

# DAA PROJECT

Q1

1. Pseudocode:

Algo FindMinMax(Arr, l, h)

Input: Array Arr with index l and h

Output: pair (min, max)

if  $l == h$ :

return (Arr[l], Arr[l])

else if  $h == l + 1$ :

if  $Arr[l] < Arr[h]$ :

return (Arr[l], Arr[h])

else:

return (Arr[h], Arr[l])

else:

mid =  $(l + h) // 2$

(min1, max1) = FindMinMax(Arr, l, mid)

(min2, max2) = FindMinMax(Arr, mid + 1, h)

finalmin = min(min1, min2)

finalmax = max(max1, max2)

return (finalmin, finalmax)

2.  $T(n)$  = no. of comparisons made for an array of size n

Base cases:

$n = 1 \rightarrow 0$  comparisons

$n = 2 \rightarrow 1$  comparisons

For  $n > 2$ : divide into two subarrays of size  $n/2$

$T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + 2 \rightarrow +2$  as two mins and two maxes compared

$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 2$

$T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2$

since  $T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + 2$

↓

$T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 2$

bottom levels of recursion, when subarrays have size 2, only 1 comparison happens per pair

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2\left[2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2\right] + 2 = 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 4 + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2\left[2\left[2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 2\right] + 2\right] + 4 + 2 = 8T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 8 + 4 + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2^{k-1}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{k-1}}\right) + 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-3} + \dots + 2$$

$$\frac{n}{2^{k-1}} = 2 \quad n = 2^k \quad k = \log n$$

$$\text{since } T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) = T(2) = 0 \text{ and } n = 2^k$$

$$T(n) = 2^{k-1}T(2) + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2$$

$$T(n) = n(0) + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2$$

$$T(n) = n(0) + 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-2} + \dots + 2 = 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2$$

$$T(n) \approx 1.5n - 2$$

### 3. Brute-Force Algorithm:

Algo FindMinMax(Arr, n)

min ← Arr[0]

max ← Arr[0]

for i = 1 to n-1: → n-1

if Arr[i] < min: → 1

min = arr[i]

if Arr[i] > max: → 1

max = arr[i]

return (min, max)

Loop runs n-1 times

Each iteration makes 2 comparisons

$$T(n) = 2(n-1) = \text{total comparisons}$$

Divide and conquer algorithm is more efficient than brute force  
as  $1.5n - 2$  comparison are less than  $2n - 2$  comparisons.



23K-0618  
23K-0595  
23K-0642

Date 20  
M T W T F S S

b)

1. Pseudocode

Algo Power(a, n)

Input: Base a, exponent n ( $n > 0$ )

Output:  $a^n$

if  $n == 0$ :

return 1

if  $n == 1$ :

return a

if  $n \% 2 == 0$ :

half = Power(a,  $n/2$ )

return half \* half

else:

half = Power(a,  $(n-1)/2$ )

return a \* half \* half

2.  $T(n)$  = no of multiplications used to compute  $a^n$

$T(0) = 0$

$T(1) = 0$

} Base cases

Recursive case:

If n is even:  $T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$

If n is odd:  $T(n) = T((n-1)/2) + 2$

$n = 2^k$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1$

$T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 1$

$T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 1$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 1 + 1 = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 1 + 1 + 1 = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3$



23K-0618  
23K-0595  
23K-0642

Date 20  
M T W T F S S

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + \log 2^k \quad \text{since } n = 2^k \text{ and } T(1) = 0$$

$$T(n) = T(1) + \log n$$

$$T(n) = 0 + \log n = \log_2(n) = \text{no of multiplications}$$

### 3. Brute Force Algorithm

Algo Power(a, n)

Input: Base a, power n (positive integer)

Output:  $a^n$

result = 1

for i = 1 to n:  $\rightarrow$  n times

result = result \* a

return result

$$T(n) = n \text{ times} = n \text{ number of } \text{multiplications}$$

Divide and conquer algorithm is more effective since n number of ~~comp~~ multiplications made by divide and conquer is less than n number of ~~comp~~ multiplications made by brute force algorithm.



