UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND

COMS3005: ADVANCED ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Peg Solitaire Backtracking Assignment

October 31, 2017

By Bancroft, E. (879192) And Chalom, J. (711985)

1 Introduction

Our aim for this assignment is to implement a version of the Peg solitaire game and use the backtracking algorithm to find solutions to randomly generated peg positions of the game. We have analyzed the complexity of our solution algorithm and performed empirical analysis on our implementation.

2 Background

Peg Solitaire is a board game which has a number of holes that can be filled with pegs. We have chosen to use the European (French) style of board which has four extra positions for pegs on the board 2. This style has 37 peg holes. The aim of the game is to remove pegs until only one peg remains. There are 3 terminal win states in our chosen configuration 2. Moves are made when pegs jump over a peg and are placed in an open position. Then the peg which has been jumped over, is removed. This move can happen in both horizontal and vertical directions. Its is possible to hit sub-optimal states where there are more pegs left on the board but no possible moves left [2].




Figure 1: Diagram of an European Peg Solitaire Board Where the Black Squares Represent Peg Positions, Green Are Terminal Positions, Grey Are Not Positions, Purple Arrows are Example Moves and White is the Central Pixel.

The backtracking algorithm is similar to a brute force approach to finding solutions to problems but is more systematic. It attempts to follow a logical series of decisions in solving these problems and when a block state occurs the algorithm will backtrack to previous decisions and choose different paths until a terminal (complete) state is reached. The full set of solutions to a problem can be found by continuing to run the algorithm until all paths have been searched but that is not always necessary.

Algorithm 1 Recursive Algorithm (From References [3])

```
1: procedure FINDSOLUTION(start, final, path)
       if start.numPegs <= final.numPegs then</pre>
2:
          return (start = final)
3:
 4:
       else
          for each jump J \in [0,n) x [0,m) x \{NORTH, EAST, SOUTH, WEST\} do
5:
             if J is a legal jump for start then
 6:
                 start.makeMove(J)
 7:
                 path.push(J)
8:
                 found = FindSolution(start, final, path)
9:
                 if found then
10:
                    return TRUE
11:
                 else
12:
                    start.makeReverseMove(J)
13:
                    path.pop()
14:
          \mathbf{return}\ \mathrm{FALSE}
15:
```

2.1 Stack Based Algorithm

The stack based algorithm we used is adapted from the recursive version. The purpose of implementing the stack based algorithm was to compare it's effciency to that of the recursive based algorithm. The recursive algorithm was also expected to be much slower and perhaps unable to test all the given amount of peg positions in a resonable test time.

Algorithm 2 Stack Based Algorithm (Adapted From References [3])

```
1: procedure FINDSOLUTION(start, outPath, totalNumPegs, numValidMoves)
2:
        currentState \leftarrow start
3:
        path \leftarrow start.getMoves()
        numPegs \leftarrow currentState.getNumPegs()
 4:
        found \leftarrow FALSE
5:
       i \leftarrow 1
 6:
 7:
        stackVector.push(path)
 8:
        boardVector.push(currentState)
        while found is FALSE and i \leq numPegs and stackVector.size() > 0 and path.size() > 0
9:
    do
10:
           path \leftarrow stackVector.pop()
           currentState \leftarrow boardVector.pop()
11:
           while currentState.checkGameEnd() != FALSE do
12:
                numPegs \leftarrow currentState.getNumPegs()
13:
14:
               move \leftarrow path.pop()
               if currentState.checkIfMoveValid(move) == TRUE then
15:
                   stackVector.push(path)
16:
17:
                   boardVector.push(currentState)
                   numValidMoves = numValidMoves + 1
18:
                   currentState.makeMove(move)
19:
                   outPath.push(move)
20 \cdot
                   path \leftarrow currentState.getMoves()
21:
22:
                   numPegs \leftarrow currentState.getNumPegs()
23:
           numPegs \leftarrow currentState.getNumPegs()
           if currentState.checkGameWin() == TRUE then
24:
                found = TRUE
25:
26:
        \begin{array}{c} \text{found} = \text{FALSE} \\ \textbf{return} \ \text{currentState} \end{array}
27:
```

3 Implementation

3.1 Technology Used

We made use of c++ 11, its standard libraries and OpenMP to time our results. Our results are saved as comma separated value files which are then processed and graphed by libre office.

3.2 How To Compile and Run

In order to compile and run the code: Go to root folder of the project and run make. Then run ./bin/game.out to run the game.

Command-line Parameters:

```
Usage Example: ./bin/game.out -rb
Random state: ./bin/game.out -rr
Full state: ./bin/game.out -rf
Run Stacked Based Backtracking: -rb
```

Run Recursive Backtracking: -recurse

Test: -t Manual: -m Help: -h

3.3 Our Termination Conditions

Although both algorithms terminate under similar theoretical conditions (no more moves possible or it has reached a win state), due to their different implementations, the terminating conditions are different.

3.3.1 Recursive Implementation

This implementation works very similar to a Depth First Search and will terminate under very similar conditions to a DFS algorithm.

These conditions are:

- Found one of the three win states.
- Cannot backtrack any further the algorithm has returned to the root layer, and therefore no win states are possible so the algorithm will terminate.

3.3.2 Stack Implementation

This implementation works differently from the recursive as it uses a stack of paths to store the progress down a branch of a tree and will simulate backtracks with pops off the stack when reversing a move is required.

The conditions where the stack implementation will terminate are:

- Found one of the three win states.
- If the stack becomes empty this means that there are no move available moves left i.e. there are no win states for these initial conditions.

