Recursive and Recursively Enumerable Sets*

Xiaofeng Gao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, P.R.China

CS363-Computability Theory

* Special thanks is given to Prof. Yuxi Fu for sharing his teaching materials.

Outline

Outline

Decision Problem, Predicate, Number Set

The following emphasizes the importance of the subsets of $\mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Decision Problems} &\Leftrightarrow \text{Predicates on Number} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Sets of Numbers} \end{aligned}$$

A central theme of recursion theory is to look for sensible classification of number sets.

Classification is often done with the help of reduction.

Recursive Set

Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$. The characteristic function of A is given by

$$c_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

A is recursive if $c_A(x)$ is computable.

Solvable Problem

A recursive set is (the domain of) a solvable problem.

It is important to know if a problem is solvable.

Examples

The following sets are recursive.

(a) $\mathbb{N}$.

(b) $\mathbb{E}$ (the even numbers).

(c) Any finite set.

(d) The set of prime numbers.

Unsolvable Problem

Here are some important unsolvable problems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K &= \{x \mid x \in W_x\}, \\
Fin &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is finite}\}, \\
Inf &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is infinite}\}, \\
Cof &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}, \\
Rec &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is recursive}\}, \\
Tot &= \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}, \\
Ext &= \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Cofinite

$Cof = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}$ means the set whose complement is finite.

Cofinite

$Cof = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}$ means the set whose complement is finite.

Example 1: $\{x \mid x \geq 5\}$ is cofinite.

Cofinite

$Cof = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}$ means the set whose complement is finite.

Example 1: $\{x \mid x \geq 5\}$ is cofinite.

Not every infinite set is cofinite.

Cofinite

$Cof = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}$ means the set whose complement is finite.

Example 1: $\{x \mid x \geq 5\}$ is cofinite.

Not every infinite set is cofinite.

Example 2: $\mathbb{E}$, $\mathbb{O}$ are not cofinite.

Extensible Functions

$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x$ is extensible to a total recursive function$\}$.

Extensible Functions

$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}.$

Example: $f(x) = \phi_x(x) + 1$ is not extensible.

Extensible Functions

$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}$.

Example: $f(x) = \phi_x(x) + 1$ is not extensible.

Proof: Assume $f(x)$ is extensible, then define total recursive function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_U(x,x) + 1 & \text{if } \psi_U(x,x) \text{ is defined.} \\ \maltese & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Let ϕ_m be the Gödel coding of $g(x)$, then ϕ_m is a total recursive function.

When $x = m$, $\phi_m(m) = \psi_U(m,m)$ by universal problem.

However, $\phi_m(m) = g(m) = \psi_U(m,m) + 1$ by equation (1). A contradiction. □

Extensible Functions

$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}$.

Example: $f(x) = \phi_x(x) + 1$ is not extensible.

Proof: Assume $f(x)$ is extensible, then define total recursive function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_U(x,x) + 1 & \text{if } \psi_U(x,x) \text{ is defined.} \\ \maltese & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

Let ϕ_m be the Gödel coding of $g(x)$, then ϕ_m is a total recursive function.

When $x = m$, $\phi_m(m) = \psi_U(m,m)$ by universal problem.

However, $\phi_m(m) = g(m) = \psi_U(m,m) + 1$ by equation (1). A contradiction. $\square$

Comment: Not every partial recursive function can be obtained by restricting a total recursive function.

Decidable Predicate

A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is decidable if its characteristic function $c_M(\mathbf{x})$ given by

$$c_M(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds,} \\ 0, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

The predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is undecidable if it is not decidable.

Recursive Set $\Leftrightarrow$ Solvable Problem $\Leftrightarrow$ Decidable Predicate.

Algebra of Decidability

Theorem. If A, B are recursive sets, then so are the sets $\overline{A}$, $A \cap B$, $A \cup B$, and $A \backslash B$.

Algebra of Decidability

Theorem. If A, B are recursive sets, then so are the sets $\overline{A}$, $A \cap B$, $A \cup B$, and $A \backslash B$.

Proof.

$c_{\overline{A}} = 1 \dot{-} c_A$.

$c_{A \cap B} = c_A \cdot c_B$.

$c_{A \cup B} = \max(c_A, c_B)$.

$c_{A \backslash B} = c_A \cdot c_{\overline{B}}$.

Reduction between Problems

A reduction is a way of defining a solution of a problem with the help of the solutions of another problem.

In recursion theory we are only interested in reductions that are computable.

There are several ways of reducing a problem to another.

The differences between different reductions from A to B consists in the manner and extent to which information about B is allowed to settle questions about A.

Many-One Reduction

The set A is many-one reducible, or m-reducible, to the set B if there is a total computable function f such that

$$x \in A \text{ iff } f(x) \in B$$

for all x.

We shall write $A \leq_m B$ or more explicitly $f : A \leq_m B$.

If f is injective, then it is a one-one reducibility, denoted by $\leq_1$.

Many-One Reduction

1. $\leq_m$ is reflexive and transitive.
2. $A \leq_m B$ iff $\overline{A} \leq_m \overline{B}$.
3. $A \leq_m \mathbb{N}$ iff $A = \mathbb{N}$; $A \leq_m \varnothing$ iff $A = \varnothing$.
4. $\mathbb{N} \leq_m A$ iff $A \neq \varnothing$; $\varnothing \leq_m A$ iff $A \neq \mathbb{N}$.

Non-Recursive Set

Proposition. $K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\}$ is not recursive.

Non-Recursive Set

Proposition. $K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\}$ is not recursive.

