₁ [..¹]

15

- 2 Title: The use of a 3D graphics experiment as an experiential learning opportunity in an
- 3 introductory statistics course
- 4 Running Title: Experiential learning with 3D graphs
- 5 Tyler Wiederich¹ (twiederich²@huskers.unl.edu)
- ⁶ Department of Statistics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, NE 68588
- ^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed
- Dr. Susan VanderPlas, Department of Statistics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 3310 Holdrege
- 9 St, Lincoln, NE 68503, E-mail: susan.vanderplas@unl.edu
- 10 Keywords: 3D graphs, statistics education

11 Conflict of interest statement

- Susan VanderPlas and Tyler Wiederich do not declare any conflicts of interest in this research.
- 13 The mention of software packages is not an endorsement of those packages. Susan VanderPlas
- 14 and Tyler Wiederich produced all material with the exception of all mentioned software packages.

¹removed: Title: Experiential learning with 3D data visualizations in an introductory statistics course

16 Abstract

A key component of statistics courses is to teach students how to interpret data visualizations. Although many research-based recommendations exist for creating graphs, the technological advances for creating such graphs have outpaced studies that evaluate their effectiveness...² , especially when considering 3D graphs. Here, we describe a process [..3] for integrating an experiment on 3D graphs as [..4] part of a project on statistical investigations for students enrolled in an introductory statistics course and [..⁵] gathered responses as students [..⁶ reflected on their experience of being a participant in the experiment and then being a reviewer of empirical evidence [..7] about the experiment A total of 82 students participated in our graphics project[..8]. As participants in an experiment, they displayed a pattern of not fully grasping research objectives as [..9] evidence by widely varied responses. However, as reviewers of material from a pilot study of the same design, they tended to gain a clearer understanding about the purpose of the experiment and its role in the realm of data visualizations by correctly interpreting an extended abstract and video presentation of the pilot study. The project we presented to students shows promise as an educational tool for helping students gain a 30 more holistic view of statistical research, which is important in both the contributions to data visualizations and the education of statistics.

²removed: to the status quo, especially with

³removed: of

⁴removed: a project

⁵removed: gather

⁶removed: reflect on their positions as both experiment participants and reviewers

⁷removed: .

⁸removed: and

⁹removed: experiment participants; as students reviewed material from our

33 Introduction

```
The education of statistics emphasizes the use of real data and its application in answering research
questions. Students in introductory statistics courses are mainly exposed to elementary
methods and textbook examples [..10] that demonstrate the application of these methods,
which is used, in part, because of the emphasis on teaching students to think statistically
using real data (?). Many textbooks, such as Tintle et al. (?), [..11] use scenarios and ask
students to perform [..12] the corresponding inferential test. This process is then repeated
over the course [..<sup>13</sup>] material without much deviation [..<sup>14</sup>]
in the instructional method. In some cases, [..15] however, students can participate and benefit
from well-designed [...<sup>16</sup>] classroom experiments (?). This process can expose students to
concepts such as randomization and let students see the specifics of experimental design
through their participation. Lov (?) have demonstrated that student participants often
recalled their experiment in later concepts, showing some evidence that students can benefit
from the hands-on experience.
[..<sup>17</sup>] In addition to using experiential learning broadly, a key aspect in the statistics classroom is
teaching students to interpret data through [..18] graphs. Nearly 40 years ago, Cleveland and
McGill (?) began the process of establishing good practices for making graphs. While [..<sup>19</sup>]
   <sup>10</sup>removed: of their applications. This is in part due to the field's
   <sup>11</sup>removed: take a single scenario
   <sup>12</sup>removed: its
   <sup>13</sup>removed: content
   ^{14}removed: .
   <sup>15</sup>removed: students have the opportunity to participate in
   <sup>16</sup>removed: experiments in the classroom
   <sup>17</sup>removed: One
   <sup>18</sup>removed: visualizations
```