3.4 Best Case

We decided that it would be helpful to have best case data points for both the recursive and the stack based implementations. These best case game states always include a single path that will result in finding a win state.

However there is a major issue that we ran into regarding the best case state generator. It only worked up to 17 pegs. This due to the way we generate the backwards path. i.e it will start at a win state and try a backward move until either all four directions have been tried and failed or a backward move is possible.

Continuing this pattern the board will be filled with n pegs if n<=17. When we try creating more than 18 points it will fail to find any valid reverse move.

3.5 Problems We Encountered

One of the most notable differences we encountered in our implementations was that the stack implementation was greatly more efficient and speedy in comparison to the recursive implementation. Both returned the correct output but because of the recursive implementation relying on a heap, we only managed to get results for 17 data points (peg configurations).

The stack implementation doesn't use the heap (which the recursive algorithm does), which is internally slow, so therefore allowing the stack implementation's speed to far surpass that of the

recursive implementation. Using the heap is very memory and CPU cycle inefficient.

The vector class in c++ is also much more memory efficient than the built in heap recursion available.

3.6 Experimental Setup

For our experiment we tested with an increasing number of pegs being placed randomly throughout the board.

Each test attempts to find one of the three win states based on the initial state that was generated. This process is timed and the number of pegs that were generated will are recorded.

In the recursive implementation we run each configuration three times because the algorithm we used relies on a final state as an input. Our board configuration has three terminal states. The recorded data is based on either the situation that a win state is found or the last experiment run per configuration.

4 Theoretical Analysis

We assume that our basic operation used for analysis in the backtracking algorithm for peg-solitaire is generating a new state (which is playing a valid move or jumping a peg into a valid empty space - and removing a peg between them). Determining if there are no more moves, and also getting every valid move for a peg are both assumed to take constant time, which is the speed of each conditional by the number of board elements i.e. 49 elements.

The best case complexity of backtracking for peg-solitaire would be the case where only one path needs to be generated for any number of pegs i.e. no backtracks occur because a game win is found at the end of the first path traversed. In this case the complexity is the number of pegs left on the board or the length of the found path. If we assume this number is represented by the variable n, then the best case complexity is O(n).

In the worst case complexity event no game win is possible so every possible path has to be traversed by the algorithm. Each peg has the potential to move in four directions but that is unlikely. However in the worst case the theoretical branching factor with respect to the number of moves is 4. Since each path can be considered to be a branch on a tree data structure and the number of branches is the number of pegs which is assumed to be n. This means that the total search space (game-space) size is 4^n and so the worst case complexity is directly proportional to this number i.e. the worst case complexity is $O(4^n)$. This is the theoretical upper bound for the game.

There are however other components to the complexity which need to be noted. Firstly we do not consider the position of a specific peg to be unique. If we were to consider each peg to be unique than each placement would have a probability of a specific peg being placed there. This would mean that there would be n! placements available for each peg (such as in a Hamiltonian Cycle). Our test set however does not discriminate between pegs so our state space is effectively the number of board locations which is 37 (49 array positions to traverse).

The last important factor to our complexity is some K which represents the time complexity of internal operations associated with our specific implementations. k_1 is the operational cost associated with our recursive implementation as well as other costs such as traversals which we are not counting here. k_2 provides the same information for the stack based method. These two variables replace K depending on which algorithm is being considered. This means that out best case complexity is actually KO(n) and our worst case complexity is $KO(4^n)$ for our respective implementations.

5 Results

Appendix B contains the tables of generated results. The recursive results are fairly flat due to the great different between the timed results between number of steps (number of pegs). However the trend is still between linear and exponential. The results for the stack implementation show a much more pronounced trend. Here the best case time is very close to being linear and the random test (effectively an average test) shows a graph which could be exponential i.e. between the upper and lower bounds previously calculated.

The average available (valid) moves per peg for a given experimental configuration is shown to have an upper bound of 4 and a lower bound of 0.

5.1 Graphs




Figure 2: Timed Results of Number of Pegs Versus Completion Time for Recursive Implementation




Figure 3: Timed Results of Number of Pegs Versus Completion Time for Stack Implementation




Figure 4: Average Available Moves Per Peg at Each Iteration of Stack Based Algorithm

6 Empirical Analysis

The empirical results are shown to be within our calculated bounds (best and worst case complexities). The recursive implementation has many draw backs such as being incredibly slow and in-efficient in terms of computation and memory use. This makes the results hard to interpret. The graph does show a trend which could be described as exponential as with each step (number of initial pegs) the time difference from the last step is great. The recursive version replicates the memory state of the previous recursive step so this kind of performance is expected within c++ as recursion using the heap is not recommended for this kind of large scale state-space search [1].

The stack based implementation shows a potentially exponential graph for its random case and a linear graph for its best case. The linear graph is expected as the algorithm makes use of optimized library classes such as the c++ vector [1]. The random (or approximate average) graph is bounded between the two calculated theoretical complexities and so is feasible. The trend of the graph is hard to determine because of how shifted to the right it is. This graph could be exponential or even somewhat quadratic. It is most likely exponential due to the average number of pegs graph 5.1 showing that for this graph the average number of pegs remains between 3 and 4 so the graph most likely reflects this branching factor. A factor of 4 is enough to shift the graph to the right in a noticeable way.

The position of the initial configurations for the board plays an important role in our results. There are many configurations that are possible but from our experience in running the experiments very few initial configurations will lead to found solutions (win states). This means that the placement of pegs will have an affect on the number and size of the paths which will exist for any given game. This directly effects the time and space complexity.