Proof. If K were recursive, then the characteristic function

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x, \end{cases}$$

would be computable.
Then the function $g(x)$ defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

would also be computable.
Let m be an index for g. Then

$$m \in W_m \text{ iff } c(m) = 0 \text{ iff } m \notin W_m.$$

Non-Recursive Set

Proposition. Neither $Tot = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ nor $\{x \mid \phi_x \simeq \mathbf{0}\}$ is recursive.

Non-Recursive Set

Proposition. Neither $Tot = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ nor $\{x \mid \phi_x \simeq \mathbf{0}\}$ is recursive.

Proof. Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is a primitive recursive function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that $k : K \leq_m Tot$ and $k : K \leq_m \{x \mid \phi_x \simeq \mathbf{0}\}$.

Rice Theorem

Henry Rice.

Classes of Recursively Enumerable Sets and their Decision Problems. Transactions of the American mathematical Society, **77**:358-366, 1953.

Rice Theorem

Rice Theorem. (1953)

If $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathscr{B} \subsetneq \mathscr{C}_1$, then $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is not recursive.

Rice Theorem

Rice Theorem. (1953)

If $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathscr{B} \subsetneq \mathscr{C}_1$, then $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is not recursive.

Proof. Suppose $f_\emptyset \notin \mathscr{B}$ and $g \in \mathscr{B}$. Let the function f be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is some primitive recursive function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that k is a many-one reduction from K to $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$.

Applying Rice Theorem

According to Rice Theorem the following sets are non-recursive:

$$\begin{aligned} Fin &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is finite}\}, \\ Inf &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is infinite}\}, \\ Cof &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}, \\ Rec &= \{x \mid W_x \text{ is recursive}\}, \\ Tot &= \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\} \end{aligned}$$

Remark on Rice Theorem

Rice Theorem deals with programme independent properties.

It talks about classes of computable functions rather than classes of programmes.

All non-trivial semantic problems are algorithmically undecidable.

It is of no help to a proof that the set of all polynomial time Turing Machines is undecidable.

Outline

Recursively Enumerable Set

The partial characteristic function of a set A is given by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

A is recursively enumerable if $\chi_A(x)$ is computable.

Notation 1: A is also called semi-recursive set, semi-computable set.

Notation 2: subsets of $\mathbb{N}^n$ can be defined as r.e. by coding to r.e. subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

Partially Decidable Predicate

A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ of natural number is partially decidable if its partial characteristic function

$$\chi_M(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds,} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold,} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

Partially Decidable Problem

A problem $f : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ is partially decidable if $dom(f)$ is r.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Partially Decidable Problem} \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Partially Decidable Predicate} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Recursively Enumerable Set}
\end{aligned}
$$

Quick Review

Theorem. A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is partially decidable iff there is a computable function $g(x)$ such that $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$.

Theorem. A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is partially decidable iff there is a decidable predicate $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ such that $M(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Theorem. If $M(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is partially decidable, so is $\exists y.M(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Corollary. If $M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is partially decidable, so is $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

Theorem. $M(\mathbf{x})$ is decidable iff both $M(\mathbf{x})$ and $\neg M(\mathbf{x})$ are partially decidable.

Theorem. Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a partial function. Then f is computable iff the predicate '$f(\mathbf{x}) \simeq y$' is partially decidable.

Some Important Decidable Predicates

For each $n \geq 1$, the following predicates are primitive recursive:

1. $\mathsf{S}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, y, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ '$P_e(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y$ in t or fewer steps'.
2. $\mathsf{H}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ '$P_e(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$ in t or fewer steps'.

Some Important Decidable Predicates

For each $n \geq 1$, the following predicates are primitive recursive:

1. $\mathsf{S}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, y, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ '$P_e(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow y$ in t or fewer steps'.
2. $\mathsf{H}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ '$P_e(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$ in t or fewer steps'.

They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{S}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, y, t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathsf{j}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \wedge (\mathsf{c}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t))_1 = y, \\ \mathsf{H}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathsf{j}_n(e, \mathbf{x}, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$\chi_H(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y)\downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Example

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$\chi_H(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y)\downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

2. $K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\}$ is r.e., but not recursive.

Proof: $\chi_K(x) = \mathbf{1}(\psi_U(x,x))$.

Example

1. The halting problem is partially decidable. Its partial characteristic function is given by

$$\chi_H(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_x(y)\downarrow, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

2. $K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\}$ is r.e., but not recursive.

Proof: $\chi_K(x) = \mathbf{1}(\psi_U(x,x))$.

3. $\overline{K} = \{x \mid x \notin W_x\}$ is not r.e., (also not recursive).

Proof: If yes, then define $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \notin W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \in W_x \end{cases}$

Then $x \in Dom(f) \Leftrightarrow x \notin W_x$. f is computable while $Dom(f)$ doesn't equal to any computable function. Contradiction!

Example (Cont.)

4. Any recursive set is r.e.

Example (Cont.)

4. Any recursive set is r.e.

5. $\{x \mid W_x \neq \varnothing\}$ is r.e.

Proof: $W_x \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists t (P_x(y) \downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps})$.

Example (Cont.)

4. Any recursive set is r.e.

5. $\{x \mid W_x \neq \varnothing\}$ is r.e.

Proof: $W_x \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists t (P_x(y) \downarrow$ in t steps$)$.

6. If f is a computable function, then $Ran(f)$ is r.e.

Proof: Let ϕ_m be the Gödel coding of f.

$$x \in E_m \Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists t (P_m(y) \downarrow x \text{ in } t \text{ steps}).$$

$$x \in E_m \text{ is partial decidable } \Leftrightarrow Ran(f) \text{ is r.e.}$$

Index Theorem

Theorem. A set is r.e. iff it is the domain of a unary computable function.

