

Annual Progress Review

Thomas William Boughen



Newcastle University

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics

1 Introduction

Since the aim is to gain understanding about the behaviour of the degree distribution of networks at the right tail, it seems natural to look to using methods from extreme value theory.

2 Extreme Value Theory

This section begins with a review of the theory and methodology for modelling the extreme values of continuous random variables, before moving to considerations for modelling the extreme values of discrete random variables.

2.1 Continuous Extremes

Studying the properties of the extreme values of a random variable first requires determining what exactly is considered to be an extreme value. In this section extreme values of two kinds are considered, both of which can be characterised.

The first kind of extreme value considers the distribution of block maxima. That is, for a set of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with common cumulative density function (cdf) F what is the limiting distribution of $M_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$?

Clearly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the block maxima M_n converges almost surely to the right endpoint of F . However, standardising the block maxima allows for some characterisation of the limiting distribution.

Theorem 2.1.1 (Fisher–Tippett–Gnedenko Theorem). *With $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$ and $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\frac{1}{a_n} [M_n - b_n] \leq x \right) = G(x),$$

for some non-degenerate G .

Then F is said to be in the (maximum) domain of attraction of G , denoted $F \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, and G is of one of three types:

- *Gumbel:* $\Lambda(x) = \exp\{-\exp(-x)\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- *Fréchet:* $\Phi_\alpha(x) = \exp\{-x^{-\alpha}\}$, $x \geq 0, \alpha > 0$
- *Negative-Weibull:* $\Psi_\alpha(x) = \exp\{-x^{-\alpha}\}$, $x < 0, \alpha > 0$

Each of these three types defines a domain of attraction.

Definition 2.1.1 (Domains of Attraction). The three domains of attraction that result from Theorem 2.1.1 have the following equivalent conditions:

For a distribution with cdf F and survival function \bar{F} that has right endpoint x_F given by:

$$x_F = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} : F(x) < 1\}$$

the distribution belongs to each domain of attraction subject to the conditions below:

If there exists a positive function a - Type I/Gumbel/ $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$:

$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_F} \frac{\bar{F}(x + ta(x))}{\bar{F}(x)} = e^{-t}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

If $x_F = \infty$ - Type II/Fréchet/ $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(tx)}{\bar{F}(x)} = x^{-\alpha}, \quad \forall t > 0 \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0$$

If $x_F < \infty$:

- Type III/Negative-Weibull/ $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha)$:

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\bar{F}(x_F - xh)}{\bar{F}(x_F - h)} = x^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0$$

The parameter α in Definition 2.1.1 and Theorem 2.1.1 is called the extreme value index.

Here, distributions in the Gumbel domain are referred to as light tailed, distributions in the Negative-Weibull domain are referred to as short tailed, and those in the Fréchet are referred to as heavy tailed. This terminology for heavy tailed distributions in different of some of the literature that defined a heavy tailed distribution as one that decays slower than exponential. However the terminology used here is also widely used.

Throughout this report functions will be referred to as regularly varying or slowly varying, what is meant by this is formally deined below:

Definition 2.1.2 (Regular Variation). A positive, real valued, measurable function f is said to be regularly varying at infinity with index γ if for all $t > 0$:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(x)} = x^\gamma.$$

If $\gamma = 0$, then f is instead said to be slowly varying at infinity.

Note that the condition for a distribution to belong to the Fréchet domain of attraction is equivalent to saying that the survival function \bar{F} is regularly varying with index $-\alpha$.

In addition to heavy tailed distributions it is also useful to define what will be referred to as super heavy tailed distributions. This term is often just refers to specific distributions such as the log-Cauchy, log-Gamma, and log-Weibull distributions but Fraga Alves, Haan, and Neves (2009) provides a more precise definition below:

Definition 2.1.3 (Super Heavy Tails). A distribution is with survival function \bar{F} is said to have super heavy tails if:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(tx)}{\bar{F}(x)} = 1, \quad \forall t > 0$$

That is, a distribution is called super heavy if its survival function is slowly varying.

The three main types of extremal distribution (Gumbel, Fréchet and Negative-Weibull) can be united into one distribution, called the Generalised Extreme Value (GEV) distribution.

Definition 2.1.4 (Generalised Extreme Value Distribution). Denoted by $\text{GEV}(\mu, \sigma, \xi)$ the distribution is characterised by three parameters $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ the location, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the scale, and the shape $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. It has support on $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 1 + \xi(x - \mu)/\sigma > 0\}$ and has cdf given by:

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \exp \left\{ - \left(1 + \frac{\xi(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \right)_+^{-1/\xi} \right\}, & \xi \neq 0 \\ \exp \left\{ - \exp \left(- \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \right\}, & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

The three types of extremal distribution are obtained from changing the shape parameter ξ , which corresponds to $1/\alpha$ in Theorem 2.1.1. This change is generally made so that the largest ξ corresponds to heavier tails of the distribution. Specifically, $\xi < 0$, $\xi = 0$, $\xi > 0$, correspond to the negative Weibull, Gumbel and the Fréchet domains of attraction respectively.