Our recursive implementation was very slow. An observation was made that many of the sub states of the games being simulated are not unique states. One issue discussed is that the branches found within a game are mutually exclusive since they are based on decisions (moves) that have been made. This does not mean sub-states are unique since the game board is relatively small, discrete and bounded. A mixture of hashing tables and dynamic programming could be used to speed up the played games and make them more efficient by not repeating the same sub-calculations multiple times. This could be done by storing past calculated game-states which may occur more than some threshold amount of times. This will increase memory usage but reduce overall computational time.

7 Conclusion

Peg-solitaire is a game whose solutions are well suited to the backtracking algorithm because of the branching nature of the moves found in the game. Its game states are however quite complex due to the factorial nature of the board placements. The game's results are highly dependant on the initial configuration of the board and the time taken by the backtracking algorithm to find a solution or to terminate has quite a large bounded area due to the non-deterministic nature of knowing how deep a path is or if an initial setup will have a win state.

8 Group Member Contribution

Member	Evan Bancroft 879192	Jason Chalom 711985
Game	75%	25%
Back Tracking Algorithm	50%	50%
Report	25%	75%

Table 1: Contributions of Group Members By Task

Acknowledgements

All drawn diagrams were drawn using http://draw.io/ and charts were made with Libre Office. All the programming was done in c++ using OpenMP for its timing functions.

The lecture on backtracking and our consultation helped us to analyse our results in a meaningful way.

References

- [1] Bronson, G.J. C++ Programming: Principles and Practices for Scientists and Engineers. Cengage Learning, 2012.
- [2] Douglas Wilhelm Harder. Peg solitaire. https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~dwharder/aads/Algorithms/Backtracking/Peg_solitaire/.
- [3] Charles E. Leiserson. Lab 5: Backtracking search. http://courses.csail.mit.edu/6.884/spring10/labs/lab5.pdf, 2010.

Appendix A: Source Code

8.1 Source: backtracking.cpp

```
GameBoard backtracking stack(GameBoard start, vector<vector<int>> &outPath, int &
    totalNumPegs, int &numValidMoves)
  bool found = false;
  GameBoard current;
  std::vector<Move> path;
  start.getMoves(path);
  current.copy(start);
  std::vector<std::vector<Move>> stackVector;
  std::vector<GameBoard> boardVector;
  stackVector.push back(path);
  boardVector.push back(current);
  int numPegs = current.numPegs();
  int i = 1;
  while (found == 0 && i \leq numPegs && stackVector.size() \leq 0 && path.size() \leq 0)
     path = stackVector.back();
     stackVector.pop back();
     current.copy(boardVector.back());
     boardVector.pop back();
     //print stuff
     current.printBoard();
     cout << "back tracked path \t V:" << stackVector.size() << "\tP:" << path.size() <<
     while (!current.checkGameEnd() && numPegs >= 1 && path.size() > 0) // do the path
         till no path left
        numPegs = current.numPegs();
        totalNumPegs += 1;
        Move mov = path.back();
        path.pop\_back();
        if (current.checkIfMoveValid(mov.id, mov.r, mov.c))
           stackVector.push back(path);
           boardVector.push back(current);
           numValidMoves++;
           current.makeMove(mov.id, mov.r, mov.c);
           std::vector<int> coord;
           coord.push\_back(mov.r);
           coord.push back(mov.c);
           coord.push back(mov.id);
           outPath.push back(coord);
           current.getMoves(path);
           numPegs = current.numPegs();
```

```
}
     numPegs = current.numPegs();
     if (current.checkGameWin())
        found = 1;
         // break;
      } else
        found = 0;
         // break;
   }
  return current;
}
bool backtracking_recursive(GameBoard start, GameBoard final, vector<Move> path)
   if (start.numPegs() <= final.numPegs())</pre>
   {
     return start.equals(final);
  else
   {
     std::vector<Move> moves;
     start.getMoves(moves);
     for (Move J : moves)
         if (start.checkIfMoveValid(J.id, J.r, J.c))
           start.makeMove(J.id, J.r, J.c);
           path.push_back(J);
           bool found = backtracking recursive(start, final, path);
           if (found)
              return true;
           else
               start.makeReverseMove(J.id, J.r, J.c);
           path.pop_back();
     return false;
   }
}
GameBoard bestCase(int numPegs)
  GameBoard bc;
  bc.board [0][2] = 1;
   //bc.printBoard();
  int curR = 0;
  int curC = 2;
  for (int i = 1; i < numPegs; ++i)// for each new peg
     int j = 0;
```

```
bool found = false;
while (j < 4 && found == false) // try reverse up, right, down, left
   switch (j)
   {
   case 0: {//reverseUp
      if (\text{curR} < 5)
         curR = curR + 2;
         if (bc.checkIfMoveValidReverse(j, curR, curC))
             bc.makeReverseMove(j, curR, curC);
             found = \mathbf{true};
           else
            curR = curR - 2;
      break;
   {\bf case}\ 1{:}\ \{//{\rm reverseright}
      if (\text{curC} > 1)
         curC = curC - 2;
         \mathbf{if} \ (bc.checkIfMoveValidReverse(j,\,curR,\,curC))
             bc.makeReverseMove(j, curR, curC);
             found = \mathbf{true};
           else
            curC = curC + 2;
      break;
   case 2: {//reversedown
      if (\text{curR} > 1)
         curR=curR-2;
         if (bc.checkIfMoveValidReverse(j, curR, curC))
             bc.makeReverseMove(j, curR, curC);
             found = \mathbf{true};
           else
            curR = curR + 2;
      break;
   case 3: {// reverseleft}
      if (curC < 5)
         curC = curC + 2;
         if (bc.checkIfMoveValidReverse(j, curR, curC))
             bc.makeReverseMove(j, curR, curC);
             found = \mathbf{true};
```