Index Theorem

Theorem. A set is r.e. iff it is the domain of a unary computable function.

Proof:

"$\Rightarrow$": A is r.e. $\Rightarrow \chi_A$ is computable $\Rightarrow$ "$x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in \chi_A$".
Thus A is the domain of unary computable function χ_A.

"$\Leftarrow$": If f is a unary computable function, let $A = Dom(f)$.
Then $\chi_A = \mathbf{1}(f(x))$, which is computable.

Index Theorem

Theorem. A set is r.e. iff it is the domain of a unary computable function.

Proof:

"$\Rightarrow$": A is r.e. $\Rightarrow$ χ_A is computable $\Rightarrow$ "$x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in \chi_A$".
Thus A is the domain of unary computable function χ_A.

"$\Leftarrow$": If f is a unary computable function, let $A = Dom(f)$.
Then $\chi_A = \mathbf{1}(f(x))$, which is computable.

Notation (Index for Recursively Enumerable Set): $W_0, W_1, W_2, \ldots$ is a repetitive enumeration of all r.e. sets. e is an index of A if $A = W_e$, end every r.e. set has an infinite number of indexes.

Normal Form Theorem

Theorem. The set A is r.e. iff there is a primitive recursive predicate $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in A$ iff $\exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Normal Form Theorem

Theorem. The set A is r.e. iff there is a primitive recursive predicate $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in A$ iff $\exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Proof. "$\Leftarrow$": If $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is primitive recursive and $\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$, then define $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mu y R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Then $g(\mathbf{x})$ is computable and $\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$.

Normal Form Theorem

Theorem. The set A is r.e. iff there is a primitive recursive predicate $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in A$ iff $\exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Proof. "$\Leftarrow$": If $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is primitive recursive and $\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$, then define $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mu y R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Then $g(\mathbf{x})$ is computable and $\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in Dom(g)$.

"$\Rightarrow$": suppose A is r.e., then χ_A is computable. Let P be program to compute χ_A and $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ be

$$P(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow \text{ in } y \text{ steps}.$$

Then $R(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is primitive recursive (decidable) and $\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y.R(\mathbf{x}, y)$.

Quantifier Contraction Theorem

Theorem (Applying the Normal Form Theorem). If $M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is partially decidable, so is $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ($\{\mathbf{x} \mid \exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}$ is r.e.).

Quantifier Contraction Theorem

Theorem (Applying the Normal Form Theorem). If $M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is partially decidable, so is $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ($\{\mathbf{x} \mid \exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}$ is r.e.).

Proof. Let $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z)$ be a primitive recursive predicate such that

$$M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \exists z.R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z).$$

Then $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{y}.\exists z.R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z) \Leftrightarrow \exists u.R(\mathbf{x}, (u)_0, \cdots, (u)_{m+1})$.
$(u = 2^{y_1} 3^{y_2} \cdots p_m^{y_m}, p_{m+1}^z$, if $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \cdots, y_m))$.

By Normal Form Theorem, $\exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is partially decidable, and $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \exists \mathbf{y}.M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}$ is r.e.

Uniformisation Theorem

Theorem (Applying the Normal Form Theorem). If $R(x,y)$ is partially decidable, then there is a computable function $c(x)$ such that $c(x)\downarrow$ iff $\exists y.R(x,y)$ and $c(x)\downarrow$ implies $R(x,c(x))$.

Uniformisation Theorem

Theorem (Applying the Normal Form Theorem). If $R(x,y)$ is partially decidable, then there is a computable function $c(x)$ such that $c(x)\downarrow$ iff $\exists y.R(x,y)$ and $c(x)\downarrow$ implies $R(x,c(x))$.

We may think of $c(x)$ as a choice function for $R(x,y)$. The theorem states that the choice function is computable.

Complementation Theorem

Theorem. A is recursive iff A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e.

Complementation Theorem

Theorem. A is recursive iff A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e.

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$": If A is recursive, then χ_A and $\chi_{\overline{A}}$ are computable.
Thus $\Rightarrow$ A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e.

Complementation Theorem

Theorem. A is recursive iff A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e.

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$": If A is recursive, then χ_A and $\chi_{\overline{A}}$ are computable. Thus $\Rightarrow$ A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e.

"$\Leftarrow$": Suppose A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e. Then some primitive recursive predicates $R(x,y), S(x,y)$ exist such that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A &\Leftrightarrow \exists y R(x,y), \\ x \in \overline{A} &\Leftrightarrow \exists y S(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $f(x) = \mu y(R(x,y) \vee S(x,y))$.

Since either $x \in A$ or $x \in \overline{A}$ holds, $f(x)$ is total and computable, and $x \in A \Leftrightarrow R(x, f(x))$. Thus $x \in A$ is decidable $\Rightarrow$ A is recursive.

The Hardest Recursively Enumerable Set

Fact. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is r.e. then A is r.e..

The Hardest Recursively Enumerable Set

Fact. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is r.e. then A is r.e..

Theorem. A is r.e. iff $A \leq_m K$.

The Hardest Recursively Enumerable Set

Fact. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is r.e. then A is r.e..

Theorem. A is r.e. iff $A \leq_m K$.

Proof. Suppose A is r.e. Let $f(x,y)$ be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is a total computable function $s(x)$ such that $f(x,y) = \phi_{s(x)}(y)$. It is clear that $x \in A$ iff $s(x) \in K$.

The Hardest Recursively Enumerable Set

Fact. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is r.e. then A is r.e..

Theorem. A is r.e. iff $A \leq_m K$.