Another kind of extreme values are the observations above a large threshold, like the limiting distribution of block maxima, the limiting distribution of these extreme values can be characterised by the generalised pareto (GP) distribution.

Definition 2.1.5 (Generalised Pareto Distribution). Consider a random variable X with the same cdf F as in Theorem 2.1.1, the Generalised Pareto (GP) distribution can be obtained by using the GEV distribution and conditional probability such that for large enough threshold the GP distribution approximately describes the conditional distribution of threshold exceedances. More precisely, for sufficiently large threshold u and the change of variable to $Y = X - u$:

$$\Pr(Y \leq y | Y > 0) = H(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\xi y}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}, & y > 0, \xi \neq 0 \\ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{y}{\sigma}\right), & y > 0, \xi = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since this distribution was obtained using a $\text{GEV}(\mu, \sigma^*, \xi)$ the shape parameter ξ is identical in both distributions and the shape parameter σ is defined such that $\sigma = \sigma^* + \xi(u - \mu)$.

It is also possible to derive the result without using the GEV, as shown in [REF].

2.2 Discrete Extremes

A lot of Section 2.1 is appropriate only for continuous random variables and some of the results may not hold in a discrete setting. In particular, a continuous distribution F being in certain domain of attraction may not necessarily imply that a discretisation of F remains in that domain of attraction.

Definition 2.2.1 (Discretisation). The discretisation of a distribution with cdf F is given by

$$F^*(n) = F(n) - F(n-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Shimura (2012) provides conditions for a discretisation of a continuous distribution to belong to the same domain of attraction. In particular the following theorem which corresponds to Theorem 1 in Shimura (2012).

Theorem 2.2.1 (Domain of attraction consistency).

- (a) Every discretisation of distribution in $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ remains in $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$.
- (b) The discretisation of a distribution remains in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ if and only if the original is in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}$.

Where \mathcal{L} is the set of long-tailed distributions that have the property:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x+1)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 1$$

In addition Shimura (2012) introduces a quantity useful for determining the domain of a attraction that a discrete distribution belongs to.

Definition 2.2.2 (Omega Function). For a distribution F with survival function \overline{F} and some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let:

$$\Omega(F, n) = \left(\log \frac{\overline{F}(n+1)}{\overline{F}(n+2)} \right)^{-1} - \left(\log \frac{\overline{F}(n)}{\overline{F}(n+1)} \right)^{-1}$$

This quantity plays an important role in Section 4 when determining the domain of attraction to which the degree distribution of a network generative model belongs.

Applying ideas from Section 2.1 to modelling discrete random variables has been approached from many different directions. What follows is an overview of some of the approaches that have been taken but will see use in this report.

Hitz, Davis, and Samorodnitsky (2024) note that using the GP distribution as an approximation in a discrete setting leads to bias in the likelihood function and can lead to it being inadequate for modelling. They propose two other peaks over threshold methods that rely on parametric families of discrete distributions. The first, what they refer to as the discrete generalised Pareto approximation is based on an extension of the discrete survival function. The second, the generalised Zipf distribution is obtained from an extension of the probability

mass function. Both methods are motivated theoretically for modelling of a large class of discrete distributions and are shown in the paper to either match or outperform using the GP to model discrete data directly.

Ahmad, Gaetan, and Naveau (2022) first introduce an extended GP distribution, a continuous distribution that extends the idea of obtaining GP values from a probability integral transform (PIT) of $U(0, 1)$ draws and instead considers a PIT of draws from any distribution on $(0, 1)$ such as a beta distribution. This distribution is then discretised into their discrete extended GP distribution.

2.3 Modelling

The results from Section 2.1 allow the GEV and GP to be fitted to the block maxima and exceedances respectively. Typically, when fitting the GP, a sufficiently high threshold needs to be specified beforehand. [COLES] provides some empirical methods for specifying the threshold, one approach is to use a threshold stability plot that uses maximum likelihood to estimate the parameters of the GP for a large range of thresholds. The threshold can be chosen as the point across all of the plots after which the values of the parameters seems stable.

Another more recent approach shown in [MACDONALD 2012], uses a spliced threshold mixture to model the threshold exceedances where one distribution is assumed for the bulk of the data and the GP is used for those values above the threshold. This approach can also be applied in the discrete setting, and is what is used in Section 4.

3 Networks

Networks are the data sources that the results from Section 2 will be used to analyse. Networks appear across a wide range of fields when attempting to represent complex systems and the relationships between the components within them.

