

Lauryn Curtiss ☺

Logan Rook ☺

Amari Robinson

Wade Ratzlaff

Practice Lab: Iterators, Generators, yield, and Dunder Methods

Lauryn's Observations:

Part 1: In this cell it defines a list called `sensor_readings` that contains ten values in it and it uses a standard for loop to print them all. The entire dataset is processed and displayed in one go.

Part 2: In this second cell it moves towards manual iteration by its use of the `iter()` function to make a iterator object from the `sensor_readings` list by then calling `next(it)` three times it demonstrates that the iterator keeps its state and retrieves the value.

Part 3: In the third cell it implements a generator function using the `yield` keyword which then allows the code to pause in what its doing and then resume its execution. The output will only be given when next stream is particularly called showing that the values are generated on demand rather than being pre called.

Amari's Observations:

Part 4:

When I run the normal function I noticed that it prints "Start" and then it stops as soon as it reaches the return statement, so anything after that never ran. In the generator function, I see that the function pauses each time it reaches a "yield". Each call to `next()` continues the function from where it all left off, allowing it to print messages and return values step by step instead of all at once.

Part 5:

When I run this code, I see that the generator starts at 0 and increases by 1 each time I call `next()`. The counter function does not end because of the `while True` loop, so it keeps yielding the next number instead of stopping.

Logan's Observations:

Part 6: This code snippet here pulls data from the `sensor_stream`, and only yields a result which meets the `high_temp_filter`'s criteria (being over the threshold, which is 23.0 in our pipeline). The first yield is 23.1, but we have `next(filtered)` run twice, and the pipeline continues where it

left off, yielding 23.4. It is interesting to observe that the second `next(filtered)` continues after the 23.1, and not starting fresh at the beginning of the dataset.

Part 8: We can observe that `iter(gen)` is `gen` is true, and that we don't need to `__iter__` because generators are already iterators.

Wade's Observations:

Part 7.1: First we define the class `User`. The `User` class started with `__init__` defining self names like `name` and `age`. It passes it to `__str__` which tells it it needs to go into a string. Then it defines `__repr__` to represent the official string as an object. We attach name `u` to the class `User` and print `name` and `age`. `User`, "Alice", 21, `name`, and `age` are part of heap. `User("Alice", 21)`, `u`, `__init__` is part of stack.

Part 7.2: The code defines a class called `Cart`. The class creates the functions using dunder methods `__init__` (defines the self and item object), `__len__` (counts the number of items in cart), and `__bool__` (which makes sure the cart actually has items in it by putting `>0`). Then we ask if the cart exists, if it does print the items in cart. `Cart`, the list, and the strings "apple" and "banana" are part of heap. `__init__` and the name `cart` are part of stack.

Part 7.3: Here we have an example of duck typing. `__getitem__` stores the data from the list so that when `log(0)` or `log(2)` runs it knows to pull the first and third item from the list (10 and 30 respectively). So when we run the for loop, it can go straight to the `log` name and iterate over the list one by one (10 through 40). `Log`, `list`, and the integers are part of heap. `__init__` call and the name `log` are part of stack.

Part 7.4: Here checks the first value and sees if it equals the other value using the `__eq__` dunder method. Then it creates another function that checks if the first value is less than the second value with `__lt__`. Then it uses `__add__` which tells the class it needs to add the two values. All of these help it treat `Score` as integers we can compute with. `Score` object and the integers 10 and 20 are part of heap. Names `a` and `b` and the `__init__` call are stack.

LAB 3 FRIDAY WORK:

Part 1:

- Where is x stored? - x is stored in the heap because it is an object.
- Why does x still exist? - Because x never needs to get destroyed. x is kept alive in a closure because the inner function keeps x alive while outer finishes. Outer is assigned to x so that f() can print x.
- Does execution restart each call? - Yes and no. The f() starts the call again so this restarts the function inner. Inner is on the stack so it gets destroyed and restart. What doesn't get rewritten is the object x=10. This is why the output for f() prints the same x.
- Why doesn't count reset to 0? - This is because the increment function tells count to increase by one each time it is called again. It increases by one each time because count doesn't get destroyed.
- Where does count live after counter() returns? - Count lives on the heap because counter returns.

Part 2.1

- Which function runs first?

My_decorator runs first (at definition time)

- Did we modify greet()?

The original greet() isn't modified, but the name greet points to the wrapper now.

- What code added the extra behavior?

The wrapper did.

Part 2.2-

- Decorator runs once at definition time

Yes, the decorator wraps itself immediately.

- Wrapper runs every time the function is called

Greet is now a reference to the wrapper function.

- Original function runs only if the wrapper calls it

Yes, the original function is trapped in the wrapper functions.

Part 2.3-

- The function name now refers to the wrapper

Yes, python reassigns the name "say_hi" to point to the wrapper.

- The original function is stored in the wrapper's closure

Yes, when you call the original function you're actually opening the wrapper.

Part 3-

Where is logging added?: The logging is in this line "print('Calling with args:', args)" as this line runs before the function even executes so you see the arguments logged first.

Did add change?: No the add function itself did not change

Why do we need *args?: *Args is flexible as it accepts any number of positional arguments so in this context of the code we need it for the part of the function where it return the value for a+b in this context one of them being 3+4 which with *args returns 7.

Part 4.1-

In this example, the closure is used to store data. When `make_multiplier(n)` is called, the value of `n` is remembered by the inner function `multiply`, even after `make_multiplier` has finished running. Each time `multiply` is called, it still has access to its own saved value of `n`. This is why `double(5)` and `triple(5)` produce different results. There is no wrapper involved and no extra behavior added. The closure's only role here is preserving data across function calls.

Part 4.2-

In this example, the decorator adds behavior to an existing function. The `loud` function wraps the original `speak` function inside a wrapper function. When `speak()` is called, the wrapper runs first and prints "LOUD MODE," then calls the original function. The code inside `speak` is not changed, but its behavior is extended. This shows how decorators modify how a function runs by wrapping it rather than by storing data.