

Page:

Date: / /

ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

NAME: TUFAIL IRSHAD

Rollno: MCA-21-04

Subject: DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

DATE : 04-04-2022

ASSIGNMENT On:

METHODS OF PROOF:

DIRECT PROOF

INDIRECT PROOF

MATHEMATICAL INDUCTION

SUBMITTED TO:

Dr. MUZAFFER RASOOL

* METHODS OF PROOF

- Direct Proof.
- Indirect Proof.
- Mathematical Induction.

Direct Proof:- A direct proof is one of the most familiar forms of proof. We use it to prove statements of the form "if P then q " or " p implies q ". Which we can write as $p \Rightarrow q$. The method of the proof is to take an original statement p , which we assume to be true, and use it to show directly that another statement q is true. So a direct proof has the following steps.

- Assume the statement p is true.
- Use what we know about p and other facts as necessary to deduce that another statement q is true, that is $p \Rightarrow q$ is true.

Example.

Directly prove that if m is an odd integer then m^2 is also an odd integer.

Solution.

that n is an odd integer, then by definition $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . We will now use this to show that n^2 is also an odd integer.

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 &= (2k+1)^2 \\ &= (2k+1)(2k+1) \\ &= 4k^2 + 2k + 2k + 1 \\ &= 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \\ &= 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $n = 2k + 1$

Hence we have shown that n^2 has the form of an odd integer since $2k^2 + 2k$ is an integer.

Therefore we have shown that $p \Rightarrow q$ and so we have completed our proof.

Example 2.

Let a, b and c be integers, directly prove that if a divides b and a divides c then a also divides $b+c$.

Solution.

Let a, b and c be integers and assume that a divides b and a divides c , by definition, there is some integer k such that $b = ak$. Also as a divides c , by definition, there is some integer l such that $c = al$. Note that we use different letters k and l to stand for the integers because we don't know

if b and c are equal or not. We will now use these two facts to get our conclusion. So,

$$b+c = (ak) + (al)$$

by our definition of b and c

$$= a(k+l)$$

Hence a divides $b+c$ since $k+l$ is an integer.

Indirect proof :- Proof by Contrapositive:

An important proof technique called the indirect method follows from the tautology $P(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow ((\neg q) \rightarrow (\neg p))$. This states that an implication is equivalent to its contrapositive. Thus to prove $p \rightarrow q$ indirectly, we assume that q is false (the statement $\neg q$) and show that p is then false (the statement $\neg p$).

Example.

Let m be an integer. Prove that if m^2 is odd, then m is odd.

Solution:

Let $p: m^2$ is odd and $q: m$ is odd. We have to prove that $p \rightarrow q$ is true. Instead we prove the contrapositive, $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$. Thus suppose that m is not odd. So that m is even. Then $m = 2k$, where k is an integer. We have $m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$, so m^2 is even. We thus show that if m is even, then m^2 is even,

Which is the contrapositive of the given statement.
Hence the given statement has been proved.

Proof by contradiction:-

This is another important proof technique. This method is based on the tautology $((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q)) \rightarrow (\neg p)$.
Thus the rule of inference

$$\begin{array}{l} P \\ \vdash p \rightarrow q \\ \neg q \\ \therefore \neg p \end{array}$$

is valid. Informally, this ~~stat~~ states that, if a statement p implies a false statement q , then p must be false.

This is often applied to the case where q is an absurdity or contradiction, that is, a statement that is always false. An example is given by taking q as the contradiction $\perp \wedge (\neg \perp)$. Thus any statement that implies a contradiction must be false.

In order to use proof by contradiction, suppose we wish to show that a statement q logically follows from statements p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m . Assume that $\neg q$ is true (that is, q is false) as an extra hypothesis and that p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are also true. If this enlarged hypothesis $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_m, \neg q$ implies a contradiction, then at least one of the statements $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, \neg q$ must be false. This means that if all the p_i 's are true, then $\neg q$ must be false, so q must be true. Thus q follows from p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m . This is proved by contradiction.

