

Intermittently-powered Battery-free Robot

Koen Schaper¹

I. INTRODUCTION

Low cost robotic platforms have been developed to tackle a variety of challenges anonymously. Miniature robots can be used for inspection in difficult to reach places, operating like mobile sensing units. Hardware modularity is a way to make the robot adapt its resources to different environments and sensing operations. By separating out power, computation, motor control and sensing a variety of capabilities can be tested [1], [2], [3]. Microrobots typically use infrared-based neighbor to neighbor distance sensing and communication [4], [2], [3]. While controlling a swarm or collective is mainly accomplished by means of active low power transceivers [1], [2], [3].

Choosing the right locomotion resource can depend on different factors, moving in the most energy efficient way on a particular surface is often the determining factor. On a flat surface, robots commonly use a two-wheeled differential drive design to not only move but allow for steering as well [1], [2]. The GRITSBot does not use conventional DC motors, requiring encoders to estimate their speed. Instead by using stepper motors the speed can be set by changing the delay between steps. Estimating it's position therefore is reduced to simply counting steps [2]. Overall cost can be a decisive factor, therefore the Kilobot uses two vibrating motors for locomotion combined with three thin legs. When the motors are activated the centripetal forces will generate a forward movement, which can be explained using the slip-stick principle [4]. Other locomotion types are biologically inspired, the HARM-VP is small scale piezoelectric driven quadrupled robot[5]. Each leg as two degrees of freedom and move up and down as well as forward and backward.

Typically the operation time is extended by regularly checking the remaining energy in the battery and move to a recharging station before the robot runs out of energy [2], [4]. As an alternative to quickly recharging, the battery can also be swapped automatically when the robot moves into the docking station [6]. Another work shows a robot that is able to swap it's primary battery using a six degree-of-freedom manipulator, used to grab the dead battery and plug it into a wireless recharging charging station [7]. Using direct wireless power to replace or supplement to a batteries energy is shown in [8], however the robot can only operate or recharge while remaining in close proximity to a transmitter. In these cases the robots are highly reliant on an infrastructure to allow for continuous autonomous operation. This can be a severe constraint if the robot moves out of reach or needs to operate in a area where this infrastructure is not present. Persistent operation can also be achieved

by harvesting renewable energy, particularly solar energy to complement to the robots internal energy source. To remove weight from the robot, in [9] the solar energy is used directly without any type of energy buffer. A drawback of of this is that the incoming energy should already greater or equal to the energy required for operation.

To capture the optimal amount of solar energy along the way, a map of the expected solar power can be used to compute the optimal path. To distinguish sunny or shaded two methods are proposed in [10], one being a simple datadriven Gaussian Process and the other estimates the geometry of the environment as a latent variable. Energy aware path planning is commonly used in combination with mission planning. In [11], an analysis of the solar radiation is used to generate a time-optimized motion plan and power schedule using a cascaded particle swarm optimization algorithm. By combining maps of lighting and ground slope a solar-powered robot can be kept illuminated continuously. A connected component analysis is used to plan a optimal route on traversable slopes, as described by [12].

All the previous work assumes that a operation is only possible when there is sufficient energy to complete a task. This research will explore the feasibility of a battery-less robot, allowing persistent operation while having a very small and intermittent source of energy. Intermittently powering robots creates new challenges in control, navigation and collaboration.

II. RELATED WORK

Comparing li-ion batteries with super-capacitors there are some big differences. Super-capacitors do not need any special charging scheme and circuitry for charging, except for overcharging protection. Secondly, super-capacitors do not require any particular current profile, the energy can be stored at any rate and when the energy is required it can be extracted at any power level. Operating a li-ion battery outside of it's recommended operating conditions can severely reduce a batteries lifetime and result in overheating or even explosion of the battery. Batteries will seldom withstand more than one thousand complete charge/discharge cycles. Using super-capacitors under extreme condition's they are not likely to explode but instead rupture. While the biggest disadvantages of super-capacitors is their low energy density and high price, their lifetime is typically hundred thousands of charge/discharge cycles.