8.2 Source: solitaire board.h

```
/*Class header for the game definitions and rules*/
/*Using the European style board layout
 The European style has no solution if the centre is empty however there are 3 postions
      where a valid solution is viable
 I will be only using 1 of those positions*/
/*Max 36 pegs in play at a time with 1 missing*/
/* Board's dimensions in a square are 7x7*/
/*12 positions are missing from
the square, 3 in each corner in a triangle */
/*Neeed to randomize the peg postions on the board*/
/* -1 repesents out of bounds area (off board),
  0 repesents empty space
   1 repesents a position with a peg
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <cmath>
\#include <time.h>
#include <string>
#include <random>
#include "omp.h"
using namespace std;
class GameBoard
private:
  int row = 7;
  int col = 7;
public:
   /*varibles*/
  std::vector<std::vector<int>> board;
   /*constructors*/
   GameBoard();
   GameBoard(int num pegs);
```

```
/*Functions*/
   int getRow();
   int getCol();
   void setRow(int r);
   void setCol(int c);
   void printBoard();
   int numPegs();
   int numMoves();
  std:: vector{<} std:: vector{<} \textbf{int}{>>} \ getPegs();
   void euroConfig Start();
   void euroConfig Random();
   bool makeMove(int id, int r, int c);
   bool checkIfMoveValid(int id, int r, int c);
   bool checkIfMoveValidReverse(int id, int r, int c);
   void copy(GameBoard gb);
   bool equals(GameBoard gb);
   void getMoves(std::vector<Move> &path);
   bool checkGameEnd();
   bool checkGameWin();
   bool makeReverseMove(int id, int r, int c);
};
GameBoard::GameBoard()// default empty board exept the corners.
//37 0's and 12 (-1)'s
   setRow(row);
   setCol(col);
   //init rows of board
   for (int i = 0; i < getRow(); ++i)
   {
      std :: vector < int > row;
      for (int j = 0; j < getCol(); ++j)
         if ((i == 0) || (i == 6))
            if ((j >= 2) \&\& (j <= 4))
              row.push back(0);
            } else
              row.push back(-1);
         } else if ((i == 1) || (i == 5))
            if ((j >= 1) \&\& (j <= 5))
              row.push\_back(0);
            } else
              row.push back(-1);
```

```
else
            row.push back(0);
      board.push back(row);
}
GameBoard::GameBoard(int num_pegs)//default empty board populated with num_pegs of
    valid pegs at random positions.
//\text{num\_pegs 1's}, 12(-1)'s and (37-\text{num\_pegs}) 0's
   setRow(row);
   setCol(col);
   //init rows of board
   for (int i = 0; i < getRow(); ++i)
   {
     std :: vector < int > row;
      for (int j = 0; j < getCol(); ++j)
         if ((i == 0) || (i == 6))
            if ((j >= 2) \&\& (j <= 4))
               row.push back(0);
            } else
              row.push\_back(-1);
         } else if ((i == 1) || (i == 5))
            if ((j >= 1) \&\& (j <= 5))
               row.push back(0);
             else
               row.push back(-1);
         } else
            row.push\_back(0);
      board.push back(row);
   }
   std::random_device rd;
   std :: mt19937 mt(rd());
   std::uniform_int_distribution < int> row_distribution (0, \text{ row } - 1);
   std::random_device rd2;
   std :: mt19937 mt2(rd2());
   std::uniform int distribution<int> col distribution(0, col - 1);
   for (int i = 0; i < num\_pegs; i++)
```

```
int rnd row = row distribution(mt);
      int rnd col = col distribution(mt2);
      while (!board[rnd row][rnd col] == 0)
          rnd row = row distribution(mt);
          rnd\_col = col\_distribution(mt2);
      board[rnd row][rnd col] = 1;
}
int GameBoard::getRow()
   return row;
int GameBoard::getCol()
   return col;
void GameBoard::setRow(int r)
   row = r;
void GameBoard::setCol(int c)
   col = c;
void GameBoard::printBoard()//Prints the current board to console.
   cout << '\n';
   \mbox{ for } (\mbox{int} \ i \ = 0; \ i \ < (\mbox{int}) \ board.size()\,; \ ++i)
      for (int j = 0; j < (int) board[i]. size(); ++j)
          cout << board[i][j] << ' \t';
      cout << \ensuremath{\,^{\backprime}\!\!}\backslash n\ensuremath{\,^{\backprime}\!\!};
