

# **Title: Experiential learning with 3D data visualizations in an introductory statistics course**

## **Abstract**

A key component of statistics courses is to teach students how to interpret data visualizations. Although many research-based recommendations exist for creating graphs, the technological advances for creating such graphs have outpaced studies that evaluate their effectiveness to the status quo, especially with 3D graphs. Here, we describe a process of integrating an experiment on 3D graphs as a project for students enrolled in an introductory statistics course and gather responses as students reflect on their positions as both experiment participants and reviewers of empirical evidence. A total of 82 students participated in our graphics project and displayed a pattern of not fully grasping research objectives as experiment participants; as students reviewed material from our pilot study of the same design, they tended to gain a clearer understanding about the purpose of the experiment and its role in the realm of data visualizations. The project we presented to students shows promise as an educational tool for helping students gain a more holistic view of statistical research.

## **Introduction**

Students in introductory statistics courses are mainly exposed to elementary methods and textbook examples of their applications. This is in part due to the field's emphasis on teaching students to think statistically using real data (Carver, College, and Everson 2016). Many textbooks, such as Tintle et al. (2021), take a single scenario and ask students to perform its corresponding inferential test. This process is then repeated over the course content without much deviation.

In some cases, students have the opportunity to participate in well-designed experiments in

24 the classroom (McGowan 2011). This can expose students to concepts such as randomization  
25 and let students see the specifics of experimental design through their participation. Loy (2021)  
26 demonstrated that student participants often recalled their experiment in later concepts, showing  
27 some evidence that students can benefit from the hands-on experience.

28 One key aspect in the statistics classroom is teaching students to interpret data through visu-  
29 alizations. Nearly 40 years ago, Cleveland and McGill (1984) began the process of establishing  
30 good practices for making graphs. While Cleveland and McGill’s findings have been replicated  
31 (Heer and Bostock 2010), there are many areas in data visualization that remain underdevel-  
32 oped, such as 3D graphs. The current mantra is to avoid 3D graphs when possible and studies  
33 around the 1990s seem to provide some valid skepticism of their use. Barfield and Robless (1989)  
34 showed that 3D graphs were sometimes better than 2D graphs depending on the participant’s  
35 experience level, but that participants were most confident with their answers for 2D graphs.  
36 Fisher, Dempsey, and Marousky (1997) also observed a preference for 2D graphs over 3D graphs  
37 when extracting information while simultaneously showing no preference for visual appeal for  
38 either graph type. A major limitation of these studies is that the 3D graphs were 2D projections  
39 and not “true” 3D graphs. This is somewhat addressed by Kraus et al. (2020) with the use of  
40 virtual reality, but effectively rendering “true” 3D graphs is largely unexplored.

41 This underdeveloped area of 3D graphs provides a unique opportunity to be used as an experien-  
42 tial learning opportunity for statistics students. Not only can students benefit from the exposure  
43 to different graph types, but they can also see how research is conducted through the lens of a  
44 participant and researcher. While it is unclear how students will respond to active research as a  
45 teaching method, it may be beneficial for reinforcing statistical thinking.

46 In this paper, we discuss the use of an experiential learning module in an introductory statistics  
47 classroom environment and its potential application as an educational tool.

## Methods

We introduced students enrolled in STAT 218, the introductory statistics course at University of Nebraska-Lincoln, to a graphics project that contained an experiment and progressively revealing components that illustrate the experiment’s research objectives. The project started by providing students with minimal information about the research objectives before revealing the scope of the experiment through an extended abstract and presentation. The goal of the graphics project is to observe how students think statistically about experiments from the viewpoint of participants and researchers.

## Experiential Learning

The classroom integration of the graphics experiment project was split into two stages: research participation and reflection of the overall research objectives. In the research participation stage, students participated in the experiment with the understanding that the experiment is testing for how people perceive statistical graphics. Students were not informed of the specific research hypotheses when participating in the experiment. After participating in the experiment, students were provided materials that cover the research objectives and reflected on their new understanding of the experiment’s purpose.

