import nltk, time import gzip import \_pickle as cpickle from gensim import models from gensim.models import Word2Vec import logging import nltk from gensim import corpora, models, similarities stopwords en=stopwords.words("english") **Exploring data** philosphy data csv=pd.read csv('C://Users/Devika/Documents/Columbia/Term 2/Applied Data Science/philoso phy data.csv') In [3]: philosphy data csv.head() Out[3]: title author school sentence\_spacy sentence\_str original\_publication\_date corpus\_edition\_date sentence\_length sentence\_lowe What's new, What's new, Plato what's r Socrates, to Socrates, to Complete Plato -350 1997 125 plato socrates, to m make you leave make you you leave you Works your ... leave your ... Surely you Surely you are Plato are not surely you are not prosecuting 1 Complete prosecuting -350 1997 Plato plato prosecuting any anyone before Works anyone before t... before t... The Athenians the athenian not call this a 74 2 Complete Plato do not call this a -350 1997 not call th plato Works prosecution b... prosecution prosecution b... Plato -What is this you What is this what is this Complete -350 1997 21 Plato plato you say? Works Someone Someone must Plato must have someone r have indicted -350 1997 101 4 Complete Plato indicted you, have indicted plato you, for you are Works for you are for you are i no.. **Code Information** This data consists of many pieces of information about the authors, their school of their and their thoughts and sayings. It also contains the era of the philosphers and the latest edition of the corpus with their thoughts. The sentences are also lemmatized for ease of use and the sentence length is also given. To begin, I will create a simple timeline of the period in which each philospher existed. First, I will duplicate the dataframe as it will come in handy. In [4]: | philosphy data=philosphy data csv.copy() In [5]: temp df=philosphy data csv.sort values(by=['original publication date'],ascending=True) temp\_df=pd.DataFrame(temp\_df.loc[:, ['author','original\_publication\_date']].drop\_duplicates().values) dates = temp df.iloc[:,1] names = temp df.iloc[:,0] #Removing negative value as python does not accept negative years as dates names=names[4:] dates=dates[4:].astype(str) dates = [datetime.strptime(d, "%Y") for d in dates] In [6]: # Choose some nice levels levels = np.tile([-5, 5, -3, 3, -1, 1],int(np.ceil(len(dates)/6)))[:len(dates)] # Create figure and plot a stem plot with the date fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(20, 8), constrained layout=True) ax.set(title="Philosphers in different eras") markerline, stemline, baseline = ax.stem(dates, levels, linefmt="C3-", basefmt="k-", use line collection=True) plt.setp(markerline, mec="k", mfc="w", zorder=3) # Shift the markers to the baseline by replacing the y-data by zeros. markerline.set ydata(np.zeros(len(dates))) # annotate lines vert = np.array(['top', 'bottom'])[(levels > 0).astype(int)] for d, l, r, va in zip(dates, levels, names, vert): ax.annotate(r, xy=(d, 1), xytext=(-3, np.sign(1)\*3), textcoords="offset points", va=va, ha="right") # format xaxis with 100 month intervals ax.get xaxis().set major locator(mdates.YearLocator(50)) ax.get xaxis().set major formatter(mdates.DateFormatter("%Y")) plt.setp(ax.get xticklabels(), rotation=30, ha="right") # remove y axis and spines ax.get yaxis().set visible(False) for spine in ["left", "top", "right"]: ax.spines[spine].set visible(False) ax.margins(y=0.1)plt.show() Philosphers in different eras Locke Hege Descartes Nietzsche 2650 2700 1800 1950 **Explanation** The above graph shows the different time periods in which the philosphers were acive. Note that one philospher has been mentioned more than once, depending on the times their accounts are from. It is also important to note that some dates are not parsed by python and hence philosphers before 1000 AD are not shown above. This timeline gives some insights about the eras of philosphers. It shows that Descartes was active before 1650 and Hume came a century later. It precisely captures the arrival of Smith and Hime. The graph also depicts the modern authors like Lewis and Kripke. In [7]: temp df=philosphy data csv.sort values(by=['original publication date'],ascending=True) temp df=pd.DataFrame(temp df.loc[:, ['author', 'original publication date']].drop duplicates().values) dates = temp\_df.iloc[:,1] names = temp df.iloc[:,0] #Removing negative value as python does not accept negative years as dates names=names[4:] dates=dates[4:].astype(str) dates = [datetime.strptime(d, "%Y") for d in dates] # Create figure and plot a stem plot with the date fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(20, 8), constrained layout=True) ax.set(title="Philosphies in different eras") markerline, stemline, baseline = ax.stem(dates, levels, linefmt="C3-", basefmt="k-", use line collection=True) plt.setp(markerline, mec="k", mfc="w", zorder=3) # Shift the markers to the baseline by replacing the y-data by zeros. markerline.set ydata(np.zeros(len(dates))) # annotate lines vert = np.array(['top', 