Thank You for Arguing

Third Edition

WHAT

ARISTOTLE,

LINCOLN, AND

HOMER SIMPSON

CAN TEACH US ABOUT

THE ART OF PERSUASION

JAY HEINRICHS



THREE RIVERS PRESSINEW YORK

Copyright © 2007, 2013, 2017 by Jay Heinrichs

All rights reserved.

Published in the United States by Three Rivers Press, an imprint of the Crown Publishing Group, a division of Penguin Random House LLC, New York. threeriverspress.com

Three Rivers Press and the Tugboat design are registered trademarks of Penguin Random House LLC.

Earlier editions of this work were originally published in the United States by Three Rivers Press, an imprint of the Crown Publishing Group, a division of Penguin Random House LLC, New York, in 2007 and 2013.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data is available upon request.

ISBN 978-0-8041-8993-4

Ebook ISBN 978-0-8041-8994-1

Printed in the United States of America

Cover design by Elena Giavaldi

1098765432

To Dorothy Jr. and George: You win.

2. Set Your Goals

CICERO'S LIGHTBULB

Change the audience's mood, mind, or willingness to act

Aphrodite spoke and loosened from her bosom the embroidered girdle of many colors into which all her allurements were fashioned. In it was love and in it desire and in it blandishing persuasion which steals the mind even of the wise.

—HOMER

parody comic-book version of Plato's *Republic*. Socrates stands around talking philosophy with a few friends. Each time he makes a point, another guy concedes, "Yes, Socrates, very well put." In the next frame you see an explosive "POWIII" and the

► Meanings "Debate" and '

"Debate" and "battle" share the same Latin root. Typical of those pugnacious Romans.

next frame you see an explosive "POW!!!" and the opponent goes flying through the air. Socrates wins by a knockout. The *Lampoon*'s *Republic* has some historical validity; ancient Greeks, like argumentative nerds throughout the ages, loved to imagine themselves as fighters. But even they knew the real-life difference between fighting and arguing. We should, too. We need to distinguish rhetorical argument from the blame-shifting, he-said-she-said squabbling that defines conflict today. In a fight, each disputant tries to win. In an argument, they try to *win over* an audience—which can comprise the onlookers, television viewers, an electorate, or each other.

This chapter will help you distinguish between an argument and a fight, and to choose what you want to get out of an argument. The distinction can determine the survival of a marriage, as the celebrated research psychologist John Gottman proved in the 1980s and 1990s. Working out of his "love lab" at the University of Washington, he and his assistants vid-

over every tape and entering every perceived emotion and logical point

eotaped hundreds of married couples over a period of nine years, poring

into a database. They watched hours and days and months of arguments, of

couples glaring at each other and revealing embarrassing things in front of the camera. It was like a bad reality show.

When Gottman announced his findings in 1994, though, rhetoricians around the country tried not to look smug, because the data confirmed what rhetoric has claimed for several millennia. Gottman found that couples who stayed married over those nine years argued about as much as those who ended up in divorce. However, the successful couples went about their arguments in a different way, and with a different purpose. Rhetoricians would say they instinctively followed the basic tenets of argument.

When some of the videotapes appeared on network television, they showed some decidedly uncomfortable moments, even among the happy couples. One successfully married husband admitted he was pathologically lazy, and his wife cheerfully agreed. Nonetheless, the couples who stayed married seemed to use their disputes to solve problems and work out differences. They showed faith in the outcome. The doomed couples, on the

TRY THIS WITH YOUR CAREER
The growing profession

The growing profession of "leadership branding coaches" teaches CEO wannabes how to embody their company. The ideal trait? Not aggression, not brains, but the ability to tell a compelling life story and make yourself desirable. Later on, you'll see how storytelling is critical to emotional persuasion.

other hand, used their sessions to attack each other. Argument was a problem for them, not a means to a solution. The happy ones argued. The unhappy ones fought.

Much of the time, I'm guessing that the happy ones also *seduced*. While our culture tends to admire straight shooters, the ones who follow their gut regardless of what anyone thinks, those people rarely get their way in the end. Sure, aggressive loudmouths often win temporary victories through intimidation or simply by talking us to exhaustion, but the more subtle, eloquent approaches lead to long-term commitment. Cor-

porate recruiters will confirm this theory. There are a few alpha types in the business world who live to bully their colleagues and stomp on the competition, but if you ask headhunters what they look for in executive material, they describe a persuader and team builder, not an aggressor.

You succeed in an argument when you persuade your audience. You win a fight when you dominate the enemy. A territorial dispute in the backseat of a car fails to qualify as argument, for example, unless each child makes the unlikely attempt to persuade instead of scream. ("I see your point, sister. However, have you considered the analogy of the international frontier?")