This section will begin with an introduction to the basics of networks and working with them in mathematics and probability, including the concept of degree distribution. Then, a look at a few network generation models and limiting results for the degree distributions of the networks they generate.

3.1 Mathematical Definitions

Throughout this section, graphs constructed from vertices and edges will be used as an analogue for these networks, so it is appropriate to begin with some mathematical definitions for exactly what that means.

Definition 3.1.1 (Graph). A graph $G = (V, E)$ is constructed from a vertex set V and an edge set E . The edge set can take on one of two forms depending on if the graph is directed or un-directed. If the graph is directed then $E \subseteq V^2$ i.e the edge set is contained within the set of ordered pairs of vertices, whereas if the graph is **un-directed** then $E \subseteq [V]^2$, where

$$[V]^2 = \{\{u, v\} : u, v \in V\}$$

i.e. the edge set is contained within the set of un-ordered pairs of vertices.

Throughout this section and the next the concept of a vertices “degree” will come up, and in fact the main focus of Section 4 is the degree distribution of networks.

Definition 3.1.2 (Degree of un-directed graphs). For an un-directed graph a vertex’s degree denoted $d(v)$ for $v \in V$ is the number of edges that are connected to vertex v :

$$d(v) = |\{e \in E : v \in e\}|$$

Definition 3.1.3 (Degree of directed graphs). Directed graphs have something analogous, called the in-degree d_{in} , out-degree d_{out} and total degree d_{tot} . The in-degree of a vertex v is the number edges with endpoint at v , whereas the out-degree is the number of edges with start point at v and the total degree is the sum of these i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{in}(v) &= |\{(w_1, w_2) \in E : w_2 = v\}| \\ d_{out}(v) &= |\{(w_1, w_2) \in E : w_1 = v\}| \\ d_{tot}(v) &= d_{in}(v) + d_{out}(v) \end{aligned}$$

There are many reasons to analyse network like data, one of which is to gain an insight into the mechanics that governed the growth of the network. The next sub-section is focused on presenting several network generative models increasing in generality.

3.2 Network Generative Models

The models in this section begin with the most general considered in this report, and then two special cases of this model are introduced.

General Preferential Attachment (GPA)

Under this model, at each time step one vertex is added to the network and brings an edge with it that connects the existing vertices with a probability proportional to some function of the vertices' degrees.

Definition 3.2.1 (General Preferential Attachment Model). Starting with a graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1) = (\{1, \dots, m_0\}, \emptyset)$, at each following time step $t > 1$ the graph is denoted by $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ and is generated by repeating:

1. **Growth:** Add a new vertex to the vertex set i.e.

$$V_t = V_{t-1} \cup \{t\}$$

2. **Preferential Attachment:** Add $m \leq m_0$ edges connecting the new vertex to those already in the graph selected at random proportional to a function of their degree(minus one)¹ i.e.:

$$E_t = E_{t-1} \cup \tilde{E}$$

where $\tilde{E} = \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_m\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i = \{t, \tilde{v}_i\}$ for $\tilde{v}_i \sim \text{Cat}(V_{t-1}, P)$

$$P = \left\{ \frac{g(k_v - 1)}{\sum_{w \in V_{t-1}} g(k_w - 1)} : v \in V_{t-1} \right\}$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$, which will be referred to as the preferential attachment function

Expected Degree Distribution

In [GPA REF] the expected degree distribution for $m = 1$ was calculated in terms of the preferential attachment function does not have a general explicit form. It is defined as follows, let λ^* be the solution, if it exists, to:

$$1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{g(i)}{g(i) + \lambda}$$

then the expected degree distribution resulting from the GPA model has pmf:

$$f(k) = \frac{\lambda^*}{g(k) + \lambda^*} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{g(i)}{g(i) + \lambda^*}$$

Barabási-Albert (BA)

The first special case is the Barabási-Albert model, which is equivalent to setting the preferential attachment function g to be the identity function $g(k) = k$

This model defined in Barabási and Albert (1999) and also very closely related to the Yule-Simon process from [YS REF] changes the attachment mechanism from being purely uniform on the vertices already in the network to being random with a probability proportional to the degrees of the vertices in the network.

