

## Homework 4: Multivariate Normal &amp; Sum of Independent Random Variables

**Submission Guidelines:** For Problems 1 and 2, please turn in your write-up after the class on 12/23. For Problems 3 and 4, please briefly summarize your observation in a technical report (no more than 2 pages), compress your report and source code into one .zip file, and submit the compressed file via E3.

**Problem 1 (Strong Law of Large Numbers)**

(15 points)

Consider two sequences of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  defined on the same sample space. Suppose that  $X_n$  converges to  $a$  and  $Y_n$  converges to  $b$ , almost surely. Show that  $X_n + Y_n$  converges to  $a + b$ , almost surely. (Hint: Consider two events  $A, B$  defined as  $A = \{\omega : X_n(\omega) \text{ does not converge to } a\}$  and  $B = \{\omega : Y_n(\omega) \text{ does not converge to } b\}$ )

**Problem 2 (Concentration Inequalities)**

(10+15=25 points)

(a) Suppose that  $X$  is a random variable with  $E[X] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}[X] = \sigma^2$ . What does Chebyshev's inequality say about  $P(X > \mu + \epsilon)$ ?

(b) Imagine we have an algorithm for solving some decision problem (e.g., is a given number a prime?). Suppose that the algorithm makes a decision at random and returns the correct answer with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \delta$ , for some  $\delta > 0$  (which is just a bit better than a random guess). To improve the performance, we run the algorithm  $N$  times and take the majority vote. Show that, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , the answer is correct with probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$ , as long as  $N \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \ln(2/\epsilon)$ . (Hint: For each  $i$ , define  $X_i$  to be a Bernoulli random variable for which  $X_i = 1$  when the algorithm returns the correct answer at the  $i$ -th trial. Under majority vote, we know that if the final answer is incorrect, then  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N \leq N/2$ . Use the negative part of Hoeffding's inequality. This scheme is usually called "boosting randomized algorithms.")

**Problem 3 (Multivariate Normal for Regression)**

(15+25=40 points)

One interesting application of multivariate normal (MVN) random variables is to solve regression tasks. In this problem, you will implement a simple MVN-based predictor that predicts the outputs of the testing queries based on the training data. Specifically, let  $D_{\text{train}} = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  be the training dataset and let  $D_{\text{test}} = \{(x_{N+1}, y_{N+1}), \dots, (x_{N+M}, y_{N+M})\}$  be the testing queries. The goal is to predict  $\{y_{N+1}, \dots, y_{N+M}\}$  that correspond to  $\{x_{N+1}, \dots, x_{N+M}\}$ .

(a) As a prep work, please show the following property that we discussed in class: Let  $Z_1, Z_2$  be a pair of bivariate normal random variable with mean  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , variance  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2$ , and correlation coefficient  $\rho$ . Show that conditioned on that  $Z_1 = z_1$ , the conditional distribution of  $Z_2$  is normal with mean  $\mu_2 + \frac{\rho\sigma_2(z_1 - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}$  and variance  $(1 - \rho^2)\sigma_2^2$ .

(b) The property in (a) can be extended to the multivariate normal case. Suppose that for every  $k \in \{N+1, \dots, N+M\}$ ,  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_N, Y_k\}$  is multivariate normal with mean vector  $\mu = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N, \mu_k]^\top$  and a  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , where the covariance between  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  (denoted by  $\Sigma_{i,j}$ ) has the following form:

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_f^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - x_j)^2}{2\ell^2}\right) + \sigma^2 \delta_{i,j}, \forall i, j,$$

where  $\sigma_f$  is a scale factor,  $\ell$  is called the lengthscale,  $\sigma^2$  is some positive constant (usually called the noise parameter), and  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the delta function (i.e.  $\delta_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and  $\delta_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ). Given that  $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_N = y_N$ , it can be shown that the conditional distribution of  $Y_k$  is normal with mean  $K(x_k, x_{1:N})[K(x_{1:N}, x_{1:N}) + \sigma^2 I]^{-1} y_{1:N}$  and variance  $K(x_k, x_k) - K(x_k, x_{1:N})[K(x_{1:N}, x_{1:N}) + \sigma^2 I]^{-1} K(x_{1:N}, x_k)$ , where

- $K(x_k, x_{1:N}) = [\Sigma_{k,1}, \dots, \Sigma_{k,N}]$  is a  $1 \times N$  vector.
- $K(x_{1:N}, x_{1:N})$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix with the  $(i, j)$ -th entry equal to  $\Sigma_{i,j}$ .
- $I$  is an identity matrix of size  $N \times N$ .

- $K(x_{1:N}, x_k)$  is the transpose of  $K(x_k, x_{1:N})$ .
- $y_{1:N} = [y_1, \dots, y_N]^\top$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector.

Based on the above conditional distribution, please write a program (e.g. in Python or MATLAB) to find the predictive distributions of the outputs of the test query points  $\{x_{N+1}, \dots, x_{N+M}\}$ . What is the prediction result of the testing dataset under  $\sigma_f = 1, \sigma = 0.1, \ell = 0.06$ ? What is the prediction result of the testing dataset if  $\ell$  is set to be 0.2 instead?

#### Problem 4 (Monte Carlo Method for Integration)

(15+15=30 points)

In probability research, one common task is to find the expected value of a function that depends on a random variable. If the random variable is continuous, then calculating such an expected value may involve a complex integral that has no simple closed-form expression. In this scenario, one work-around is to leverage Monte Carlo method to find an approximate answer.

(a) In this subproblem, you will be asked to tackle the following integral: Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Define another random variable  $Y = \cos(Z) + \sin(2Z)$ . Our goal is to find out the expected value of  $Y$  using Monte Carlo method. Specifically, let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  be  $n$  independent numbers drawn from a standard normal distribution. Then,

$$E[Y] = \int (\cos(z) + \sin(2z)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\cos(z_i) + \sin(2z_i)).$$

Please write a short program (either in Python or MATLAB) to implement the above procedure. Suppose we set  $n = 10^3$  and repeat the same estimation procedure for 20 times. What are the estimation results? What if we reconfigure  $n = 10^5$  and again repeat the same estimation procedure for 20 times. Do you observe any differences in the estimation results?

(b) Define a closed region  $A = \{(x, y) : x^2 + 2y^2 \leq 1\}$ . Our goal is to approximately compute the area of the region  $A$  (denoted by  $\Delta_A$ ) using Monte Carlo integration. To begin with, we may write  $\Delta_A$  as

$$\Delta_A = \int_A dx dy.$$

To apply Monte Carlo method, we consider two independent random variables  $X \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1)$ . Then, the joint PDF of  $X$  and  $Y$  (denoted by  $f_{XY}(x, y)$ ) is  $1/4$  for any  $x \in [-1, 1], y \in [-1, 1]$  and is 0 elsewhere. Accordingly, we have

$$\Delta_A = 4 \cdot P((X, Y) \in A) = 4 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \mathbb{I}_{\{(x, y) \in A\}} f_{XY}(x, y) dx dy \approx 4 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{(x_i, y_i) \in A\}},$$

where each  $(x_i, y_i)$  is an independent sample from the joint distribution  $f_{XY}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{\{\cdot\}}$  is the indicator function. Therefore,  $\Delta_A$  can be approximated by counting the number of random points that fall in the region  $A$ . Please write a short program (either in Python or MATLAB) to implement the above procedure. What are your estimates of  $\Delta_A$  under  $n = 10^3, 10^5$ , and  $10^7$ ?