

# WHITE PAPER

## P2P Accounting Reputation N.O.D.- Platform

### PYGMALION

Contour of Comprehension

Pygmalion / K.R.I.S.T.A.L.L.

2026

#### **1. Anthropological Shift: From cost to value**

For a long time, humans have been described through the prism of exchange. Their actions, knowledge, and time were viewed as resources subject to evaluation, comparison, and accumulation. In this logic, value emerged not at the moment of action but as a result of measurement — through cost, equivalent, or indicator.

However, a significant part of human participation remains outside such systems. Attention, care, mentoring, presence — all that forms the fabric of shared life leaves no trace in statistics. These actions do not disappear but become invisible to architectures oriented towards accumulation.

The anthropological shift begins with recognizing this gap. Worth does not derive from value and does not need confirmation through exchange. It exists in the very act of participation — in what was done, noticed, and transferred without coercion.

This shift does not propose a new measurement scale. It changes the reference point:

- From result to presence
- From accumulation to act
- From evaluation to choice

A human ceases to be a function of the accounting system and returns to the role of a participant whose action has meaning in itself.

In this framework, worth does not increase or decrease. It manifests or remains unrecorded — depending on whether there exists a space capable of accepting it without transforming it into capital. The White Paper begins precisely with this distinction.

## **2. Participant's Subjectivity and Open Key (O.K.)**

In current accounting systems, humans act as objects. Their presence is recorded through accounts, profiles, or identifiers created and maintained by an external structure. Responsibility for action is unevenly distributed: control and interpretation belong to the architecture, not the participant.

In Pythagoras' logic, the starting point is different. The participant does not register or get accepted by the system. They establish their presence independently by creating a unique numerical form that does not belong to the NOD.- Platform and is not revoked by it.

This form is the Open Key (O.K.). The O.K. is not a document, token, or certificate. It does not confirm rights or provide access. Its function is to record the fact of accepting responsibility for one's numerical trace without any obligation.

The principle of voluntariness is acceptable.

The O.K. does not hide or protect. It is not intended for anonymization or privacy. It introduces the participant into a space where action is linked to a numerical identity and remains visible within the context of the accounting NOD.- System "K."

Such subjectivity does not guarantee "good" behavior. It does not filter intentions or evaluate motivation. The O.K. eliminates the possibility of shifting responsibility onto rules, interfaces, or algorithms.

The O.K. is an interface of self-trust. It fixes the boundary: everything in the NOD.- System occurs on behalf of the participant and remains with them — without intermediaries, interpreters, or external arbitration.

Subjectivity is not issued or confirmed. It arises at the moment of action and is maintained by the participant through the sequence of their actions, through A.U.

### 3. «Accounting Unit» (A.U.) as an Act of Recognition

In most systems, the *accounting unit* is a derivative of exchange. It records cost, debt, result, or contribution subject to comparison, summation, and circulation. Intangible forms of participation, falling into this logic, turn into indicators.

In the accounting NOD.- System «K.R.I.S.T.A.L.L.», **A.U.** has a different nature. It does not represent value, does not measure contribution, does not express an equivalent. A.U. records the fact of recognition — the moment when one participant's attention is directed towards the action, presence, or participation of another.

A.U. is not a reward. It does not imply a response, obligation, or continuation. The transfer completes the act of recognition without launching a chain of expectations.

A.U. emission is a daily right of the participant. It does not depend on merits, status, or activity. It is limited not by scarcity but by «numerical restraint». A.U. cannot be accumulated, preserved, or transferred. If no transfer occurs, the unit disappears.

The burning mechanism eliminates the transformation of gratitude into a resource. Recognition does not become capital, a rating, or evidence. It either happened or did not. A.U. is closer to a gesture than to an accounting sign.

A.U. carries no value and is not subject to trade. Attempts to interpret it as a resource equivalent remain outside the canon of the NOD.- Platform «P./ K.» and are not reinforced by the architecture.

The Accounting Unit records not the result but the presence. It leaves a trace without turning it into an accumulation object. It is a record of what was noticed and recognized — without consequences beyond the fact of recording.

#### **4. ro.DAG Architecture: Living Registry of Connections**

Traditional ledgers are built around records and validation. The value of a record is confirmed by an external mechanism: issuer, consensus, arbiter, or computational procedure. This requires a center — even a distributed one.

In the NOD.- System «P. / K.», **ro.DAG** (Reputation-Oriented Directed Acyclic Graph) is used. Each new action embeds into the network of existing connections rather than adding to a chain.

A.U. transfer confirms context. A new act refers to previous ones, forming a structure of mutual confirmations. Reputation exists as a configuration of connections, not as a number.

The graph is alive: nodes confirm the authenticity of precedents through connections, not through computations. Forgery or simulation does not receive continuation — it remains isolated without punishments or blockings.

The absence of a linear chain eliminates mining and competition for recording. The NOD.- System does not encourage speed, volume, or frequency. It records only what was recognized by the participant and integrated into the network.

ro.DAG is not a transaction archive. It maintains the form of collective memory without turning into capital or an instrument for profit extraction.

The architecture supports the principle of the NOD.- System «K.»: the trace is visible, value is not measured, accounting does not become management.

## **5. Numerical Restraint. Number as Limit, Not Incentive**

In most digital systems, numbers are used as accelerators. They encourage activity, enhance competition, form hierarchies, and turn participation into a race of indicators. More equals «better». This logic leads to simulation and meaning erosion.

In the NOD.- System, numbers serve a different function. They do not stimulate growth or serve as a measure of value. Numbers are introduced as limits protecting attention and returning weight to each action.

Numerical restraint limits noise. Limiting the number of recognition acts makes each one rare and irreversible. A.U. transfer requires choice and presence — numbers prevent automatic action.

