

---

## CS5160: Topics in Computing

### Problem Set 3

Taha Adeel Mohammed

CS20BTECH11052

---

*(Crediting the course)*

1. Given a monotone Boolean function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and an input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , say that the  $i$ -th bit  $x_i$  of  $x$  is “correct” for  $f$  if  $f(x) = x_i$ . Let  $c(f)$  denote the expected number of “correct” bits in a uniformly random string  $x$ . Show that  $c(f) = (n + \text{Inf}(f))/2$ . (10 points)

For any  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we have two cases  $\forall i \in [n]$ :

**Case 1:  $x_i$  is a sensitive bit**

*Claim:*  $f(x) = x_i$ , i.e.,  $x_i$  is a “correct” bit for  $f$ .

*Proof by contradiction:* Assume that  $f(x) \neq x_i$ .

1. If  $x_i = 0$ , then  $f(x) = 1$  and  $f(x^{(i)}) = 0$ . But  $f(x) > f(x^{(i)})$  while  $x \leq x^{(i)}$
2. If  $x_i = 1$ , then  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(x^{(i)}) = 1$ . But  $f(x) < f(x^{(i)})$  while  $x \geq x^{(i)}$

In both cases, we get a contradiction, since  $f$  is monotone. Hence our claim is true.

**Case 2:  $x_i$  is not a sensitive bit**

*Claim:* Either  $f(x) = x_i$  or  $f(x^{(i)}) = x_i^{(i)}$ , i.e.,  $i$ -th bit is correct for one of  $x$  or  $x^{(i)}$ .

*Proof:* Since  $x_i$  is not a sensitive bit, we have  $f(x) = f(x^{(i)})$ .

1. If  $f(x) = f(x^{(i)}) = x_i$ , then  $i$  is a correct bit for  $x$  and not for  $x^{(i)}$ .
2. If  $f(x) = f(x^{(i)}) = x_i^{(i)}$ , then  $i$  is a correct bit for  $x^{(i)}$  and not for  $x$ .

Hence our claim is true. i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[f(x) = x_i | x_i \text{ is not sensitive}] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now we can write the expected number of correct bits,  $c(f)$ , as

$$c(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{0,1\}^n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}[f(x) = x_i] \right] \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (s(f, x) + (n - s(f, x)) \cdot \mathbb{E}[f(x_i) = x_i | x_i \text{ is not sensitive}]) \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \left( s(f, x) + \frac{(n - s(f, x))}{2} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{n + s(f, x)}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$\implies c(f) = \frac{n + \text{Inf}(f)}{2} \quad (5)$$

□

2. Let  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Give a Fourier formula for the expression

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y,z \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f(x)f(y)f(z)f(w)],$$

where  $x, y, z$  are chosen uniformly at random from  $\{-1, 1\}^n$  and  $w = x \oplus y \oplus z$ , i.e.,  $w_i = x_i y_i z_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . (10 points)

Substituting the Fourier representation of  $f$  in the given expression, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f(x)f(y)f(z)f(w)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z} \left[ \left( \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right) \left( \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(y) \right) \left( \sum_{U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(U) \chi_U(z) \right) \left( \sum_{V \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(V) \chi_V(w) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z} \left[ \sum_{S,T,U,V} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \hat{f}(V) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in T} y_i \cdot \prod_{i \in U} z_i \cdot \prod_{i \in V} (x_i y_i z_i) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z} \left[ \sum_{S,T,U,V} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \hat{f}(V) \cdot \prod_{i \in S \Delta V} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta V} y_i \cdot \prod_{i \in U \Delta V} z_i \right] \text{ (wlog, if } i \in S \cap V, x_i^2 = 1) \\ &= \sum_{S,T,U,V} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \hat{f}(V) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z} \left[ \prod_{i \in S \Delta V} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta V} y_i \cdot \prod_{i \in U \Delta V} z_i \right] \text{ (linearity of expectation)} \\ &= \sum_{S,T,U,V} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \hat{f}(V) \prod_{i \in S \Delta V} \mathbb{E}[x_i] \prod_{i \in T \Delta V} \mathbb{E}[y_i] \prod_{i \in U \Delta V} \mathbb{E}[z_i] \text{ (since } x_i, y_i, z_i \text{ independent)} \\ &= \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^4 \text{ (since } \mathbb{E}[x_i] = 0, \text{ then if } S \Delta V, T \Delta V, U \Delta V \neq \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E}_{x,y,z \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f(x)f(y)f(z)f(w)] = \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^4}$$

