y) is the characteristic function of the problem  $\phi_x = \phi_y$ , then the function g(x) = f(x, c) is the characteristic function of  $\phi_x = \mathbf{0}$ .

Thus g is not computable, neither is f.

Thus ' $\phi_x = \phi_y$ ' is undecidable.

**Theorem**. Let c be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_x$ ',  $(P_x(c) \downarrow$ , or ' $c \in Dom(\phi_x)$ ')
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '. (' $c \in Ran(\phi_x)$ ')

Reduction

### **Undecidability Result**

**Theorem**. Let c be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem:  $c \in W_x$ ,  $(P_x(c) \downarrow$ , or  $c \in Dom(\phi_x)$
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '. (' $c \in Ran(\phi_x)$ ')

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem**. Let c be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem:  $c \in W_x$ ,  $(P_x(c) \downarrow$ , or  $c \in Dom(\phi_x)$
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '. (' $c \in Ran(\phi_x)$ ')

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

**Theorem**. Let c be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_x$ ',  $(P_x(c) \downarrow$ , or ' $c \in Dom(\phi_x)$ ')
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '. (' $c \in Ran(\phi_x)$ ')

*Proof.* Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

It is clear that  $c \in W_{k(x)}$  iff  $x \in W_x$  iff  $c \in E_{k(x)}$ .



Theorem. (Rice)

Suppose  $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathscr{B} \subsetneq \mathscr{C}_1$ . Then the problem ' $\phi_x \in \mathscr{B}$ ' is undecidable.

Theorem. (Rice)

Suppose  $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathscr{B} \subsetneq \mathscr{C}_1$ . Then the problem ' $\phi_x \in \mathscr{B}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f_{\emptyset} \notin \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let the function f be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. (Rice)

Suppose  $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathscr{B} \subsetneq \mathscr{C}_1$ . Then the problem ' $\phi_x \in \mathscr{B}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f_{\emptyset} \notin \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let the function f be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

Theorem. (Rice)

Suppose  $\emptyset \subseteq \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{C}_1$ . Then the problem ' $\phi_x \in \mathscr{B}$ ' is undecidable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f_{\emptyset} \notin \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let the function f be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$ .

It is clear that  $\phi_{k(x)} \in \mathcal{B}$  iff  $\phi_{k(x)} = g$  iff  $x \in W_x$ .

#### Outline

- Undecidable Problem in Computability
  - Undecidability
  - Reduction
  - Rice's Theorem
- Partial Decidable Predicates
  - Partial Decidability
  - Theorems and Examples

### Partially Decidable Predicates

A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  of natural numbers is partially decidable if the function given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds,} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold,} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

### Partially Decidable Predicates

A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  of natural numbers is partially decidable if the function given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds,} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold,} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

The function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is the partial characteristic function.

# Partial Decidability

### Partially Decidable Predicates

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y) \downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Partial Decidability

### Partially Decidable Predicates

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y) \downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. Any decidable predicate is partially decidable: simply arrange for the decision procedure to enter a loop whenever it gives output 0. Partially Decidable Predicates

#### Partial Decidability Partial Decidable Predicates

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y) \downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 2. Any decidable predicate is partially decidable: simply arrange for the decision procedure to enter a loop whenever it gives output 0.
- 3. For any computable function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  the problem  $\mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$  is partially decidable, since it has the computable characteristic function  $\mathbf{1}(g(\mathbf{x})).$



4. The problem ' $x \notin W_x$ ' is not partially decidable. For if f is its partial characteristic function, then

$$x \in Dom(f) \Leftrightarrow x \notin W_x$$
.

The domain of its partial characteristic function differs from the domain of every computable function.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable iff there is a computable function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  such that  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$ .

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable iff there is a computable function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  such that  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$ .

*Proof.* g is essentially the partial characteristic function.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable iff there is a decidable predicate  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  such that  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable iff there is a decidable predicate  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  such that  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* " $\Leftarrow$ " If  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is decidable and  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , then  $g(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \mu y R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is computable. Clearly  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$ . Thus  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable iff there is a decidable predicate  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  such that  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* " $\Leftarrow$ " If  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is decidable and  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , then  $g(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \mu y R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is computable. Clearly  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$ . Thus  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decidable.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Conversely suppose  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is partially decided by program P. Let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  be

$$R(\mathbf{x}, y) \equiv P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow \text{ in } y \text{ steps.}$$

Then  $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is decidable and  $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

**Theorem**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable, so is  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

**Theorem**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable, so is  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  be a decidable predicate such that  $M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ . Then  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ .

**Theorem**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable, so is  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  be a decidable predicate such that  $M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ . Then  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ .

Use standard technique of coding the pair of numbers y, z such that  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  reduces to the search for a single number u such that  $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists u.R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, (u)_1)$ .

**Theorem**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable, so is  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  be a decidable predicate such that  $M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ . Then  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ .

