

	Wann	Thema	zu präsentierende Literatur	Wer
1	16.10.	Einführung	--	TS
2	23.10.	Utterances, Dialog	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alexandersson 1998, pp. 17-18 Heeman & Allen, Abschnitt 1 Meteer 	TS
3	30.10.	Turn-taking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A. & Jefferson, G. A. (1974), 'A simplest systematic for the organization of turn-taking in conversation', <i>Language</i> 50, 696–735. 	
4	6.11.	Grounding I: Adjazenzpaare, Kollaboration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clark, H. H. & Schaefer, E. F. (1987), 'Collaborating on contributions to conversations', <i>Language and Cognitive Processes</i> 2(1), 19–41. <p><u>oder</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clark & Schaefer (1989), 'Contributions to Discourse' 	
5	13.11.	Grounding II: Fehlerkorrektur, Klärungsfragen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hirst et al. (1994), 'Repairing conversational misunderstandings and non-understandings', <i>Speech Communication</i> 15, 213-229. Gabsdil, M. (2003), Clarification in spoken dialogue systems, in 'Proceedings of the 2003 AAAI Spring Symposium. Workshop on Natural Language Generation in Spoken and Written Dialogue', Stanford, USA. Svetlana Stoyanchev, Alex Liu, and Julia Hirschberg. "Towards Natural Clarification Questions in Dialogue Systems" AISB Symposium on "Questions, discourse and dialogue: 20 years after Making it Explicit," April, 2014 	
6	20.11.	Dialogakte	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> review: Austin/Searle DAMSL Dialogaktschema DIT++ Dialogaktschema http://dit.uvt.nl/ 	
7	27.11.	Fragmente	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Schlangen, D., & Lascarides, A. (2003). The interpretation of non-sentential utterances in dialogue. In <i>Proceedings of the 4th SIGdial Workshop on Discourse and Dialogue</i>. Besser, J. & Alexandersson, J. (2007). A comprehensive disfluency model for multi-party interaction. <i>Proceedings of SIGDial</i>. 	
8	4.12.	Multi-party-dialog	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ishizaki, M., & Kato, T. (1998, August). Exploring the characteristics of multi-party dialogues. In <i>Proceedings of the 36th Annual Meeting of the ACL and 17th International Conference on Computational Linguistics-Volume 1</i> (pp. 583-589). Traum, D. (2004). Issues in multiparty dialogues. In <i>Advances in agent communication</i> (pp. 201-211). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 	
9	11.12.	Konzeptionelle Mündlichkeit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Koch, P. & Oesterreicher, W. (1985). Sprache der Nähe–Sprache der Distanz. <i>Romanistisches Jahrbuch</i> 36, 15–43. Dürscheid, C. (2003). Medienkommunikation im Kontinuum von Schriftlichkeit und Mündlichkeit. Theoretische und empirische Probleme. <i>Zeitschrift für angewandte Linguistik</i>, 38, 37–57. 	

10	18.12.	Komputationelle Soziolinguistik	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nguyen, D., Doğruöz, A. S., Rosé, C. P., & de Jong, F. (2016). Computational sociolinguistics: A survey. <i>Computational linguistics</i>. • Tagliamonte, S. A. & Denis, D. (2008). Linguistic ruin? LOL! Instant messaging and teen language. <i>American Speech</i>, 83(1), 3–34. 	
11	8.1.	Fragen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). The Cambridge Grammar of English. <i>Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press</i>, ch. 10.xx. • Huddleston (1994). The contrast between interrogatives and questions. In <i>Journal of Linguistics</i> (30). 	
12	15.1.	Partikel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zimmermann, M. (2011). Discourse particles. <i>Semantics: An international handbook of natural language meaning</i>, 2, 2012-2038. • ... 	
13	22.1.	Hashtags	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scott, Kate (2015) The pragmatics of hashtags : inference and conversational style on Twitter. <i>Journal of Pragmatics</i>, 81, pp. 8-20. ISSN (print) 0378-2166 • Meier, F., Elswiler, D., & Wilson, M. L. (2014). More than liking and bookmarking? towards understanding twitter favouriting behaviour. <i>Proceedings of ICWSM</i>. 	
14	29.1.	Emoji	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schnoebelen, 2012: "Do You Smile with Your Nose? Stylistic Variation in Twitter Emoticons" http://repository.upenn.edu/pwpl/vol18/iss2/14/ • Na'aman, N., Provenza, H., & Montoya, O. (2017). Varying Linguistic Purposes of Emoji in (Twitter) Context. In <i>Proceedings of ACL 2017, Student Research Workshop</i> (pp. 136-141). 	
15	5.2.	Projektpräsentationen		alle

Optionale/alternative Themen:

- Computational social science/Soziolinguistik
- Sprachdialogsysteme: McTear, M. F. (2002). Spoken dialogue technology: enabling the conversational user interface. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 34(1), 90–169.
- Referierende Ausdrücke in Situiereten Dialogen: Eckert, M., & Strube, M. (2000). Dialogue acts, synchronizing units, and anaphora resolution. *Journal of Semantics*, 17(1), 51-89.
- Vorverarbeitung/Säuberung von Social-Media-Daten
- social dialog acts, emotionale Sprache: Klüwer, T. (2011). "I Like Your Shirt"-Dialogue Acts for Enabling Social Talk in Conversational Agents. In *Intelligent Virtual Agents* (pp. 14-27). Springer.
- Cahn, J. E. & Brennan, S. E. (1999). A psychological model of grounding and repair in dialog. In *Proc. Fall 1999 AAAI Symposium on Psychological Models of Communication in Collaborative Systems*.
- Barbara Grosz and Candace L. Sidner Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse *Computational Linguistics*, 12(3), 175-204, 1986
- building a Twitter bot