   }
   cout << '\n';
}
int GameBoard::numPegs()//Number of valid pegs on the board
   int count = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < (int) board.size(); ++i)
      for (int j = 0; j < (int) board[i]. size(); ++j)
          if (board[i][j] == 1)
             count = count + 1;
   return count;
}
```

```
int GameBoard::numMoves()//Total possible number of moves
   int numMoves = row * col * numPegs();
   return numMoves;
std::vector<std::vector<std::vector<std::pegs()//Retrieves the coordinates of the pegs.
     pegs[0][0]=r and pegs[0][1]=c of peg 0;
                              //pegs[0][2] is direction id
{
   std:: vector < std:: vector < \textbf{int} >> pegs;
   for (int i = 0; i < row; i++)
      for (int j = 0; j < col; j++)
          \mathbf{if} \ (\mathrm{board}[\mathrm{i}\,][\,\mathrm{j}\,] \ == \ 1)
             std::vector<int> coord;
             coord.push back(i);
             coord.push back(j);
             \operatorname{coord.push\_back}(0);
             pegs.push_back(coord);
   }
   return pegs;
void GameBoard::euroConfig Start()//Standard Configuration config
//36 \text{ 1's} , 1 0 and 12 (-1)'s
   for (int i = 0; i < (int) board.size(); ++i)
       for (int j = 0; j < (int) board[i]. size(); ++j)
          if ((i == 0) \&\& (j == 2))
             board[i][j] = 0;
          else\ if\ (board[i][j] == 0)
             board[i][j] = 1;
   }
}
void GameBoard::euroConfig Random()// creates a random state with random number of pegs
   std::random_device rd;
   std :: mt19937 mt(rd());
   std::uniform int distribution < int > distribution (1, 100);
   for (int i = 0; i < (int) board.size(); ++i)
       \mbox{ for } (\mbox{int } j \, = 0; \ j \, < (\mbox{int}) \ board[i\,]. \ size\,()\,; \ ++j)
          \mathbf{if} \ (\mathrm{board}[\mathrm{i}\,||\,\mathrm{j}\,|\,==0)
```

```
int randNum = distribution(mt);
            board[i][j] = randNum \% 2;
      }
   }
}
bool GameBoard::makeMove(int id, int r, int c) //Will make the move. MUST RUN
    checkIfMoveValid OR SEG-FAULTS!!!!
   \mathbf{switch} (id)
   case 0: \{// up
      board[r][c] = 0;
      board[r-1][c] = 0;
      board[r-2][c] = 1;
      return true;
      break;
   \mathbf{case}\ 1{:}\ {\{//{right}}
      board[r][c] = 0;
      board[r][c + 1] = 0;
      board[r][c + 2] = 1;
      return true;
      break;
   }
   case 2: \{// \text{ down }
      board[r][c] = 0;
      board[r+1][c] = 0;
      board[r + 2][c] = 1;
      return true;
      break;
   }
   case 3: \{//\text{left}
      \mathrm{board}[r][\,c\,]\,=0;
      board[r][c - 1] = 0;
      board[r][c - 2] = 1;
      return true;
      break;
   }
   default: {
      std::cout << "Invalid ID \n";
      return false;
      break;
   }
   }
bool GameBoard::checkIfMoveValid(int id, int r, int c) //Given a direction and a pair of
    coodinates
   will check if that move would be valid
   switch (id)
   case 0: { //up
```

```
if ((r > 1) \&\& (board[r - 2][c] == 0) \&\& (board[r - 1][c] == 1) \&\& (board[r][c] == 1)
          ) // last check might be redundant but be safe
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
   }
   case 1: {//right
      if ((c < 5) \&\& (board[r][c + 2] == 0) \&\& (board[r][c + 1] == 1) \&\& (board[r][c] == 1)
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
  case 2: {//down
      if ((r < 5) \&\& (board[r + 2][c] == 0) \&\& (board[r + 1][c] == 1) \&\& (board[r][c] == 1)
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
   case 3: \{//\text{left}
       \mbox{if } ((c>1) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c-2] == 0) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c-1] == 1) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c] == 1) \\ 
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
      }
   default: {
      std::cout << "Invalid ID \n";
      return false;
      break;
bool GameBoard::checkIfMoveValidReverse(int id, int r, int c) //Given a direction and a pair
    of coodinates
// will check if that reverse move would be valid
```

