

Computer Science Honours Research Report COMS4044

CS Honours Research Report Marking Guide November 2016

Compiler: Mike Mchunu

Assessment Date: November 22, 2016

Acknowledgement

This Marking Scheme Is Based (With Minor Adjustments) On Professor Ian Sander's Research Report Marking Scheme

Student Number	
Student Name	
Project Title	
Project Supervisor	
Research Report Assessor	
Assessment Date	
Supervisor/Assessor's Mark (%)	

Document Component	Assessment	Allocation	Rating (%)	Awarded (%)
Abstract				
Provides Context	Absent	0.0		
• Idea Of Own Contribution	Very Poor	1.0		
What Will Be Done	Poor	1.5		
• How It Will Be Done	Adequate	2.5	* 1.0	
Can Be Read Independently	Good	3.5		
Measures (metrics) Used and Results	Very Good	4.5		
	Excellent	5.0		
Introduction				
Introduces General Problem Area	Absent	0.0		
Introduces Specific Problem Area	Very Poor	1.0		
 Introduces Research To Be Followed 	Poor	1.5		
 Provides Idea About Expected Results 	Adequate	2.5	* 2.0	
 Provides Idea Of Research Contribution 	Good	3.5		
• Correct Level Of Detail?	Very Good	4.5		
Provides Structure to Rest Of Document	Excellent	5.0		
Background				
Provides Detail On Specific Problem Area	Absent	0.0		
• Level Of Engagement With Research Literature (Low, So-So, High)	Very Poor	1.0		
 Level Of Understanding Of Problem Background (Low, So-So, High) 	Poor	1.5		
 Contains Adequate And Relevant References 	Adequate	2.5	* 2.0	
 Contains Relevant Ideas, Concepts, etc., From References 	Good	3.5		
 Relates Background Material And Related Work To Research Question 	Very Good	4.5		
• Research Aim/Question Justified In Terms Of Past Research	Excellent	5.0		
Research Hypothesis/Question/Aim				
 Has Presented Research Hypothesis/Focussed Research Question 	Absent	0.0		
 Has Clearly Stated Hypothesis/Research Question 	Very Poor	1.0		
 Hypothesis Can Be Tested And Research Question Can Be Answered 	Poor	1.5		
	Adequate	2.5	* 1.0	
	Good	3.5		
	Very Good	4.5		
	Excellent	5.0		
Research Methodology				
• It Is presented	Absent	0.0		
• It Appears To Be A Reasonable Approach	Very Poor	1.0		
It Will Lead To Verification Or Refutation Of Research Hypothesis	Poor	1.5		
• It Will Lead To Answering Of The Research Question	Adequate	2.5	* 4.0	
Student Has Identified Data To Be Collected/Measurements To Be Made	Good	3.5		
Student Has Motivated Why Data Or Measurements Have Been Selected	Very Good	4.5		
Overall, The Research Method Seems To Be Reasonable Out of the Research Method Seems To Be Reasonable Out of the Research Method Seems To Be Reasonable	Excellent	5.0		
Overall, The Research Method Seems To Be Feasible		1		
Results	A 1			
Presented Clearly & Well Organized Enough Collected To Test Hypothesis On Anguer Questions	Absent	0.0		
Enough Collected To Test Hypothesis Or Answer Questions Student Understands Results (Discussion)	Very Poor	1.0		
Student Understands Results (Discussion) Student Use Related Results To Research Hymothesis/Question	Poor	1.5	* (0	
Student Has Related Results To Research Hypothesis/Question Student Has Richard Limitation(s) Of Own Research	Adequate	2.5	* 6.0	
Student Has Discussed Limitation(s) Of Own Research Student Has Placed Own Work Into Context With Other Research	Good	3.5		
Student Has Placed Own Work Into Context With Other Research Student Has Discussed Own Level Of Contribution	Very Good Excellent	4.5 5.0		
	EXCERNI	3.0		
Conclusion A It Provides A Summary Of The Major Points Of The Proposal	Absent	0.0		
 It Provides A Summary Of The Major Points Of The Proposal It Does Summarize The Document 		1.0		
	Very Poor	1		
 It Brings Together The Main Points It Highlights Most Important Results 	Poor	1.5 2.5	* 1.0	
It Highlights Most Important Results It Makes Clear Student's Own Contribution	Adequate Good	3.5	1.0	
Some Ideas For Future Work Presented	Very Good	3.5 4.5		
 Some Discussion Of Other Ways Of Addressing The Same Problem 	Excellent	5.0		
Some Discussion of Other ways of Addressing The Same Problem	Excellent	5.0	<u> </u>	

Document Component	Assessment	Allocation	Rating (%)	Awarded (%)
Presentation				
• The General Layout And "Look" Of The Document Is Acceptable	Absent	0.0		
 The Figures Are Large Enough And The Pages Are Numbered 	Very Poor	1.0		
• The Graphs Are Clear, Well Labelled And Properly Captioned	Poor	1.5		
The Tables Are Well Laid Out And Properly Captioned	Adequate	2.5	* 2.0	
Document Structure Is Acceptable	Good	3.5		
 Document Is Written In Decent English With Correct Spelling 	Very Good	4.5		
Section Breakdown Is Acceptable	Excellent	5.0		
References				
References Are Complete	Absent	0.0		
References Are Accurate	Very Poor	1.0		
References Are Cited In The Approved Style	Poor	1.5		
	Adequate	2.5	* 1.0	
	Good	3.5		
	Very Good	4.5		
	Excellent	5.0		

Total Research Report Mark (Percent))	%
--------------------------------------	---	---