'bottom'])[(levels > 0).astype(int)] for d, l, r, va in zip(dates, levels, names, vert): ax.annotate(r, xy=(d, 1), xytext=(-3, np.sign(1)\*3), textcoords="offset points", va=va, ha="right") # format xaxis with 100 month intervals ax.get xaxis().set major locator(mdates.YearLocator(50)) ax.get\_xaxis().set\_major\_formatter(mdates.DateFormatter("%Y")) plt.setp(ax.get xticklabels(), rotation=30, ha="right") # remove y axis and spines ax.get\_yaxis().set\_visible(False) for spine in ["left", "top", "right"]: ax.spines[spine].set visible(False) ax.margins(y=0.1)plt.show() Philosphies in different eras Descartes Berkele Hege Nietzsch Descarte: 2650 1800 1950 2700 2750 **Explanation** The above graph shows the different time periods in which the different schools of thoughts were prevalent. Note that one school has been mentioned more than once, depending on the times their accounts are from. It is also important to note that some dates are not parsed by python and hence philosphers before 1000 AD are not shown above. This timeline gives some insights about the rise of ideas in different eras. Rationalism nad Empricism Were popular schools in the medieval eras. However, the 1800s witnessed many philospers with german idealism. It is interesting to note that first world war happened after 100 years of german idealism. Could it have led the germans into a sense of pride and nationalism which resulted in war? German idealism is followed by other popular schools like capitalism and communism. The modern era philosphers have shifted more towards analytic schools. **Data Analysis Code Information** Now I will analyse the different schools of thoughts. I will roll up the data to make the data unique at author-sentence level. I will use this data to first analyze the different topics selected school and then use LSI algorithm for predicting the most related school for a selected sentence or paragraph. **Topic Selection** In [8]: import ipywidgets as widgets In [9]: w=widgets.Dropdown( options=['plato','aristotle','empiricism','rationalism','analytic','continental','phenomenology','g erman idealism', 'communism', 'capitalism', 'stoicism', 'nietzsche', 'feminism'], value='plato', description='Select a school of thought to find the topics in it:', In [10]: def on change(change): if change['type'] == 'change' and change['name'] == 'value': print("changed to %s" % change['new']) return change['new'] **Code Information** User can select any school of thought and the corresponding topics would be shown to the user. In [11]: w.observe(on change) #print(selected topics) display(w) In [12]: from sklearn.feature\_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer from sklearn.decomposition import TruncatedSVD rslt df = philosphy data csv[philosphy data csv['school'] == w.value] vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop words='english', max features= 1000, # keep top 1000 terms  $\max df = 0.5$ , smooth idf=True) X = vectorizer.fit transform(rslt df['sentence lowered']) #X.shape # check shape of the document-term matrix # SVD represent documents and terms in vectors svd model = TruncatedSVD(n components=10, algorithm='randomized', n iter=100, random state=122) svd model.fit(X) #len(svd model.components ) terms = vectorizer.get feature names() wordcloud string='' for i, comp in enumerate(svd model.components): terms comp = zip(terms, comp) sorted terms = sorted(terms comp, key= lambda x:x[1], reverse=True)[:7] for t in sorted terms: wordcloud string+=t[0]+' ' In [13]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from wordcloud import WordCloud # Create and generate a word cloud image: wordcloud = WordCloud(max font size=50, max words=1000, background color="white").generate(wordcloud st ring) # Display the generated image: plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear') plt.axis("off") plt.show() understand know suppose 👅 people let certainly names tell different **Explanation** The above figure shows the words in the top topics of the selected school by the user. Analysing different schools gives an insight into the basic philosphy of each school. Plato and Aristotle's writings are more about men and understanding. Rationalists' writing are more about good and evil and love, whereas feminists writing are about women and workers etc. Predicting school of new sentences **Code Information** First preprocessing the test and training data to remove stopwords and anagrams in each. Words less than 2 characters are removed, as they are seldom important. In [14]: **def** remove stopwords test(cut keyword): stop words = set(stopwords.words('english')) filtered sentence = [] for words in cut keyword: if words not in stop words and len(words)>=3: filtered sentence.append(words) return filtered sentence In [15]: **def** remove stopwords train(cut keyword): stop words = set(stopwords.words('english')) filtered sentence = [] for l in cut\_keyword: temp=[] for w in 1: if w not in stop\_words and len(w)>=3: temp.append(w) filtered sentence.append(temp) return filtered sentence **Code Information** 

