Project4

April 11, 2022

Implementating, evaluating and comparing on algorithms: Fairness Beyond Disparate Treatment & Disparate Impact: Learning Classification without Disparate Mistreatment (DM and DM-sen) and Handling Conditional Discrimination (LM and LPS)

Team members:

- Sarah Kurihara
- Varchasvi Vedula
- Wenhui Fang
- Krista Zhang
- Sharon Meng

1 Setup

1.1 Import essential packages

```
[5]: import warnings
     import time,sys
     import zipfile #When running in jupyter notebook, delete this code
     import random
     import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import tensorflow as tf
     from google.colab import drive #When running in jupyter notebook, delete this
     \rightarrow code
     from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
     from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
     from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
     from sklearn.svm import SVC
     from tensorflow import keras
     from keras.layers import Dense, Input
     from tensorflow.keras import Model
     import scipy.stats as ss
     warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

1.2 Load Database and Data Preprocessing

```
[4]: #When running in jupyter notebook, delete this code chunk
#!mkdir data
#drive.mount('/content/drive')
```

```
[6]: start=time.time()
     #data = pd.read_csv('drive/MyDrive/5243Project4/compas-scores-two-years.
     →csv')#When running in jupyter notebook, delete this code
     data = pd.read csv('./data/compas-scores-two-years.csv') #When running in
     → jupyter notebook, use this code
     #print(data.shape)
     \# filter out groups other than African-American and Caucasian and set them as \sqcup
     data = data[(data['race']=='African-American') | (data['race']=='Caucasian')]
     data['race'].loc[data['race']=='Caucasian'] = 1
     data['race'].loc[data['race']=='African-American'] = 0
     #print(data.shape)
     nan = (data.isnull().sum()/len(data))
     nan = nan[nan > 0.15].sort_values()
     nan_var = list(nan.index)
     data = data.drop(columns=nan_var)
     data['c_jail_in'] = pd.to_datetime(data['c_jail_in'])
```

```
data['c_jail_out'] = pd.to_datetime(data['c_jail_out'])
data['los'] = np.log((data['c_jail_out']-data['c_jail_in']).
→astype('timedelta64[h]')+1)#use log hours
data['in_custody'] = pd.to_datetime(data['in_custody'])
data['out_custody'] = pd.to_datetime(data['out_custody'])
data['custody'] = np.log((data['out_custody']-data['in_custody']).
→astype('timedelta64[h]')+1)
data['lasts'] = np.log(data['end']-data['start']+1)
data['c_days_from_compas'] = np.log(data['c_days_from_compas']+1)
#filter out useless variables including high correlation and string type
useless var = |
→['id','name','first','last','compas_screening_date','dob','age_cat','days_b_screening_arres
-- 'c_jail_in','c_jail_out','c_case_number','c_charge_desc','is_recid',
               'type_of_assessment','screening_date','v_type_of_assessment',

¬'v_screening_date', 'in_custody', 'out_custody', 'score_text', 'v_score_text',

               'decile_score.1','v_decile_score','priors_count.1','start','end']
data = data.drop(columns=useless_var)
data = data[data['los']!=float('-inf')]
data = data[data['custody']!=float('-inf')]
data = data[data['lasts']!=float('-inf')]
#one hot encoding on several features:sex,c_charge_degree
data['sex'].loc[data['sex']=='Male']= 1
data['sex'].loc[data['sex']=='Female']= 0
data['c_charge_degree'].loc[data['c_charge_degree']=='M']= 1
data['c_charge_degree'].loc[data['c_charge_degree']=='F']= 0
#data.to_csv('./data/compas_preproc.csv', index=False, header=True)
del nan_var, useless_var
#data =
→data[['age', 'race', 'sex', 'decile_score', 'priors_count', 'los', 'c_charge_degree', 'two_year_re
data = data.dropna()#6150*23->5730*16
#print(data.shape)
print(data.head(5))
X = data.drop(columns='two_year_recid')
features = list(X.columns)
X.index = range(data.shape[0])
#As age, priors_count, los are continuous variables, we can scale them
X_cont = X[['age', 'juv_fel_count', 'decile_score', 'juv_misd_count',

¬'juv_other_count', 'priors_count', 'c_days_from_compas', 'los', 'custody',

→'lasts']]
```

```
X_cate = X[['sex', 'race', 'c_charge_degree', 'is_violent_recid', 'event']]
X_cont = pd.DataFrame(StandardScaler().fit_transform(X_cont),columns=['age',_
 →'priors_count', 'c_days_from_compas', 'los', 'custody', 'lasts'])
#X_cont = X[['age', 'decile_score', 'priors_count', 'los']]
#X cate = X[['sex', 'race', 'c charge degree']]
\#X\_cont = pd.DataFrame(StandardScaler().fit\_transform(X\_cont),columns=['age', \_]
 → 'decile_score', 'priors_count', 'los'])
X_df = pd.concat([X_cate,X_cont],axis=1)
#X['decile_score'] = X['decile_score']/10
y df = data["two year recid"]
# convert class label 0 to -1 so as to add sign in distance
#y[y==0] = -1
features = list(X.columns)
X = np.asarray(X df).astype('float32')
y = np.asarray(y_df).astype('float32')
del X_cate, X_cont
                juv_fel_count decile_score juv_misd_count
                                                            juv_other_count
  sex
      age race
1
   1
       34
             0
                            0
                                         3
                                                         0
                                                                          0
2
   1
       24
             0
                            0
                                         4
                                                         0
                                                                          1
6
   1
       41
             1
                            0
                                         6
                                                         0
                                                                          0
                            0
                                          1
                                                         0
                                                                          0
8
   0
       39
             1
9
       21
                            0
                                         3
                                                         0
                                                                          0
             1
  priors_count c_days_from_compas c_charge_degree is_violent_recid
                          0.693147
1
             0
                                                                         1
2
             4
                          0.693147
                                                0
                                                                  0
                                                                         0
6
            14
                                                0
                                                                  0
                                                                         1
                          0.693147
8
             0
                                                1
                                                                  0
                                                                         0
                          0.693147
9
                                                0
                                                                  1
                                                                         1
             1
                          5.733341
  two_year_recid
                       los
                             custody
                                         lasts
                 5.488938 5.484797
                                     5.017280
1
               1
2
               1 3.295837 0.000000 4.158883
6
               1 5.023881 6.070738 3.583519
8
               0 4.262680 4.290459
                                     6.614726
9
               1 3.178054 3.218876 6.061457
```

1.3 Data Splitting

```
[8]: #Use 5:1:1 as the ratio of train:val:test
# Fro A4
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=800,_
→random_state=3)
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val =
→train_test_split(X_train,y_train,test_size=800,random_state=3)
train_size = len(y_train)
train_idx_AA = np.array(range(train_size))[X_train[:,1]==0.0]
train_idx_C = np.array(range(train_size))[X_train[:,1]==1.0]
test_size = len(y_test)
test_idx_AA = np.array(range(test_size))[X_test[:,1]==0.0]
test_idx_C = np.array(range(test_size))[X_test[:,1]==1.0]
print('\n',"#"*80,'\n',' '*20," Split up Train-Validation-Test sets for A4_
→",'\n',"#"*80,'\n')
print(" X_train size: ", X_train.shape, ", y_train size: ", y_train.shape, __
\hookrightarrow '\n',
     "X_validation size: ", X_val.shape, ", y_validation size: ", y_val.
\rightarrowshape, '\n',
     "X_test size: ", X_test.shape, ', y_test size: ',y_test.shape)
print(" X_train_AA size: ", X_train[train_idx_AA].shape, ", X_train_C size:__
→", X_train[train_idx_C].shape, '\n',
     "X_test_AA size: ", X_test[test_idx_AA].shape, ', X_test_C size:__
→',X_test[test_idx_C].shape, '\n',
     "Ratio:", X_train[train_idx_AA].shape[0]/X_train[train_idx_C].
→shape[0], X_test[test_idx_AA].shape[0]/X_test[test_idx_C].shape[0])
print('\n',"#"*80)
# For A6
X_train_df, X_test_df, y_train_df, y_test_df = train_test_split(X_df, y_df,__
→test_size=800, random_state=3)
X_train_df, X_val_df, y_train_df, y_val_df =
train_test_split(X_train_df,y_train_df,test_size=800,random_state=3)
X_train_df.race = abs(X_train_df.race-1)
X_test_df.race = abs(X_test_df.race-1)
X_val_df.race = abs(X_val_df.race-1)
X_train_df.c_charge_degree = abs(X_train_df.c_charge_degree-1)
X_test_df.c_charge_degree = abs(X_test_df.c_charge_degree-1)
X_val_df.c_charge_degree = abs(X_val_df.c_charge_degree-1)
train = pd.concat([X_train_df.reset_index(drop=True), y_train_df.
→reset_index(drop=True)], axis = 1)
test = pd.concat([X_test_df.reset_index(drop=True), y_test_df.
→reset_index(drop=True)], axis = 1)
print('\n',"#"*80,'\n',' '*20," Split up Train-Validation-Test sets for
\hookrightarrow A6", '\n', "#"*80, '\n')
print(" X_train size: ", X_train_df.shape, ", y_train size: ", y_train_df.
⇔shape, '\n',
```