□

3. Let  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$  and  $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ . Recall we say  $y \sim N_\rho(x)$  to denote that the random string  $y$  is sampled as follows:  $y_i = x_i$  with probability  $(1 + \rho)/2$  and  $y_i = -x_i$  with probability  $(1 - \rho)/2$ . For a Boolean function  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , we define noise stability of  $f$  at  $\rho$  as follows:

$$\text{Stab}_\rho(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n, y \sim N_\rho(x)} [f(x)f(y)].$$

Give a Fourier formula for  $\text{Stab}_\rho(f)$ . (10 points)

Simplifying the given expression for  $\text{Stab}_\rho(f)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Stab}_\rho(f) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n, y \sim N_\rho(x)} [f(x)f(y)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_y [f(y)] \right] && \text{(linearity of expectation)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} y_i \right] \right] && \text{(Fourier representation of } f) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(x) \cdot \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \prod_{i \in S} y_i \right] \right] && \text{(linearity of expectation)}
\end{aligned}$$

In class, we have seen that

$$\mathbb{E}_{y \sim N_\rho(x)} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} y_i \right] = \rho^{|S|} \cdot \chi_S(y)$$

Using this, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Stab}_\rho(f) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(x) \cdot \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \rho^{|S|} \cdot \chi_S(x) \right] \\
&= \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \rho^{|S|} \cdot \mathbb{E}_x [f(x) \cdot \chi_S(x)] && \text{(linearity of expectation)} \\
&= \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \rho^{|S|} \cdot \hat{f}(S) && (\mathbb{E} [\chi_S \chi_T] = 0 \text{ if } S \neq T \text{ and } 1 \text{ otherwise}) \\
&= \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \rho^{|S|}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\boxed{\text{Stab}_\rho(f) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \rho^{|S|}}$$

□

4. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Prove that for every Boolean function  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , there exists a Boolean function  $g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  depending on at most  $2^{O(\text{as}(f)/\epsilon)}$  variables such that  $g$  differs from  $f$  on at most an  $\epsilon$  fraction of inputs. Recall  $\text{as}(f)$  denotes the average sensitivity of  $f$ . (15 points)
5. A tournament is a directed graph obtained by assigning a direction to each edge in an undirected complete graph. (See Figure 1.) We say that a tournament is acyclic if it contains no directed cycles. Note that a tournament can be represented by a string in  $\{0, 1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ , where every edge is represented by a bit and its value represents the orientation of the edge. Thus, we can define the following Boolean function  $T_{\text{acyclic}} : \{0, 1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $T_{\text{acyclic}}(x) = 1$  if and only if  $x$  defines an acyclic tournament. Prove that  $D(T_{\text{acyclic}}) \geq \binom{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$ . Recall  $D(f)$  is the deterministic decision tree complexity of  $f$ . (15 points)

Consider an input  $x \in \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  such that  $T_{\text{acyclic}}(x) = 1$ . Since  $x$  defines an acyclic tournament, there exists a topological ordering for our given vertices. Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be the vertices in the topological ordering.

Now consider the following adversarial argument for any deterministic decision tree  $T$  that computes  $T_{\text{acyclic}}$ . We iteratively tell that while we have not queried all edges, if the edge  $(v_i, v_j)$  is queried, then we set  $x_{(v_i, v_j)} = 1$  if  $i < j$  and  $x_{(v_i, v_j)} = 0$  otherwise.

We state that after querying for  $i < \binom{n}{2}$  edges, there exist inputs  $x, y \in \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  such that  $T_{\text{acyclic}}(x) \neq T_{\text{acyclic}}(y)$  and  $T$  has queried for the same set of edges for both  $x$  and  $y$ .

1. For an unqueried edge  $(v_i, v_j)$ , if  $i < j$ , and we set  $x_{(v_i, v_j)} = 1$ , then  $T_{\text{acyclic}}(x) = 0$  since we have a cycle  $(v_j, v_i, v_j)$ .
2. Or else if  $x_{(v_i, v_j)} = 0$ , then  $T_{\text{acyclic}}(x) = 1$  since we have a topological ordering.