Li-Ion Battery-Supercapacitor Hybrid Storage System for a Long Lifetime, Photovoltaic-Based Wireless Sensor Network [13] Reincarnation in the Ambiance: Devices and Networks with Energy Harvesting [14]

Fully programmable RFID platforms have been developed to exploring the combination of sensing, computation and communication, while allowing battery-less operation by harvesting RF energy [15]. The amount of energy collected from RF signals is very small and decreases with the distance of the device to the transmitter. The harvested energy is typically stored in a capacitor, where larger capacitors can buffer more energy and smaller capacitors have the advantage of shorter charge times [16]. For longer, complex operations the energy budget needs to be evaluated carefully. To store the energy an appropriate size storage capacitor needs to be selected [17].

III. DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

IV. ROBOT DESIGN

A. Computation and Sensing

The robot is designed around a modified WISP 5.0, utilizing the processor and backscatter communication. It's based around a Texas Instruments MSP430FR5969 ultra low power microcontroller, operating at 16 MHz and featuring 64 KB FRAM, 2 KB SRAM and 40 IO.

The mainboard is the platform that connects everything together. Most reference designs are extendable but this adds complexity, while weight and size are the main constraints of this robot design. All the sensors can be interfaced through a I2C connection. To conserve as much power as possible each sensor can be enabled and disabled using dedicated pins.

For avoidance of obstacles, a Maxim Integrated MAX44000 proximity sensor was added to the robot facing forward. This sensor can measure the amount of ambient light as well. In addition the robot has a Bosch BMG250 MemS Gyroscope to measure yaw angle change and correct when necessary.

Because the WISP has only limited accessible GPIO ports available, an Texas Instruments TCA9538 8-Bit I2C I/O Expander is used to interface with both Texas Instruments DRV8836 Dual Low-Voltage H-Bridges. Each H-Bridge requires 5 input connections, one for enabling the device and four connections for control. The I/O Expander is used to supply an interface to the control connections of the H-Bridges, in turn controlling the current through the coils of the stepper motors.

B. Locomotion

Mounted directly under the mainboard of the IPR, two stepper motors can be found in differential drive configuration. An additional single front leg acts as third point of support. The IPR is too small to install wheel encoders, while small stepper motors are commonly used in digital camera's. This design was inspired by the GRITSBot [2].

The direction of current flow through each of the coil pairs of stepper motor controls the rotation direction. The bipolar stepper motor used, requires current to be pulsed through each of the four connections, in a fixed pattern, in order to rotate it forward or backward. The outputs of the MCU cannot supply enough current to drive a bipolar stepper motor a dual H-bridge is required, one H-bridge for each coil. The

H-bridge controls the precise stepping of the stepper motor by allowing to control the current direction through each coil. Stepper motors can be operated in different excitation modes, half-step, one-phase and two-phase full step.

For the robot one-phase full step is used because it energizes one of the two coils at a time. This way the stepper only consumes less current compared to half-step and two-phase full step. The buck converter can only supply 110mA of current, when a single coil is enabled each motor consumes approximately 40mA of constant current.

The current through the coils is constant, so the faster the stepper motor changes step the more energy can be transformed into forward movement. Increasing the rotational speed of the stepper motor decreases the torque output of the motor. Therefore the speed is limited by the amount of torque required to overcome the rolling resistance of the wheels. The main factor that influences the rolling resistance is the weight of the robot and the surface it's driving on.

The wheels are laser cut from PMMA (Polymethyl methacrylate) and are press-fitted on the axles of the motors. Wire shrink is used to create a very basic tire, to give the wheels some extra grip on the flat surface.

C. Energy Harvesting

Photovoltaic cells used for indoor and outdoor energy harvesting, commonly have a different spectral sensitivity depending on the nature of their sources. Solar cells used in indoor applications need to have a high spectral sensitivity in the range of visible light (400 to 700nm). While for outdoor applications have a spectral sensitivity range can be broader, including near infrared (500 nm to 1100 nm). Triple junction solar cells harvest in some cases up to 50% of their energy out of the near infrared range.