Proof. Suppose A is r.e. Let $f(x,y)$ be defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is a total computable function $s(x)$ such that $f(x,y) = \phi_{s(x)}(y)$. It is clear that $x \in A$ iff $s(x) \in K$.

No r.e. set is more difficult than K.

Applying Complementation Theorem

Proposition. If A is r.e. but not recursive, then $\overline{A} \not\leq_m A \not\leq_m \overline{A}$.

Applying Complementation Theorem

Proposition. If A is r.e. but not recursive, then $\overline{A} \not\leq_m A \not\leq_m \overline{A}$.

It contradicts to our intuition that A and $\overline{A}$ are equally difficult.

Graph Theorem

Theorem. Let $f(x)$ be a partial function. Then $f(x)$ is computable iff the predicate '$f(x) \simeq y$' is partially decidable iff $\{\pi(x,y) \mid f(x) \simeq y\}$ is r.e.

Graph Theorem

Theorem. Let $f(x)$ be a partial function. Then $f(x)$ is computable iff the predicate '$f(x) \simeq y$' is partially decidable iff $\{\pi(x,y) \mid f(x) \simeq y\}$ is r.e.

Proof. If $f(x)$ is computable by $P(x)$, then

$$f(x) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t.(P(x) \downarrow y \text{ in } t \text{ steps}).$$

The predicate '$P(x) \downarrow y$ in t steps' is primitive recursive.

Graph Theorem

Theorem. Let $f(x)$ be a partial function. Then $f(x)$ is computable iff the predicate '$f(x) \simeq y$' is partially decidable iff $\{\pi(x,y) \mid f(x) \simeq y\}$ is r.e.

Proof. If $f(x)$ is computable by $P(x)$, then

$$f(x) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t.(P(x) \downarrow y \text{ in } t \text{ steps}).$$

The predicate '$P(x) \downarrow y$ in t steps' is primitive recursive.

Conversely let $R(x,y,t)$ be such that

$$f(x) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t.R(x,y,t).$$

Now $f(x) = \mu y.R(x,y,\mu t.R(x,y,t))$.

Listing Theorem

Listing Theorem. A is r.e. iff either $A = \varnothing$ or A is the range of a unary total computable function.

Listing Theorem

Listing Theorem. A is r.e. iff either $A = \varnothing$ or A is the range of a unary total computable function.

Proof. Suppose A is nonempty and its partial characteristic function is computed by P. Let a be a member of A. The total function $g(x,t)$ given by

$$g(x,t) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } P(x) \downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps}, \\ a, & \text{if otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

is computable. Clearly A is the range of $h(z) = g((z)_1, (z)_2)$.

Listing Theorem

Listing Theorem. A is r.e. iff either $A = \varnothing$ or A is the range of a unary total computable function.

Proof. Suppose A is nonempty and its partial characteristic function is computed by P. Let a be a member of A. The total function $g(x,t)$ given by

$$g(x,t) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } P(x) \downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps}, \\ a, & \text{if otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

is computable. Clearly A is the range of $h(z) = g((z)_1, (z)_2)$.

The converse follows from Graph Theorem.
Suppose $A = Ran(h)$, then

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{y}(h(\mathbf{y}) \simeq x) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{y} \exists t(P(\mathbf{y}) \downarrow x \text{ in } t \text{ steps})$$

Listing Theorem

It gives rise to the terminology recursively enumerable.

The elements of a r.e. set can be effectively generated. E.g., A can be enumerated as $A = \{h(0), h(1), \cdots, h(n), \cdots\}$, where h is a primitive recursive function.

$\{E_0, E_1, \cdots, E_n, \cdots\}$ is another enumeration of all r.e. sets.

R.e. set are effectively generated sets, which is a list compiled by an informal effective procedure (may go on ad infinitum).

An Example

The set $\{x \mid \text{if there is a run of exactly } x \text{ consecutive 7's in the decimal expansion of } \pi\}$ is r.e.

An Example

The set $\{x \mid \text{if there is a run of exactly } x \text{ consecutive 7's in the decimal expansion of } \pi\}$ is r.e.

Proof. Run an algorithm that computes successive digits in the decimal expansion of π. Each time a run of 7s appears, count the number of consecutive 7s in the run and add this number to the list.

Applying Listing Theorem

A set is r.e. iff it is the range of a computable function.

Applying Listing Theorem

A set is r.e. iff it is the range of a computable function.

Equivalence Theorem. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a). A is r.e.
(b). $A = \emptyset$ or A is the range of a unary total computable function.
(c). A is the range of a (partial) computable function.

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. Every infinite r.e. set has an infinite recursive subset.

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. Every infinite r.e. set has an infinite recursive subset.

Proof. Suppose $A = Ran(f)$ where f is a total computable function. An infinite recursive subset is enumerated by the total increasing computable function g given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= f(0), \\ g(n+1) &= f(\mu y(f(y) > g(n))). \end{aligned}$$

(g is total since $A = Ran(f)$ is infinite. g is computable by minimalisation and recursion).
$Ran(g)$ is an infinite recursive subset of A.

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. An infinite set is recursive iff it is the range of a total increasing computable function (if it can be recursively enumerated in increasing order).

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. An infinite set is recursive iff it is the range of a total increasing computable function (if it can be recursively enumerated in increasing order).

Proof."⇒" Suppose A is recursive and infinite. Then A is enumerated by the increasing function f given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \mu y(y \in A), \\ f(n+1) &= \mu y(y \in A \wedge y > f(n)). \end{aligned}$$

f is total since A is infinite. f is computable by minimalisation and recursion. $Ran(g)$ is an infinite recursive subset of A.

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. An infinite set is recursive iff it is the range of a total increasing computable function (if it can be recursively enumerated in increasing order).