```
"X_validation size: ", X_val_df.shape, ", y_validation size: ", y_val_df.

shape, '\n',

"X_test size: ", X_test_df.shape, ', y_test size: ',y_test_df.

shape)

print('\n',"#"*80)
```

2 Handling Conditional Discrimination (LM and LPS)

```
[9]: # X_ALL = all predictors, S = sensitive attributes, E = explanatory attribute, \( \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te\
```

```
X_test_6 = test[X_ALL]
y_test_6 = test[Y]
```

2.1 Baseline Model

We use a logistic regression classifier.

```
[10]: clf = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(X_train_6, y_train_6)
clf.score(X_test_6, y_test_6)
```

[10]: 0.93

2.2 A6 Algorithms

A6 uses the following setup:

- There is a sensitive attribute S. We want to avoid discrimination between different values of S. In our case, S is race, which takes values in {African American (1), Caucasian (0)}
- There is an explanatory variable E, which is correlated with S. Hence, simply removing S won't fix bias. In our case, we assume it is c_charge_degree.

2.2.1 Create functions used in pseudocode

```
[11]: # Creates a list of partitions: 1 for each unique value of e

# X is the full dataset (in our case, train)
# e is the
def PARTITION(X):
    partitions = list()

for e_i in np.unique(X[E]):
    partitions.append(X[X[E]==e_i])

return partitions
```

```
[12]: # Delta function returns the number of observations (i.e. people) who are

incorrectly classified

# based on theoretical probabilities of reciding, calculated as the average

rate of reciding

# for each explanatory variable (in our case, type of crime comittied,

c_charge_degree)

def DELTA(X, X_ei, s_i):

# Gi is the number of observations for each race

# Don't we need to pass S as a parameter for this function?

Gi = sum(X_ei[S] == s_i)
```

```
# X_ei_si is the dataset that contains the observations for each race
  X_{ei}si = X_{ei}[X_{ei}[S] == s_{i}]
   # P_denom is the number of people in group
  # P_num is number of observations who recid
  P_denom = X_ei_si.shape[0]
  P_num = sum(X_ei_si[Y] == 1)
  # P is the probability of reciding for one race
  # It is calculated by taking number of people who recid in each group
  # dividied by total number of people in that group
  P = P num/P denom
  # All other observations (for the other group)
  X_ei_not_si = X_ei[X_ei[S] != s_i]
   # The probability of reciding for the other group (same calculation as_{\sqcup}
\rightarrowabove)
  Ps_2 = sum(X_ei_not_si[Y] == 1)/X_ei_not_si.shape[0]
  # Ps is P*, which is the theoretical true probability of reciding
  # Calculated by the average
  Ps = (P+Ps_2)/2
  # Calcualte the number of incorrectly classified people
  d = int(round(Gi * abs(P - Ps)))
  return(d)
```

2.2.2 Local Massaging

```
afam_ranks = (ss.rankdata(ranker_model.
       →decision_function(afam_predicted_1[X_ALL]))-1).astype(int)
          afam_tochange = [i for (i, v) in zip(list(range(len(afam_ranks))),
       →afam_ranks < delta_afam) if v]</pre>
          afam_tochange_idx = [afam_predicted_1_index_Y1[v] for v in afam_tochange]
          cauca_index = [i for (i, v) in zip(list(range(X_ei.shape[0])), list(X_ei[S]_
       \rightarrow == 0)) if v]
          cauca = X ei[X ei[S] == 0].copy()
          delta_cauca = DELTA(train, X_ei, 0)
          cauca_predicted_0_index = [cauca_index[v] for v in np.squeeze(np.
       →where(ranker_model.predict(cauca[X_ALL]) == 0))]
          cauca_predicted_0_index_Y0 = [i for (i,v) in zip(cauca_predicted_0_index,__
       →X_ei.iloc[cauca_predicted_0_index][Y]) if v==0]
          cauca_predicted_0 = X_ei.iloc[cauca_predicted_0_index_Y0]
          cauca ranks = (ss.rankdata(-ranker model.
       →decision_function(cauca_predicted_0[X_ALL]))-1).astype(int)
          cauca_tochange = [i for (i, v) in zip(list(range(len(cauca_ranks))),__
       →cauca_ranks < delta_cauca) if v]</pre>
          cauca_tochange_idx = [cauca_predicted_0_index_Y0[v] for v in cauca_tochange]
          for i in afam_tochange_idx:
              X_ei_copy.loc[X_ei_copy.index[i], Y] = 0
          for i in cauca_tochange_idx:
              X_ei_copy.loc[X_ei_copy.index[i], Y] = 1
          relabeled_X_ei.append(X_ei_copy)
          print("DELTA(African American) = ", delta_afam, "African Americans changed⊔
       \rightarrowfrom 1 to 0")
          print("DELTA(Caucasian) = ", delta_cauca, "Caucasians changed from 0 to 1")
      local_massaging = pd.concat(relabeled_X_ei)
     DELTA(African American) = 46 African Americans changed from 1 to 0
     DELTA(Caucasian) = 39 Caucasians changed from 0 to 1
     DELTA(African American) = 90 African Americans changed from 1 to 0
     DELTA(Caucasian) = 54 Caucasians changed from 0 to 1
[14]: lm_X_train = local_massaging[X_ALL]
      lm_Y_train = local_massaging[Y]
[15]: clf = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(lm_X_train, lm_Y_train)
      clf.score(X_test_6[X_ALL], y_test_6)
```

```
[15]: 0.915
```

```
[16]: # Total number changed values should be sum of all DELTAs shown above res = [1 for i, j in zip(train.sort_index()["two_year_recid"], pd.

→DataFrame(lm_Y_train).sort_index()["two_year_recid"]) if i != j]

sum(res)
```

[16]: 229

2.2.3 Local Preferential Sampling

In this algorithm, we take in a dataset (train) and return a modified dataset of same size.