Since the combined dataset of all the authors is huge, training will take a lot of time. Therefore only a select few sentences of each school is

philosphy data=philosphy data.groupby('school')['sentence lowered'].apply(''.join).reset index()

The data below is test data. It can be changed and schools of new sentences can be predicted. Just for fun, snippets of Trump's tweet is

turing non-competitive. Sorry losers and haters, but my I.Q. is one of the highest - and you all know i t! Please don't feel so stupid or insecure, it's not your fault. 26,000 unreported sexual assaults in t

texts = [[word for word, pos in nltk.pos tag(nltk.word tokenize(doc)) if 'NN' in pos or 'JJ' in pos] for

In [25]: test data="The concept of global warming was created by and for the Chinese in order to make US manufac

In [16]: philosphy data['sentence lowered']=philosphy data['sentence lowered'].apply(str)

philosphy data['paragraph']=philosphy data['sentence lowered'].str[:100000]

used in test data for finding the school associated with it. It can be changed to find school of newer sentences.

used for training the data.

**Code Information** 

he military-only 238 convictions."

philosphy data sim=pd.DataFrame()

In [26]: | training data=philosphy data['paragraph'].iloc[0:]

tokenized = nltk.word tokenize(test data)

keyword=remove\_stopwords\_test(keyword)
keyword = list(dict.fromkeys(keyword))

texts=remove stopwords train(texts)

#texts=remove\_stopwords\_lol(texts)
dictionary = corpora.Dictionary(texts)
feature cnt = len(dictionary.token2id)

tfidf = models.TfidfModel(corpus)
corpus tfidf = tfidf[corpus]

kw\_vector = dictionary.doc2bow(keyword)

if (philosphy\_data\_sim['sim'].iloc[0]==0):

arity of", philosphy data sim['sim'].iloc[0])

ax = df.plot.bar(x='School', y='Similarity', rot=0)

Top 3 similar schools of thoughts to your words

nietzsche

School

ax.set(title="Top 3 similar schools of thoughts to your words")

Similarity

feminism

eletus" + 0.132\*"pious" + 0.129\*"thee" + 0.117\*"socrates" + 0.115\*"unto"'),

edical" + 0.147\*"practical" + -0.136\*"gods" + 0.127\*"finite" + 0.122\*"attribute"'),

0.148\*".e." + 0.142\*"prop" + -0.126\*"disease" + 0.124\*"instinct" + -0.103\*"viz."'),

1\*"gods" + -0.136\*"doctor" + -0.126\*"paris" + 0.114\*"meletus" + 0.106\*"pious"'),

0.153\*"euthyphro" + 0.142\*"athens" + 0.138\*"gentlemen" + 0.121\*"gods"'),

\*"sex" + -0.125\*"hath" + 0.117\*"rousseau" + 0.110\*"thou" + -0.103\*"land"'),

ities" + -0.175\*"gold" + 0.156\*"yards" + -0.141\*"bullion" + -0.130\*"shillings"')]

Out[32]: [Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Top 3 similar schools of thoughts to your words')]

#texts=remove anagram(texts)

doc in training data]

sim = index[vec lsi]

**Code Information** 

else:

] \*100})

80

70

60

50 40

30

20

10

0

(1,

(3,

(7**,** 

(9,

i"'),

**Explanation** 

German Idealism.

Out[21]: [(0,

german\_idealism

In [21]: lsi.print topics(100)

philosphy data sim['sim']=sim

#for i in range(len(sim)):

philosphy\_school=philosphy\_data['school'].iloc[0:]

philosphy data sim['school']=philosphy data['school'].iloc[0:]

#texts = [jieba.lcut(str(text)) for text in training data]

corpus = [dictionary.doc2bow(text) for text in texts]

vec lsi = lsi[kw vector] # convert the query to LSI space

philosphy\_data\_sim['paragraph']=philosphy\_data['paragraph'].iloc[0:]

#keyword=remove stopwords([text for text in test data.lower().split()])

#texts = [[text.lower() for text in doc.split()] for doc in training\_data]

philosphy data sim=philosphy data sim.sort values(by=['sim'],ascending=False)

keyword= [word for word, pos in nltk.pos tag(tokenized) if 'NN' in pos or 'JJ' in pos ]

lsi = models.LsiModel(corpus tfidf, id2word=dictionary) # initialize an LSI transformation

index = similarities.MatrixSimilarity(lsi[corpus]) # transform corpus to LSI space and index it

print("This text is not similar to any philosophical text this system has encountered till now.")

#print('keyword is similar to text -> %d: %.2f' % (philosphy data sim.iloc[i:i + 1], sim[i]))

The text is similar to german idealism school of thought with a similarity of 0.7626275

The top 3 school of thoughts are shown below as per the words and topics identified by LSI in the training data and test data.