}

```
{
   switch (id)
   case 0: { //up if ((r > 1) \&\& (board[r - 2][c] == 1) \&\& (board[r - 1][c] == 0) \&\& (board[r][c] == 0)
           ) // last check might be redundant but be safe
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
      }
   }
   case 1: {//right
       \textbf{if} \ \ ((c < 5) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c + 2] == 1) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c + 1] == 0) \ \&\& \ (board[r][c] == 0) \\
      {
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
   case 2: \{//\text{down}\}
      if ((r < 5) \&\& (board[r + 2][c] == 1) \&\& (board[r + 1][c] == 0) \&\& (board[r][c] == 0)
         return true;
         break;
      } else
         return false;
         break;
   }
   case 3: \{//\text{left}
      if ((c > 1) \&\& (board[r][c - 2] == 1) \&\& (board[r][c - 1] == 0) \&\& (board[r][c] == 0)
         return true;
         break;
        else
         return false;
         break;
   default: {
      std::cout << "Invalid ID \n";
      return false;
      break;
   }
}
```

```
void GameBoard::copy(GameBoard gb)
   this->board = gb.board;
bool GameBoard::equals(GameBoard gb)
   bool is Equal = true;
   if (this->board.size() != gb.board.size())
      return false;
   for (int i = 0; i < row; i++)
      if (this->board[i].size() != gb.board[i].size())
         return false;
      isEqual = std::equal(this->board[i].begin(), this->board[i].end(), gb.board[i].begin());
      if (isEqual == false)
         return false;
   }
   return is Equal;
}
void GameBoard::getMoves(std::vector<Move> &path)//pushes all possible moves of valid pegs
     onto the path stack.
   for (int i = 0; i < row; i++)
      \  \  \, \textbf{for} \, \; (\textbf{int} \; \; j \; = 0; \; j \; < col; \; j + +)
         \mathbf{if} \ (\mathrm{board}[\mathrm{i}\,][\,\mathrm{j}\,] \ == \ 1)
            int id = 0;
            Move possible move up(id, i, j);
            path.push back(possible move up);
            id = 2;
            Move possible move down(id, i, j);
            path.push_back(possible_move_down);
            id = 3;
             Move possible move left(id, i, j);
            path.push back(possible move left);
            id = 1;
            Move possible move right(id, i, j);
            path.push back(possible move right);
      }
   }
}
bool GameBoard::checkGameEnd()//Checks the 4 positions (above, below, to the left, to the
    right) of a peg for another peg.
//If one exists then we havnt reached an end state yet as there is still a move possible.
```

```
std::vector < std::vector < int >> pegs = this -> getPegs();
   for (int i = 0; i < (int) pegs. size (); ++i)//for each peg
      if (pegs[i][0] > 0) / can check up
          \mathbf{if} \ (\mathrm{board[pegs[i\ ||0|\ -\ 1][pegs[i\ ||1|]\ ==\ 1)} \\
            return false;
      if (pegs[i][0] < 6) //can check down
         if (\text{board}[\text{pegs}[i]][0] + 1][\text{pegs}[i][1]] == 1)
            return false;
      if (pegs[i][1] > 0) / can check left
         if (board[pegs[i | [0] | pegs[i | [1] -1] == 1)
            return false;
      if (pegs[i][1] < 6) //can check right
         if (board[pegs[i][0]][pegs[i][1] + 1] == 1)
            return false;
   return true;
bool GameBoard::checkGameWin()
   std::vector<std::vector<int>> pegs = this->getPegs();
   // end states for European
   // 3:
          0,2
           1,3
           2,3
   bool state1 = pegs.size() == 1 \&\& this->board[0][2] == 1;
   bool state2 = pegs.size() == 1 \&\& this->board[1][3] == 1;
   bool state3 = pegs.size() == 1 \&\& this->board[2][3] == 1;
   // cout<<"State 1: " <<state1<<"State 2: " <<state2<<"State 3: " <<state3<<endl;
   if (state1 || state2 || state3)
      return true;
   return false;
bool GameBoard::makeReverseMove(int id, int r, int c)
   switch (id)
   case 0: \{// up
      board[r][c] = 1;
      board[r-1][c] = 1;
      board[r - 2][c] = 0;
      return true;
      break;
   }
   case 1: {//right
      board[r][c] = 1;
      board[r][c + 1] = 1;
```

```
board[r][c + 2] = 0;
   return true;
   break;
}
\mathbf{case}\ 2{:}\ \ \{//\ \mathrm{down}
   \mathrm{board}[r][\,c\,]\,=1;
   board[r+1][c] = 1;
   board[r + 2][c] = 0;
   return true;
   break;
}
case 3: \{//\text{left}
   board[r][c] = 1;
   board[r][c - 1] = 1;
   board[r][c - 2] = 0;
   return true;
   break;
default: \{
   std :: cout << "Invalid ID \n";
   return false;
   break;
}
}
```