```
[17]: pd.options.mode.chained_assignment = None
      recomp_train = pd.DataFrame()
      # for each partition (explanatory variable)
      for X_ei in PARTITION(train):
          print("start partition")
          X_ei_copy = X_ei.copy()
          print("X_ei shape:", X_ei_copy.shape)
          # learn a ranker Hi : Xi -> Yi
          ranker_model = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(X_ei[X_ALL], X_ei[Y])
          # Calculate half delta (AA: S i = 1, AA: S i = 0)
          half_delta_afam = DELTA(train, X_ei, 1) // 2
          half_delta_cauc = DELTA(train, X_ei, 0) // 2
          print("Half Delta(AA):", half_delta_afam)
          print("Half Delta(Cauc):", half_delta_cauc)
          # store indicies
          afam_index = [i for (i, v) in zip(list(range(X_ei.shape[0])), list(X_ei[S]_
       \rightarrow == 1)) if v]
          c_index = [i for (i, v) in zip(list(range(X_ei.shape[0])), list(X_ei[S] ==__
       \rightarrow 0)) if v]
          print("Total AAs:", len(afam_index))
          print("Total Cs:", len(c_index))
          # get subset of data to work with
          afam = X_ei[X_ei[S] == 1].copy()
          c = X_ei[X_ei[S] == 0].copy()
          print("afam dataset shape:", afam.shape)
          print("c dataset shape:", c.shape)
          # rank AA
```

```
afam.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
   rank = pd.DataFrame(ranker_model.decision_function(afam[X_ALL]), columns =__
\hookrightarrow ['rank'])
   afam_with_rank = pd.concat([afam, rank], axis=1)
   # rank C
   c.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
   rank = pd.DataFrame(ranker_model.decision_function(c[X_ALL]), columns = __
→['rank'])
   c_with_rank = pd.concat([c, rank], axis=1)
   # sort values, reset indices
   afam_with_rank = afam_with_rank.sort_values(['rank'])
   afam_with_rank.reset_index(drop = True, inplace = True)
   c_with_rank = c_with_rank.sort_values(['rank'])
   c_with_rank.reset_index(drop = True, inplace = True)
   ####### Modify AA data - find rows to delete/duplicate; decision boundary
→ is 0 #####
   recid = sum(afam_with_rank['rank'] > 0)
   no_recid = sum(afam_with_rank['rank'] < 0)</pre>
   total = len(afam_with_rank)
   # make copy of recids and no_recids
   # compas = compas[compas['days b screening arrest'] >= -30]
   cleaned_recid = afam_with_rank[afam_with_rank['rank'] > 0]
   cleaned_no_recid = afam_with_rank[afam_with_rank['rank'] < 0]</pre>
   # delete first 1/2 delta values from recid
   N = half_delta_afam
   print("N:", N)
   print("rows in cleaned_recid before:", cleaned_recid.shape)
   cleaned_recid.drop(index=cleaned_recid.index[:N], axis=0, inplace=True)
   print("rows in cleaned_recid after:", cleaned_recid.shape)
   # flip order, then duplicate first 1/2 delta values from no_recid
   #print("cleaned_no_recid before:", cleaned_no_recid)
   cleaned_no_recid = cleaned_no_recid.sort_values(by='rank', ascending=False)
   #print("cleaned_no_recid after:", cleaned_no_recid)
   print("N:", N)
   print("rows in cleaned_no_recid before:", cleaned_no_recid.shape)
   cleaned_no_recid = cleaned_no_recid.append(cleaned_no_recid[0:N])
   print("rows in cleaned_no_recid after:", cleaned_no_recid.shape)
   # combine
   total_AA = pd.concat([cleaned_recid, cleaned_no_recid])
   print("size of final A:", total_AA.shape)
```

```
######## Modify C data ##########
   # Find rows to delete/duplicate; decision boundary is 0; opposite code as L
\rightarrowabove
   recid = sum(c_with_rank['rank'] < 0)</pre>
   no recid = sum(c with rank['rank'] > 0)
   total = len(c_with_rank)
   # make copy of recids and no_recids
   cleaned_recid = c_with_rank[c_with_rank['rank'] < 0]</pre>
   cleaned_no_recid = c_with_rank[c_with_rank['rank'] > 0]
   # delete first 1/2 delta values from recid
   M = half_delta_cauc
   print("M:", M)
   print("rows in cleaned_recid before:", cleaned_recid.shape)
   cleaned_recid.drop(index=cleaned_recid.index[:M], axis=0, inplace=True)
   print("rows in cleaned_recid after:", cleaned_recid.shape)
   # flip order, then duplicate first 1/2 delta values from no_recid
   #print("cleaned no recid before:", cleaned no recid)
   cleaned_no_recid = cleaned_no_recid.sort_values(by='rank', ascending=False)
   #print("cleaned_no_recid after:", cleaned_no_recid)
   print("M:", M)
   print("rows in cleaned_no_recid before:", cleaned_no_recid.shape)
   cleaned_no_recid = cleaned_no_recid.append(cleaned_no_recid[0:M])
   print("rows in cleaned_no_recid after:", cleaned_no_recid.shape)
   # combine
   total_C = pd.concat([cleaned_recid, cleaned_no_recid])
   print("size of final C:", total_C.shape)
   print("end partition")
   # combine both datasets
   recomp_train = recomp_train.append(total_AA)
   recomp_train = recomp_train.append(total_C)
   recomp_train = recomp_train.drop('rank', axis=1)
   print("size of train:", train.shape)
   print("size of recomp:", recomp_train.shape)
```

start partition
X_ei shape: (1386, 16)
Half Delta(AA): 23
Half Delta(Cauc): 19
Total AAs: 750
Total Cs: 636

```
afam dataset shape: (750, 16)
c dataset shape: (636, 16)
N: 23
rows in cleaned_recid before: (355, 17)
rows in cleaned_recid after: (332, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid before: (395, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid after: (418, 17)
size of final A: (750, 17)
M: 19
rows in cleaned_recid before: (415, 17)
rows in cleaned_recid after: (396, 17)
M: 19
rows in cleaned_no_recid before: (221, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid after: (240, 17)
size of final C: (636, 17)
end partition
size of train: (4130, 16)
size of recomp: (1386, 16)
start partition
X_ei shape: (2744, 16)
Half Delta(AA): 45
Half Delta(Cauc): 27
Total AAs: 1722
Total Cs: 1022
afam dataset shape: (1722, 16)
c dataset shape: (1022, 16)
N: 45
rows in cleaned_recid before: (983, 17)
rows in cleaned_recid after: (938, 17)
N: 45
rows in cleaned_no_recid before: (739, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid after: (784, 17)
size of final A: (1722, 17)
M: 27
rows in cleaned_recid before: (561, 17)
rows in cleaned_recid after: (534, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid before: (461, 17)
rows in cleaned_no_recid after: (488, 17)
size of final C: (1022, 17)
end partition
size of train: (4130, 16)
size of recomp: (4130, 16)
```

2.3 Evaluation

Notation: P_c stands for probability based on the classifier's predictions.

2.3.1 Metrics Used:

Parity or D_all Parity is defined as the difference is positive prediction rates in the two race groups. Paper 6 also calls this D_all, which stands for all discrimination. Fairness calls for Parity being close to 0.

```
Parity = |P_c(recid = 1 | race = African American) - P_c(recid = 1 | race = Caucasian)
```

Calibration Calibration is defined as the difference in accuracies between the two race groups. Fairness calls for Calibration being close to 0.

Calibration = $|P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = African American) - <math>P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = Caucasian)$

Equality of Odds Equality of odds is achieved when the difference in positive prediction rates is equal for the two race groups. Fairness calls for the following value to be close to 0 for both y in $\{0,1\}$.

 $D_Odds = P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = y) - P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = y)$

```
[18]: # X must include the sensitive feature
def PARITY(X, Y_PRED):
    s = X[S]

    afam = X[X[S] == 1]
    num_afam = sum(Y_PRED[X[S] == 1])
    den_afam = afam.shape[0]

    cauca = X[X[S] == 0]
    num_cauca = sum(Y_PRED[X[S] == 0])
    den_cauca = cauca.shape[0]

    print("P_c(recid = 1 | race = African American) =", num_afam/den_afam)
    print("P_c(recid = 1 | race = Caucasian) =", num_cauca/den_cauca)
    parity = abs(num_afam/den_afam - num_cauca/den_cauca)
    print("Parity =", parity)

    return(parity)
```

```
cauca = X[X[S] == 0]
Y_TRUE_cauca = Y_TRUE[X[S] == 0]
num_cauca = sum([1 for (i, v) in zip(Y_TRUE_cauca, Y_PRED[X[S]==0]) if i ==_
v])
den_cauca = cauca.shape[0]

print("P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = African American) =",_
num_afam/den_afam)
print("P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = Caucasian) =", num_cauca/
den_cauca)
calibration = abs(num_afam/den_afam - num_cauca/den_cauca)
print("Calibration =", calibration)
```