In [32]: df = pd.DataFrame({'School':philosphy data sim['school'][:3], 'Similarity':philosphy data sim['sim'][:3

'-0.130\*"consciousness" + -0.123\*"instinct" + -0.123\*"commodity" + -0.121\*"thou" + -0.109\*"gods" +

'-0.362\*"commodity" + -0.280\*"coin" + -0.236\*"labour" + -0.228\*"commodities" + -0.215\*"silver" + -

"0.204" thou + 0.204" gods + -0.187" consciousness + 0.156" thy + -0.148" commodity + 0.142" m

'-0.306\*"prop" + -0.263\*"affirmation" + -0.231\*"contraries" + -0.195\*"white" + -0.169\*"negation" + -0.144\*"qualification" + -0.128\*"gods" + -0.127\*"socrates" + -0.120\*"meletus" + -0.117\*"relatives"'),

'0.493\*"prop" + -0.247\*"disease" + 0.183\*"attributes" + 0.170\*"god" + -0.155\*"medicine" + -0.149\*"m

"-0.412\*"disease" + -0.262\*"medicine" + -0.252\*"medical" + -0.155\*"prop" + -0.150\*"hospital" + 0.14

'-0.393\*"practical" + -0.327\*"critique" + -0.187\*"ak." + -0.175\*"maxim" + -0.156\*"speculative" + -0.187\*"ak." + -0.175\*"maxim" + -0.156\*"speculative" + -0.187\*"ak." + -0.175\*"maxim" + -0.156\*"speculative" + -0.187\*"ak." + -0.187\*"ak." + -0.187\*"axim" + -0.188\*"speculative" + -0.188\*"speculative + -0.188\*"s

0.318\*"prop" + -0.244\*"affirmation" + -0.223\*"contraries" + 0.205\*"disease" + -0.184\*"white" + -0.184\*"white + -0.184\*"white

'-0.236\*"christian" + 0.232\*"prop" + -0.215\*"gospels" + -0.205\*"christianity" + 0.149\*"thou" + -0.1

'-0.323\*"thou" + -0.240\*"thy" + -0.215\*"thee" + 0.215\*"meletus" + 0.198\*"pious" + -0.182\*"unto" +

'-0.214\*"consent" + 0.181\*"women" + -0.147\*"parents" + 0.138\*"commodity" + -0.133\*"father" + 0.129

"0.200\*"movements" + -0.179\*"reflection" + -0.154\*"husserl" + 0.137\*"behaviour" + 0.135\*"instinct" + 0.131\*"unconscious" + -0.126\*"perception" + -0.114\*"phenomenology" + -0.107\*"red" + -0.106\*"stimul

'-0.385\*"coin" + 0.307\*"commodity" + -0.269\*"silver" + 0.265\*"linen" + 0.230\*"coat" + 0.190\*"commodity" + -0.269\*"silver" + 0.265\*"linen" + 0.265\*"linen + 0.265\*\*"linen + 0.265\*\*"li

The model suggests Trump's tweets are similar to German Idealism philosphy. This is pretty interesting. On analysing the words in German Idealism, one can find words like unity, duty, judgement, and freedom etc. This might be the reason behind Trump's school prediction as

154\*"negation" + -0.139\*"qualification" + 0.139\*"gods" + 0.128\*"medicine" + 0.123\*"medical"'),

22\*"instinct" + 0.121\*"thy" + -0.116\*"jewish" + -0.108\*"instincts" + -0.107\*"saviour"'),

-0.107\*"labour" + -0.099\*"practical" + -0.098\*"prop" + -0.096\*"christian" + -0.094\*"coin"),

0.215\*"linen" + -0.175\*"coat" + -0.173\*"gold" + -0.125\*"quantity" + 0.120\*"consciousness"),

print("The text is similar to", philosphy data sim['school'].iloc[0], "school of thought with a simil

INTRODUCTION

thought they align with.

import numpy as np

import pandas as pd

import numpy as np

import pandas as pd

import nltk
import os
import string

import copy

import pickle
import re
import math

In [1]:

**Downloading Packages** 

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import matplotlib.dates as mdates
from datetime import datetime

from nltk.corpus import stopwords

from nltk.tokenize import word\_tokenize
from nltk.stem import PorterStemmer
from collections import Counter

from nltk.probability import FreqDist
from gensim.models import LdaModel

Philosphy has seen many eccentric and world-changing personalities. From the time humans have started recording history, we have written

accounts of their writings, sayings and written thoughts. The school of philosphy has changed with time. We have different schools of thoughts of ancient times, popularized by the philosphers like Plato and Aristotle. However, as times grew and the focus of humans shifted from monarchies to democracies, new schools of thoughts like capitalism and communism grew. In this project my focus is to analyze different schools of thoughts, identify the underlying 'topics' in different schools of thoughts and predict some articles as to which school of