At the age of two, my son, George, became a devotee of what rhetoricians call "argument by the stick": when words failed him, he used his fists. After every fight I would ask him, "Did you get the other kid to agree with you?" For years he considered that to be a thoroughly stupid question, and maybe it was. But eventually it made sense to him: argument by the stick—fighting—is no argument. It never persuades, it only inspires revenge or retreat.

In a fight, one person takes out his aggression on another. Donald Trump was fighting when he said of Rosie O'Donnell, "I mean, I'd look at her right in that fat, ugly face of hers, I'd say 'Rosie, you're fired.'" On the other hand, when George Foreman tries to sell you a grill, he makes an argument: persuasion that tries to change your mood, your mind, or your willingness to do something.

Homer Simpson offers a legitimate argument when he demonstrates our intellectual superiority to dolphins: "Don't forget—we invented computers, leg warmers, bendy straws, peel-and-eat shrimp.... and the pudding cup."

Mariah Carey pitches an argument when she sings "We belong together" to an assumed ex-boyfriend; she tries to change his mind (and, judging by all the moaning in the background, get some action).

Taylor Swift ungrammatically telling
Katy Perry "We got bad blood": fight.
Business proposal: argument.

Bernie Sanders saying Republicans have "declared war on the middle class" (in fact, anyone who deploys the war metaphor): fight.

Yogi Berra saying, "It's not the heat, it's the humility": argument.

The basic difference between an argument and a fight: an argument, done skillfully, gets people to want to do what you want. You fight to win; you argue to achieve agreement.

That may sound wimpy. Under some circumstances, though, argument can take a great deal of courage. It can even determine a nation's fate.

▶ Persuasion Alert

a point all their own: varied examples make appeals to you the to George Foreman You can't escape most. Still, the wildly know which case Taylor Swift. I would to Homer Simpson to son to Donald Trump wouldn't veer from my personally, I probably could speak to you see his audience. If I an author cannot books, partly because arguing through The ancients hated

SET YOUR GOALS

your rhetorical skills can balance the equation. high—if the evildoer is a rival at work or a wacky organization on campus persuasion, they said, is the other side. Even if the stakes aren't quite as century shows how right the ancients were. But the cure for the dark side of gogue, a power-mad dictator who uses rhetorical skills for evil. The last Ancient rhetoricians dreaded most the kind of government led by a dema-

commitment to your If you actually get someone brings up this do you think you'll say if point. Ask, "Now what with you, test her someone to agree POLITICAL ARGUMENT

desire—seduce them into a consensual act. a consensus. You will make them desire what you to commit to your plan, and to consider the result more important, you'll get them to want to yield, group yield to the dominion of your voice. Even of men and women to your will, and make any guing. Learn its tools and you'll become the face to watch, the rising star. You'll mold the minds But rhetoric offers a more selfish reason for ar-

How to Seduce a Cop

A police patrol stops you on the highway and you roll your window down.

you: What's wrong, Officer?

cop: Did you know that the speed limit here is fifty?

You: How fast was I going:

COP: Fifty-five.

The temptation to reply with a snappy answer is awful.

YOU: Whoa, lock me up!

situation. five." Now set your personal goal. What would you like to accomplish in this risk of arrest. But rewind the scene and pause it where the cop says "fifty-And indeed the satisfaction might be worth the speeding ticket and

snappy answer accomplishes that, especially if you have passengers for an audience. Good for you. Of course, the cop is unlikely to respond kindly, Perhaps you would like to make the cop look like an idiot. Your

> the avoidance of a ticket. Now, how are we to Instead, suppose we set as your personal goal combined could not get this cop to apologize. being a martinet? Sorry. You have to set a realistic goal. Johnnie Cochran and Daniel Webster loser. How about getting him to apologize for the result will be a fight, and you are the likely

outscore your opponent. Try instead to get your To win a deliberative argument, don't try to

> ▶ Argument Tool your opponent thinks. won the argument, regardless of what works, then you've or stop doing it? If it Get it to do something your audience's mind? argument. Change want at the end of an yourself what you THE GOAL: Ask

and Mitt Romney scored a tie during their three points, only to lose the battle. Although polls showed that Barack Obama your choice or do what you want it to do. People often win arguments on him score points. All you want to do is win—to get your audience to accept ness makes a wonderful argument tool. Does he want to score points? Let defeat. This cognitive dissonance can be useful; your opponent's aggressivethat the sole point of an argument is to humiliate you or get you to admit It's unlikely that your opponent knows any rhetoric. He probably thinks

ence liked Obama's logic, but they liked Romney better—temporarily. debates, Romney's popularity spiked. The audi-

Meanings

Rhetoric has a name

you still have an audience: the other person. In another person, with no one else looking on, Even if your argument includes only you and

seeks to win points: for debating that

try both strategies on your cop. argument—getting your opponent to admit defeat—or by "losing" it. Let's that case, there are two ways to come out on top: either by winning the

1. Win the argument with a bombproof excuse.

YOU: My wife's in labor! I need to get her to the hospital stat! COP: You're driving alone, sir.