Within the research participation stage, students completed four modules: informed consent, pre-experiment reflection, experiment participation, and post-experiment reflection. The informed consent asked students if they consent to their responses being shared with the researchers and if they are 19 years of age or older. Within the informed consent module, students were informed that their data would be anonymized and that the experiment was carried out in accordance to the institutional review board (Project ID: 22579). In the pre-experiment reflection, students were asked to write a paragraph about how they think the process of scientific investigation looks from the perspective of researchers and the general public. The experiment participation module asked students to paste the code generated from the experiment, which is detailed in the

73 next section. For the post-experiment reflection, students were asked five questions about the  
74 purpose of the experiment. These include questions on the hypotheses being tested, sources of  
75 error, variables of interest, and elements of experimental design.

76 After completing the experiment reflections, students moved to the reflection of the overall  
77 research objectives. Students were first directed to read a two-page extended abstract that  
78 we submitted as a contributed paper for the Symposium on Data Science & Statistics (SDSS)  
79 conference in 2023. The extended abstract outlined the experiment’s purpose and procedures,  
80 but not the results from our initial pilot study. After reading the extended abstract, students  
81 were asked to write a paragraph about what they found clearer about the experiment’s purpose  
82 than when they were a participant. Finally, students were directed to watch a 12-minute pre-  
83 recorded presentation based on an abbreviated version given at SDSS. The video contains the  
84 same material as the extended abstract and included the results from our pilot study. The  
85 presentation reflection asked students four questions about the experiment and how information  
86 was presented differently than in the extended abstract.

87 Except for the informed consent and experiment participation modules, all student responses  
88 were open-ended. Each question and its corresponding module can be found in Table 1.

89 Instructors for STAT 218 were recruited for Summer 2023 and Fall 2023 to administer the  
90 graphics project into their classroom. The instructors were given the option of administering  
91 the project as coursework material or extra credit, along with the liberty of grading at their own  
92 discretion. While all students were given the option to participate in the graphics project, we  
93 were only able to collect responses when the informed consent was obtained and if the student  
94 was 19 years of age.

## 95 **Graphics Experiment**

96 We took inspiration from Cleveland and McGill’s seminal work ([1984](#)) on graphical perception  
97 to design our graphics study. Participants were presented with a series of bar graphs where two

bars are marked with either a circle or triangle. The heights of the bars were chosen from the following equation:

$$s_i = 10 \cdot 10^{(i-1)/12}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 10 \quad (1)$$

Values from Equation 1 were then paired such that the ratio of the smaller value to the larger value yield the ratios of 17.8, 26.1, 38.3, 46.4, 56.2, 68.1, and 82.5. Each bar graph has two groupings of five bars. The value pairs for each ratio were either placed in the first grouping on the second and third bars (adjacent), or placed on the second bars in each grouping (separated). This follows the Type 1 and Type 3 graphs from Cleveland and McGill’s position-length experiment, respectively.

Deviating from Cleveland and McGill, we introduced four plot types: 2D digital, 3D digital (static), 3D digital (interactive), and 3D printed. There was no single software package that could create all four plot types, so we carefully constructed graphs from different software packages to be as similar as possible. The 2D digital plots were rendered with the `ggplot2` package (Wickham 2016). Microsoft Excel was used to render the 3D digital (static) plots (Microsoft Corporation 2018). The 3D digital (interactive) and 3D printed plots were created with OpenSCAD (Kintel 2023), where the generated STL files for the 3D digital (interactive) plots were rendered with the `rgl` package (Murdoch and Adler 2023).

With 56 treatment combinations, we opted to use an incomplete block design to provide participants with 15-20 graphs. Kits of graphs were constructed so that five of the seven ratios are equally represented, resulting in 21 different kits. Within each kit, all graph types appeared for each ratio and the comparison type was randomly assigned. A visual layout of the experiment is shown in Figure 1. All kits received a unique identifier and a set of instructions for accessing the experiment.