¹⁹removed: Cleveland and McGill's

their findings have been replicated (?), [..20] many areas in data visualization [..21] remain underdeveloped that can benefit from the framework used by Cleveland and McGill (?). One such area is the projections of 3D [..22 data visualizations. The current mantra is to avoid 3D graphs 52 when possible and studies around the 1990s (?;?) seem to provide some valid skepticism of their use. Barfield and Robless (?) showed that participants were sometimes more accurate using 3D [..23] graphs than 2D graphs, depending on the participant's experience level[..24]. 55 However, participants were generally more confident with their answers for 2D graphs. Fisher, Dempsey, and Marousky (?) [...²⁵] observed a similar preference for 2D graphs over 3D graphs when extracting information[..26], but found no preference for visual appeal for [..27] the type of graph. While these results provided valid skepticism toward the use of 3D graphs[..28], the results are generalized to the projections of the 3D graphs. The area of "true" 3D graphs [..29] is largely unexplored, but advances in technology allow for easier access to explore the 3D projections of these graphs. Kraus et al. (?) explored the 3D projections with the use of virtual reality [..30] and found that participants were more accurate at extracting values from 3D heatmaps at the expense of needing more time than 2D alternatives.

Because the use of "true" 3D graphs [.. 31]

 $^{^{20}}$ removed: there are

 $^{^{21}}$ removed: that remain underdeveloped , such as

²²removed: graphs

²³removed: graphs were sometimes better

²⁴removed: , but that participants were most

²⁵removed: also observed a

²⁶removed: while simultaneously showing

 $^{^{27}}$ removed: either graph type . A major limitation of these studies is that the

²⁸removed: were 2D projections and not

²⁹removed: This is somewhat addressed by

³⁰removed: , but effectively rendering

³¹removed: is largely unexplored.

[...32] remains largely unexplored, it provides a unique opportunity to be used as an experiential

learning opportunity for statistics students. Not only can students benefit from the exposure

to different graph types, including "true" 3D graphs, but they can also [..33] experience a

more authentic method of teaching, which is more likely to be beneficial to reinforce statistical

70 ways of thinking.

In this paper, we [..³⁴] used an experiential learning [..³⁵] project that employed different graph

types, including "true" 3D graphs, in an introductory statistics classroom environment and

describe its potential application as an educational tool. We presented students with a series

of modules where they first participated in our experiment on different graph types, followed

by acquiring knowledge on the purpose of the experiment by reading an extended abstract and

watching a presentation of a pilot study of the same experiment.

\sim Methods

 78 We introduced students enrolled in Introduction to Statistics (STAT $218[...^{36}]$) at the

University of Nebraska-Lincoln [..³⁷] to a graphics project that contained an experiment

and progressively revealing components that illustrate the experiment's research objectives.

81 The project started by providing students with minimal information about the research

82 objectives before revealing the scope of the experiment through an extended abstract and

 $^{^{32}}$ removed: This underdeveloped area of 3D graphs

 $^{^{33}}$ removed: see how research is conducted through the lens of a participant and researcher. While it is unclear how students will respond to active research as a teaching method, it may be beneficial for reinforcing statistical

³⁴removed: discuss the use of

³⁵removed: module

³⁶removed: , the introductory statistics course at

³⁷removed: ,

presentation. The goal of the graphics project [..³⁸] was to observe how students think statistically about experiments [..³⁹] as both participants and research consumers. Students were provided with mostly open-ended prompts throughout the graphics project, which allowed us to freely explore common themes in student responses without the limitation of preset choices.

88 Experiential Learning

87

- 89 The $[..^{40}]$ graphics project was split into two $[..^{41}]$ main components regarding the interaction
- of students with the material. In this section, we will discuss student interactions with the
- 91 experiment. Students were [..42]
- [..43] first presented with a series of four modules presented from the role of research participation.
- These modules contained the informed consent form, pre-experiment reflection, experiment
- participation, and post-experiment reflection. [..44] Within the informed consent module,
- 95 students were informed that their data would be anonymized and that the experiment was
- ₉₆ carried out in accordance [..⁴⁵] with the institutional review board (Project ID: 22579).
- 97 While all students were given the option to participate in the graphics project, we were only able

³⁸removed: is

³⁹removed: from the viewpoint of participants and researchers.