YOU: Oh my God! I forgot my wife!

over the living room floor. But if the excuse works, you win. Chances are, this kind of cop won't care if your wife is having triplets all

2. Play the good citizen you assume the cop wants you to be. Concede his point.

YOU: I'm sure you're right, Officer. I should have been watching my speedometer more.

Good. You just let the cop win on points. Now get him to let you off easy.

► Argument Tool

CONCESSION:

Concede your

opponent's point in order to win what you want.

you: I must have been watching the road too closely. Can you suggest a way for me to follow my speedometer without getting distracted?

This approach appeals to the cop's expertise. It might work, as long as you keep any sarcasm out of your voice. But assume that the appeal needs a little more sweetening.

COP: You can start by driving under the speed limit. Then you won't have to watch your speedometer so much.

YOU: Well, that's true, I could. I've been tailgated a lot when I do that, but that's their problem, isn't it?

COP: Right. You worry about your own driving. YOU: I will. This has helped a lot, thanks.

Now, what do you think is most likely to happen? I can tell you what won't

happen. The cop won't order you out of the car. He won't tell you to stand spread-eagled against it while he pats you down. He won't call for backup, or even yell at you. You took the anger out of the argument, which these days is no mean accomplishment. And if he actually does let you off with a warning, congratulations. You win. The cop may not recognize it, but you have just notched the best kind of win. He leaves happy, and so do you.

The easiest way to exploit your opponent's desire to score points is to let him. Concede a point

like that, who needs

crooks?

enemies?" Opponent: "The Republicans are the reform party."

on the rhetorical question "With friends like that, who needs

Practice your rhetorica jujitsu with a variation

TRY THIS IN A
POLITICAL ARGUMENT

You: "With reformers

that will not damage your case irreparably. When your kid says, "You never let me have any fun," you say, "I suppose I don't." When a coworker says, "That'll never work," you say, "Hmm, maybe not." Then use that point to change her mood or her mind.

In other words, one way to get people to agree with you is to agree with them—tactically, that is. Agreeing up front does not mean giving up the argument. Instead, use your opponent's point to get what you want. Practice rhetorical jujitsu by using your opponent's own moves to throw him off balance. Does up-front agreeing seem to lack in stand-up-for-yourself-ishness? Yes, I suppose it does. But wimps like us shall inherit the rhetorical earth. While the rest of the world fights, we'll argue. And argument gets you what you want more than fighting does.

How to Manipulate a Lover

Having decided what you want out of an argument, you can determine how your audience must change for you to achieve that goal. Maybe all you need to do is alter a person's mood, as in, say, seduction. Or you want to change someone's mind—to promote you instead of a rival, for instance. Or you want your audience to do something concrete for you.

Actually, the seductive argument often entails more than just a mood change. Suppose your goal is a little lovemaking. If both of you are in the mood already, then you need no persuasion. As Lord Nelson said, never mind maneuvers, go straight at 'em.

YOU: Voulez-vous coucher avec moi?

If your partner-to-be shows reluctance, however, the direct approach is unlikely to succeed. You would have a better chance with a mild argument:

Persuasion Alert
Pretty agreeable
of me, yes? The
ancient Greeks
gave a name to this
kind of anticipatory
concession, agreeing
in advance to what
the other person
is likely to say:
prolepsis, meaning
"anticipation."

Tips from the AncientsThe playwright

Aristophanes said
that persuasion can
make "the lesser side
appear the greater."
Plato thought that
was a bad thing,
but throughout
history, ninety-pound
weaklings have
applauded.

YOU: Know what would really liven things should wear the maid's costume? role-playing game. Which one of us up, relationship-wise? If we did that

dience's mood But easiest of all would be to change your au-

you: Let me pour you some more wine by candlelight you look like a movie star The music? Oh, just Marvin Gaye. Wow,

practicing speeches in Roman schools were

extremely racy

standard topics for

rhetoric. Some of the

tool for teaching and it's a wonderful opposite of fighting, is the rhetorical for art. Seduction actor performing a of sex. But like an

nude scene, I do it

I risk offending some

Persuasion Alert

readers with talk

That, at least, is how history's greatest orator,

persuading people, in order of increasing difficulty; Marcus Tullius Cicero, would say to do it. He came up with three goals for

Change its opinion. Stimulate your audience's emotions.