Proof.“$\Rightarrow$" Suppose A is recursive and infinite. Then A is enumerated by the increasing function f given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(0) & = & \mu y(y \in A), \\ f(n+1) & = & \mu y(y \in A \land y > f(n)). \end{array}$$

f is total since A is infinite. f is computable by minimalisation and recursion. $Ran(g)$ is an infinite recursive subset of A.

“$\Leftarrow$": Suppose A is the range of the computable total increasing function f; i.e., $f(0) < f(1) < f(2) < \cdots$ It is clear that if $y = f(n)$ then $n \leq y$. Hence

$$y \in A \Leftrightarrow y \in Ran(f) \Leftrightarrow \exists n \leq y(f(n) = y)$$

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. The set $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ is not r.e.

Applying Listing Theorem

Theorem. The set $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ is not r.e.

Proof. If $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ were a r.e. set, then there would be a total computable function f whose range is the r.e. set.

The function $g(x)$ given by $g(x) = \phi_{f(x)}(x) + 1$ would be total and computable.

An Alternative Proof

Let $f(x,y) =$

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_x(x) \text{ does not converge in } y \text{ or fewer steps,} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $f(x,y)$ is computable by Church's Thesis, from s-m-n theorem, there is a total computable function $k(x)$, such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

From the definition of f, we have

$$\begin{cases} x \in W_x \Rightarrow (\exists y)(P_x(x) \text{ converges in } y \text{ steps}) \Rightarrow \phi_{k(x)} \text{ is not total} \\ x \notin W_x \Rightarrow (\forall y)(P_x(x) \text{ does not converge in } y \text{ steps}) \Rightarrow \phi_{k(x)} \text{ is total} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, '$x \notin W_x$' iff. '$\phi_{k(x)}$ is total'. We have 'ϕ_x is total' is not partially computable.

Closure Theorem

Theorem. The recursively enumerable sets are closed under union and intersection uniformly and effectively.

Closure Theorem

Theorem. The recursively enumerable sets are closed under union and intersection uniformly and effectively.

Proof. According to S-m-n Theorem there are primitive recursive functions $r(x,y), s(x,y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{r(x,y)} &= W_x \cup W_y, \\ W_{s(x,y)} &= W_x \cap W_y. \end{aligned}$$

Closure Theorem

Theorem. The recursively enumerable sets are closed under union and intersection uniformly and effectively.

Proof. According to S-m-n Theorem there are primitive recursive functions $r(x,y), s(x,y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{r(x,y)} &= W_x \cup W_y, \\ W_{s(x,y)} &= W_x \cap W_y. \end{aligned}$$

Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Rice-Shapiro Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is a set of unary computable functions such that the set $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e. Then for any unary computable function f, $f \in \mathscr{A}$ iff there is a finite function $\theta \subseteq f$ with $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e.

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{A}$ but $\forall$ finite $\theta \subseteq f.\theta \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e.

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{A}$ but $\forall$ finite $\theta \subseteq f.\theta \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Let P be a partial characteristic function of K.
Define the computable function $g(z,t)$ by

$$g(z,t) \simeq \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } P(z) \not\downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps}, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

According to S-m-n Theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $s(z)$ such that $g(z,t) \simeq \phi_{s(z)}(t)$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e.

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{A}$ but $\forall$ finite $\theta \subseteq f.\theta \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Let P be a partial characteristic function of K.
Define the computable function $g(z,t)$ by

$$g(z,t) \simeq \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } P(z) \not\downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps}, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

According to S-m-n Theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $s(z)$ such that $g(z,t) \simeq \phi_{s(z)}(t)$.

By construction $\phi_{s(z)} \subseteq f$ for all z.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e.

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{A}$ but $\forall$ finite $\theta \subseteq f.\theta \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Let P be a partial characteristic function of K.
Define the computable function $g(z,t)$ by

$$g(z,t) \simeq \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } P(z) \not\downarrow \text{ in } t \text{ steps}, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

According to S-m-n Theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $s(z)$ such that $g(z,t) \simeq \phi_{s(z)}(t)$.

By construction $\phi_{s(z)} \subseteq f$ for all z.

$z \in K \Rightarrow \phi_{s(z)}$ is finite $\Rightarrow s(z) \notin A$;
$z \notin K \Rightarrow \phi_{s(z)} = f \Rightarrow s(z) \in A$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose f is a computable function and there is a finite $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\theta \subseteq f$ and $f \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose f is a computable function and there is a finite $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\theta \subseteq f$ and $f \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Define the computable function $g(z, t)$ by

$$g(z,t) \simeq \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in Dom(\theta) \vee z \in K, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to S-m-n Theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $s(z)$ such that $g(z, t) \simeq \phi_{s(z)}(t)$.

Proof of Rice-Shapiro Theorem

Suppose f is a computable function and there is a finite $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\theta \subseteq f$ and $f \notin \mathscr{A}$.

Define the computable function $g(z,t)$ by

$$g(z,t) \simeq \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in Dom(\theta) \vee z \in K, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to S-m-n Theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $s(z)$ such that $g(z,t) \simeq \phi_{s(z)}(t)$.

$z \in K \Rightarrow \phi_{s(z)} = f \Rightarrow s(z) \notin A$;
$z \notin K \Rightarrow \phi_{s(z)} = \theta \Rightarrow s(z) \in A$.

Reversing Rice-Shapiro Theorem

$\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is r.e. if the following hold:

(1) $\Theta = \{g(\theta) \mid \theta \in \mathscr{A}$ and θ is finite$\}$ is r.e., where g is a canonical encoding of the finite functions.