⁴⁰removed: classroom integration of the graphics experiment

⁴¹removed: stages: research participation and reflection of the overall research objectives. In the research participation stage, students participated in the experiment with the understanding that the experimentis testing for how people perceive statistical graphics

⁴²removed: not informed of the specific research hypotheses when participating in the experiment. After participating in the experiment, students were provided materials that cover the research objectives and reflected on their new understanding of the experiment's purpose.

⁴³removed: Within the research participationstage, students completed four modules: informed consent ⁴⁴removed: The informed consent asked students if they consent to their responses being shared with the researchers and if they are 19 years of age or older.

⁴⁵removed: to

to collect responses when informed consent was obtained and if the student was 19 years of age. In the pre-experiment reflection, students were asked to write a paragraph about how they think the process of scientific investigation looks from the perspective of researchers and 100 the general public. The experiment participation module asked students to paste the code 101 generated [..46] upon completion of the graphics experiment, which is detailed in the next 102 section. The generated code serves as a basic check to indicate whether or not students fully 103 participated in the experiment. For the post-experiment reflection, students were asked five 104 questions about the purpose of the experiment. These include questions on the hypotheses 105 being tested, sources of error, variables of interest, and elements of experimental design. 106 After completing the experiment reflections, students moved to the reflection of the overall 107 research objectives. Students were first directed to read [..47] an unpublished two-page 108 extended abstract that we submitted as a contributed paper for the Symposium on Data 109 Science & Statistics (SDSS)[..48]. The extended abstract outlined the experiment's purpose 110 and procedures, but not the results from our initial pilot study. After reading the extended 111 abstract, students were asked to write a paragraph about what they found clearer about the 112 experiment's purpose than when they were a participant. Finally, students were directed to 113 watch a 12-minute pre-recorded presentation based on an abbreviated version given at SDSS 114 (?). The video [..49] contained the same material as the extended abstract and included 115 the results from our pilot study. The presentation reflection asked students four questions 116 about the experiment and how information was presented differently than in the extended

 $^{^{46}}$ removed: from the

⁴⁷removed: a

⁴⁸removed: conference in 2023.

⁴⁹removed: contains

118 abstract.

Except for the informed consent and experiment participation modules, all student responses were open-ended. Each question and its corresponding module can be found in Table 1.

Instructors for STAT 218 were recruited for Summer 2023 and Fall 2023 to administer the graphics project into their classroom. The instructors were given the option of administering the project as coursework material or extra credit, along with the liberty of grading at their own discretion. [..⁵⁰]

125 Graphics Experiment

126 [..51]

127 Constructing Stimuli

Based on Cleveland and McGill's seminal work (?) on graphical perception[..⁵²], participants
were presented with a series of bar graphs where two bars are marked with either a circle or
triangle. The heights of the bars were chosen from the following equation:

$$s_i = 10 \cdot 10^{(i-1)/12}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10$$
 (1)

[...⁵³] where s_i represents a value given an integer i as defined above. The values s_i from Equation ?? were then paired such that the ratio of the smaller value to the larger value

⁵⁰removed: While all students were given the option to participate in the graphics project, we were only able to collect responses when the informed consent was obtained and if the student was 19 years of age.