Get it to act.

to change a lightbulb?" this reminds me of the tired old joke "How many psychiatrists does it take Sometimes it takes all three goals to get some action. For some reason

▶ Classic Hits Citizens throughout smirked. "She'll be a the republic were woman tomorrow.' a young girl, Cicero was doing marrying When asked what he wedded a teenager. the sixty-year-old wife of thirty years After divorcing his Forum than in bed seductive in the have been more BRIDE: Cicero may BARELY LEGAL

First, the punch line says, the bulb has to want to change. How inefficient! suasive steps: the lightbulb. The task would require three pergo about this much more simply-by persuading compel it to carry out the job? A rhetorician would And once the bulb decides to change, what will How long will that take? Twenty years of therapy?

a replacement is the best way to get some light in a receptive audience, eager to hear your solution how scary it is to sit in the dark. This turns it into Then change its mind. Convince the bulb that Start by changing its mood. Make the bulb feel

bulb that changing is a cinch, and inspire it with Finally, fill it with the desire to act. Show the

heard to say, "lck."

a vision of lightness. This requires stronger emotions that turn a decision into a commitment.

couple share the same phone. The physical conasinine boyfriend. Instead, the director made the making excuses to put off the scene. Capra finally within range. When Frank Capra directed It's a listening over separate extensions to the girl's threw away the script, which had the two actors Wonderful Life, he had a problem persuading a shy Jimmy Stewart to kiss Donna Reed. Stewart kept Stimulating emotions puts the other goals

speak). duction. In the resulting consensus, everybody made out very well (so to of all time. Capra won over his audience—Stewart—through surrogate seobvious pleasure, completing in a single take one of the great screen kisses World War II vet and the lovely young actress. Stewart did his duty with tact did the trick; you can almost see a hormonal miasma hanging over the

able to your argument—put them in the mood to audience's emotion, you make them more vulnersheer emotional pyrotechnics. By changing your time, he converted pagans to Christianity through parties.) As one of the great sermonizers of all He was never satisfied until he made them cry. not to be content merely with seizing the audience's sympathetic attention. Christian Church, gave famously boffo sermons. The secret, he said, was St. Augustine, a onetime rhetoric professor and one of the fathers of the (Augustine could not have been invited to many they do what you ask by ratio": divide the times create a "commitment they desire the acts ask them to—whether actually do the thing you To see whether people TRY THIS AT HOME

what you want. Henry Kissinger used a classic persuasive method when he served as Nixon's pared to goal number two, making them decide Wringing tears from an audience is easy com-

have children.)

speak from the heart.' today I've decided to meeting some of you remarks, but after brought some prepared joke, use mild surprise. "I rational talk. Instead of a be the best mood for a mind; attentiveness may audience to change its emotion to get an You don't need a strong TRY THIS IN A SPEECH

Changing the mood is the easiest goal, and usually the one you work on first. The Seduction Diet

do better if you don't passing grade. (You may rate over three days—a achieved a 70 percent and "Yes, dears." I the number of "Okays"

SET YOUR GOALS

desires and budget price depending on your Then go up or down in midpriced version first staff to show you the gadget, ask the sales buy, say, an electronic bestselling items seem goods to make their offering lower-priced just right. Next time you junk and high-end technique all the time, use the Goldilocks Like Kissinger, retailers TRY THIS IN A STORE

> porate PowerPoint presentations.) tle tactic, but I've seen it used successfully in coraccording to Kissinger. (Not exactly the most subdle. Nixon inevitably chose the "correct" option, putting the one Kissinger preferred in the miding the most extreme choices first and last, and ternatives for the president to choose from, listnational security adviser. He would lay out five al-

adversary. Although she enjoys argument much just two choices—yours and your opponent's. My daughter, Dorothy Jr., makes an especially difficul tween two people, most of the time you deal with Usually, since most arguments take place be

argument so gently you fail to realize you're in one. less than her brother does, she can be equally persuasive. She launches an

place fancier. Guess who won Indian restaurant. I wanted to play the generous dad and take her somelege student. My first evening there, she proposed dinner at a low-price I once visited her in London, where she was spending a term as a col-

ME: We could still eat Indian, but someplace more upscale. DOROTHY JR.: Sure.

ME: So do you know of any?

DOROTHY JR.: Oh, London's full of them

ME: Uh-huh. So do you know of any in particular?

