

## Question 2

Given,

first picture  $g_1$  is taken by adjusting camera lens scene outside ( $f_1$ ) is in focus. reflection off the window surface ( $d_2$ ) will be blurred.

$$g_1 = f_1 + h_2 * f_2 \quad | \quad h_2 - \text{Blur kernel acting on } d_2 - (1)$$

Second picture  $g_2$  is taken by adjusting camera lens scene reflection off window in focus. Camera lens scene outside ( $f_1$ ) will be defocused.

$$g_2 = h_1 * f_1 + f_2 \quad | \quad h_1 - \text{Blur kernel acting on } f_1 - (2)$$

$g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \rightarrow \text{known}$

Apply 2D Fourier transform on (1), (2)

$$G_1(u, v) = F_1(u, v) + H_2(u, v) \cdot f_2(u, v) \quad - (3)$$

$$G_2(u, v) = H_1(u, v) \cdot F_1(u, v) + F_2(u, v) \quad - (4)$$

Solving (3) and (4) we get,

$$f_2(u, v) = \frac{G_2(u, v) - G_1(u, v) H_1(u, v)}{1 - H_1(u, v) H_2(u, v)} \quad - (5)$$

$$f_1(u, v) = \frac{G_1(u, v) - G_2(u, v) H_2(u, v)}{1 - H_1(u, v) H_2(u, v)} \quad - (6)$$

Now we can apply inverse Fourier transform on (5), (6)

to get  $f_2, f_1$  respectively.

The only case above said fails is when denominator is 0.

$$\text{That is } H_1(u,v) \cdot H_2(u,v) = 1$$

If  $H_1(u,v) \cdot H_2(u,v) = 1$  then  $F_1(u,v), F_2(u,v)$

will become undefined which is a contradiction to the fact  $F_1(u,v), F_2(u,v)$  defined.

$\therefore$  Numerator also will become zero since  $F_1(u,v), F_2(u,v)$  are defined.

Even though defined we can't get  $d_1, d_2$  from them.

Cases where we can't find  $d_1, d_2$ :

Since  $h_1, h_2$  are blur kernels, summation over domain is 1

Consider,

$$H_1(0,0) = \sum_x \sum_y h_1(x,y) e^{-j2\pi(0)x/N} \cdot e^{-j2\pi(0)y/N}$$

$$= \sum_x \sum_y h_1(x,y) \quad (\text{Summation over domain})$$

$$= 1$$

$$H_2(0,0) = \sum_x \sum_y h_2(x,y) e^{-j2\pi(0)x/N} \cdot e^{-j2\pi(0)y/N}$$

$$= \sum_x \sum_y h_2(x,y) \quad (\text{Summation over domain})$$

$$= 1$$

$$\therefore \text{we get } H_1(0,0) \cdot H_2(0,0) = 1$$

When  $u=0, v=0$  we can't find  $d_1, d_2$

One more case is when numerator close to zero and denominator is also close to zero.

On this case very small noise in measuring  $g_1$  or  $g_2$  increases the noise in finding  $F_1, F_2$  which implies finding  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  very difficult.