⁵¹removed: We took inspiration from

⁵²removed: to design our graphics study. Participants

⁵³removed: Values

yield the ratios of [...⁵⁴] 0.178, 0.261, 0.383, 0.464, 0.562, 0.681, and 0.825. Each bar graph
has two groupings of five bars. [...⁵⁵] Following the Type 1 and Type 3 graphs from the
position-length experiment by Cleveland and McGill (?), the value pairs for each ratio were
either placed in the first grouping on the second and third bars (adjacent), or placed on the
second bars in each grouping (separated). [...⁵⁶]

[..57] To explore the effect of dimensionality and projection of the bar graphs, we introduced the following plot types: 2D digital, 3D digital (static), 3D digital (interactive), and 3D printed.

There was no single software package that could create all four plot types, so we carefully constructed graphs from different software packages to be as similar as possible. The 2D digital plots were rendered with the ggplot2 package (?). Microsoft Excel® was used to render the 3D digital (static) plots[..58]. The 3D digital (interactive) and 3D printed plots were created with OpenSCAD® (?), where the generated [..59] stereolithography (STL) files for the 3D digital (interactive) plots were rendered with the rgl package (?).

146 Experimental Design

With 56 treatment combinations, we opted to use an incomplete block design to provide participants with 15-20 graphs. Kits of graphs were constructed so that five of the seven ratios are equally represented, resulting in 21 different kits. Within each kit, all graph types appeared for each ratio and the comparison type was randomly assigned. A visual layout

 $^{^{54}}$ removed: 17.8, 26.1, 38.3, 46.4, 56.2, 68.1, and 82.5

 $^{^{55}}$ removed: The

 $^{^{56}}$ removed: This follows the Type 1 and Type 3 graphsfrom Cleveland and McGill's position-length experiment, respectively.

⁵⁷removed: Deviating from Cleveland and McGill, we introduced four

 $^{^{58}}$ removed: (?)

⁵⁹removed: STL

of the experiment is shown in Figure 1. All kits received a unique identifier and a set of instructions for accessing the experiment.

A Shiny application (?) was designed to administer the experiment. Students were directed to randomly select a kit of graphs and visit the Shiny application's [...60] website linked on the instructions. For students enrolled in the online sections of STAT 218, the [...61] website 155 was provided by the instructor and they were prompted in the application to select that they were an online participant; selecting online participation resulted in the 3D-printed plots being removed from the set of graphs presented to the participant. After students provided 158 a kit identifier (if applicable), students were presented with graphs in a randomized order. 159 If the student marked that they were an online participant, the [..62] 3D-printed graphs 160 were removed from their experiment lineup. Each graph asked the students to first identify 161 the larger marked bar and then to guess the height of the smaller marked bar if the larger 162 marked bar was 100 units tall using a slider widget. After completing the experiment, [..63] 163 a completion code was generated for students to paste into the experiment participation 164 module. 165

Data Analysis

Since nearly all of the student responses to the project modules are open-ended, the analysis of the project [...⁶⁴] modules is qualitative. We will [...⁶⁵] selectively extract student

⁶⁰removed: url

⁶¹removed: url

⁶²removed: 3D printed

⁶³removed: students were provided with a code to copy and

⁶⁴removed: is qualitative in nature

 $^{^{65}}$ removed: extract selected responses that we feel highlight common themes among the students or other points of interest

responses that demonstrate variability and repetitive themes in their understanding of the graphics
experiment. For paragraph responses, [..⁶⁶] bigram plots are used as a graphical analysis to
display word pairs after removing stop words (e.g., "the" and "and"). [..⁶⁷] These word pairs
help illustrate common themes that [..⁶⁸] students wrote about in their longer prompts. The
results of the graphics experiment [..⁶⁹] are presented by Wiederich and VanderPlas (?) as
an extension of a larger study comparing the dimensionality and projections of 2D and 3D bar
charts.

₇₆ [...⁷⁰]Figure Legends

Figure 1: Visual display of the experimental design for students who participated in the 3D bar charts experiment. Kits of graphs were created by first choosing five ratios from nine available options (1). Each ratio then uses all graph types, with the exception of the 3D-printed graphs for online students (2). Finally, all graphs were randomly assigned to have the marked bars as adjacent or separated (3).