(2) $\forall f \in \mathscr{A}$, $\exists$ finite $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$, $\theta \subseteq f$.

Corollary

The sets $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ and $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is not total}\}$ are not r.e.

Corollary

The sets $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ and $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is not total}\}$ are not r.e.

Proof. Consider the set $\mathscr{A} = \{f \mid f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \wedge f \text{ is total}\}$. For no $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is there a finite $\theta \subseteq f$ with $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$. Hence $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ is not r.e.

Corollary

The sets $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ and $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is not total}\}$ are not r.e.

Proof. Consider the set $\mathscr{A} = \{f \mid f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \wedge f \text{ is total}\}$. For no $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is there a finite $\theta \subseteq f$ with $\theta \in \mathscr{A}$. Hence $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}$ is not r.e.

Consider the set $\mathscr{B} = \{f \mid f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \wedge f \text{ is not total}\}$. Then if f is any total computable function, $f \notin \mathscr{B}$; but every finite function $\theta \subseteq f$ is in $\mathscr{B}$. Hence $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is not total}\}$ is not r.e. by Rice-Shapiro theorem.

Applying Rice-Shapiro Theorem

The following sets are not recursively enumerable:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Fin & = & \{x \mid W_x \text{ is finite}\}, \\ Inf & = & \{x \mid W_x \text{ is infinite}\}, \\ Cof & = & \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\}, \\ Rec & = & \{x \mid W_x \text{ is recursive}\}, \\ Tot & = & \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\}, \\ Con & = & \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total and constant}\}, \\ Ext & = & \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}. \end{array}$$

Outline

Non-r.e. Sets

Target. We consider non-r.e. sets to form *creative sets*. Suppose A is any non-r.e. set, then if W_x is an r.e. set contained in A, there must be a number $y \in A \backslash W_x$. This number y is a witness of $A \neq W_x$.

Non-r.e. Sets

Target. We consider non-r.e. sets to form *creative sets*. Suppose A is any non-r.e. set, then if W_x is an r.e. set contained in A, there must be a number $y \in A \setminus W_x$. This number y is a witness of $A \neq W_x$.

Example. Consider $\overline{K} = \{x \mid x \notin W_x\}$

Non-r.e. Sets

Target. We consider non-r.e. sets to form *creative sets*. Suppose A is any non-r.e. set, then if W_x is an r.e. set contained in A, there must be a number $y \in A \backslash W_x$. This number y is a witness of $A \neq W_x$.

Example. Consider $\overline{K} = \{x \mid x \notin W_x\}$

Suppose $W_x \subseteq \overline{K}$. Then $x \in \overline{K} \setminus W_x$. So x is a witness that the inclusion $W_x \subseteq \overline{K}$ is strict.

Non-r.e. Sets

Target. We consider non-r.e. sets to form *creative sets*. Suppose A is any non-r.e. set, then if W_x is an r.e. set contained in A, there must be a number $y \in A \backslash W_x$. This number y is a witness of $A \neq W_x$.

Example. Consider $\overline{K} = \{x \mid x \notin W_x\}$

Suppose $W_x \subseteq \overline{K}$. Then $x \in \overline{K} \setminus W_x$. So x is a witness that the inclusion $W_x \subseteq \overline{K}$ is strict.

We call $\overline{K}$ productive.

Productive Sets

Definition. A set A is productive if there is a total computable function g such that whenever $W_x \subseteq A$, then $g(x) \in A \setminus W_x$.

The function is called a productive function for A.

Productive Sets

Definition. A set A is productive if there is a total computable function g such that whenever $W_x \subseteq A$, then $g(x) \in A \setminus W_x$.

The function is called a productive function for A.

Notation. A productive set is not r.e.



Fig. A productive set

Productive Sets

Definition. A set A is productive if there is a total computable function g such that whenever $W_x \subseteq A$, then $g(x) \in A \setminus W_x$.

The function is called a productive function for A.



Fig. A productive set

Notation. A productive set is not r.e.

Example. $\overline{K}$ is productive with productive function $g(x) = x$.

Reduction Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are sets such that A is productive, and there is a total computable function such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. Then B is productive.

Reduction Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are sets such that A is productive, and there is a total computable function such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. Then B is productive.

Proof. Suppose $W_x \subseteq B$. Then $W_z = f^{-1}(W_x) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) = A$ for some z.

Reduction Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are sets such that A is productive, and there is a total computable function such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. Then B is productive.

Proof. Suppose $W_x \subseteq B$. Then $W_z = f^{-1}(W_x) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) = A$ for some z.

Moreover, $f^{-1}(W_x)$ is r.e. (by substitution), so there is a z such that $f^{-1}(W_x) = W_z$. Now $W_z \subseteq A$, and $g(z) \in A \setminus W_z$. Hence $f(g(z)) \in B \setminus W_x$.

Reduction Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are sets such that A is productive, and there is a total computable function such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. Then B is productive.

Proof. Suppose $W_x \subseteq B$. Then $W_z = f^{-1}(W_x) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) = A$ for some z.

Moreover, $f^{-1}(W_x)$ is r.e. (by substitution), so there is a z such that $f^{-1}(W_x) = W_z$. Now $W_z \subseteq A$, and $g(z) \in A \setminus W_z$. Hence $f(g(z)) \in B \setminus W_x$.

$f(g(z))$ is a witness to the fact that $W_x \neq B$.

Reduction Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are sets such that A is productive, and there is a total computable function such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$. Then B is productive.

Proof. Suppose $W_x \subseteq B$. Then $W_z = f^{-1}(W_x) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) = A$ for some z.

