GreenIT Article Review Report on "How realistic are claims about the benefits of using digital technologies for GHG emissions mitigation?"

Thursday 23rd November, 2023 - 05:12

TITCHEU YAMDJEU Pierre Wilfried University of Luxembourg Email: pierre.titcheu.001@student.uni.lu

 $(\pm 5 \text{ pages})$

Abstract

This report provides a critical review of the paper "How realistic are claims about the benefits of using digital technologies for GHG emissions mitigation?" by Aina Rasoldier et al. The paper evaluates the claims about digital solutions, particularly carpooling platforms, in reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, proposing guidelines for more realistic assessments.

1. Introduction $(\pm 0, 5p)$

The reviewed paper by Aina Rasoldier et al. explores the potential of digital technologies, particularly carpooling platforms, in mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Set against the backdrop of increasing digitalization and its environmental implications, the paper critically examines the prevailing assumptions about the efficacy of digital solutions in GHG reduction. The importance of this research lies in its relevance to GreenIT, a domain that emphasizes the role of technology in addressing environmental challenges. The paper aligns with the GreenIT perspective by scrutinizing the real-world impacts of digital technologies on the environment, underscoring the need for comprehensive evaluations beyond mere technological advancements.

2. Research problem addressed $(\pm 0, 5p)$

The paper addresses the research problem of evaluating the actual impact of digital technologies on GHG emissions. It questions the prevailing optimistic claims regarding the efficacy of digital solutions, specifically carpooling platforms, in environmental conservation. The research objectives include establishing a framework for a more realistic and comprehensive assessment of digital solutions in GHG mitigation. The paper hypothesizes that current evaluations of digital technologies for GHG reduction are often overestimated, lacking in critical analysis of life-cycle impacts, structural impacts, and rebound effects. It aims to substantiate this hypothesis by applying its proposed evaluation framework to the case of carpooling platforms.

3. Literature review $(\pm 1p)$

In this section, the student presents the scope of the references given in the publication with respect to the concepts introduced during the lecture.

4. Scientific contributions w.r.t. GreenIT and IT for Green $(\pm 2p)$

In this section, the scientific contributions of the paper should be presented USING THE CONCEPTS OF THE LECTURE.

5. Critical Analysis $(\pm 1p)$

Critical analysis of the publication in terms of the CON-CEPTS OF THE LECTURE, covering paper's contributions, presented related work, limitations and future work.

Appendix $(\pm 2p)$

All images and additional material go here. DO NOT include any figures, or tables, elsewhere than here in the appendix.

Plagiarism statement

This section is mandatory without modifications. I declare that I am aware of the following facts:

- I understand that in the following statement the term "person" represents a human or ANY AUTOMATIC GENERATION SYTEM.
- As a student at the University of Luxembourg I must respect the rules of intellectual honesty, in particular not to resort to plagiarism, fraud or any other method that is illegal or contrary to scientific integrity.
- My report will be checked for plagiarism and if the plagiarism check is positive, an internal procedure will be started by my tutor. I am advised to request a precheck by my tutor to avoid any issue.
- As declared in the assessment procedure of the University of Luxembourg, plagiarism is committed whenever the source of information used in an assignment, research report, paper or otherwise published/circulated piece of work is not properly acknowledged. In other words, plagiarism is the passing off as one's own the words, ideas or work of another person, without attribution to the author. The omission of such proper acknowledgement amounts to claiming authorship for the work of another person. Plagiarism is committed regardless of the language of the original work used. Plagiarism can be deliberate or accidental. Instances of plagiarism include, but are not limited to:
 - Not putting quotation marks around a quote from another person's work
 - 2) Pretending to paraphrase while in fact quoting
 - 3) Citing incorrectly or incompletely
 - 4) Failing to cite the source of a quoted or paraphrased work
 - 5) Copying/reproducing sections of another person's work without acknowledging the source
 - 6) Paraphrasing another person's work without acknowledging the source
 - 7) Having another person write/author a work for oneself and submitting/publishing it (with permission, with or without compensation) in one's own name ('ghost-writing')
 - 8) Using another person's unpublished work without attribution and permission ('stealing')
 - Presenting a piece of work as one's own that contains a high proportion of quoted/copied or paraphrased text (images, graphs, etc.), even if adequately referenced

Auto- or self-plagiarism, that is the reproduction of (portions of a) text previously written by the author without

citing that text, i.e. passing previously authored text as new, may be regarded as fraud if deemed sufficiently severe.