82 Results

183 [..⁷¹]

⁶⁶removed: bigrams are used to illustrate

⁶⁷removed: This will help in establishing

⁶⁸removed: appear in student responses. We opt to reserve the

⁶⁹removed: for another paper so that we can clearly differentiate between our findings in graphics project and the experiment's role in a classroom environment

⁷⁰removed: Results

⁷¹removed: Given the nature of the recruitment method, we were only able to recruit

Recruitment of Students and Instructors

We recruited 3 instructors for summer and fall semesters in 2023. Each instructor offered the project as extra credit in their course and student participation was entirely voluntary. A total of 82 students participated in the project [...⁷²], and 9 students [...⁷³] did not complete the project [...⁷⁴] completely (Table 2).

Selected Responses from [...⁷⁵]Experiment Participation

190 [..76]

191 Pre-Experiment Reflection

In the first stage of the project, students had limited information about the research objectives
and we recorded how students thought about scientific research. Before to the experiment,
students generally understood the purpose of scientific research by connecting the ideas
of hypothesis testing and publishing results as demonstrated in the Pre-Experiment bigram
plot from the Pre-Experiment Reflection (Figure 2). Students wrote about scientific research
starting from the place of a question, followed by conducting an experiment and relaying the
results to the public. [..⁷⁷] For example, the most common phrase groupings include variations
of "scientific research", "data collection", and "peer review".

⁷²removed: ; a summary of student participation is presented in Table 1. There were

⁷³removed: who

⁷⁴removed: in its entirety

 $^{^{75}\}mathrm{removed}\colon$ Reflections

⁷⁶removed: Prior

⁷⁷removed: A bigram plot from the Pre-Experiment Reflection is shown in Figure 3, which highlights the recurring trends and patterns in the student paragraph responses.

200 Post-Experiment Reflection

After participating in the experiment, students were provided prompts asking about the goals of
the research objectives. Some students correctly identified parts of the questions asked in
the post-experiment reflection, but [..⁷⁸] often missed the objective of comparing the accuracy
of ratio judgements of 2D and 3D graphs.

205 [..79]

[..80] When students were asked "What do you think the purpose of the experiment was?", one student responded "They could be trying to determine how different genders, ages, etc. perceive the sizes of the bars in the graph. Demographics could make a pretty significant difference." Another student responded "I think the purpose of this experiment was for the researcher to gather data on how people perceive, interpret, and understand 3D graphs." A third student correctly commented "I think this experiment aimed to test if it was easier to compare two graphs in 2D or 3D." [..81] For this question, a complete response would have included the comparisons of ratio judgements of the projections for 2D and 3D graphs.

214 [..82]

[..83][..84][..85][..86] We then asked students "What hypotheses might the experimenters have been testing?" A correct response would include measuring differences in accuracy of ratio

⁷⁸removed: many missed key components

⁷⁹removed: What do you think the purpose of the experiment was?

 $^{^{80}}$ removed: "

⁸¹removed: "To gage students skills at estimating relative size ratios."

⁸²removed: What hypotheses might the experimenters have been testing?

⁸³removed: "Do students change their answers when asked the same question over and over?"

⁸⁴removed: "How taking Statistics 218 effects how you can compare two groups."

⁸⁵removed: "That 2d is preferred over 3d. It cleans up the data presentation."

 $^{^{86}}$ removed: "

judgements between 2D and 3D graphs. One student correctly identified this by responding

"They might have been testing if a 2D model is easier to estimate its relative size to another

when compared to a 3D model of it."

220 [..87]

 $[...^{88}][..^{89}][..^{90}][..^{91}]$ Other students replied with statements that would not be able to be measured from the experiment, with one student responding "How taking Statistics 218 $[..^{92}]$ leffects how you can compare two groups" and another student saying "That 2d is preferred over 3d. It cleans up the data presentation."

