

SEG 2105

Laboratory 2 (handed in as Assignment 1) – Object Oriented Concepts

PART I

POINTCP

Question 3

Please see attached classes PointCP.java, PointCPDesign2.java, PointCPDesign3.java and PointCPDesign6.java.

Question 4

Please see attached classe PointCPTestModified.java

Question 5 (E26, E28 – E30)

Table 1: Advantages and disadvantages

| Classes | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Design 1 (PointCP) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A single class to contain both Cartesian and polar coordinates• A single instantiation form super/parent/calling classes for the use of either polar or Cartesian coordinate | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• An extra memory allocation for typeOfCoordinate, compared compared to other classes• Code slightly more complex since required to use conditional if statements before computing internal public methods |
| Design 2 (PointCPDesign2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• One less memory allocation since no need of variable typeOfCoordinate• Code simpler to read as there is no need of conditional if statement before computing internal public methods (type of coordianate is already known)• Internal public methods may run faster | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Multiple class instantiation from super/parent/calling classes for the use of either polar or Cartesian coordinate |
| Design 3 (PointCPDesign2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Same as design 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Same as design 2 |
| Design 6 (PointCPDesign6) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• An interface which allow uniformity in classes PointCPDesign2 and PointCPDesign2 which implement it | |

Please see attached class PerformanceAnalysis.java

Question 6

A similar methodology is used to test each public method contained in classes PointCP.java, PointCPDesign2.java and PointCPDesign3.java.

Two nested for-loops are used, the inner most loop calling the public method subjected to test for a constant number of iterations (10000000), and the outer most loop repeats the inner most loop a constant number of attempts (50), and stores the time difference taken before and after the execution of the inner most loop. The median time elapsed for a constant number of attempts is then generated, and the variables are reinitialised.

Figure 6.1 illustrate the above explanation, depicting method getX() being tested at inner most loop.

```
point1 = new PointCP('C', rand.nextInt(100), rand.nextInt(100));

//Testing getX()
for(int i=0; i<attempts; i++){
    startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
    for(int j=0; j<ITERATIONS; j++){
        temp = point1.getX();
    }
    endTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
    time += endTime - startTime;
}
timeElapsed = time/attempts ; //median time elapsed after 50 attempts of 10000000 iterations
System.out.println("\nPoint: "+point1+
    "\nMethod: getX() ; Number of iterations: "+
    ITERATIONS+" ; Median time elapsed of "+
    attempts+" attempts: "+timeElapsed+" milliseconds");
timeElapsed = 0.0; //Reinitialises timeElapsed to 0.0
time = 0.0; //Reinitialises time to 0.0
```

Figure 1: Two nested for-loop

Sample outputs from running the test are shown below

```
***** Testing Design 1 *****

***** Initially cartesian coordinate *****

Point: Stored as Cartesian (43.0,52.0)
Method: getX() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 0.98 milliseconds
Method: getY() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 3.94 milliseconds
Method: getRho() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 36.86 milliseconds
Method: getTheta() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 1142.44 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToPolar() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 33.72 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToCartesian() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 32.82 milliseconds
Method: getDistance(PointCP pointB) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 630.0 milliseconds
Method: rotatePoint(double rotation) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 4793.32 milliseconds
***** Initially polar coordinate *****

Point: Stored as Polar [6.0,5.0]
Method: getX() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 624.42 milliseconds
Method: getY() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 549.58 milliseconds
Method: getRho() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 29.12 milliseconds
Method: getTheta() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 33.96 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToPolar() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 33.96 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToCartesian() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 32.98 milliseconds
Method: getDistance(PointCP pointB) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 1836.48 milliseconds
```

Figure 2: Testing class PointCP.java

```

***** Testing Design 2 *****

Point: Stored as Polar (18.0,28.0)

Method: getX() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 24.92 milliseconds
Method: getY() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 633.6 milliseconds
Method: getRho() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 0.0 milliseconds
Method: getTheta() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 0.0 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToPolar() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 71.22 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToCartesian() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 1231.4 milliseconds
Method: getDistance(PointCPDesign2 pointB) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 3777.28 milliseconds
Method: rotatePoint(double rotation) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 5148.74 milliseconds

```

Figure 3: Testing PointCPDesign2.java

```

***** Testing Design 3 *****
Cartesian
Point: Stored as Polar (0.0,0.0)

Method: getX() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 2.82 milliseconds
Method: getY() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 0.0 milliseconds
Method: getRho() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 36.78 milliseconds
Method: getTheta() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 1133.42 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToPolar() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 1176.14 milliseconds
Method: convertStorageToCartesian() ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 69.7 milliseconds
Method: getDistance(PointCPDesign3 pointB) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 620.82 milliseconds
Method: rotatePoint(double rotation) ; Number of iterations: 10000000 ; Median time elapsed of 50 attempts: 4728.54 milliseconds

```

Figure 4: Testing PointCPDesign3.java

A table of the results

Table 2: Time in milliseconds

| Method tested | Time (milliseconds) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Design 1 (PointC P) | Design 2 (PointCPDesig n2) | Design 3 (PointCPDesig n3) | Design 6 (PointCPDesig n6) |
| getX() | 0.98 | 24.92 | 2.82 | N/A |
| getY() | 3.94 | 633.6 | 0.0 | N/A |
| getRho() | 36.86 | 0.0 | 36.78 | N/A |
| getTheta() | 1142.44 | 0.0 | 1133.42 | N/A |
| convertStorageToPolar() | 33.72 | 71.22 | 1176.14 | N/A |
| convertStorageToCartesian() | 32.82 | 1231.4 | 69.7 | N/A |
| getDistance(Point pointB) | 630.0 | 3777.28 | 620.82 | N/A |
| rotatePoint(double rotation) | 4793.32 | 5148.74 | 4728.54 | N/A |

A discussion of the results (time in milliseconds).

Let compare Design 1 and Design 3 since both compute a Cartesian coordinate.

Although methods `getX()`, `convertStorageToPolar()` and `convertStorageToCartesian()` are faster for Design1, Design 3 is faster for all remaining methods, therefore validating the hypothesis made in question 5 (E26) concerning computation speed << Internal public methods may run faster>>.