```
[20]: def EQUALITY_OF_ODDS(X, Y_TRUE, Y_PRED):
          \# S = afam, Y = O
          X_afam_0 = X[np.logical_and(X[S]==1, Y_TRUE == 0)]
          Y_PRED_afam_0 = Y_PRED[np.logical_and(X[S]==1, Y_TRUE == 0)]
          num_afam_0 = sum([1 for i in Y_PRED_afam_0 if i == 1])
          denom_afam_0 = X_afam_0.shape[0]
          P_afam_0 = num_afam_0/denom_afam_0
          \# S = afam, Y = 1
          X_afam_1 = X[np.logical_and(X[S]==1, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          Y PRED afam 1 = Y PRED[np.logical and(X[S]==1, Y TRUE == 1)]
          num_afam_1 = sum([1 for i in Y_PRED_afam_1 if i == 1])
          denom afam 1 = X afam 1.shape[0]
          P_afam_1 = num_afam_1/denom_afam_1
          \# S = cauca, Y = 0
          X_cauca_0 = X[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 0)]
          Y PRED_cauca_0 = Y PRED[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 0)]
          num_cauca_0 = sum([1 for i in Y_PRED_cauca_0 if i == 1])
          denom_cauca_0 = X_cauca_0.shape[0]
          P_cauca_0 = num_cauca_0/denom_cauca_0
          \# S = cauca, Y = 1
          X_cauca_1 = X[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          Y_PRED_cauca_1 = Y_PRED[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          num cauca 1 = sum([1 for i in Y PRED cauca 1 if i == 1])
          denom_cauca_1 = X_cauca_1.shape[0]
          P_cauca_1 = num_cauca_1/denom_cauca_1
          print("For recid = 0:\n")
          print("P_c(recid.hat = 1 | race = African American, recid = 0) = ",__
       \rightarrowP_afam_0)
          print("P_c(recid.hat = 1 | race = Caucasian, recid = 0) = ", P_cauca_0)
```

```
[21]: def D_FNR(X, Y_TRUE, Y_PRED):
          \# S = afam, Y = 1
          X \text{ afam } 1 = X[np.logical and}(X[S]==1, Y TRUE == 1)]
          Y_PRED_afam_1 = Y_PRED[np.logical_and(X[S]==1, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          num_afam_1 = sum([1 for i in Y_PRED_afam_1 if i == 0])
          denom_afam_1 = X_afam_1.shape[0]
          P_afam_1 = num_afam_1/denom_afam_1
          \# S = cauca, Y = 1
          X_cauca_1 = X[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          Y_PRED_cauca_1 = Y_PRED[np.logical_and(X[S]==0, Y_TRUE == 1)]
          num_cauca_1 = sum([1 for i in Y_PRED_cauca_1 if i == 0])
          denom_cauca_1 = X_cauca_1.shape[0]
          P_cauca_1 = num_cauca_1/denom_cauca_1
          print("Difference in False Negative Rates")
          print("For recid = 1:\n")
          print("P_c(recid.hat = 0 | race = African American, recid = 1) = ", |
       \rightarrowP afam 1)
          print("P_c(recid.hat = 0 | race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = ", P_cauca_1)
          print("D_FNR =", abs(P_afam_1 - P_cauca_1))
```

2.3.2 Baseline Evaluation

```
[22]: clf = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(X_train_6, y_train_6)
baseline_pred = clf.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])
clf.score(X_test_6, y_test_6)
```

[22]: 0.93

[23]: print(classification_report(y_test_6, clf.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])))

```
precision recall f1-score support

0 0.93 0.93 0.93 417
1 0.93 0.93 0.93 383
```

```
[24]: # Parity
      PARITY(X_test_6, baseline_pred)
     P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = African American) = 0.5376569037656904
     P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = Caucasian) = 0.391304347826087
     Parity = 0.14635255593960345
[24]: 0.14635255593960345
[25]: # Calibration
      CALIBRATION(X_test_6, y_test_6, baseline_pred)
     P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = African American) = 0.9372384937238494
     P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = Caucasian) = 0.9192546583850931
     Calibration = 0.01798383533875625
[26]: # Equality of Odds
      EQUALITY_OF_ODDS(X_test_6, y_test_6, baseline_pred)
     For recid = 0:
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = 0) = 0.06787330316742081
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 0) = 0.0663265306122449
     Difference in odds of true recid = 0 is = D_FPR = 0.001546772555175907
     For recid = 1:
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.9416342412451362
     P c(recid.hat = 1 | race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.8968253968253969
     Difference in odds of true recid = 1 is = D_TPR = 0.04480884441973931
[27]: # D_FNR
      D_FNR(X_test_6, y_test_6, baseline_pred)
     Difference in False Negative Rates
     For recid = 1:
     P c(recid.hat = 0 | race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.058365758754863814
```

0.93

0.93

0.93

800

800

800

accuracy

macro avg

weighted avg

0.93

0.93

0.93

0.93

```
P_c(recid.hat = 0 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.10317460317460317
D_FNR = 0.044808844419739355
```

2.3.3 Local Massaging Evaluation

```
[28]: clf = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(lm_X_train, lm_Y_train)
lm_pred = clf.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])
clf.score(X_test_6[X_ALL], y_test_6)
```

[28]: 0.915

[29]: print(classification_report(y_test_6, clf.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.91	0.93	0.92	417
1	0.92	0.90	0.91	383
accuracy			0.92	800
macro avg	0.92	0.91	0.91	800
weighted avg	0.92	0.92	0.91	800

```
[30]: # Parity
```

```
PARITY(X_test_6, lm_pred)
```

```
P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = African American) = 0.49581589958158995

P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = Caucasian) = 0.422360248447205

Parity = 0.07345565113438496
```

[30]: 0.07345565113438496

[31]: # Calibration

```
CALIBRATION(X_test_6, y_test_6, lm_pred)
```

P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = African American) = 0.9163179916317992 P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = Caucasian) = 0.9130434782608695 Calibration = 0.0032745133709296548

[32]: # Equality of Odds

```
EQUALITY_OF_ODDS(X_test_6, y_test_6, lm_pred)
```

For recid = 0:

```
P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = 0) = 0.04524886877828054

P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 0) = 0.09693877551020408

Difference in odds of true recid = 0 is = D_FPR = 0.051689906731923536
```

```
For recid = 1:
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.8832684824902723
     P c(recid.hat = 1 | race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.9285714285714286
     Difference in odds of true recid = 1 is = D_TPR = 0.04530294608115626
[33]: # D_FNR
      D_FNR(X_test_6, y_test_6, lm_pred)
     Difference in False Negative Rates
     For recid = 1:
     P_c(recid.hat = 0 | race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.11673151750972763
     P_c(recid.hat = 0 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.07142857142857142
     D_FNR = 0.045302946081156203
     2.3.4 Local Preferential Sampling Evaluation
[34]: recomp_X_train = recomp_train[X_ALL]
      recomp_Y_train = recomp_train[Y]
      print("size of recomp_X_train:", recomp_X_train.shape)
      print("size of recomp_Y_train:", recomp_Y_train.shape)
      clf_LPS = LogisticRegression(random_state=0).fit(recomp_X_train, recomp_Y_train)
      LPS_pred = clf_LPS.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])
      clf_LPS.score(X_test_6[X_ALL], y_test_6)
     size of recomp_X_train: (4130, 15)
     size of recomp_Y_train: (4130,)
[34]: 0.9275
[35]: print(classification_report(y_test_6, clf_LPS.predict(X_test_6[X_ALL])))
                   precision
                                recall f1-score
                                                    support
                0
                        0.93
                                  0.93
                                             0.93
                                                        417
                        0.92
                                  0.93
                                             0.92
                                                        383
                                             0.93
                                                        800
         accuracy
        macro avg
                        0.93
                                  0.93
                                             0.93
                                                        800
     weighted avg
                        0.93
                                  0.93
                                             0.93
                                                        800
[36]: PARITY(X_test_6, LPS_pred)
```