225 [..93]

[...94] An important topic covered in STAT 218 is randomization, which we asked students with
"What elements of experimental design, such as randomization or the use of a control group, do
you think were present in the experiment? Why?" One student perfectly described randomization
by responding with "Randomization: The survey used an experimental design where in the
survey there were different sets of 3D charts and maybe by a randomization process each
participant was shown a different set of charts to see the differences in interpretations of the
charts based on which set was assigned. Control Group: Since this survey aimed to only

⁸⁷removed: What sources of error are involved in this experiment?

⁸⁸removed: "Misunderstanding of task, technical issues"

⁸⁹removed: "If people are just randomly picking answers."

⁹⁰removed: "Fatigue effect over the course of making many judgements, learning patterns from seeing the same ratios multiple times, possibly difference in eyesight among participants."

⁹¹removed: "As far as I know, there wasn't much random sampling involved or there may be some bias of sorts. The results may apply for students in STATS

⁹²removed: here at UNL, but maybe not for other students taking a similar statistics class elsewhere."

⁹³removed: What elements of experimental design, such as randomization or the use of a control group, do you think were present in the experiment? Why?

⁹⁴removed: "random students in the stats class"

understand how participants interpret 3D charts without comparison to other chart types,
then no control group was needed." Another student was partially correct with a response
of "Randomization was used because the ever person got a different graph." Other students
missed the utilization of randomization, with one student responding "random students in the
stats class" and another saying "Randomization was not used because it was offered as an
extra credit assignment in class." [..95]

Selected Responses from Research Reflections

In this set of reflections, students first read the extended abstract, followed by watching the
12-minute presentation video. The abstract unveiled the scope of the study to students, many
of whom did not realize the underlying complexities. Nearly all students responded with
statements about gaining clarity about the purpose the experiment and its role in testing
the differences between 2D and 3D graphs. A bigram plot of the student responses to the
abstract reflection prompt is shown in Figure [...96] 3. Students commented on how they now
understood the purpose of comparing 2D and 3D graphs, and also the potential benefits that
may stem from research, such as graphical accessibility to the visually impaired.

Lastly, more than half of the students (78.5%) responded that they preferred the presentation over the extended abstract [..⁹⁷]

 $[...^{98}]$ when asked "If you had to hear about this study using only the extended abstract or only the presentation, which one would you prefer? Which one would be better for determining

 $^{^{95}}$ removed: "Randomization was used because the ever person got a different graph."

⁹⁶removed: 4.

⁹⁷removed: .

⁹⁸removed: "

whether the experiment was well designed?" One student responded "I am a visual learner so I would have rather heard about in through the presentation. It also broke down the steps which is easier for me to understand. I think the presentation as a whole would be better for determining how the experiment is designed." [..99][..100][..101] Another student said "Personally I like the abstract better. If I get confused on something it is so much easier to go back and reread to understand what is going on. If I ask myself questions about it, it is much easier to go back and find answers to the questions as well."

Discussion

[...¹⁰²] Taken together, our results support the idea that we achieved our goal of providing students of an introductory statistics course with the opportunity to reflect on active research. Students generally appreciated the progressively revealing nature of the graphics project, which is evident from the abstract and presentation reflections. When provided with the post-experiment reflections, students often either missed the research objective of the experiment or had partially correct responses. The abstract reflection received many responses indicating that students had moments of realization about the true nature of our research goals, which was further expanded in the presentation reflection prompts. [..¹⁰³] Across all reflections, students were thoughtful, and sometimes amusing, with their responses and that they were on the path of statistical thinking.

⁹⁹removed: "I would prefer the presentation because it gives the audience more information about the experiment rather than the extended abstract. The presentation goes over the results of the experiment and explains what they mean using graphs and other visuals.

 $^{^{100}}$ removed: ...]"

