

DAA PROJECT

Team Members:
 23K-0618 Areeba Arif
 23K-0595 Wishmat Akhtar
 23K-0642 Aqsa Zainab

Date 20
 M T W T F S S

Q1
a)

1. Pseudocode:

Algo FindMin Max (Arr, l, h)

Input: Array Arr with index l and h

Output: pair (min, max)

if $l = h$:

 return (Arr[l], Arr[l])

else if $h = l + 1$:

 if Arr[l] < Arr[h]:

 return (Arr[l], Arr[h])

else:

 mid = (l+h)/2

 (min1, max1) = FindMinMax (Arr, l, mid)

 (min2, max2) = FindMinMax (Arr, mid+1, h)

 finalmin = min(min1, min2)

 finalmax = max(max1, max2)

 return (finalmin, finalmax)

2. $T(n)$ = no. of comparisons made for an array of size n

Base cases:

$n=1 \rightarrow 0$ comparisons

$n=2 \rightarrow 1$ comparisons

For $n > 2$: divide into two subarrays of size $n/2$

$T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + 2 \rightarrow 2$ w^z two mins and two maxes compared

$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 2$

$T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2$ since $T(n) = T[n/2] + T[n/2] + 2$

$T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 2$

bottom levels of recursion, when subarray have size 2, only 1 comparison happens per pair



23K-0618

23K-0595

23K-0642

Date 20
MTWTFSS

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2\left[2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2\right] + 2 = 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 4 + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2\left[2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 2\right] + 4 + 2 = 8T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 8 + 4 + 2$$

$$T(n) = 2^{k-1}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{k-1}}\right) + 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-3} + \dots + 2$$

$$\frac{n}{2^{k-1}} = 1 \quad n = 2^k \quad k = \log n$$

since $T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) = T(1) = 0$ and $n = 2^k$

$$T(n) = 2^{k-1}T(2) + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2$$

~~$T(n) = n$~~

$$T(n) = n = 2^{k-1} + 2^k - 2 = 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2$$

$$T(n) \approx 1.5n - 2$$

3. Brute-Force Algorithm:

Algo FindMinMax(Arr, n)

$$\min \Leftarrow \text{Arr}[0]$$

$$\max = \text{Arr}[0]$$

$$\text{for } i=1 \text{ to } n-1: \quad \rightarrow n-1$$

$$\text{if } \text{Arr}[i] < \min: \quad \rightarrow 1$$

$$\min = \text{Arr}[i]$$

$$\text{if } \text{Arr}[i] > \max: \quad \rightarrow 1$$

$$\max = \text{Arr}[i]$$

return (\min, \max)

Loop runs $n-1$ times

Each iteration makes 2 comparisons

$$T(n) = 2(n-1) = \text{total comparisons}$$

Divide and conquer algorithm is more efficient than brute force
 cuz $1.5n-2$ comparison are less than $2n-2$ comparisons.

23K-0618

23K-0595

23K-0642

Date 20
 M T W T F S

b)

1. Pseudocode

Algo Power(a, n)

Input: Base a, exponent n ($n > 0$)Output: a^n if $n = 0$:

return 1

if $n = 1$:

return a

if $n \div 2 == 0$: half = Power(a, $n/2$)

return half * half

else:

 half = Power(a, $(n-1)/2$)

return a * half * half

2. $T(n) =$ no of multiplications used to compute a^n

$T(0) = 0$

$T(1) = 0$

] Base cases

Recursive case:

If n is even: $T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$

If n is odd: $T(n) = T((n-1)/2) + 2$

$n = 2^k$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1$

$T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 1$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 1 + 1 = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2$

$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 1 + 1 + 1 = T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3$



23K-0618

23K-0595

23K-0642

Date 20
 M T W T F S S

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + \log_2 k \quad \text{since } n = 2^k \text{ and } T(1) = 0$$

$$T(n) = T(1) + \log n$$

$$T(n) = 0 + \log n = \log_2(n) = \text{no of multiplications}$$

3. Brute Force Algorithm

Algo Power(a, n)

Input: Base a, power n (positive integer)

Output: a^n

result = 1

for i = 1 to n: \rightarrow n times

 result = result * a

return result

$T(n) = n$ times = n number of ~~comparisons~~ multiplications

Divide and conquer algorithm is more effective since ~~n~~ number of ~~multiplications~~ made by divide and conquer is less than n number of ~~multiplications~~ made by brute force algorithm.