Example:-

Prove that there is no rational number p/q whose square is 2. In other words, show that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Solution: This statement is a good candidate for proof by Contradiction, because we could not check all possible rational numbers to demonstrate that none had a square of 2.

Assume $(p/q)^2 = 2$ for some integers p and q , which have no common factors. If the original choice of p/q is not in lowest terms, we can replace it with its equivalent lowest-term form. Then $p^2 = 2q^2$, so p^2 is even. This implies that p is even, since the square of an odd is odd.

Thus $p=2q$, $p=2m$ for some integer m . We see that $2q^2 = p^2 = (2m)^2 = 4m^2$, so $q^2 = 2m^2$. Thus q^2 is even, and so q is even. We now have that both p and q are even and therefore have a common factor of 2. This is Contradiction to the assumption. Thus the assumption must be false.

Mathematical Induction :-

Mathematical Induction is a proof technique. Suppose that the statement to be proved can be put in the form $\forall m \geq m_0 P(m)$, where m_0 is some fixed integer. That is, suppose that we wish to show that $P(m)$ is true for all $m \geq m_0$. The following result shows how this can be done. Suppose that (a) $P(m_0)$ is true and (b) if $P(k)$ is true for some $k \geq m_0$, then $P(k+1)$ must also be true. Then $P(m)$ is true for all $m \geq m_0$. This result is called the principle of mathematical induction. Thus to prove the truth of a statement $\forall m \geq m_0 P(m)$ using the principle of mathematical induction, we must begin by proving directly that the first proposition $P(m_0)$ is true. This is called the basis step of the induction and is generally very easy.

Then we must prove $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is a tautology for any choice of $k \geq m_0$. Since the only case where an implication is false is if the antecedent is true and the consequent is false, this step is usually done by showing that, if $P(k)$ were true, then $(P(k+1))$ would also have to be true. Note that this is not the same as assuming that $P(k)$ is true for some value of k . This step is called the induction step, and some work will usually be required to show that the implication is always true.

Example :-

Show by mathematical induction that, for all $m \geq 1$, $1+2+3+\dots+m = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$

Solution :- Let $P(m)$ be the predicate $1+2+3+\dots+m = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$

In this example, $m_0 = 1$.

Basis step:

We must first show that $P(1)$ is true.

$P(1)$ is the statement

$$1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1(2)}{2}$$

$= 1$, which is clearly true.

INDUCTION STEP:

We must now show that for $k \geq 1$, if $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k+1)$ must also be true.

We assume that for some fixed $k \geq 1$,

$$1+2+3+\dots+k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \quad (i)$$

We now wish to show the truth of $P(k+1)$:

$$1+2+3+\dots+(k+1) = \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)}{2}$$

The left hand side of $P(k+1)$ can be written as $1+2+3+\dots+k+(k+1)$, and we have

$$(1+2+3+\dots+k)+(k+1) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1)$$

using (i) to replace $1+2+\dots+k$.

$$= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 2(k+1)$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)}{2}$$

= the right hand side of $P(k+1)$.

Thus we have shown that the left hand side of $P(k+1)$ equals the right-hand side of $P(k+1)$.

By the principle of mathematical induction, it follows that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 1$.

Example: Use mathematical induction to prove the inequality.

$m < 2^n$
for all positive integers m .

Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition " $m < 2^n$ ".

Basis STEP:

$P(1)$ is true, since $1 < 2^1 = 2$.

INDUCTIVE STEP:

Assume that $P(k)$ is true for the positive integer k . That is, assume that $k < 2^k$.

We need to show that $P(k+1)$ is true. That is, we need to show that $k+1 < 2^{k+1}$. Adding 1 to both sides of $k < 2^k$, and then noting that $1 \leq 2^k$, gives

$$k+1 < 2^k + 1 < 2^k + 2^k = 2^{k+1}$$

We have shown that $P(k+1)$ is true, namely, that $k+1 < 2^{k+1}$, based on the assumption that $P(k)$ is true. The induction step is complete.

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, it has been shown that $m < 2^n$ is true for all positive integers m .