| 1. | Suppose you learn a word embedding for a vocabulary of 10000 words. Then the embeddin to capture the full range of variation and meaning in those words.   | ng vectors could be 10000 dimensional, so as | 1/1 point   |
|----|--|--|-------------|
|    | ○ True   |  |             |
|    | False  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
|    | ∠ <sup>7</sup> Expand  |  |             |
|    | Correct The dimension of word vectors is usually smaller than the size of the vocabulary. Most common sizes for word vectors range between 50 and 1000.  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
| 2. | True/False: t-SNE is a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique.  |  | 1 / 1 point |
|    | True   |  |             |
|    | ○ False  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
|    | ∠ <sup>™</sup> Expand  |  |             |
|    | <ul> <li>Correct         t-SNE is a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique.</li> </ul>  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
|    |  |  |             |
| 3. | Suppose you download a pre-trained word embedding which has been trained on a huge corpus of text. You then use this word embedding to train an RNN for a language task of recognizing if someone is happy from a short snippet of text, using a small training set. |  | 1/1 point   |
|    |  |  |             |
|    | x (input text)   | y (happy?)                                   |             |
|    | I'm feeling wonderful today!   | 1  |             |
|    | I'm bummed my cat is ill.  | 0  |             |
|    | Really enjoying this!  | 1  |             |
|    |  |  |             |

Then even if the word "ecstatic" does not appear in your small training set, your RNN might reasonably be expected to recognize "I'm

ecstatic" as deserving a label y=1.

True

|   | ○ False   |             |
|---|---|-------------|
|   | ∠ <sup>7</sup> Expand   |             |
|   | Correct Yes, word vectors empower your model with an incredible ability to generalize. The vector for "ecstatic" would contain a positive/happy connotation which will probably make your model classify the sentence as a "1". |             |
| W | Which of these equations do you think should hold for a good word embedding? (Check all that apply)   | 1 / 1 point |
|   | $ ightharpoonup e_{man} - e_{woman} pprox e_{king} - e_{queen}$   |             |
|   | ✓ Correct  The order of words is correct in this analogy.   |             |
|   | $oxed{igsquare} e_{man} - e_{king} pprox e_{queen} - e_{woman}$   |             |
|   | $ ightharpoonup e_{man} - e_{king} pprox e_{woman} - e_{queen}$   |             |
|   | ✓ Correct  The order of words is correct in this analogy.   |             |
|   | Typesetting math: 100% $e_{queen}-e_{king}$   |             |
|   | ∠ <sup>7</sup> Expand   |             |
|   | <ul><li>✓ Correct</li><li>Great, you got all the right answers.</li></ul>   |             |
|   |   |             |
|   | et $E$ be an embedding matrix, and let $o_{1234}$ be a one-hot vector corresponding to word 1234. Then to get the embedding of word 1234, vhy don't we call $E*o_{1234}$ in Python?   | 1/1 point   |
|   | This doesn't handle unknown words ( <unk>).</unk>   |             |
|   | It is computationally wasteful.   |             |
|   | $\bigcirc$ The correct formula is $E^T*o_{1234}$  |             |
|   | None of the above: calling the Python snippet as described above is fine.   |             |
|   | ∠ <sup>7</sup> Expand   |             |
|   | <ul> <li>Correct</li> <li>Yes, the element-wise multiplication will be extremely inefficient.</li> </ul>  |             |
|   |   |             |

4.

5.

| u. | when tearning word embeddings, we create an artificial task of estimating 1 (our got   corrected). It is okay if we do poorty on this |
|----|---|
|    | artificial prediction task; the more important by-product of this task is that we learn a useful set of word embeddings.              |

1 / 1 point

False

True



**⊘** Correct

7. In the word2vec algorithm, you estimate  $P(t \mid c)$ , where t is the target word and c is a context word. How are t and c chosen from the training set? Pick the best answer.

1/1 point

- $\bigcirc \ c$  is a sequence of several words immediately before t
- $\bigcirc$  c and \$\$t\$\$ are chosen to be nearby words.
- \$\$c\$\$ is the one word that comes immediately before \$\$t\$\$
- \$\$c\$\$ is the sequence of all the words in the sentence before \$\$t\$\$ Typesetting math: 100%



**⊘** Correct

**8.** Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 100-dimensional word embeddings. The word2vec model uses the following softmax function:

1/1 point

$$P(t \mid c) = rac{e^{ heta_t^T e_c}}{\sum_{t'=1}^{10000} e^{ heta_t^T e_c}}$$

True/False: After training, we should expect  $\theta_t$  to be very close to  $e_c$  when t and c are the same word.

- True
- False

**∠**<sup>7</sup> Expand

✓ Correct

To review this concept watch the Word2Vec lecture.

**9.** Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The GloVe model minimizes this objective:

| $\min \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} f(X_{ij}) (	heta_i^T e_j + b_i + b_j' - log X_{ij})^2$                                |
|---|
| True/False: $X_{ij}$ is the number of times word j appears in the context of word i.  |
| ○ False   |
| True  |
|   |
|   |
| ∠ <sup>7</sup> Expand   |
| Correct<br>\$\$X_{ij}\$\$ is the number of times word j appears in the context of word i.   |
|   |
| You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of ma, words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language. |

10. You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of  $m_1$  words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language task, for which you have a separate labeled dataset of  $m_2$  words. Keeping in mind that using word embeddings is a form of transfer learning, under which of these circumstances would you expect the word embeddings to be helpful?

1/1 point

| ∠ Z Expand              |
|-------------------------|
| Typesetting math: 100%  |
| $\bigcirc$ $m_1 >> m_2$ |
| $m_1 \ll m_2$           |



**⊘** Correct