Problem 3

To arrange these functions, we need to understand how each of them grows as no becomes very large. Big O notation can be used to classify their growth rates

- on2 log_n (a): The function grows faster than n2 but slower than any exponential function.
- · 2 (b): This is an exponential function and grows very fast.
- faster than an expoential function, which grows even
- log_n is less than n for n > 2
- · n²(e): Is a polynomial function, which grows faster than any polynomial function of degree less than 2 but slower than n² logz n

arranging from slowest to fastest

- 1. 12
- 2. nº logzn
- 3. n/082n
- 4.20
- 5.22n

Problem 5

The given recurrence is!

T(n) < 7. T(3) + O(n2)

of the Master theorem: a=7 (the number of sub problems)

T(n)=a.T(=)+f(n)

b = 3 (the factor by which the subproblem size

f(n) = n2 (the cost of divding the problem and combining the results)

The Master theorm provides the asymptotic behavior of T(n) bused on the companison between f(n) and nlossa!

1: Iff(n) = O(nlogba-E) for some e>o, then T(n) = O(nlogba).

2: Iff(n) = O (n logba), then T(n) = O (n logba logn).

3: If f(n) = 52 (nlogbate) for some E > 0 and if af(n) < cf(n) for some C < 1 and sufficiently large 11, then T(n) = O(f(n)).

calculate nosba = nos 7 (used Chat GPT)

The value of log_7 is approximately 1.77, which is less than 2. So we can see that f(n) = n2 grows faster than nlog_37, which implies we're in the 3rd case for Master Theorem.

The third case dicates that if f(n) is polynomially larger than nlegba which is because no grows faster than nlm), and if the regularity condition af(B) < cf(n) is satisfied then T(n) = $\Theta(f(n))$.

To check the regularity condition

7. f(3)=7. (3)= 3.n2

Since 3 11, the regularity candition af (B) 1 cf(n) holds for some c<1

Thus the Moster Theorm, the smallest correct upper bound on the asymptotic running time of the algorithm is!

T(n) = (1)2

Thus the correct answer is (b) O(n2)

Algorithms HW1

problem 6

5,

given: T(n) ET(Jn)+1

If you apply Heralively to see how recurrence on folds

1. T(n) \(T(\sigma n) + 1\)
2. T(\sigma n) \(\lefta T(\sigma 1/4) + 1\)
3. T(\sigma 1/8) \(\lefta T(\sigma 1/8) + 1\)
4. T(\sigma 1/8) \(\lefta T(\sigma 1/6) + 1\)

- each step the exponent is halved,

- but how many times dowe trained antil we get to 2 which is the definition of log base 2

Since we're taking square roots, we're halving the exponent at each step, which is equivalent to taking a log of a log

After taking K steps, the size of the problem is n1/2k, and we want this to be 1.

 $n^{1/2} = 1$ $n = 2^{2}$ $\log_{n}(n) = 2^{k}$

 $K = \log_2(\log_2(n))$

Adding up all the costs at each level of the necursion until the base case T(1) is reached, we get: T(n) < log_2 (log_2(n)) +c

for some constant c, where each level contributes a cost of 1 since at each recusive call we add 1).

This gives us act total running time of;

OClog2 Clog2 (n1)

Thus the smallest correct upper bound on the asymptotic running time of the algorithm 1's (O Cloy log.n)