```
P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = African American) = 0.5418410041841004
     P_c(recid = 1 \mid race = Caucasian) = 0.391304347826087
     Parity = 0.15053665635801344
[36]: 0.15053665635801344
[37]: CALIBRATION(X_test_6, y_test_6, LPS_pred)
     P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = African American) = 0.9330543933054394
     P_c(recid predicted correctly | race = Caucasian) = 0.9192546583850931
     Calibration = 0.013799734920346252
[38]: EQUALITY_OF_ODDS(X_test_6, y_test_6, LPS_pred)
     For recid = 0:
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 | race = African American, recid = 0) = 0.07692307692307693
     P c(recid.hat = 1 | race = Caucasian, recid = 0) = 0.0663265306122449
     Difference in odds of true recid = 0 is = D_{FPR} = 0.010596546310832025
     For recid = 1:
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.9416342412451362
     P_c(recid.hat = 1 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.8968253968253969
     Difference in odds of true recid = 1 is = D_TPR = 0.04480884441973931
[39]: # D_FNR
     D_FNR(X_test_6, y_test_6, LPS_pred)
     Difference in False Negative Rates
     For recid = 1:
     P_c(recid.hat = 0 | race = African American, recid = 1) = 0.058365758754863814
     P_c(recid.hat = 0 \mid race = Caucasian, recid = 1) = 0.10317460317460317
     D FNR = 0.044808844419739355
```

- 3 Fairness Beyond Disparate Treatment & Disparate Impact: Learning Classification without Disparate Mistreatment (DM and DM-sen)
- 3.1 Baseline Model And Evaluation (Using Neural Network)

```
[40]: def base_nn_model(X_in,y_in,X_val,y_val):
          feature = Input(X_in.shape[1],)
          y = Dense(2, "softmax")(feature)
          model = Model(feature,y)
          adam = tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(0.001)
          loss = keras.losses.BinaryCrossentropy(from_logits=True)
          metric = [tf.keras.metrics.BinaryAccuracy()]
          #, tf.keras.metrics.FalsePositives() tf.keras.metrics.FalseNegatives()
          model.compile(optimizer=adam, loss=loss, metrics=metric)
          model.fit(X in,tf.
       →one_hot(y_in,2),epochs=10,batch_size=10,validation_data=(X_val,tf.
       \rightarrowone_hot(y_val,2)))
          return model
      def evaluation(model,X,y):
          y pred = model.predict(X)
          y_pred = np.argmax(np.round(y_pred), axis=1)
          y_pred_AA, y_test_AA = y_pred[test_idx_AA], y[test_idx_AA]
          y_pred_C, y_test_C = y_pred[test_idx_C], y[test_idx_C]
          acc = model.evaluate(X, tf.one_hot(y,2))[1]
          FPR_all = sum(y_pred[y==0]==1)/len(y[y==0])
          FNR_all = sum(y_pred[y==1]==0)/len(y[y==1])
          FPR_AA = sum(y_pred_AA[y_test_AA==0]==1)/len(y_test_AA[y_test_AA==0])
          FNR_AA = sum(y_pred_AA[y_test_AA==1]==0)/len(y_test_AA[y_test_AA==1])
          FPR_C = sum(y_pred_C[y_test_C==0]==1)/len(y_test_C[y_test_C==0])
          FNR_C = sum(y_pred_C[y_test_C==1]==0)/len(y_test_C[y_test_C==1])
          pred_p_AA, pred_p_C = np.mean(y_pred_AA==1), np.mean(y_pred_C==1)
          acc_AA, acc_C = np.mean(y_pred_AA == y_test_AA), np.mean(y_pred_C ==_
       →y_test_C)
          print('\n',"#"*80)
          print('The accuracy of baseline model NN is: %3f.'%(acc))
          print('The False Positive Rate for overall population is: %3f.'%FPR_all)
          print('The False Negative Rate for overall population is: %3f.'%FNR_all)
          print("Specifically:")
          print('Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and ⊔
       →Caucasian are %3f and %3f, and D_par=%3f.
       →'%(pred_p_AA,pred_p_C,pred_p_AA-pred_p_C))
          print('Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American,
       →and Caucasian are %3f and %3f, and D cal=%3f. '%(acc AA,acc C,acc AA-acc C))
```

```
print('The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are %3f

→and %3f, and D_FPR=%3f.'%(FPR_AA,FPR_C,FPR_AA-FPR_C))

print('The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are %3f

→and %3f, and D_FNR=%3f.'%(FNR_AA,FNR_C,FNR_AA-FNR_C))

print('\n',"#"*80)

[41]:

#If we don't drop 'race' in the X_train and X_test:

NN1 = base_nn_model(X_train,y_train,X_val,y_val)

evaluation(NN1,X_test,y_test)
```

```
NN1 = base_nn_model(X_train,y_train,X_val,y_val)
evaluation(NN1,X_test,y_test)
#If we drop 'race' in the X_train and X_test:
NN2 = base_nn_model(np.delete(X_train,0,1),y_train,np.delete(X_val,0,1),y_val)
evaluation(NN2,np.delete(X_test,1,1),y_test)
Epoch 1/10
```

```
413/413 [============ ] - 2s 4ms/step - loss: 0.6104 -
binary_accuracy: 0.7247 - val_loss: 0.4960 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8037
Epoch 2/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8264 - val_loss: 0.4063 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8550
Epoch 3/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8680 - val_loss: 0.3522 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8813
Epoch 4/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8881 - val_loss: 0.3146 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8925
Epoch 5/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8998 - val_loss: 0.2871 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8988
binary_accuracy: 0.9075 - val_loss: 0.2665 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9075
binary_accuracy: 0.9133 - val_loss: 0.2505 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9087
Epoch 8/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9160 - val_loss: 0.2380 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9100
Epoch 9/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9179 - val_loss: 0.2275 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9112
Epoch 10/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9201 - val_loss: 0.2192 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9150
25/25 [========== ] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 0.2076 -
binary_accuracy: 0.9300
```

The accuracy of baseline model NN is: 0.930000.

```
The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.083933. The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.054830. Specifically:
```

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.562762 and 0.397516, and D_par=0.165246.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.928870 and 0.931677, and D_cal=-0.002807.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.104072 and 0.061224, and $D_FPR=0.042848$.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.042802 and 0.079365, and $D_FNR=-0.036564$.

```
Epoch 1/10
binary_accuracy: 0.7332 - val_loss: 0.5167 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7975
Epoch 2/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8312 - val_loss: 0.4146 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8525
Epoch 3/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8678 - val_loss: 0.3559 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8725
Epoch 4/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8874 - val_loss: 0.3165 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8975
Epoch 5/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8990 - val_loss: 0.2883 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9050
binary_accuracy: 0.9097 - val_loss: 0.2673 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9125
binary_accuracy: 0.9148 - val_loss: 0.2512 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9162
Epoch 8/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9165 - val_loss: 0.2383 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9162
Epoch 9/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9167 - val_loss: 0.2278 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9175
Epoch 10/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9196 - val_loss: 0.2195 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9212
25/25 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.2076 -
binary_accuracy: 0.9275
```

The accuracy of baseline model NN is: 0.927500.