Therefore we need to query all the edges to get the correct answer. Hence we have

$$D(T_{\text{acyclic}}) = \binom{n}{2}$$

□

6. Let  $T$  be a tournament and  $v$  be a vertex of  $T$ . We say that  $v$  is a *source* if all edges incident on  $v$  are directed *away* from it. Not every tournament has a source. Therefore we can consider the following Boolean function  $\text{SRC} : \{0, 1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined as  $\text{SRC}(x) = 1$  if and only if the tournament given by  $x$  has a source. Show that  $D(\text{SRC}) = O(n)$ . (15 points)

We propose the following algorithm for querying the edges of the tournament  $T$  to find a source:

1. We maintain two sets *Possible* and *Rejected* containing the vertices that are possible sources and rejected sources respectively. Initially,  $\text{Possible} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{Rejected} = \emptyset$ .
2. While  $|\text{Possible}| > 1$ : Take two vertices  $u, v \in \text{Possible}$  and query the edge  $(u, v)$ .
3. (Correctness proof) Whatever the direction of the edge  $(u, v)$ , we can reject one of  $u$  or  $v$  as a possible source. Hence we remove one of them from *Possible* and add it to *Rejected*.
4. Therefore, w.l.o.g. if  $(u, v)$  is directed from  $u$  to  $v$ , update  $\text{Possible} = \text{Possible} \setminus \{v\}$  and  $\text{Rejected} = \text{Rejected} \cup \{v\}$ .
5. Once  $|\text{Possible}| = 1$ , we query all the edges incident on the vertex in *Possible* and check if all of them are directed away from it. If so, we have found a source and  $\text{SRC}(T) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\text{SRC}(T) = 0$ .

Analyzing the number of queries made by the algorithm, we have that step 2 does  $n - 1$  queries, since in each iteration we remove one vertex from *Possible*. In step 5, we query at most  $n - 1$  edges. Hence the total number of queries is  $2n - 2 = O(n)$ .

Hence, since we have that max cost of the algorithm is  $2n - 2$ , we have that the Deterministic Decision Tree complexity  $\leq 2n - 2 = O(n)$ . Therefore we have

$$\boxed{D(\text{SRC}) = O(n)}$$

□

7. For  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , let  $\text{Tht} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the threshold function defined as follows:

$$\text{Tht}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $\deg(\text{Tht}) = n$ , i.e., any polynomial representing  $\text{Tht}$  must have full degree  $n$ . (15 points)

In class, we have seen that

$$\deg(f) = n \text{ iff } |X^{\text{even}}| \neq |X^{\text{odd}}| \quad (6)$$

where  $X^{\text{even}} = \{x | f(x) = 1 \text{ and } |x| \text{ is even}\}$  and  $X^{\text{odd}} = \{x | f(x) = 1 \text{ and } |x| \text{ is odd}\}$ .

Let  $\text{Th}_t$  be the threshold function for  $t \in [n]$ . We have that

$$\text{Th}_t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

This implies that

$$X^{\text{even}} = \{x \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq t \text{ and } |x| \text{ is even}\}$$

$$X^{\text{odd}} = \{x \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq t \text{ and } |x| \text{ is odd}\}$$

By choosing  $2k$  or  $2k+1$  bits from  $n$  bits and setting them to 1, while the rest are set to 0, we can get all the elements of  $X^{\text{even}}$  and  $X^{\text{odd}}$  respectively. Hence we have

$$|X^{\text{even}}| = \sum_{k=\lceil t/2 \rceil}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k}$$

$$|X^{\text{odd}}| = \sum_{k=\lceil t/2 \rceil}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k+1}$$

Therefore we have

$$|X^{\text{even}}| - |X^{\text{odd}}| = \sum_{i=t}^n (-1)^i \cdot \binom{n}{i}$$

$$\implies |X^{\text{even}}| - |X^{\text{odd}}| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-t} (-1)^i \cdot \binom{n}{i} \quad (\text{using } \binom{n}{i} = \binom{n}{n-i})$$

Hence to prove  $X^{\text{even}} \neq X^{\text{odd}}$ , we need to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \cdot \binom{n}{i} \neq 0, \text{ where } k = n-t, \forall t \in [n], \text{ i.e. } 0 \leq k \leq n-1; \quad (8)$$

We can prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Base case: For  $k = 0$ , it holds trivially.

Induction hypothesis: Assume that it holds for some  $k \in [n-2]$ . Then for  $k+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} &= (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k+1} + (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \left\{ \binom{n}{k+1} - \binom{n-1}{k} \right\} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n-1}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the induction hypothesis holds.

Therefore we have that  $|X^{\text{even}}| \neq |X^{\text{odd}}|$  for all  $t \in [n]$ . Hence  $\deg(\text{Th}_t) = n \forall t \in [n]$ .