Moreover, $f^{-1}(W_x)$ is r.e. (by substitution), so there is a z such that $f^{-1}(W_x) = W_z$. Now $W_z \subseteq A$, and $g(z) \in A \setminus W_z$. Hence $f(g(z)) \in B \setminus W_x$.

$f(g(z))$ is a witness to the fact that $W_x \neq B$.

We now need to obtain the witness $f(g(z))$ effectively from x. Apply the s-m-n theorem to $\phi_x(f(y))$, one gets a total computable function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) = \phi_x(f(y))$. Then $W_{k(x)} = f^{-1}(W_x)$. It follows that $f(g(k(x))) \in B \setminus W_x$.

Proof



Examples

1. $\{x \mid \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is productive.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

2. $\{x \mid c \notin W_x\}$ is productive.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

2. $\{x \mid c \notin W_x\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid \phi_x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

2. $\{x \mid c \notin W_x\}$ is productive.

Proof. $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin W_x \end{cases}$. Reduce from $\overline{K}$.

3. $\{x \mid c \notin E_x\}$ is productive.

Application of Rich's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of unary computable functions with $f_\emptyset \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{C}_1$. Then the set $B = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is productive.

Application of Rich's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of unary computable functions with $f_\varnothing \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{C}_1$. Then the set $B = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is productive.

Proof. Choose a computable function $g \notin \mathscr{B}$. Consider function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Application of Rich's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of unary computable functions with $f_\varnothing \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{C}_1$. Then the set $B = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is productive.

Proof. Choose a computable function $g \notin \mathscr{B}$. Consider function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

Application of Rich's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of unary computable functions with $f_\varnothing \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{C}_1$. Then the set $B = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is productive.

Proof. Choose a computable function $g \notin \mathscr{B}$. Consider function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that $x \in W_x$ iff $\phi_{k(x)} = g$ iff $\phi_{k(x)} \notin \mathscr{B}$. Thus $x \in \overline{K}$ iff $k(x) \in B$.

Application of Rich's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of unary computable functions with $f_\varnothing \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{C}_1$. Then the set $B = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is productive.

Proof. Choose a computable function $g \notin \mathscr{B}$. Consider function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function $k(x)$ such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that $x \in W_x$ iff $\phi_{k(x)} = g$ iff $\phi_{k(x)} \notin \mathscr{B}$. Thus $x \in \overline{K}$ iff $k(x) \in B$.

Example. $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is not total}\}$ is productive.

($\mathscr{B} = \{f \mid f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \wedge f \text{ is not total}\}$.)

Creative Sets

Definition. A set A is creative if it is r.e. and its complement $\overline{A}$ is productive.

Creative Sets

Definition. A set A is creative if it is r.e. and its complement $\overline{A}$ is productive.

Example. K is creative. (The simplest example of a creative set).

Creative Sets

Definition. A set A is creative if it is r.e. and its complement $\overline{A}$ is productive.

Example. K is creative. (The simplest example of a creative set).

Notation. From the theorem that A is recursive $\Leftrightarrow$ A and $\overline{A}$ are r.e. we can say that a creative set is an r.e. set that fails to be recursive in a very strong way. (Creative sets are r.e. sets having the most difficult decision problem.)

Examples

1. $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative.

2. $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative.

2. $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative.

3. $A = \{x \mid \phi_x(x) = 0\}$ is creative.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative.
2. $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative.
3. $A = \{x \mid \phi_x(x) = 0\}$ is creative.

Proof. A is r.e.

Examples

1. $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative.

2. $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative.

3. $A = \{x \mid \phi_x(x) = 0\}$ is creative.

Proof. A is r.e.

To obtain a productive function for $\overline{A}$, by s-m-n theorem one gets a total computable function $g(x)$ such that $\phi_{g(x)}(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_x(y)$ is defined.

Then $g(x) \in A \Leftrightarrow g(x) \in W_x$. So if $W_x \subseteq \overline{A}$ we must have $g(x) \in \overline{A} \setminus W_x$.

Thus g is a productive function for $\overline{A}$.

Application of Rice's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{C}_1$ and let $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$. If A is r.e. and $A \neq \varnothing, \mathbb{N}$, then A is creative.

Application of Rice's Theorem

Theorem. Suppose that $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{C}_1$ and let $A = \{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathscr{A}\}$. If A is r.e. and $A \neq \varnothing, \mathbb{N}$, then A is creative.

Proof. Suppose A is r.e. and $A \neq \varnothing,\ \mathbb{N}$.

If $f_\varnothing \in \mathscr{A}$, then A is productive by the previous theorem. This is a contradiction.

Thus $f_\varnothing \notin \mathscr{A}$. $\overline{A}$ is productive by the same theorem. Hence A is creative.

Examples

1. $A = \{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid f(c)\downarrow\}$.

Examples

1. $A = \{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid f(c)\downarrow\}$.

2. $A = \{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid \exists x(f(x)\downarrow c)\}$.

Examples

1. $A = \{x \mid c \in W_x\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid f(c) \downarrow\}$.

2. $A = \{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid \exists x(f(x) \downarrow c)\}$.

3. $A = \{x \mid W_x \neq \emptyset\}$ is creative. It corresponds to $\mathscr{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{C}_1 \mid f \neq f_\emptyset\}$.

Discussion

Question. Are all non-recursive r.e. sets creative?

Discussion

Question. Are all non-recursive r.e. sets creative?

The answer is negative. By a special construction we can obtain r.e.sets that are neither recursive nor creative.

Subset Theorem

Lemma. Suppose that g is a total computable function. Then there is a total computable function k such that for all x, $W_{k(x)} = W_x \cup \{g(x)\}$.

Subset Theorem

Lemma. Suppose that g is a total computable function. Then there is a total computable function k such that for all x, $W_{k(x)} = W_x \cup \{g(x)\}$.