A Shiny application (Chang et al. 2023) was designed to administer the experiment. Students

121 were directed to randomly select a kit of graphs and visit the Shiny application’s url linked on  
122 the instructions. For students enrolled in the online sections of STAT 218, the url was provided  
123 by the instructor and they were prompted in the application to select that they were an online  
124 participant. After students provided a kit identifier (if applicable), students were presented  
125 graphs in a randomized order. If the student marked that they were an online participant, the  
126 3D printed graphs were removed from their experiment lineup. Each graph asked the students  
127 to first identify the larger marked bar and then to guess the height of the smaller marked bar if  
128 the larger marked bar was 100 units tall using a slider widget. After completing the experiment,  
129 students were provided with a code to copy and paste into the experiment participation module.

## 130 **Data Analysis**

131 Since nearly all of the student responses to the project modules are open-ended, the analysis  
132 of the project is qualitative in nature. We will extract selected responses that we feel highlight  
133 common themes among the students or other points of interest. For paragraph responses, bigrams  
134 are used to illustrate word pairs after removing stop words (e.g., “the” and “and”). This will  
135 help in establishing common themes that appear in student responses. We opt to reserve the  
136 results of the graphics experiment for another paper so that we can clearly differentiate between  
137 our findings in graphics project and the experiment’s role in a classroom environment.

## 138 **Results**

139 Given the nature of the recruitment method, we were only able to recruit 3 instructors for  
140 summer and fall semesters in 2023. Each instructor offered the project as extra credit and  
141 student participation was entirely voluntary. A total of 82 students participated in the project;  
142 a summary of student participation is presented in Table 1. There were 9 students who did not  
143 complete the project in its entirety.

## 144 **Selected Responses from Reflections**

145 Prior to the experiment, students generally understood the purpose scientific research by con-  
146 necting the ideas of hypothesis testing and publishing results. Students wrote about scientific  
147 research starting from the place of a question, followed by conducting an experiment and relaying  
148 the results to the public. A bigram plot from the Pre-Experiment Reflection is shown in Figure  
149 3, which highlights the recurring trends and patterns in the student paragraph responses.

150 Some students correctly identified parts of the questions asked in the post-experiment reflection,  
151 but many missed key components.

### 152 **What do you think the purpose of the experiment was?**

- 153 • “They could be trying to determine how different genders, ages, etc. perceive the sizes of  
154 the bars in the graph. Demographics could make a pretty significant difference.”
- 155 • “I think the purpose of this experiment was for the researcher to gather data on how people  
156 perceive, interpret, and understand 3D graphs.”
- 157 • “I think this experiment aimed to test if it was easier to compare two graphs in 2D or 3D.”
- 158 • “To gage students skills at estimating relative size ratios.”

### 159 **What hypotheses might the experimenters have been testing?**

- 160 • “Do students change their answers when asked the same question over and over?”
- 161 • “How taking Statistics 218 effects how you can compare two groups.”
- 162 • “That 2d is preferred over 3d. It cleans up the data presentation.”
- 163 • “They might have been testing if a 2D model is easier to estimate its relative size to another  
164 when compared to a 3D model of it.”

### 165 **What sources of error are involved in this experiment?**

- 166 • “Misunderstanding of task, technical issues”

- 167 • “If people are just randomly picking answers.”
- 168 • “Fatigue effect over the course of making many judgements, learning patterns from seeing
- 169 the same ratios multiple times, possibly difference in eyesight among participants.”
- 170 • “As far as I know, there wasn’t much random sampling involved or there may be some bias
- 171 of sorts. The results may apply for students in STATS 218 here at UNL, but maybe not
- 172 for other students taking a similar statistics class elsewhere.”

173 **What elements of experimental design, such as randomization or the use of a control**  
174 **group, do you think were present in the experiment? Why?**

- 175 • “random students in the stats class”
- 176 • “Randomization: The survey used an experimental design where in the survey there were
- 177 different sets of 3D charts and maybe by a randomization process each participant was
- 178 shown a different set of charts to see the differences in interpretations of the charts based
- 179 on which set was assigned. Control Group: Since this survey aimed to only understand
- 180 how participants interpret 3D charts without comparison to other chart types, then no
- 181 control group was needed.”
- 182 • “Randomization was not used because it was offered as an extra credit assignment in class.”
- 183 • “Randomization was used because the ever person got a different graph.”