Restraint is not punishment or behavior assessment. It does not distinguish between «good» and «bad», does not amplify «correct» or suppress «undesirable». Its function is to stop reproduction of actions without attention.

Without restraint, action loses value. Numbers become noise, «participation» becomes background. The limit returns the distinction between what happened and what was noticed.

Restraint eliminates influence accumulation through frequency. Activity, persistence, strategy do not provide advantage. The system rewards not those who act more but those whose actions are recognized.

*Number does not lead forward. It holds.*

## **6. Space Without Accounting. Where Action Does Not Become Obligation**

Any accounting system carries the risk of substitution: action turns into expectation, recognition into norm, participation into obligation.

The Pythagoras architecture records a principle that does not derive from mechanisms and does not depend on system operation.

## **There exist spaces without accounting.**

These are zones of human life where action is not subject to mandatory recording, interpretation, or evaluation. Voluntary work, care, assistance, attention, and knowledge transfer do not form debt here and do not create response requirements.

The NOD.- System does not require recognition of such actions — and has no right to demand it.

If an act of recognition (A.U.) occurs — it remains voluntary. If it does not occur — the action is not considered lost or inferior.

The space without accounting takes precedence over system mechanisms. *The NOD.- System blinds itself in these zones* — not because it cannot record, but because recording here would destroy freedom.

No subsystem of the NOD.- Platform:

- does not require voluntary participation,
- does not stimulate it with hidden incentives,
- does not interpret refusal as deviation or violation.

The principle is an existential emergency brake. It fixes the boundary beyond which accounting is unacceptable, even if technically possible.

The existence of «spaces without accounting»: makes trust possible, prevents exploitation under the guise of recognition, preserves action's freedom.

«Space without accounting» is not optimized. It is either respected — or the system loses its noonomic character.

## 7. HCBMT as a Navigation Environment. Social Mirrors, LOOK and FACE

In the NOD.- System, behavior is not managed through rules, sanctions, or goals.

HCBMT (Human-Centric Behavior Management Technology) does not set direction or correct results. It forms a navigation environment where the participant sees action consequences without external interpretation.

HCBMT does not manage humans. It eliminates the need to manage.

The main mechanism is social mirrors. They reflect the trace form without comment or scale. ro.DAG connections become mirrors: the participant sees how the action integrates into the network.

Two reflection levels are distinguished:

**FACE** — current action configuration. The visible form of presence «here and now», formed from a sequence of acts. The Face changes with each action, having no achievement status.

**LOOK** — stable trajectory. Forms from repetition, pauses, and restraint. The LOOK manifests over time as a form of participation discernible without numerical indicators.

The relationship between LOOK and FACE is not expressed by a formula. Frequency does not accelerate LOOK formation. Restraint and pause have equal weight to action.

HCBMT does not suggest self-correction. It creates conditions where self-observation is possible, and responsibility is not delegated to interface, algorithm, or norm.

**MIRROR** (for everyone) does not punish or reward. It does not stimulate change. It provides reflection not of reality but of recorded — this is sufficient.

HCBMT stops working in spaces without accounting. It is inactive where recording does not occur voluntarily.

*The navigation environment maintains presence of one's own trace.*

## **8. Social Meridians and Absence of Center. Responsibility Without Hierarchy**

Most systems strive for a center. The center simplifies management, accelerates decisions, allows interpreting events on behalf of the whole. The price is responsibility alienation.

In the NOD.- System «P./ K.», the center is absent as a principle. No participant, body, or protocol represents the system entirely, interprets goals, or directs development.

Management is replaced by social meridians — lines of responsibility. A meridian forms around a cause, territory, or form of participation, as an intersection of ro.DAG connections. It does not require top approval.

Meridians do not form hierarchies. They intersect, diverge, disappear upon loss of relevance. None has priority.

Self-organization forms — unions, councils, groups — arise from direct participation. No one delegates authority to the system. Responsibility is accepted directly.

The principle «You are the master in your city» fixes the boundary: decisions are made by those concerned, without appeal to the center.

Center absence excludes scaling through subordination. Growth is not a goal and is not optimized. Expansion occurs only through principle reproduction.

The system does not strive for unity. It allows multiple forms, rhythms, ways of participation — while respecting voluntariness, restraint, and responsibility.

Without a center, it is impossible to shift blame, expectation, or hope. Responsibility remains with the participant and the community formed by action.



## 9. MVP as a Principle Demonstration. Executable Artifact Instead of Proof

MVP is not a completed system. It is a form of verifying possibility — a space where the principles of the NOD.- System are experienced rather than explained.

MVP is not a product. The two versions are not intended for scaling, optimization, or use. They do not prove effectiveness or confirm hypotheses.

MVP is an executable artifact. It allows going through a chain of acts: from intention to action, from recording to reflection, from presence to trace — without money, obligations, or control.

Demonstration occurs through a sequence of Acts. Each Act is self-contained and does not require continuation. Completion is not evaluated, stored, or compared.

MVP does not persuade or retain. It does not explain meaning before experience and does not interpret results afterward. If the experience takes place — that is sufficient. If not — the system does not draw conclusions.

The absence of requirements, metrics, and feedback is a conscious limitation. MVP does not collect data, form profiles, or produce analytics.

MVP performs the function of the White Paper in a different way. The White Paper outlines the contour of comprehension. MVP sets the boundary of experience — a chain of acts as recording a trace without turning it into capital.

The system is considered complete not by acceptance but by the possibility to leave without consequences. At this point, the White Paper ends.

What follows is not described — it either happens or does not happen in the participant's action.

**«If the experience takes place — that is sufficient.»**