¹⁰¹removed: "

 $^{^{102}\}mathrm{removed}\colon$ Our goal was to provide $^{103}\mathrm{removed}\colon$ The reflections indicated that

What we found was that the graphics project found success within the recommendations of the
GAISE College Report (?). Students were able to demonstrate their ability to think statistically
through the series of reflections. The graphics project made use of real data, along with data
collection, within the scope of an approachable and field-related topic, which allowed for students
to see how scientific research is conducted in the field of statistics.

A limitation of [..¹⁰⁴] our study is the use of open-ended responses that do not objectively assess student learning. While the student responses were useful in gathering insight, the responses are widely varied and do not have direct comparisons of statistical thinking throughout the modules. Another limiting factor is tiered layering of convenience sampling, with instructors being recruited [..¹⁰⁵] before recruiting students[..¹⁰⁶], which impacts the generalization of our findings. Nonetheless, the 82 students who participated provided meaningful answers that displayed a level of statistical thinking throughout the graphics project.

[...¹⁰⁷] Future studies could use a similar framework to conduct experiments on more typical 3-dimensional data, such as heatmaps. The use of graphical experiments in the classroom not only provides a readily available convenience sample, but also adheres to the recommendations of the [...¹⁰⁸] GAISE College Report (?). With the framework we provided in this paper, we aim to make adjustments to further improve the graphics experiment and corresponding project as an experiential learning opportunity.

 $^{^{104}}$ removed: this

¹⁰⁵removed: first

 $^{^{106}\}mathrm{removed}$. This makes it impossible to generalize our results to statistics students, let alone the students at University of Nebraska-Lincoln

¹⁰⁷removed: In future studies, we plan to

¹⁰⁸removed: Guidelines for Assessment and Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE)

288 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Department of Statistics at University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the instructional team behind Introduction to Statistics (STAT 218) for administering the experiment to students.

292 Author Contributions

Susan VanderPlas created the framework of the graphics project, submitted documentation to
the Institutional Review Board for approval, and provided contributions for the code used to
collect responses. Tyler Wiederich designed the experiment, wrote the code for administering
the experiment, recruited and trained instructors, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript.

References

- ²⁹⁸ Link to journal citation style: here
- Barfield, Woodrow, and Robert Robless. 1989. "The Effects of Two- or Three-Dimensional
- Graphics on the Problem-Solving Performance of Experienced and Novice Decision
- Makers." Behaviour & Information Technology 8 (5): 369–85. https://doi.org/10.1080/
- 01449298908914567.
- ³⁰³ Carver, Robert, Stonehill College, and Michelle Everson. 2016. "Guidelines for Assessment
- and Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE) College Report."
- Chang, Winston, Joe Cheng, JJ Allaire, Carson Sievert, Barret Schloerke, Yihui Xie, Jeff
- Allen, Jonathan McPherson, Alan Dipert, and Barbara Borges. 2023. "Shiny: Web

- Application Framework for r."
- Cleveland, William S., and Robert McGill. 1984. "Graphical Perception: Theory, Experi-
- mentation, and Application to the Development of Graphical Methods." Journal of the
- American Statistical Association 79 (387): 531–54. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1
- 984.10478080.
- Fisher, Samuel H., John V. Dempsey, and Robert T. Marousky. 1997. "Data Visualization:
- Preference and Use of Two-Dimensional and Three-Dimensional Graphs." Social Science
- 314 Computer Review 15 (3): 256–63. https://doi.org/10.1177/089443939701500303.
- Heer, Jeffrey, and Michael Bostock. 2010. "CHI '10: CHI Conference on Human Factors in
- Computing Systems." In, 203–12. Atlanta Georgia USA: ACM. https://doi.org/10.114
- 5/1753326.1753357.
- Kintel, Marius. 2023. "OpenSCAD. OpenSCAD.org." July 17, 2023.
- Kraus, Matthias, Katrin Angerbauer, Juri Buchmüller, Daniel Schweitzer, Daniel A. Keim,
- Michael Sedlmair, and Johannes Fuchs. 2020. "CHI '20: CHI Conference on Human
- Factors in Computing Systems." In, 1–14. Honolulu HI USA: ACM. https://doi.org/10
- .1145/3313831.3376675.
- Loy, Adam. 2021. "Bringing Visual Inference to the Classroom." Journal of Statistics and
- Data Science Education 29 (2): 171–82. https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2021.1920866.
- McGowan, Herle M. 2011. "Planning a Comparative Experiment in Educational Settings."
- Journal of Statistics Education 19 (2): 4. https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2011.1188
- ³²⁷ 9612.

```
328 [..109][..110]
```