184 The abstract unveiled the scope of the study to students, many of whom did not realize the  
185 underlying complexities. Nearly all students responded with statements about gaining clarity  
186 about the purpose the experiment and its role in testing the differences between 2D and 3D  
187 graphs. A bigram plot of the student responses to the abstract reflection prompt is shown in  
188 Figure 4.

189 Lastly, more than half of the students (78.5%) responded that they preferred the presentation  
190 over the extended abstract.



- 191 • “I am a visual learner so I would have rather heard about in through the presentation. It  
192 also broke down the steps which is easier for me to understand. I think the presentation  
193 as a whole would be better for determining how the experiment is designed.”
- 194 • “I would prefer the presentation because it gives the audience more information about the  
195 experiment rather than the extended abstract. The presentation goes over the results of  
196 the experiment and explains what they mean using graphs and other visuals.[...]”
- 197 • “Personally I like the abstract better. If I get confused on something it is so much easier  
198 to go back and reread to understand what is going on. If I ask myself questions about it,  
199 it is much easier to go back and find answers to the questions as well.”

## 200 Discussion

201 Our goal was to provide students of an introductory statistics course the opportunity to reflect on  
202 active research. Students generally appreciated the progressively revealing nature of the graphics  
203 project, which is evident from the abstract and presentation reflections. When provided with the  
204 post-experiment reflections, students often either missed the research objective of the experiment  
205 or had partially correct responses. The abstract reflection received many responses indicating  
206 that students had moments of realization about the true nature of our research goals, which was  
207 further expanded in the presentation reflection prompts. The reflections indicated that students  
208 were thoughtful, and sometimes amusing, with their responses and that they were on the path  
209 of statistical thinking.

210 A limitation of this study is the use of open-ended responses that do not assess student learning.  
211 While the student responses were useful in gathering insight, the responses are widely varied and  
212 do not have direct comparisons of statistical thinking throughout the modules. Another limiting  
213 factor is tiered layering of convenience sampling, with instructors being recruited first before  
214 recruiting students. This makes it impossible to generalize our results to statistics students, let  
215 alone the students at University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

216 In future studies, we plan to use a similar framework to conduct experiments on more typical  
 217 3-dimensional data, such as heatmaps. The use of graphical experiments in the classroom not  
 218 only provides a readily available convenience sample, but also adheres to the recommendations of  
 219 the Guidelines for Assessment and Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE) College Report  
 220 (Carver, College, and Everson 2016). With the framework we provided in this paper, we aim to  
 221 make adjustments to further improve the graphics experiment and corresponding project as an  
 222 experiential learning opportunity.

## 223 References

224 Link to journal citation style: [here](#)

225 Barfield, Woodrow, and Robert Robless. 1989. “The Effects of Two- or Three-Dimensional  
 226 Graphics on the Problem-Solving Performance of Experienced and Novice Decision  
 227 Makers.” *Behaviour & Information Technology* 8 (5): 369–85. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/01449298908914567)  
 228 [01449298908914567](https://doi.org/10.1080/01449298908914567).

229 Carver, Robert, Stonehill College, and Michelle Everson. 2016. “Guidelines for Assessment and  
 230 Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE) College Report.”

231 Chang, Winston, Joe Cheng, JJ Allaire, Carson Sievert, Barret Schloerke, Yihui Xie, Jeff Allen,  
 232 Jonathan McPherson, Alan Dipert, and Barbara Borges. 2023. “Shiny: Web Application  
 233 Framework for r.”

234 Cleveland, William S., and Robert McGill. 1984. “Graphical Perception: Theory, Experimenta-  
 235 tion, and Application to the Development of Graphical Methods.” *Journal of the American*  
 236 *Statistical Association* 79 (387): 531–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1984.10478080>.

237 Fisher, Samuel H., John V. Dempsey, and Robert T. Marousky. 1997. “Data Visualization:  
 238 Preference and Use of Two-Dimensional and Three-Dimensional Graphs.” *Social Science*  
 239 *Computer Review* 15 (3): 256–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/089443939701500303>.