8.3 Source: move.h

8.4 Source: main.cpp

```
/*
AAA Assignment 2017
Evan Bancroft 879192
Jason Chalom 711985
```

```
*/
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <iostream>
#include <omp.h>
#include <time.h>
#include <cmath>
#include <chrono>
#include "omp.h"
#include "move.h"
#include "helpers.cpp"
#include "solitaire board.h"
#include "backtracking.cpp"
/* Global variables */
#define app name "COMS3005 Assignment 2017"
#define results1 header "amount,path length,time,found"
#define results1_location "./results/results_exp1_stack.csv"
#define results2_header "amount,path length,time,found,end state"
#define results2_location "./results/results_exp2_recurse.csv"
#define results3 header "amount, time, end state"
#define results3 location "./results/results exp3 recurse.csv"
GameBoard gb;
/* Headers */
int main(int argc, char *argv[]);
void test();
void printPath(vector<vector<int>>> path);
void run stack backtracking();
void run recursive backtracking();
void process_args(int argc, char *argv[]);
using namespace std;
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
   print cmd heading(app name);
   process_args(argc, argv);
   /*Board testing*/
   if (argc == 1)
   {
       print usage(argv);
       halt execution();
   return EXIT SUCCESS;
}
void test()
   int numValidMoves = 0;
   int totalNumPegs = 0;
   cout << "TESTS...." << endl;
```

```
GameBoard gb new;
   //gb new.euroConfig Start();
   gb new.board[2][2] = 1;
   gb new.board[3][2] = 1;
   gb new.board[4][3] = 1;
   gb new.board[5][4] = 1;
   gb new.board[3][5] = 1;
   cout << "Result: " << endl;
   gb new.printBoard();
   std::vector<std::vector<int>> path;
   gb_new = backtracking_stack(gb_new, path, totalNumPegs, numValidMoves);
   bool found = gb new.checkGameWin();
   gb new.printBoard();
   int numPegs = gb new.numPegs();
   cout << "amount: " << numPegs << " path length: " << path.size() << endl << "
       Found: " << found << endl;
   printPath(path);
}
void runBestCase(int num)
   cout << "Running experiment 3...\n\n";
   int numValidMoves = 0;
   int totalNumPegs = 0;
   write results to file(results3 location, results3 header, "");
   double total start = omp get wtime();
   for (int i = 1; i <= 36; i = i + 1)
       totalNumPegs = 0;
       int amount = i;
       GameBoard bc = bestCase(amount);;
       std::vector<std::vector<int>> path;
       double start = omp_get_wtime();
       // Add what ever being timed here
       bc = backtracking stack(bc, path, totalNumPegs, numValidMoves);
       double time = omp_get_wtime() - start;
       bool found = bc.checkGameWin();
       // Output results
       cout << "amount: " << amount << " path length: " << path.size() << " time: " <<
            time << " Found: " << found << endl;
       double avgNumPegs = 0.0;
       if (numValidMoves != 0)
       {
           avgNumPegs = (double)totalNumPegs / numValidMoves;
       cout << "AverageNumPegs: " << avgNumPegs << endl; \\
       cout << "totalNumPegs: " << totalNumPegs << "\t numValidMoves: " <<
           numValidMoves << endl << endl;
       // print file line
       ostringstream out;
```

```
out << amount << "," << path.size() << "," << time << "," << found << endl;
       write results to file(results3 location, out.str());
   }
}
void printPath(vector<vector<int>>> path)
   for (int i = 0; i < (int)path.size(); ++i)
       cout << "I: " << i << '\t' << "(" << path[i][2] << "," << path[i][0] << "," <<
           path[i][1] << ")" << std::endl;
}
void run stack backtracking()
   cout << "Running experiment 1...\n\n";
   int numValidMoves = 0;
   int totalNumPegs = 0;
   write results to file(results1 location, results1 header, "");
   double total start = omp get wtime();
   for (int i = 1; i <= 36; i = i + 1)
       totalNumPegs = 0;
       int amount = i;
       GameBoard gb new(i);
       std::vector<std::vector<int>> path;
       double start = omp_get_wtime();
       // Add what ever being timed here
       gb_new = backtracking_stack(gb_new, path, totalNumPegs, numValidMoves);
       double time = omp get wtime() - start;
       bool found = gb new.checkGameWin();
       // Output results
       cout << "amount: " << amount << " path length: " << path.size() << " time: " <<
            time << " Found: " << found << endl;
       double avgNumPegs = 0.0;
       if (numValidMoves != 0)
       {
           avgNumPegs = (double)totalNumPegs / numValidMoves;
       cout << "AverageNumPegs: " << avgNumPegs << endl;
       cout << "totalNumPegs: " << totalNumPegs << "\t numValidMoves: " <<
           numValidMoves << endl << endl;
       // print file line
       ostringstream out;
       out << amount << "," << path.size() << "," << time << "," << avgNumPegs <<
       write results to file(results1 location, out.str());
   }
```

```
double total time = omp get wtime() - total start;
   cout << "\n\time: " << total time << " seconds." << endl;
}
void run recursive backtracking()
   cout << "Running experiment 2 (recursive)...\n\n";
   write_results_to_file(results2_location, results2_header, "");
   double total_start = omp_get_wtime();
   GameBoard final 1;
   final_1.board[0][2] = 1;
   GameBoard final 2;
   final 2.board [1][3] = 1;
   GameBoard final 3;
   final 3.board [2][3] = 1;
   for (int i = 1; i <= 17; i = i + 1)
       int amount = i;
       std::vector<Move> path;
       bool found = false;
       int end state = 1;
       double start = 0.0, time = 0.0;
       GameBoard gb new(amount);
       start = omp_get_wtime();
       // Add what ever being timed here
       found = backtracking_recursive(gb_new, final_1, path);
       time = omp get wtime() - start;
       if (found == false)
       {
           gb new = GameBoard(amount);
           path = std::vector < Move > ();
           start = omp_get_wtime();
           // Add what ever being timed here
           found = backtracking recursive(gb new, final 2, path);
           time = omp get wtime() - start;
           end state = 2;
       }
       if (found == false)
           gb new = GameBoard(amount);
           path = std::vector < Move > ();
           start = omp_get_wtime();
           // Add what ever being timed here
           found = backtracking recursive(gb new, final 3, path);
           time = omp_get_wtime() - start;
           end_state = 3;
       }
       // Output results
       // "amount,number denominations,time,found,end state"
```

```
cout << "amount: " << amount << " path length: " << path.size() << " time: " <<
            time << " Found: " << found << " End State: " << end state << endl <<
            endl;
        // print file line
       ostringstream out;
       out << amount << "," << path.size() << "," << time << "," << found << "," <<
            \quad end \quad state << endl;
        write results to file(results2 location, out.str());
    }
   double total_time = omp_get_wtime() - total_start;
   cout << "\n\ntotal time: " << total time << " seconds." << endl;
}
void process args(int argc, char *argv[])
   for (int i = 1; i < argc; i++)
        string str = string(argv[i]);
        if (contains string(str, "h") || contains string(str, "help"))
        {
           print_usage(argv);
           halt execution();
        }
        if (contains string(str, "-t") || contains string(str, "tests"))
            test();
        if (contains string(str, "-rf") || contains string(str, "run full"))
           gb.euroConfig_Start();
           gb.printBoard();
        if (contains string(str, "-rr") || contains string(str, "run rand") || contains string
            (str, "runr"))
        {
           gb.euroConfig Random();
           gb.printBoard();
        if (contains string(str, "-rb") || contains string(str, "run back") || contains string
            (str, "runb"))
           run stack backtracking();
        if (contains_string(str, "-bc"))
           runBestCase(24);
        if (contains string(str, "-recurse"))
           run recursive backtracking();
```

```
if (contains string(str, "-m") || contains string(str, "manual"))
       int id = 0;
       int x = 0;
       int y = 0;
       cin >> id;
       while (id !=-1)
       {
           cin >> x;
           cin >> y;
           if (gb.checkIfMoveValid(id, x, y))
               cout \ll gb.makeMove(id, x, y) \ll 'n';
           gb.printBoard();
           cout << "Num Pegs:" << gb.numPegs() << '\n';
           cin >> id;
       }
   }
}
```