- Murdoch, Duncan, and Daniel Adler. 2023. "Rgl: 3D Visualization Using OpenGL."
- Tintle, Nathan, Beth L Chance, George W Cobb, Allan J Rossman, Soma Roy, Todd
- Swanson, and Jill VanderStoep. 2021. Introduction to Statistical Investigations.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. "Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis."
- Wiederich, T. 2023. "Evaluating Perceptual Judgements on 3D Printed Bar Charts," May.
- https://twiedrw.github.io/3d_graphical_perception/SDSS_presentation/index.html.
- Wiederich, T, and S VanderPlas. n.d. "Placeholder: A Comparative Experiment on Projections
- of 2D and 3D Bar Charts."

¹⁰⁹removed: Microsoft Corporation. 2018.

 $^{^{110}\}mathrm{removed}:$ "Microsoft Excel."

Figures 5337

- Figure 1: Visual display of the experimental design for students who participated in the 3D bar charts experiment. Kits of graphs were created by first choosing five ratios from nine available options (1). Each ratio then uses all graph types, with the exception of the 3D printed graphs for online students (2). Finally, all graphs were randomly assigned to have the marked bars as adjacent or separated (3).
- Figure 2: Bigram of student responses to the pre-experiment prompt. Each line represents
 pairs of words that appeared together where each pair occurred at least twice. Students
 generally understood that science is about investigating research questions and collecting
 data.
- Figure 3: Bigram of student responses to the abstract reflection prompt. Each line represents
 pairs of words that appeared together where each pair occurred at least twice. Students
 generally understood that science is about investigating research questions and collecting
 data.

Tables

Table 2: Number of valid student participants by semester.

Semester	Number of Sections	Number of Students
Summer 2023 (May-June)	1	17
Summer 2023 (July-Aug)	1	23
Fall 2023 (May-June)	1	42

Students under 19 years of age or did not consent were exluded from data collection. To comply with IRB, no demographic information was collected $[..^{111}]$ to keep students anonymous with their reflections.

Table 1: Questions provided to students in each project module.

Reflection	Question	Prompt	
Pre-Experiment	Q3	In this class, you'll be learning about the process of scientific investigation. What do you think that process looks like, from the perspective of a researcher, compared to what it looks like from the perspective of someone in the general public who is a consumer of scientific results? Write a paragraph (at least 3-5 sentences) about how you think science happens.	
Post-Experiment	Q5	What do you think the purpose of the experiment was?	
	Q6	What hypotheses might the experimenter have been testing?	
	Q7	What sources of error are involved in this experiment?	
	Q8	What variables were examined? For each variable, identify whether it was quantitative or categorical.	
	Q9	What elements of experimental design, such as randomization or the use of a control group, do you think were present in the experiment? Why?	
Abstract	Q10	What components of the experiment are clearer now than they were as a participant? What questions do you still have for the experimenter? Write 3-5 sentences reflecting on the abstract.	
Presentation	Q11	How did the information you gained from the components of this project (participation, post-study reflection, extended abstract, presentation) differ?	
	Q12	What components were emphasized in the presentation that weren't emphasized in the abstract? Why do you think that is?	
	Q13	What critiques do you have of this study and its design? What would have made the study better?	
	Q14	If you had to hear about this study using only the extended abstract or only the presentation, which one would you prefer? Which one would be better for determining whether the experiment was well designed?	