```
The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.079137. The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.065274. Specifically:
```

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.554393 and 0.391304, and D_par=0.163089.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.924686 and 0.931677, and D_cal=-0.006991.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.099548 and 0.056122, and $D_FPR=0.043425$.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.054475 and 0.087302, and $D_FNR=-0.032827$.

3.2 Baseline Model with customized constraint and loss

```
[42]: def DFR(model,X,y,type):
          111
          type: str in ['dfnr', 'dfpr', 'both']
          if type!='dfnr' and type!='dfpr' and type!='both':
              return None
          size = len(y)
          idx_AA = np.array(range(size))[X[:,1]==0.0]
          idx_C = np.array(range(size))[X[:,1]==1.0]
          y_pred = model.predict(X)
          y_pred = np.argmax(np.round(y_pred), axis=1)
          y_pred_AA, y_AA = y_pred[idx_AA], y[idx_AA]
          y_pred_C, y_C = y_pred[idx_C], y[idx_C]
          FPR AA = sum(y pred AA[y AA==0]==1)/len(y AA[y AA==0])
          FNR_AA = sum(y_pred_AA[y_AA==1]==0)/len(y_AA[y_AA==1])
          FPR_C = sum(y_pred_C[y_C==0]==1)/len(y_C[y_C==0])
          FNR_C = sum(y_pred_C[y_C==1]==0)/len(y_C[y_C==1])
          dfnr = FNR_AA-FNR_C
          dfpr = FPR_AA-FPR_C
          if type=='dfnr':
              return dfnr
          elif type=='dfpr':
              return dfpr
          else:
              return dfnr,dfpr
      dfnr,dfpr = DFR(NN1,X_test,y_test,'both')
      print(dfnr,dfpr)
```

-0.0365635229448459 0.04284790839412689

```
[43]: def new_training_groups(model, X_train, y_train):
```

```
n*d
   model.predict(X)
                       n*2
   y
           n,
   delta
           С,
   dfr "dfpr", "dfnr", "both"
   #split training sets according to sensitive variable
  X train AA = X train[np.array(X train[:,1] == 0.0)]
  y_train_AA = y_train[np.array(X_train[:,1] == 0.0)]
  X_train_C = X_train[np.array(X_train[:,1] == 1.0)]
  y_train_C = y_train[np.array(X_train[:,1] == 1.0)]
   #get the ones with wrong prediction in discriminated group
  dn,dp = DFR(model,X_train,y_train,type="both")
  if dp>0: d=0
  else: d = 1
  if d == 0:
       #take penalized trainers
       y_pred_AA = np.argmax(model.predict(X_train_AA),axis = 1)
       y_diff_AA = y_train_AA-y_pred_AA
       X_train_penalized = X_train_AA[y_diff_AA != 0.0]
       y_train_penalized = y_train_AA[y_diff_AA != 0.0]
       # safe trainers
       X_train_clean = X_train_AA[y_diff_AA == 0.0]
       y_train_clean = y_train_AA[y_diff_AA == 0.0]
       #make new
       X_train_clean = np.concatenate((X_train_clean, X_train_C), axis=0)
       y_train_clean = np.concatenate((y_train_clean,y_train_C),axis=0)
  else:
       #reverse the steps above for train set 1
       y_pred_C = np.argmax(model.predict(X_train_C),axis = 1)
       y_diff_C = y_train_C-y_pred_C
       X_train_penalized = X_train_C[y_diff_C != 0.0]
       y_train_penalized = y_train_C[y_diff_C != 0.0]
       # safe trainers
       X_train_clean = X_train_C[y_diff_C == 0.0]
       y_train_clean = y_train_C[y_diff_C == 0.0]
       #make new
       X train clean = np.concatenate((X train clean, X train AA), axis=0)
       y_train_clean = np.concatenate((y_train_clean,y_train_AA),axis=0)
  \#X\_train\_penalized = tf.convert\_to\_tensor(X\_train\_penalized, dtype=tf.
\hookrightarrow float32)
   #X train safe = tf.convert_to_tensor(X train safe, dtype=tf.float32)
```

**Note: When few features are used in the model, the model and its prediction are unstable. Even though features are added, it sometimes ends the loop when D(fpr)>0.05.

```
[44]: ## initialization
      np.random.seed(7777)
      model = base_nn_model(X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val)
      #initialized C and delta
      C = 1
      delta = 0.2
      # new training groups
      X ts, y ts, X tp, y tp, dn, dp = new training groups(model, X train, y train)
      feature = Input(X_train.shape[1],)
      y = Dense(2, "softmax")(feature)
      mod_loop = Model(feature,y)
      adam = tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(0.001)
      loss = keras.losses.BinaryCrossentropy(from_logits=True)
      metric = [tf.keras.metrics.BinaryAccuracy()]
      def penal_loss(y_true,y_pred):
        return loss(tf.one_hot(y_tp,2), mod_loop(X_tp))
      def clean_loss(y_true,y_pred):
        return loss(tf.one_hot(y_ts,2), mod_loop(X_ts))
      count = 0
      # start while loop
      while (count==0 or count\%2==1 or abs(dp)>0.05) and count<20:
          # or examine dn, here count%2==1 used to control accuracy in case the
      →accuracy is below 0.5 and one of the overall fpr/fnr will be close to 1
          C = C + delta
          #print('Count:%d'%count)
          mod loop.compile(optimizer=adam,loss=[penal loss,
       →clean_loss],loss_weights=[C,1],metrics=metric)
          mod_loop.fit(X_train, tf.one_hot(y_train,2), epochs=10,__
       →validation_data=(X_val,tf.one_hot(y_val,2)))
          X_ts, y_ts, X_tp, y_tp, dn, dp = new_training_groups(mod_loop, X_train,_
       →y_train)
          #dp = DFR(model_in_loop, X_test, y_test, 'dfpr')
          count+=1
```

```
Epoch 2/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8661 - val_loss: 0.4080 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8750
binary_accuracy: 0.8864 - val_loss: 0.3449 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8963
binary_accuracy: 0.9015 - val_loss: 0.3037 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9000
Epoch 5/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9102 - val_loss: 0.2746 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9075
Epoch 6/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9157 - val_loss: 0.2539 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9125
Epoch 7/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9182 - val_loss: 0.2384 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9137
Epoch 8/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9206 - val_loss: 0.2265 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9162
Epoch 9/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9208 - val_loss: 0.2173 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9162
Epoch 10/10
binary_accuracy: 0.9232 - val_loss: 0.2102 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.9162
Epoch 1/10
binary_accuracy: 0.4160 - val_loss: 0.8304 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.3862
Epoch 2/10
130/130 [=============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.7811 -
binary_accuracy: 0.3630 - val_loss: 0.7392 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.3475
Epoch 3/10
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.7104 -
binary_accuracy: 0.3397 - val_loss: 0.6851 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.3250
Epoch 4/10
binary_accuracy: 0.3143 - val_loss: 0.6485 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.2850
Epoch 5/10
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.6341 -
binary_accuracy: 0.2833 - val_loss: 0.6203 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.2488
binary_accuracy: 0.2470 - val_loss: 0.5966 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.2188
Epoch 7/10
binary_accuracy: 0.2136 - val_loss: 0.5758 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.1975
```

```
Epoch 8/10
binary_accuracy: 0.1966 - val_loss: 0.5571 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.1875
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.5486 -
binary_accuracy: 0.1801 - val_loss: 0.5402 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.1713
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.5324 -
binary_accuracy: 0.1695 - val_loss: 0.5245 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.1562
Epoch 1/10
130/130 [============ ] - 1s 3ms/step - loss: 1.7477 -
binary_accuracy: 0.2481 - val_loss: 1.3499 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.3738
Epoch 2/10
binary_accuracy: 0.4649 - val_loss: 1.0434 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.5213
Epoch 3/10
130/130 [=========== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.9578 -
binary_accuracy: 0.5772 - val_loss: 0.8824 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.6025
Epoch 4/10
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.8256 -
binary_accuracy: 0.6380 - val_loss: 0.7730 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.6413
Epoch 5/10
binary_accuracy: 0.6726 - val_loss: 0.6896 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.6837
Epoch 6/10
130/130 [============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.6554 -
binary_accuracy: 0.7002 - val_loss: 0.6224 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7125
Epoch 7/10
binary_accuracy: 0.7262 - val_loss: 0.5666 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7325
Epoch 8/10
130/130 [============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.5427 -
binary_accuracy: 0.7453 - val_loss: 0.5193 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7550
Epoch 9/10
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.4987 -
binary_accuracy: 0.7656 - val_loss: 0.4785 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7713
Epoch 10/10
binary_accuracy: 0.7816 - val_loss: 0.4431 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7825
Epoch 1/10
130/130 [============= ] - 1s 3ms/step - loss: 1.4945 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8306 - val_loss: 1.0354 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8587
130/130 [=============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.8704 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8119 - val_loss: 0.7487 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7375
Epoch 3/10
130/130 [=============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.6773 -
binary_accuracy: 0.6806 - val_loss: 0.6167 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.6288
```

