

Problem Sheet 3: Advanced Bayesian Statistics

1. Conditional Distributions

For a pair of random variables (X, Y) , knowledge of the marginal distributions of X and Y is not sufficient to characterize the joint distribution of (X, Y) .

- (a) Give an example of two different bivariate distributions admitting the same marginal distributions. [*Hint: For example, construct two variables with $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ laws such that the pair does not follow a Gaussian distribution; or, construct a non-uniform distribution on $[0, 1]^2$ whose marginals are uniform on $[0, 1]$.*]
- (b) Show that knowledge of the conditional distributions $X|Y$ and $Y|X$ is sufficient to characterize the distribution of (X, Y) . [*Assume we are in the density framework; one might first seek to write the marginal densities using an integral involving the conditional densities $f(x|y)$ and $f(y|x)$.*]

2. Risk Types (Review)

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a statistical model, ℓ a given loss function on $\Theta \times \Theta$, and Π a prior distribution on Θ .

- (a) For an arbitrary estimator $T(X)$, recall the definitions of:
 - i. The risk function $R(\theta, T)$ of T .
 - ii. The Bayes risk $R_B(\Pi, T)$ of T for the prior Π .
- (b) Recall the definitions of an **admissible** estimator, a **Bayes estimator** for Π , and a **minimax** estimator.

3. Mixtures

A two-component mixture distribution is defined as a distribution Q of the form:

$$Q = (1 - \rho)Q_0 + \rho Q_1,$$

where Q_0 and Q_1 are two probability distributions and $\rho \in [0, 1]$. We assume Q_0 and Q_1 have densities denoted q_0 and q_1 with respect to a reference measure μ .

- (a) Show that a random variable Y with distribution Q can be obtained by the following scheme:

$$Z \sim \text{Bern}(\rho), \quad Y|Z \sim Q_Z.$$

- (b) Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta = \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1), \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We place a prior Π on θ of the form of a two-component mixture $(1 - \rho)Q_0 + \rho Q_1$ with $Q_0 = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $Q_1 = \mathcal{N}(5, 1)$, and $\rho > 0$. We have observations $X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta \sim P_\theta^{\otimes n}$.
 - i. Determine the density of the posterior distribution $\theta|X_1, \dots, X_n$.

- ii. Show that the posterior distribution is again a two-component mixture. Determine the new corresponding weight $\rho_n(X)$.
- (c) In the context of coin toss observations, we suspect the coins might be biased with a probability $2/3$ of obtaining heads. We propose the following modeling: $X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta$ i.i.d. $\text{Bern}(\theta)$ and $\theta \sim (1 - \rho)Q_0 + \rho Q_1$, with $Q_0 = \text{Beta}(2, 4)$ and $Q_1 = \text{Beta}(3, 3)$.
- Justify the choice of the prior distribution.
 - Answer the same questions as in part (b). [Hint: You may use the expression of the Beta function. Recall that $\Gamma(p+1) = p!$.]
- (d) Show that the fact observed in examples (b) and (c)—that the posterior distribution is still a two-component mixture—is a general phenomenon, and give the expression of $\rho_n(X)$ as a function of the problem data.

4. Bayes Estimators

Let X be a random variable with distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ given θ , and let $\Pi = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ be the prior on θ , for fixed $\sigma^2 > 0$. Let

$$L(\theta, T) = (\theta - T)^2$$

be the quadratic loss function.

- Give the Bayes estimator of θ for the loss function L .
- Assume $\sigma^2 \leq 1$ and consider the weighted loss function:

$$L_w(\theta, T) = \exp\left\{\frac{3\theta^2}{4}\right\}(\theta - T)^2.$$

Determine the associated Bayes estimator. Calculate its Bayes risk.

5. Minimaxity

- Prove that if an admissible estimator has constant risk, it is minimax.
- Let T be a Bayes estimator for Π and let $R_B(\Pi, T)$ be its Bayes risk.
 - Assume $R(\theta, T) \leq R_B(\Pi, T)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$. Show that T is minimax.
 - In particular, recover the result that a Bayes estimator of constant risk is minimax.

6. Quantiles

Let X be a real random variable with distribution P_θ given θ , where θ is a real number with prior law Π . Assume P_θ and Π have densities with respect to the Lebesgue measure, denoted $dP_\theta = p_\theta(x)dx$ and $d\Pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta)d\theta$. Let the loss function be, for $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$:

$$\ell(\theta, T) = \begin{cases} k_2(\theta - T), & \text{if } \theta > T, \\ k_1(T - \theta), & \text{if } \theta \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Show that the Bayes estimator for the loss function ℓ is a quantile of the posterior distribution $\mathcal{L}(\theta|X)$. Express this quantile as a function of k_1 and k_2 .

7. The Hodges Phenomenon

Consider, for X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. with law $\mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, the estimator $T_n = \bar{X}$ as well as the modified estimator:

$$S_n = \begin{cases} T_n & \text{if } |T_n| \geq n^{-1/4} \\ 0 & \text{if } |T_n| < n^{-1/4} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Calculate the quadratic risk $R(\theta, T_n) = E_\theta[(T_n - \theta)^2]$ of T_n for all real θ .
(b) Show that for all real θ ,

$$E_\theta[(S_n - \theta)^2] \geq \theta^2 \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \mathcal{N} \left(\theta, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/4}} \right].$$

- (c) Deduce that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \left[-\frac{1}{n^{1/4}}, \frac{1}{n^{1/4}} \right]} n E_\theta[(S_n - \theta)^2] \geq c \sqrt{n}.$$

- (d) Compare the maximal risk of T_n to that of S_n . Is the latter "uniformly good"?

8. Bayesian Estimator of the Loss Function

In the decision-theoretic framework, we choose a decision rule T to minimize the loss $L(\theta, T)$. However, since θ is unknown, the actual loss $L(\theta, T)$ is a random variable (with respect to the posterior distribution). We can therefore consider the problem of *estimating the loss value itself*.

Let standard notation be:

- $\theta \in \Theta$: the parameter.
- $T \in \mathcal{D}$: the decision (estimator of θ).
- $L(\theta, T)$: the loss function for estimating θ .

We introduce a "meta-loss" function \tilde{L} to evaluate an estimator \hat{L} of the loss $L(\theta, T)$:

$$\tilde{L}(\text{True Value, Estimate}) = \left(L(\theta, T) - \hat{L} \right)^2.$$

Question: What is the Bayes estimator of the loss $L(\theta, T)$ under this quadratic meta-loss \tilde{L} ?