DOROTHY JR. (vaguely): Oh, yeah

ME: Any near here?

DOROTHY JR.: Not really.

ME: So you'd rather eat at your usual place

DOROTHY JR.: If you want to, sure

ME: I don't want to!

said. Dorothy knew this instinctively. She has a biting tongue but knows how Cicero might even approve: the most effective rhetoric disguises itself, he have done better if she had prepared a Ciceronian speech in advance. place. She won, using my guilt as her emotional goal. Dorothy couldn't nies it, may have been Dorothy Jr.'s strategy all along. We ate at her usua And then I felt guilty about losing my patience, which, though she de-

buy a book called The South Beach Diet?

diologist, happened to live there. But who would

were going to dinner one way or another. All she had to do was pull me to restrain it to win an argument. Still, Dorothy had it relatively easy. We toward her choice.

but getting it to commit to drinking poses the toughest rhetorical problem. will) and you can persuade it to follow you to a stream (the choice part), the horse salt to stimulate its desire for water (arousing its emotions, if you That's like getting a horse to drink, to use an old expression. You can give Dorothy would have had a lot more arguing to do to get me out the door. level of emotion, one of desire. Suppose I didn't want to go to dinner at all. to stop doing it—is the most difficult. It requires a different, more personal Goal number three—in which you get an audience to do something or

meant that metaphorically.) such tribal media as Facebook and Snapchat enturned stubborn at the getting-to-drink part. (I tion day was something else altogether. Youth tered the picture, showing up at the polls on elecemotions and choice were concerned. But until grabbed the free T-shirts; they got all charged up Republicans—a triumph of persuasion, as far as and maybe even registered as Democrats or at this. The kids flocked to rock concerts and for young people have been notoriously bad Up until recently, get-out-the-vote campaigns

borhood in a major city, a place most Americans no sense to me. It referred to a particular neighsion were working on a diet book. God, I thought, probably had never heard of. The author, a car-Plus, the title they planned for the book made another diet, as if there weren't enough already pany, I heard that some people in another divieditorial director at the Rodale publishing comdeal—that whatever you want them to do won't you need to convince it that an action is no big make them sweat. A few years ago, when I was an Besides using desire to motivate an audience,

TRY THIS IN A WRITTEN

check off those points in your outline. Did you the other choices? Now or easy compared to doable is it? How cheap alternatives? (2) How document, jot down them against the benefits and weighed you thought of all the of your goal: (1) Have a two-part inventory After you outline the

Persuasion Alert

du jour for this device that had twenty-three a publishing company high-level manager at year I left." The term million customers the obnoxious "I was a beats the far more a moment of my former company boneheadedness at brag. Mentioning acceptable way to

So I'm a lousy prognosticator of bestsellers. In retrospect, however, I can explain why the title was not such a bad idea after all. "South Beach" conjures an image of people—you—in bathing attire. It says vacation, one of the chief reasons people go on a diet. The Rodale editors stimulated an emotion by making readers picture a desirable and highly personal goal: you, in a bathing suit, looking great. So much for the desire part. The book's subtitle employs the no-big-deal tactic: The Delicious, Doctor-Designed, Foolproof Plan for Fast and Healthy Weight Loss. No suffering, perfectly safe, instant results... they hit all the buttons except for So You Can Eat Like a Glutton and Get Hit On by Lifeguards. People took action in droves. The book has sold in the millions.

The Tools

This chapter gave you basic devices to determine the outcome of an argument:

- Set your personal goal.
- Set your goals for your audience. Do you want to change their mood, their mind, or their willingness to carry out what you want?

3. Control the Tense

ORPHAN ANNIE'S LAW

The three basic issues of rhetoric deal with time

MARGE: Homer, it's very easy to criticize . . .

HOMER: And fun, too!

-THE SIMPSONS

your audience goals (mood, mind, action). Now, before you begin arguing, ask yourself one more question: What's the issue? According to Aristotle, all issues boil down to just three (the Greeks were crazy about that number):

Blame

Values

Choice

You can slot any kind of issue involving persuasion into one of these categories.

➤ Argument Tool

THE THREE CORE

ISSUES: Blame, values,

Who moved my cheese? This, of course, is a blame issue. Whodunit? Should abortion be legal? Values. What's morally right or wrong about letting a woman choose whether or not to end the budding life inside her own body? (My choice of words implies the values each side holds—a woman's right to her own body, and the sanctity of life.)

Should we build a plant in Detroit? **Choice:** to build or not to build, Detroit or not Detroit.

Should Angelina Jolie and Brad Pitt have split up? Values—not moral ones, necessarily, but what you and your interlocutor value. Were they just too hot to separate?