```
Epoch 4/10
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.5740 -
binary_accuracy: 0.6034 - val_loss: 0.5355 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.5838
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.5059 -
binary_accuracy: 0.5538 - val_loss: 0.4783 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.5437
binary_accuracy: 0.5211 - val_loss: 0.4349 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.5225
Epoch 7/10
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.4172 -
binary_accuracy: 0.4990 - val_loss: 0.4002 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.5075
Epoch 8/10
binary_accuracy: 0.4831 - val_loss: 0.3715 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.4938
Epoch 9/10
130/130 [=========== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.3592 -
binary_accuracy: 0.4676 - val_loss: 0.3472 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.4850
Epoch 10/10
binary_accuracy: 0.4547 - val_loss: 0.3262 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.4787
Epoch 1/10
binary_accuracy: 0.5830 - val_loss: 1.0849 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7212
Epoch 2/10
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.8227 -
binary_accuracy: 0.7588 - val_loss: 0.6399 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.7912
Epoch 3/10
binary_accuracy: 0.7966 - val_loss: 0.4707 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8150
Epoch 4/10
130/130 [============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.4228 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8259 - val_loss: 0.3815 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8338
Epoch 5/10
130/130 [============= ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.3520 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8329 - val_loss: 0.3253 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8562
Epoch 6/10
binary_accuracy: 0.8395 - val_loss: 0.2861 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8562
Epoch 7/10
130/130 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.2710 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8407 - val_loss: 0.2567 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8587
binary_accuracy: 0.8390 - val_loss: 0.2338 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8550
Epoch 9/10
130/130 [=============== ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.2244 -
binary_accuracy: 0.8378 - val_loss: 0.2153 - val_binary_accuracy: 0.8512
```

```
[45]: #Evaluation
print(DFR(mod_loop,X_test,y_test,type='both'))
evaluation(mod_loop,X_test,y_test)
```

The accuracy of baseline model NN is: 0.837500.

The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.055156.

The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.279373.

Specifically:

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.441423 and 0.273292, and D_par=0.168131.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.820084 and 0.863354, and $D_{cal}=-0.043270$.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.090498 and 0.015306, and $D_FPR=0.075192$.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.256809 and 0.325397, and $D_FNR=-0.068587$.

3.3 Implementation of $DM_{sen} \& DM$ algorithm

3.3.1 Implementation on DM_{sen}

We first implement DM_{sen} as we won't do anything to the dataset:

```
test_idx_AA = np.array(range(test_size))[X_test[:,1]==0.0]
test_idx_C = np.array(range(test_size))[X_test[:,1]==1.0]
X train1 = np.hstack((np.ones(X_train.shape[0]).reshape(X_train.shape[0],1),__
→X_train))
X train1 AA = X train1[train idx AA]
X_train1_C = X_train1[train_idx_C]
y_train_AA = y_train[train_idx_AA]
y_train_C = y_train[train_idx_C]
X_test1 = np.hstack((np.ones(X_test.shape[0]).reshape(X_test.shape[0],1),__
\hookrightarrow X_{\text{test}})
X test1 AA = X test1[test idx AA]
X_test1_C = X_test1[test_idx_C]
y_test_AA = y_test[test_idx_AA]
y_test_C = y_test[test_idx_C]
print('\n',"#"*80,'\n',' '*20," Split up Train-Test sets ",'\n',"#"*80,'\n')
print(" X_train size: ", X_train1.shape, ", y_train size: ", y_train.
\rightarrowshape, '\n',
      "X_test size: ", X_test1.shape, ', y_test size: ',y_test.shape)
print(" X_train_AA size: ", X_train1[train_idx_AA].shape, ", X_train_C size: __
→", X_train1[train_idx_C].shape, '\n',
      "X_test_AA size: ", X_test1[test_idx_AA].shape, ', X_test_C size:_
→',X_test1[test_idx_C].shape, '\n',
      "Ratio:", X_train1[train_idx_AA].shape[0]/X_train1[train_idx_C].
⇒shape[0], X_test1[test_idx_AA].shape[0]/X_test1[test_idx_C].shape[0])
print('\n',"#"*80)
```

Split up Train-Test sets

(Note: From the paper, loss function is modified in logistic regression)

[48]: #pip install dccp #when running in jupyter notebook, delete this chunk

```
[49]: ###PLEASE DON'T MOVE THIS CHUNK TO 1.1 IMPORT ESSENTIAL PACKAGES
import dccp
import cvxpy as cvx
```

```
[50]: def lossfunc(X, theta, y_true):
          # This function returns the log loss.
          y_true = 2*y_true - 1 #{0,1}->{-1,1}
          log_loss = sum(logistic(multiply(-y_true, X*theta)))
          return log_loss
      np.random.seed(5243)
      theta = cvx.Variable(X train1.shape[1])
      theta.value = np.random.rand(theta.shape[0])
      tau, mu, EPS = 0.005, 1.5, 1
      Prob1 = cvx.Problem(Minimize(lossfunc(X_train1,theta,y_train)),[]) # No_\( \)
       \rightarrow constraints
      print(dccp.is_dccp(Prob1))
      #print(theta.value)
      #[0.5591043 0.9994264 0.57031546 0.43833912 0.08453454 0.05043884
      # 0.91119515 0.16423428 0.3034639 0.41950956 0.85237613 0.4244003
      # 0.96147514 0.26277008 0.02849745 0.61075812]
      result = Prob1.solve(method='dccp', tau=tau, mu=mu, tau max=1e10, verbose=True)
      →#Here changes the theta.value, result avoids the output
      #print(theta.value)
      #[-2.02760707 0.12980288 0.12303316 -0.27410271 1.71950929 4.75786739
      # -0.27591511 0.02399848 0.45356176 0.05861524 0.04602311 0.27193313
      # -0.04650322 0.15181987 -0.45112505 -2.24001541]
     True
[51]: def predict(X, theta):
          #y:{-1,1}->{0,1}
          d = np.dot(X,theta)
          y_pred = (np.sign(d) + 1)/2
          return y_pred
      theta_star = theta.value
      y_pred = predict(X_test1, theta_star)
[52]: def evaluation_DM(X,y,y_pred):
          size = X.shape[0]
          idx AA = np.array(range(size))[X[:,1]==0.0]
          idx_C = np.array(range(size))[X[:,1]==1.0]
          y_pred_AA, y_test_AA = y_pred[idx_AA], y[idx_AA]
          y_pred_C, y_test_C = y_pred[idx_C], y[idx_C]
```

from cvxpy import *