c) Algorithm Count Inversion ( $A[0 \dots n-1]$ )

Input: Array  $A$  of  $n$  elements

Output: Number of Inversions in  $A$

if  $n \leq 1$  then  
return 0

mid  $\leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

lefthalf  $\leftarrow A[0 \dots \text{mid}-1]$

righthalf  $\leftarrow A[\text{mid} \dots n-1]$

LeftInv  $\leftarrow \text{countInv}(\text{lefthalf})$

RightInv  $\leftarrow \text{countInv}(\text{righthalf})$

SplitInv  $\leftarrow \text{Merge and Count}(A, \text{lefthalf}, \text{righthalf})$

return LeftInv + RightInv + SplitInv

Algorithm Merge and Sort ( $A, \text{left}[0 \dots p-1], \text{Right}[0 \dots q-1]$ )

Input: Two sorted subarrays left and Right.

Output: Number of split inversions and merge sorted array in  $A$

$i \leftarrow 0, j \leftarrow 0, k \leftarrow 0$

invCount  $\leftarrow 0$

while  $i < p$  and  $j < q$  do

if  $\text{Left}[i] < \text{Right}[j]$  then

$A[k] \leftarrow \text{Left}[i]$

$i \leftarrow i+1$

else

$A[k] \leftarrow \text{Right}[j]$

$j \leftarrow j+1$

23K-0618  
23K-0595  
23K-0642

Date 20  
M T W T F S S

$invCount \leftarrow invCount + (p - i)$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

while  $i < p$  do

$A[k] \leftarrow left[i]$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

while  $j < q$  do

$A[k] \leftarrow Right[j]$

$j \leftarrow j + 1$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

return  $invCount$ .

d) Best Case of Quick Sort.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

$$P(n) = O(n^k \log^p n)$$

$$k = 1$$

$$\log_b^a = \log_2 2$$

$$P = 0$$

$$p > -1$$

Case II  $\log_b^a = k$   
 $O(n^k \log^{p+1} n)$   
 $O(n \log n)$

Worst Case

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n$$

$$T(n-1) = T(n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n-2) = T(n-3) + (n-2)$$

$$T(n-3) = T(n-4) + (n-3)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-3) + (n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-4) + (n-3) + (n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-k) + (n-k+1) + (n-k+2) + (n-k+3)$$

$n-k = 1$

$$T(n) = T(1) + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \dots + n$$

$$T(1) = 0$$

$$T(n) = 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \dots + n$$

$$T(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= O(n^2)$$



23K-0618.

23K-0595

23K-0642

Date 20  
M T W T F S S

c) Pseudocode

Algorithm ClosestPair ( $A[1..n]$ )

Sort  $A$  in increasing order

return closest ( $A, 1, n$ )

Procedure closest ( $A, low, high$ )

if ( $high - low == 1$ )

return  $|A[high] - A[low]|$ ,  $|A[high] - A[low]|$ ,

$A[low] \Rightarrow$

$|A[high] - A[low]|$

~~high~~

mid =  $\lfloor (low + high) / 2 \rfloor$

d1 = closest ( $A, low, mid$ )

~~d1 = closest ( $A, low, mid$ )~~

d2 = closest ( $A, mid + 1, high$ )

d3 =  $|A[mid + 1] - A[mid]|$

return  $\min(d1, d2, d3)$

Time Complexity:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + c = \Theta(n)$$

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

$$a=2, b=2, f(n)=c = \Theta(1)$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n^1 = n$$

Compare

$$f(n) = \Theta(1) \text{ to } n^{\log_b a} = \Theta(n)$$

$f(n)$  is smaller.



$$T(n) = O(n)$$

$$\text{Sorting Step} = O(n \log n)$$

$$T_{\text{total}} = O(n \log n) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$$

2- Yes It is a good algorithm for this problem, it is efficient, correct and asymptotically optimal for finding the closest pair in one dimension.