

Tyler Waltze

7/19/15

MET CS 342

Homework 3

Assuming the following code exists:

```
public class Node<E> {  
    public E data;  
    public Node<E> link;  
}  
  
public class LinkedStack<E> implements Cloneable {  
    private Node<E> top;  
  
    // other methods here  
}
```

1. Write the push method for the LinkedStack class. (25 points)

```
public void push(Node item) {  
    item.link = top;  
    top = item;  
}
```

Assuming the following code exists:

```
public class Node<E> {  
    public E data;  
    public Node<E> link;  
}  
  
public class LinkedQueue<E> implements Cloneable {  
    private int manyNodes;  
    private Node<E> front;  
    private Node<E> rear;  
  
    // other methods here  
}
```

1. Write the add method for the LinkedQueue class (25 points)

```
public void add(Node item) {  
    if (!isEmpty()) {  
        rear.link = item;  
    }
```

```
}  
  
    rear = item;  
}
```

1. When writing a data structure, the design goal should be to minimize the work and error conditions for the client. Having said that, what mechanisms should/do stacks and queues provide to help clients not run into error conditions? Explain your answer. (25 points)

To some extent I disagree with the premise of the question. If the client decides to execute a `pop()` on a stack with no items in it, something bad is likely going to happen. In my opinion, the solution is not necessarily to provide just a function like `isEmpty()`. Yes, many stacks and queues currently provide this, and if a client uses it correctly then it can help keep things running smoothly. But this creates an inherent relationship between `pop()` and `isEmpty()` that requires them to always be used together, and that isn't always obvious to the client.

It's for that reason that I think a function like `pop()` should throw an exception, as should any function where it's possible for a similar error condition to be hit. Exceptions and checks like `isEmpty()` help solve the same issue, so both aren't necessary. Providing both though gives the client the option of personal preference. An if statement and a try/catch block both require the client to go out of their way to check for possible error conditions, and neither is more or less friendly.

1. If I wanted to loop through different kinds of collections in a common way what mechanism should be available for client use? (25 points)

Some sort of iterator function or object. This gives the client the ability to step through a collection of objects, individually, using a function such as `next()` or `hasNext()`.