23K0618
23K0595.
23K0642

Date 20
M T W T F S S

c) Algorithm Count Inversion ($A[0 \dots n-1]$)

Input: Array A of n elements

Output: Number of Inversions in A

```
if  $n <= 1$  then  
    return 0
```

$mid \leftarrow [n/2]$

$lefthalf \leftarrow A[0 \dots mid-1]$

$righthalf \leftarrow A[mid \dots n-1]$

$leftInv \leftarrow \text{countInv}(lefthalf)$

$RightInv \leftarrow \text{countInv}(righthalf)$

$\text{SplitInv} \leftarrow \text{Merge and Count } (A, lefthalf, righthalf)$

return $leftInv + RightInv + SplitInv$

Algorithm Merge and Sort ($A, left[0 \dots p-1], Right[0 \dots q-1]$)

Input: Two sorted subarrays $left$ and $Right$.

Output: Number of split inversions and merge sorted array in A

$i \leftarrow 0, j \leftarrow 0, k \leftarrow 0$

$invCount \leftarrow 0$

while $i < p$ and $j < q$ do

if $left[i] < Right[j]$ then

$A[k] \leftarrow Left[i]$

$i \leftarrow i+1$

else

$A[k] \leftarrow Right[j]$

$j \leftarrow j+1$



23K-0618
23K-0595
23K-0642

Date 20
M T W T F S S

$\text{invCount} \leftarrow \text{invCount} + (\text{p} - \text{i})$

$\text{k} \leftarrow \text{k} + 1$

while $\text{i} < \text{p}$ do

$\text{A}[\text{k}] \leftarrow \text{left}[\text{i}]$

$\text{i} \leftarrow \text{i} + 1$

$\text{k} \leftarrow \text{k} + 1$

while $\text{j} < \text{q}$ do

$\text{A}[\text{k}] \leftarrow \text{Right}[\text{j}]$

$\text{j} \leftarrow \text{j} + 1$

$\text{k} \leftarrow \text{k} + 1$

return invCount .

23K-0618
23K-0595
23K-0642

Date 20
M T W T F S

d) Best Case of QuickSort.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

$$F(n) = O(n^k \log n)$$
$$aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

$$k = 1$$

$$\log_b^a = \log_2 2$$

$$p = 0$$

$$p > -1$$

Case II $\log_b^a = k$
 $O(n^k \log^{p+1} n)$
 $O(n \log n)$

Worst Case

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n$$

$$T(n-1) = T(n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n-2) = T(n-3) + (n-2)$$

$$T(n-3) = T(n-4) + (n-3)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-3) + (n-2) + (n-1)$$

$$T(n) = T(n-4) + (n-3) + (n-2) + (n-1)$$

:

$$T(n) = T(n-k) + (n-k+1) + (n-k+2) + (n-k+3)$$

$$n-k = 1$$

$$T(n) = T(1) + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \dots + n$$

$$T(1) = 0$$

$$T(n) = 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \dots + n$$

$$T(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= O(n^2)$$

23K-0618.
23K-0595
23K-0642

Date 20
M T W T F S S

e) Pseudocode

Algorithm ClosestPair ($A[1..n]$)

Sort A in increasing order

return closest (A, 1, n)

Procedure closest (A, low, high)

if ((high - low) == 1)

return $|A[high] - A[low]|$, $|A[high] - A[low]|$,

~~A [low \Rightarrow]~~

$|A[high] - A[low]|$

~~next higher~~

mid = $\lfloor (low+high)/2 \rfloor$

d1 = closest (A, low, mid)

~~d2 = closest (A, mid + 1, high)~~

d2 = closest (A, mid + 1, high)

d3 = $|A[mid+1] - A[mid]|$

return $\min(d_1, d_2, d_3)$

Time Complexity:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + C \sim (1)T = (n)T$$

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) \sim f(n)T$$

$$a=2, b=2 \quad f(n) = c = \Theta(1)T$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n^1 = n$$

Compare

$$f(n) = \Theta(1) \text{ to } n^{\log_b a} = O(n)$$

f(n) is smaller.

23K 0618
23K 0595
23K 0642

Date 20
M T W T F S

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

Sorting Step :- $O(n \log n)$

$$T_{\text{total}} = O(n \log n) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$$

2- Yes It is a good algorithm for this problem, it is efficient, correct and asymptotically optimal for finding the closest pair in one dimension.