```
FPR_all = np.sum(y_pred[y==0]==1)/len(y[y==0])
    FNR_all = np.sum(y_pred[y==1]==0)/len(y[y==1])
    FPR_AA = np.sum(y_pred_AA[y_test_AA==0]==1)/len(y_test_AA[y_test_AA==0])
    FNR_AA = np.sum(y_pred_AA[y_test_AA==1]==0)/len(y_test_AA[y_test_AA==1])
    FPR_C = np.sum(y_pred_C[y_test_C==0]==1)/len(y_test_C[y_test_C==0])
    FNR_C = np.sum(y_pred_C[y_test_C==1]==0)/len(y_test_C[y_test_C==1])
    pred_p_AA, pred_p_C = np.mean(y_pred_AA==1), np.mean(y_pred_C==1)
    acc = np.sum(y_pred == y)/len(y)
    acc_AA, acc_C = np.mean(y_pred_AA == y_test_AA), np.mean(y_pred_C ==_

y_test_C)

    print('\n',"#"*80)
    print('The accuracy of baseline model LR is: %3f.'%(acc))
    print('The False Positive Rate for overall population is: %3f.'%FPR_all)
    print('The False Negative Rate for overall population is: %3f.'%FNR_all)
    print("Specifically:")
    print('Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and _{\!\sqcup}
→Caucasian are %3f and %3f, and D par=%3f.
 →'%(pred_p_AA,pred_p_C,pred_p_AA-pred_p_C))
    print('Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American⊔
→and Caucasian are %3f and %3f, and D_cal=%3f.'%(acc_AA,acc_C,acc_AA-acc_C))
    print('The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are \%3f_{\sqcup}

→and %3f, and D_FPR=%3f.'%(FPR_AA,FPR_C,FPR_AA-FPR_C))
    print('The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are %3f11
→and %3f, and D_FNR=%3f.'%(FNR_AA,FNR_C,FNR_AA-FNR_C))
    print('\n',"#"*80)
#print(y_pred.shape)
evaluation_DM(X_test1,y_test,y_pred)
```

The accuracy of baseline model LR is: 0.924084.

The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.084956.

The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.067126.

Specifically:

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.423729 and 0.538462, and D_par=-0.114733.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.932203 and 0.921978, and D_cal=0.010225.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.077465 and 0.087470, and D FPR=-0.010006.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.053191 and 0.069815, and $D_FNR=-0.016624$.

If we do not put constaints on the loss function, the accuracy of the logistic regression model is around 92%, while the FPR, FNR are around 0.05.

Then, we put the constraint in the following model:

```
[53]: # Constaints on loss function
     np.random.seed(5243)
     theta1 = cvx.Variable(X_train1.shape[1])
     theta1.value = np.random.rand(theta.shape[0])
     tau, mu, EPS = 0.5, 1.6, 1e-4
     def g_theta(y,X,theta):
         y = 2*y - 1
         d = matmul(X,theta)
         y d = multiply(y,d)
         return minimum(np.zeros_like(y_d),y_d)
     c = 0.05
     NO = X_train1_AA.shape[0]
     N1 = X_train1_C.shape[0]
     N = X_{train1.shape[0]}
     print(N,NO,N1)
     Prob2 = cvx.Problem(Minimize(lossfunc(X_train1, theta1, y_train)),
                      [NO/N*sum(g_theta(y_train_C, X_train1_C, theta1)) <= c + N1/
      →N*sum(g_theta(y_train_AA, X_train1_AA,theta1)),
                      NO/N*sum(g_theta(y_train_C,X_train1_C,theta1)) >= N1/
      →N*sum(g_theta(y_train_AA, X_train1_AA,theta1)) - c]) # With constraints
     print(dccp.is dccp(Prob2))
     result1 = Prob2.solve(method='dccp', tau=tau, mu=mu, tau_max=1e10, verbose=True)
     #g_theta(y_train, X_train1, theta.value).value.shape
     #constraint()
     #X train.
     #pd.DataFrame(X_train)
     #pd.DataFrame(x_train1)
     4584 2745 1839
     True
[54]: y_pred1 = predict(X_test1, theta1.value)
     evaluation_DM(X_test1,y_test,y_pred1)
     The accuracy of baseline model LR is: 0.924084.
```

```
The accuracy of baseline model LR is: 0.924084.

The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.088496.

The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.063683.

Specifically:
```

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian

are 0.427966 and 0.541758, and D_par=-0.113792.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.927966 and 0.923077, and D_cal=0.004889.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.084507 and 0.089835, and $D_FPR=-0.005327$.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.053191 and 0.065708, and $D_FNR=-0.012517$.

From above result, we may see the DM_{sen} algorithm slightly drops the D_{FPR} to around -0.005, which is very close to 0.

3.3.2 implementation on DM

```
[55]: X_train1_sen = np.delete(X_train1,2,1)
     X train1 AA sen = X train1 sen[train idx AA]
     X_train1_C_sen = X_train1_sen[train_idx_C]
     X_test1_sen = np.delete(X_test1,2,1)
     X_test1_AA_sen = X_test1_sen[test_idx_AA]
     X_test1_C_sen = X_test1_sen[test_idx_C]
     print('\n',"#"*80,'\n',' '*20," Split up Train-Test sets ",'\n',"#"*80,'\n')
     print(" X_train1_sen_size: ", X_train1_sen_shape, ", y_train_size: ", |
      "X_test1_sen size: ", X_test1_sen.shape, ', y_test size: ',y_test.
      ⇔shape)
     print(" X_train1_AA_sen size: ", X_train1_AA_sen.shape, ", X_train1_C_sen_
      ⇒size: ", X_train1_C_sen.shape, '\n',
           "X_test1_AA_sen size: ", X_test1_AA_sen.shape, ', X_test1_C_sen size: "
      →',X test1 C sen.shape, '\n',
           "Ratio:", X_train1_AA_sen.shape[0]/X_train1_C_sen.shape[0],X_test1_AA_sen.
      \rightarrow shape [0]/X_test1_C_sen.shape [0])
     print('\n',"#"*80)
```

```
[56]: # Constaints on loss function
      np.random.seed(5243)
      theta2 = cvx.Variable(X_train1_sen.shape[1])
      theta2.value = np.random.rand(theta2.shape[0])
      tau, mu, EPS = 0.5, 1.6, 1e-4
      c = 0.05
      NO = X_train1_AA_sen.shape[0]
      N1 = X train1 C sen.shape[0]
      N = X_train1_sen.shape[0]
      print(N,NO,N1)
      Prob2 = cvx.Problem(Minimize(lossfunc(X_train1_sen,theta2,y_train)),
                       [NO/N*sum(g_theta(y_train_C,X_train1_C_sen,theta2)) <= c + N1/
       →N*sum(g_theta(y_train_AA,X_train1_AA_sen,theta2)),
                        NO/N*sum(g theta(y train C,X train1 C sen,theta2)) >= N1/
      →N*sum(g_theta(y_train_AA,X_train1_AA_sen,theta2)) - c]) # With constraints
      print(dccp.is dccp(Prob2))
      result1 = Prob2.solve(method='dccp', tau=tau, mu=mu, tau max=1e10, verbose=True)
     4584 2745 1839
     True
[58]: y_pred2 = predict(X_test1_sen, theta2.value)
      evaluation_DM(X_test1_sen,y_test,y_pred2)
```

The accuracy of baseline model LR is: 0.925829.

The False Positive Rate for overall population is: 0.084956.

The False Negative Rate for overall population is: 0.063683.

Specifically:

Parity Check: The rate of positive estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.423729 and 0.540659, and D_par=-0.116931.

Calibration Check: The rate of correct estimate for African American and Caucasian are 0.932203 and 0.924176, and D_cal=0.008028.

The False Positive Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.077465 and 0.087470, and $D_FPR=-0.010006$.

The False Negative Rate for African American and Caucasian are 0.053191 and 0.065708, and $D_FNR=-0.012517$.


```
[59]: end = time.time()
print('The running time of overall algorithm is: %3fs.'%(end-start)) # around
→100 seconds
```

The running time of overall algorithm is: 416.239410s.

We may see DM algorithm drops D_{FNR} . But from the above results, it's hard for us to see which one is perfect and how DM_{sen} violates the disparate treatment. Overall speaking, this algorithm has an impact on controlling the difference in FPR and FNR, but the effect deserves further study as when few features are in the model, both two algorithms seem to have no effect on controlling our target.