8.5 Source: helpers.cpp

```
/*Jason Chalom 711985
   Helper Functions 2017
/*Random generator for c++11*/
/* std::mt19937 rng;
   rng.seed(std::random device()());
   std::uniform int distribution<std::mt19937::result type> dist6(0,n); // distribution in
        range [1, 6]*/
\#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <cmath>
#include <time.h>
#include <string>
#include <fstream>
#include <sstream>
#include <random>
#include <algorithm>
#include "omp.h"
using namespace std;
/*MISC*/
int random index(int a, int b);
void halt execution(string message);
void write results to file (std::string filename, std::string results);
void write_results_to_file (std::string filename, std::string header, std::string results);
void print cmd heading(string app name);
```

```
void print usage(char *argv[]);
/*MISC*/
int random index(int a, int b)
   std::random_device rd;
   std :: mt19937 \operatorname{rng}(rd());
   std::uniform int distribution < int > uni(a, b);
   return uni(rng);
}
void halt execution(string message = "")
   cout << message << endl;
   exit(EXIT FAILURE);
}
void write results to file (std::string filename, std::string results)
   ofstream file;
   file \ .open(filename.c\_str(), \ ios :: app);
   file << results;
   file . close();
}
void write results to file (std::string filename, std::string header, std::string results)
   ofstream file;
   file .open(filename.c_str(), ios :: app);
   file << header << results << endl;
   file . close();
}
void print cmd heading(string app name)
   printf("%s\nJason Chalom 711985\nEvan Bancroft 879192\n2017\n\n", app name.c str());
void print usage(char *argv[])
   printf("At least two parameters must be selected.\n\n");
   printf("usage: %s - rr - m n", argv[0]);
   printf("Random state -rr \n");
   printf("Full state -rf \n");
   printf("Run Stacked Based Backtracking -rb\n");
   printf("Run Recursive Backtracking -recurse\n");
   printf("Manual -r \ ");
   printf("Help -h n");
bool contains_string(string input, string str)
   if (input.find(str) != string :: npos) {
     return true;
   return false;
```

}

Appendix B: Results

Amount	Time(Best Case states)	Time(Random states)	Found A Path?	End State
1	0,000012993	0,000007902	0	3
2	0,000013994	0,000007642	0	3
3	0,000014695	0,00000827	0	3
4	0,000045349	0,000008324	0	3
5	0,000017047	0,00006715	0	3
6	0,000017154	0,000045409	0	3
7	0,000035677	0,000019492	0	3
8	0,000136504	0,000223362	0	3
9	0,000953186	0,00031693	0	3
10	0,0377231	0,0249256	0	3
11	0,578075	0,000131526	0	3
12	0,165076	0,00613507	0	3
13	0,00160808	1,3081	0	3
14	2,05269	0,00337623	0	3
15	9,56415	83,1621	1	3
16	0,228359	18,762	0	3
17	314,24	2442,48	0	3

Table 2: Timed Results of Recursive Implementation

Amount	Path Size	Time (Random State)	Time(Best Case State)
1	0	0,000087546	0,000079554
2	1	0,000180635	0,000087919
3	2	0,000088643	0,000111731
4	3	0,000089779	0,000135486
5	4	0,000326819	0,000147682
6	6	0,000095684	0,000334714
7	8	0,000097001	0,000492098
8	9	0,00132629	0,000701262
9	10	0,00198162	0,00102037
10	11	0,00194504	0,00138001
11	12	0,00236243	0,00171943
12	14	0,00278941	0,0016969
13	15	0,00338771	0,00189975
14	16	0,00449822	0,00250172
15	18	0,00444476	0,00323355
16	19	0,00549958	0,00417548
17	20	0,00680899	0,00539972
18	20	0,00917923	0,00540915
19	20	0,00908114	0,00544882
20	20	0,010037	0,00536063
21	20	0,0111601	0,00533272
22	20	0,0128546	0,00537615
23	20	0,0170417	0,00538194
24	20	0,0175451	0,00548215
25	20	0,0212161	0,00533657
26	20	0,0195111	0,00539675
27	20	0,0194284	0,00534268
28	20	0,0238981	0,00536282
29	20	0,0263172	0,00542806
30	20	0,0252291	0,00536498
31	20	0,0283234	0,00533903
32	20	0,0319084	0,00535362
33	20	0,030172	0,00537846
34	20	0,0382437	0,0053947
35	20	0,0450741	0,0053488
36	20	0,0423465	0,00541166

Table 3: Timed Results of Stack Based Implementation

Amount	Average Moves Per Peg (Random case)	Average Moves Per Peg (Best case State)
1	0	0
2	0	4
3	0	2,66667
4	0	2
5	4	1,4
6	4	1,8125
7	3,71429	1,91667
8	3,52941	2,0303
9	3,75	2,27907
10	4	2,37037
11	3,57895	2,39394
12	3,5493	1,875
13	3,37349	1,72632
14	3,20833	1,86486
15	2,89655	1,95349
16	3,375	2,12838
17	3,22759	2,2619
18	3,16981	2,02128
19	2,85057	1,82692
20	3,38798	1,66667
21	3,86667	1,53226
22	3,74775	1,41791
23	3,8247	1,31944
24	3,45763	1,23377
25	3,6129	1,15854
26	3,64308	1,09195
27	3,98834	1,03261
28	3,89474	0,979381
29	3,71163	0,931373
30	3,46309	0,88785
31	3,79381	0,848214
32	3,69925	0,811966
33	3,55862	0,778689
34	3,49762	0,748031
35	3,47977	0,719697
36	3,28937	0,693431

Table 4: Average Available Moves Per Peg for the Stack Implementation