Definition 3.2.2 (Barabási-Albert Model). Starting with a graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ where $V_1 = \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ and $E_1 = \{\{v\} : v \in V_1\}$ i.e a graph with m_0 vertices with one self-loop each. At each time step $t > 1$ the graph denoted by $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ is generated by repeating the following:

1. **Growth:** Add a new vertex to the vertex set i.e.

$$V_t = V_{t-1} \cup \{t\}$$

2. **Preferential Attachment:** Add $m \leq m_0$ edges between the new vertex and those already in the graph with probability proportional to each vertices degree i.e:

¹The probabilities are proportional to the degree minus one to align with the results from [GPA REF]

$$E_t = E_{t-1} \cup \tilde{E}$$

where $\tilde{E} = \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_m\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i = \{t, \tilde{v}_i\}$ for $\tilde{v}_i \sim \text{Cat}(V_{t-1}, P)$

$$P = \left\{ \frac{d(v)}{\sum_{w \in V_{t-1}} d(w)} : v \in V_{t-1} \right\}$$

Expected Degree Distriubtion

In the same paper (Barabási and Albert (1999)) it was shown that for large values of t the expected degree distribution for this model is approximately:

$$f(k) = \frac{2m^2t}{m_0 + t} k^{-3} \approx 2m^2 k^{-3}, \quad k \geq m$$

This is clearly a regularly varying function and therefore in in the Fréchet domain of attraction $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_2)$.

Uniform Attachment (UA)

The final special case presented here is obtained from setting the preferential attachment function g to be some constant value.

Definition 3.2.3 (Uniform Attachment Model). Start with a graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1) = (\{1, \dots, m_0\}, \emptyset)$, at each time step $t > 1$ the graph is denoted by $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ and generated by repeating the following two steps:

1. **Growth:** Add a new vertex to the vertex set i.e.

$$V_t = V_{t-1} \cup \{t\}$$

2. **Attachment:** Add $m \leq m_0$ random edges between the new vertex and those already in the graph i.e.

$$E_t = E_{t-1} \cup \tilde{E}$$

where $\tilde{E} = \{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_m\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i = \{t, \tilde{v}_i\}$ and $\tilde{v}_i \sim U(V_{t-1})$.

Expected Degree Distribution

As showing in [REF] the expected degree distribution of this model for large values of t is:

$$f(k) = \frac{e}{m} \exp\left(-\frac{k}{m}\right), \quad k \geq m$$

Since this distribution has exponential form, it is in the Gumbel domain of attraction.

4 Methods

As mentioned in Section 2.3, the method used here to model the extreme values of the data will be a spliced threshold mixture. Specifically, it will be a spliced threshold mixture of a power law and a discretisation of the generalised pareto distribution similar to what is defined in [ROHRBECK].

Definition 4.0.1 (Integral Generalised Pareto Distribution (IGP)). Consider a random variable X with cdf F , and consider the random variable $Y = \lfloor X \rfloor$. From Definition 2.1.5, $X|X > u \sim GP(\sigma, \xi)$ for some sufficiently large $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and it can be obtained that the distribution of $Y|Y > u$ has distribution defined below:

$$\Pr(Y = y > Y > u) = \left(1 + \frac{\xi(y + 1 - \lceil u \rceil)}{\sigma_0 + \xi \lceil u \rceil}\right)_+^{-1/\xi} - \left(1 + \frac{\xi(y - \lceil u \rceil)}{\sigma_0 + \xi \lceil u \rceil}\right)_+^{-1/\xi}$$

For $y = \lceil u \rceil, \lceil u \rceil + 1, \dots$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u, \sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Since the some degree distributions of real networks seen in [FIG] seem to be approximately linear for the bulk of the data and then begin to change, the spliced threshold mixture that will be used consists of a truncated discrete power law for the bulk of the data and a GP above a threshold.

Definition 4.0.2 (Power-Law IGP Distribution).

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (1 - \phi) \frac{y^{-(\alpha+1)}}{\sum_{k=1}^v}, & y = 1, 2, \dots, v \\ \phi \left[\left(1 + \frac{\xi(y + 1 - v)}{\sigma_0 + \xi v}\right)_+^{-1/\xi} - \left(1 + \frac{\xi(y - v)}{\sigma_0 + \xi v}\right)_+^{-1/\xi} \right], & y = v + 1, v + 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

4.1 Fitting model to real data

4.2 GPA analyses

4.3 Conclusion and a Conjecture

5 Next Steps

References

- Ahmad, Touqeer, Carlo Gaetan, and Philippe Naveau. 2022. “Modelling of Discrete Extremes Through Extended Versions of Discrete Generalized Pareto Distribution.” *ArXiv e-Prints*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.15253>.
- Barabási, Albert-László, and Réka Albert. 1999. “Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks.” *Science* 286 (5439): 509–12. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509>.
- Fraga Alves, Maria, Laurens Haan, and Cláudia Neves. 2009. “A Test Procedure for Detecting Super-Heavy Tails.” *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 139 (February). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2008.04.026>.
- Hitz, Adrien S., Richard A. Davis, and Gennady Samorodnitsky. 2024. “Discrete Extremes.” *Journal of Data Science*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.6339/24-JDS1120>.
- Shimura, Takaaki. 2012. “Discretization of Distributions in the Maximum Domain of Attraction.” *Extremes* 15: 299–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10687-011-0137-7>.