240 Heer, Jeffrey, and Michael Bostock. 2010. “CHI ’10: CHI Conference on Human Factors in

241 Computing Systems.” In, 203–12. Atlanta Georgia USA: ACM. [https://doi.org/10.1145/](https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753357)  
242 [1753326.1753357](https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753357).

243 Kintel, Marius. 2023. “OpenSCAD. OpenSCAD.org.” July 17, 2023.

244 Kraus, Matthias, Katrin Angerbauer, Juri Buchmüller, Daniel Schweitzer, Daniel A. Keim,  
245 Michael Sedlmair, and Johannes Fuchs. 2020. “CHI ’20: CHI Conference on Human Fac-  
246 tors in Computing Systems.” In, 1–14. Honolulu HI USA: ACM. [https://doi.org/10.1145/](https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376675)  
247 [3313831.3376675](https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376675).

248 Loy, Adam. 2021. “Bringing Visual Inference to the Classroom.” *Journal of Statistics and Data*  
249 *Science Education* 29 (2): 171–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2021.1920866>.

250 McGowan, Herle M. 2011. “Planning a Comparative Experiment in Educational Settings.” *Jour-*  
251 *nal of Statistics Education* 19 (2): 4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2011.11889612>.

252 Microsoft Corporation. 2018. “Microsoft Excel.”

253 Murdoch, Duncan, and Daniel Adler. 2023. “Rgl: 3D Visualization Using OpenGL.”

254 Tintle, Nathan, Beth L Chance, George W Cobb, Allan J Rossman, Soma Roy, Todd Swanson,  
255 and Jill VanderStoep. 2021. *Introduction to Statistical Investigations*.

256 Wickham, Hadley. 2016. “Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.”

## 257 Figures

258 Figure 1: Visual display of the experimental design for students who participated in the 3D bar  
259 charts experiment. Kits of graphs were created by first choosing five ratios from nine available  
260 options (1). Each ratio then uses all graph types, with the exception of the 3D printed graphs  
261 for online students (2). Finally, all graphs were randomly assigned to have the marked bars as  
262 adjacent or separated (3).

263 Figure 2: Bigram of student responses to the pre-experiment prompt. Each line represents pairs  
264 of words that appeared together where each pair occurred at least twice. Students generally  
265 understood that science is about investigating research questions and collecting data.

266 Figure 3: Bigram of student responses to the abstract reflection prompt. Each line represents  
267 pairs of words that appeared together where each pair occurred at least twice. Students generally  
268 understood that science is about investigating research questions and collecting data.

## 269 Tables

Table 2: Number of valid student participants by semester.

Semester	Number of Sections	Number of Students
Summer 2023 (May-June)	1	17
Summer 2023 (July-Aug)	1	23
Fall 2023 (May-June)	1	42

Students under 19 years of age or did not consent were excluded from data collection. To comply with IRB, no demographic information was collected in order to keep students anonymous.

Table 1: Questions provided to students in each project module.

Reflection	Question	Prompt
Pre-Experiment	Q3	In this class, you'll be learning about the process of scientific investigation. What do you think that process looks like, from the perspective of a researcher, compared to what it looks like from the perspective of someone in the general public who is a consumer of scientific results? Write a paragraph (at least 3-5 sentences) about how you think science happens.
Post-Experiment	Q5	What do you think the purpose of the experiment was?
	Q6	What hypotheses might the experimenter have been testing?
	Q7	What sources of error are involved in this experiment?
	Q8	What variables were examined? For each variable, identify whether it was quantitative or categorical.
	Q9	What elements of experimental design, such as randomization or the use of a control group, do you think were present in the experiment? Why?
Abstract	Q10	What components of the experiment are clearer now than they were as a participant? What questions do you still have for the experimenter? Write 3-5 sentences reflecting on the abstract.
Presentation	Q11	How did the information you gained from the components of this project (participation, post-study reflection, extended abstract, presentation) differ?
	Q12	What components were emphasized in the presentation that weren't emphasized in the abstract? Why do you think that is?
	Q13	What critiques do you have of this study and its design? What would have made the study better?
	Q14	If you had to hear about this study using only the extended abstract or only the presentation, which one would you prefer? Which one would be better for determining whether the experiment was well designed?