The solar energy harvesting system is based around a Texas Instruments BQ25570. It includes a nano power boost charger with maximum power point tracking to extract the optimal amount of energy from the solar panel. This harvested energy is stored in a 22mF - 4.5V supercapacitor from AVX, chosen for its low leakage current and small size. The energy stored in supercap is a function of the capacitance and voltage difference between the plates, being equal to:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}CV^2 \quad (1)$$

However, to be able to use the energy stored in the capacitor efficiently a voltage regulator is required to supply a stable voltage to the connected loads. The regulated output voltage is a lower threshold of the energy that can be used from the capacitor, rewriting Equation 1 results in the following equation:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}C(V_{max} - V_{min})^2 \quad (2)$$

The Texas Instruments BQ25570 has a buck converter to efficiently regulate the capacitor voltage down to a system voltage of 2.2 Volt.

TABLE I

AN COMPARISON OF SMALL ROBOTIC PLATFORMS

Robot	Cost	Scalability	Odometry	Sensors	Locomotion	Size [cm]	Weight [g]	Battery life [h]
IPR	TBD	charge, program	stepper motors	distance	wheel, 3cm/s	3	15	0.0001
mROBerTO [3]	\$60 ¹	charge, program	non/external	light, range, gyro, camera, accel., compass, distance, bearing	motor shaft, 15cm/s	1.5	??	1.5
Zooids [18]	\$50	??	none/external	position, touch	wheel, 50cm/s	2.6	12	1-2
GRITSBot [2]	\$50 ¹	charge, program, calibrate	stepper motors	distance, earing, 3d accel., 3d gyro	wheel 25cm/s	3	??	1-5
Kilobot [4]	\$50 ¹	charge, program	other agents	distance, ambient light	vibration, 1cm/s	3.3	??	3-24
TinyTerp [1]	\$50	none	none/external	3d gyro, 3d accel.	wheel, 50cm/s	1.8	??	1

¹ Cost of parts

D. Software

V. LOCALIZATION ALGORITHM

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To find the maximum speed at which the stepper motor can turn the speed is increased until the robot is not able to move forward with every step.

Running the stepper motors at maximum speed will mean that there is always one of the coils is energized. By increasing the delay between successive pulses, decreasing the duty cycle, the motor speed can be reduced.

Open loop motion control of a robot often results in undesired drift in the position estimation. Often being a result of unbalances or misalignment in the construction of the robot.

Stepper motors have high torque at low speeds, which allows them to accelerate hard from standstill. Combining this with non-uniform drag of the wheels can result in one wheel slipping more than the other and the robot making an unwanted turn. Secondly a possible error can come from misalignment of the stator coil energized and the rotor. This can only happen when the wheels are moved, not by the motor, after 4 steps the stator and rotor of both motors should be in sync again.

A measure for the angular error can be described by the Abbe Error, the magnification of the angular error over distance.

$$E = L * \sin(\theta) \quad (3)$$

To find the position estimation error, the robot was put to the test.