Proof. Using the s-m-n theorem, take $k(x)$ to be a total computable function such that

$$\phi_{k(x)}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{if } y \in W_x \vee y = g(x), \\ \uparrow, \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Proof. Let A be a productive set with productive function g. The idea is to enumerate a non-repetitive infinite set $B = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots\} \subseteq A$.

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Proof. Let A be a productive set with productive function g. The idea is to enumerate a non-repetitive infinite set $B = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots\} \subseteq A$.

Take e_0 to be some index for $W_{e_0} = \varnothing$. Since $W_{e_0} \subseteq A$, $g(e_0) \in A$. Put $y_0 = g(e_0) \in A$.

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Proof. Let A be a productive set with productive function g. The idea is to enumerate a non-repetitive infinite set $B = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots\} \subseteq A$.

Take e_0 to be some index for $W_{e_0} = \varnothing$. Since $W_{e_0} \subseteq A$, $g(e_0) \in A$. Put $y_0 = g(e_0) \in A$.

For $n \geq 0$, assume $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} \subseteq A$. Find an e_{n+1} s.t. $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} = W_{e_{n+1}} \subseteq A$. Then $g(e_{n+1}) \in A \backslash W_{e_{n+1}}$. Thus if we put $y_{n+1} = g(e_{n+1})$, we have $y_{n+1} \in A$ and $y_{n+1} \neq y_0, \cdots, y_n$.

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Proof. Let A be a productive set with productive function g. The idea is to enumerate a non-repetitive infinite set $B = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots\} \subseteq A$.

Take e_0 to be some index for $W_{e_0} = \varnothing$. Since $W_{e_0} \subseteq A$, $g(e_0) \in A$. Put $y_0 = g(e_0) \in A$.

For $n \geq 0$, assume $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} \subseteq A$. Find an e_{n+1} s.t. $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} = W_{e_{n+1}} \subseteq A$. Then $g(e_{n+1}) \in A \backslash W_{e_{n+1}}$. Thus if we put $y_{n+1} = g(e_{n+1})$, we have $y_{n+1} \in A$ and $y_{n+1} \neq y_0, \cdots, y_n$.

By the Lemma there is some total computable function k such that for all x, $W_{k(x)} = W_x \cup \{g(x)\}$. So the infinite set $\{e_0, \ldots, k^n(e_0), \ldots\}$ is r.e.

Subset Theorem

Theorem. A productive set contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Proof. Let A be a productive set with productive function g. The idea is to enumerate a non-repetitive infinite set $B = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots\} \subseteq A$.

Take e_0 to be some index for $W_{e_0} = \varnothing$. Since $W_{e_0} \subseteq A$, $g(e_0) \in A$. Put $y_0 = g(e_0) \in A$.

For $n \geq 0$, assume $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} \subseteq A$. Find an e_{n+1} s.t. $\{y_0, \cdots, y_n\} = W_{e_{n+1}} \subseteq A$. Then $g(e_{n+1}) \in A \backslash W_{e_{n+1}}$. Thus if we put $y_{n+1} = g(e_{n+1})$, we have $y_{n+1} \in A$ and $y_{n+1} \neq y_0, \cdots, y_n$.

By the Lemma there is some total computable function k such that for all x, $W_{k(x)} = W_x \cup \{g(x)\}$. So the infinite set $\{e_0, \ldots, k^n(e_0), \ldots\}$ is r.e.

It follows that the infinite set $\{g(e_0), \ldots, g(k^n(e_0)), \ldots\}$ is a r.e. subset of A.

Illumination



Corollary

If A is creative, then $\overline{A}$ contains an infinite r.e. subset.

Simple Sets

Definition. A set A is simple if

(i) A is r.e.,

(ii) $\overline{A}$ is infinite,

(iii) $\overline{A}$ contains no infinite r.e. subset.

Simple Sets

Theorem. A simple set is neither recursive nor creative.

Simple Sets

Theorem. A simple set is neither recursive nor creative.

Proof. Since $\overline{A}$ can not be r.e., A can not be recursive.

Simple Sets

Theorem. A simple set is neither recursive nor creative.

Proof. Since $\overline{A}$ can not be r.e., A can not be recursive.

(iii) implies that A can not be creative.

Simple Sets

Theorem. There is a simple set.

Simple Sets

Theorem. There is a simple set.

Proof. Define $f(x) = \phi_x(\mu z(\phi_x(z) > 2x))$. Let A be $Ran(f)$.

Simple Sets

Theorem. There is a simple set.

Proof. Define $f(x) = \phi_x(\mu z(\phi_x(z) > 2x))$. Let A be $Ran(f)$.

(i) A is r.e.

Simple Sets

Theorem. There is a simple set.

Proof. Define $f(x) = \phi_x(\mu z(\phi_x(z) > 2x))$. Let A be $Ran(f)$.

(i) A is r.e.

(ii) $\overline{A}$ is infinite. This is because $A \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n\}$ contains at most the elements $\{f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n-1)\}$.

Simple Sets

Theorem. There is a simple set.

Proof. Define $f(x) = \phi_x(\mu z(\phi_x(z) > 2x))$. Let A be $Ran(f)$.

(i) A is r.e.

(ii) $\overline{A}$ is infinite. This is because $A \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n\}$ contains at most the elements $\{f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n-1)\}$.

(iii) Suppose B is an infinite r.e. set. Then there is a total computable function ϕ_b such that $B = E_b$. Since ϕ_b is total, $f(b)$ is defined and $f(b) \in A$. Hence $B \not\subseteq \overline{A}$.