Use standard technique of coding the pair of numbers y, z such that  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  reduces to the search for a single number u such that  $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists u.R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, (u)_1)$ .

The predicate  $S(\mathbf{x}, y) \equiv R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, (u)_1)$  is decidable by substitution and so  $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable.

**Theorem**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable, so is  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  be a decidable predicate such that  $M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ . Then  $\exists y. M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z. R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$ .

Use standard technique of coding the pair of numbers y, z such that  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  reduces to the search for a single number u such that  $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists u.R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, (u)_1)$ .

The predicate  $S(\mathbf{x}, y) \equiv R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, (u)_1)$  is decidable by substitution and so  $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is partially decidable.

**Corollary**. If  $M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is a partially decidable, so is  $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .



**Example**:  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  (*n* fixed) is partially decidable.



**Example**:  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  (*n* fixed) is partially decidable.

*Proof*:  $x \in E_y^{(n)} \Leftrightarrow \exists z_1 \cdots \exists z_n \exists t (P_y(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \downarrow x \text{ in } t \text{ steps})$ . The right one is decidable so  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  is partially decidable.

**Example**:  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  (*n* fixed) is partially decidable.

*Proof*:  $x \in E_y^{(n)} \Leftrightarrow \exists z_1 \cdots \exists z_n \exists t (P_y(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \downarrow x \text{ in } t \text{ steps})$ . The right one is decidable so  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  is partially decidable.

**Example**:  $W_x \neq \emptyset$  is partially decidable.

**Example**:  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  (*n* fixed) is partially decidable.

*Proof*:  $x \in E_y^{(n)} \Leftrightarrow \exists z_1 \cdots \exists z_n \exists t (P_y(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \downarrow x \text{ in } t \text{ steps})$ . The right one is decidable so  $x \in E_y^{(n)}$  is partially decidable.

**Example**:  $W_x \neq \emptyset$  is partially decidable.

*Proof*:  $W_x \neq \emptyset$  iff  $\exists y \exists t. (P_x(y) \downarrow \text{in } t \text{ steps})$ . So  $W_x \neq \emptyset$  is partially decidable.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable iff both  $M(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\neg M(\mathbf{x})$  are partially decidable.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable iff both  $M(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\neg M(\mathbf{x})$  are partially decidable.

*Proof*: " $\Rightarrow$ " If  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable, so is 'not  $M(\mathbf{x})$ ', so both are partially decidable.

**Theorem**. A predicate  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable iff both  $M(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\neg M(\mathbf{x})$  are partially decidable.

*Proof*: " $\Rightarrow$ " If  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is decidable, so is 'not  $M(\mathbf{x})$ ', so both are partially decidable.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Conversely, suppose that partial decidable procedures for  $M(\mathbf{x})$  and 'not  $M(\mathbf{x})$ ' are given by programs F, G. Then

$$F(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow M(\mathbf{x})$$
 holds and  $G(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow$  'not  $M(\mathbf{x})$ ' holds.

Also,  $\forall \mathbf{x}$ , either  $F(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$  or  $G(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$  but not both.

Thus given  $\mathbf{x}$ , run the computation  $F(\mathbf{x})$  and  $G(\mathbf{x})$  simultaneously and go on until one of them stops. If  $F(\mathbf{x})$  stops, then  $M(\mathbf{x})$  holds; if  $G(\mathbf{x})$  stops, then  $M(\mathbf{x})$  not hold.

**Corollary** (Divergence Problem). The problem ' $y \notin W_x$ ' (' $P_x(y) \uparrow$ ' or ' $\phi_x(y)$  is undefined') is not partially decidable.

**Corollary** (Divergence Problem). The problem ' $y \notin W_x$ ' (' $P_x(y) \uparrow$ ' or ' $\phi_x(y)$  is undefined') is not partially decidable.

*Proof*: If this problem were partially decidable, since  $P_x(y) \downarrow$  is partially decidable, then by the above theorem the Halting problem would be decidable.

**Theorem**. Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  be a partial function. Then f is computable iff the predicate ' $f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y$ ' is partially decidable.

**Theorem**. Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  be a partial function. Then f is computable iff the predicate ' $f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y$ ' is partially decidable.

*Proof.* If  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is computable by  $P(\mathbf{x})$ , then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t. (P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y \text{ in } t \text{ steps}).$$

We are done by observing that ' $P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y$  in t steps' is decidable.

**Theorem**. Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  be a partial function. Then f is computable iff the predicate ' $f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y$ ' is partially decidable.

*Proof.* If  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is computable by  $P(\mathbf{x})$ , then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t. (P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y \text{ in } t \text{ steps}).$$

We are done by observing that ' $P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y$  in t steps' is decidable.

Conversely let  $R(\mathbf{x}, y, t)$  be such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t. R(\mathbf{x}, y, t).$$

The equivalence gives rise to an algorithm.