VII. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Sabelhaus, D. Mirsky, L. M. Hill, N. C. Martins, and S. Bergbreiter, "TinyTeRP: A tiny terrestrial robotic platform with modular sensing," in *Proc. IEEE ICRA*, Karlsruhe, Germany, May 6–10, 2013, pp. 2600–2605.
- [2] D. Pickem, M. Lee, and M. Egerstedt, "The GRITSBot in its natural habitat - a multi-robot testbed," in *Proc. IEEE ICRA*, Seattle, WA, USA, May 26–30, 2015, pp. 4062–4067.
- [3] J. Y. Kim, T. Colaco, Z. Kashino, G. Nejat, and B. Benhabib, "mROBerTO: A modular millirobot for swarm-behavior studies," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ IROS*, Daejeon, Korea, Oct. 9–14, 2016, pp. 2109–2114.
- [4] M. Rubenstein, C. Ahler, and R. Nagpal, "Kilobot: A low cost scalable robot system for collective behaviors," in *Proc. IEEE ICRA*, Saint Paul, MN, USA, May 14–18, 2012, pp. 3293–3298.
- [5] A. T. Baisch and R. J. Wood, "Pop-up assembly of a quadrupedal ambulatory microrobot," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ IROS*, Tokyo, Japan, Nov. 3–7, 2013, pp. 1518–1524.
- [6] N. K. Ure, G. Chowdhary, T. Toksoz, J. P. How, M. A. Vavrina, and J. Vian, "An automated battery management system to enable persistent missions with multiple aerial vehicles," *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 275–286, Feb. 2015.
- [7] J. Zhang, G. Song, Y. Li, G. Qiao, and Z. Li, "Battery swapping and wireless charging for a home robot system with remote human assistance," *IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 747–755, Nov. 2013.
- [8] M. Karpelson, B. H. Waters, B. Goldberg, B. Mahoney, O. Ozcan, A. Baisch, P.-M. Meyitang, J. R. Smith, and R. J. Wood, "A wirelessly powered, biologically inspired ambulatory microrobot," in *Proc. IEEE ICRA*, Hong Kong, China, May 31 – Nov. 4 2014, pp. 2384–2391.
- [9] R. Brhwiler, B. Goldberg, N. Doshi, O. Ozcan, N. Jafferis, M. Karpelson, and R. J. Wood, "Feedback control of a legged microrobot with on-board sensing," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ IROS*, Hamburg, Germany, Sept 28 – Oct. 2 2015, pp. 5727–5733.
- [10] P. A. Plonski, J. Vander Hook, and V. Isler, "Environment and solar map construction for solar-powered mobile systems," *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 70–82, Feb. 2016.
- [11] A. Kaplan, N. Kingry, J. Van Den Top, K. Patel, R. Dai, and D. Grymin, "Motion planning for persistent traveling solar-powered unmanned ground vehicles," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ IROS*, Daejeon, Korea, Oct. 9–14, 2016, pp. 3212–3218.
- [12] N. D. Otten, H. L. Jones, D. S. Wettergreen, and W. L. Whittaker, "Planning routes of continuous illumination and traversable slope using connected component analysis," in *Proc. IEEE ICRA*, Seattle, WA, USA, May 26–30, 2015, pp. 3953–3958.
- [13] F. Ongaro, S. Saggini, and P. Mattavelli, "Li-ion battery-supercapacitor hybrid storage system for a long lifetime, photovoltaic-based wireless sensor network," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 3944–3952, Sep. 2012.
- [14] R. V. Prasad, S. Devasenapathy, V. S. Rao, and J. Vazifehdan, "Reincarnation in the ambience: Devices and networks with energy harvesting," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 195–213, First Quarter 2014.
- [15] A. P. Sample, D. J. Yeager, P. S. Powlledge, A. V. Mamishev, and J. R. Smith, "Design of an rfid-based battery-free programmable sensing platform," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 2608–2615, Nov. 2008.

- [16] J. Gummeson, S. S. Clark, K. Fu, and D. Ganesan, "On the limits of effective hybrid micro-energy harvesting on mobile CRFID sensors," in *Proc. ACM MobiSys*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Jun. 15–18, 2010, pp. 195–208.
- [17] S. Naderiparizi, A. N. Parks, Z. Kapetanovic, B. Ransford, and J. R. Smith, "Wispcam: A battery-free rfid camera," in *Proc. IEEE RFID*, San Diego, CA, USA, Apr. 15–17, 2015, pp. 166–173.
- [18] M. Le Goc, L. H. Kim, A. Parsaei, J.-D. Fekete, P. Dragicevic, and S. Follmer, "Zooids: Building blocks for swarm user interfaces," in *Proc. ACM UIST*, Tokyo, Japan, Oct. 16–19, 2016, pp. 97–109.