Analysis of the Runtime of the Mergesort Algorithm Compared to the Quicksort Algorithm on Large Data Sets

Daigan Berger and Taha Alnasser

1 Objective

The objective of the research was to compare the runtimes of the **Mergesort** and **Quicksort** sorting algorithms and discern if there is any significant difference in the runtimes of the algorithms on large datasets. Both of these algorithms operate in $O(n \log_2 n)$ where n represents the size of the input array. It is commonly assumed for large data sets that **Quicksort** is faster, and this assumption will be put to the test in this experiment.

2 Experiment Setup and Assumptions

- 1. Python 3.10.11 (64 bit) is used
- 2. The same computer will be used throughout the entire experiment
- 3. For each trial, the same randomly generated array of size 100,0000 will be used
- 4. There will be n = 1000 trials performed for each algorithm
- 5. We are assuming in this experiment that $\sigma_Q = \sigma_M$ because sorting algorithms tend to contain very little and similar amounts of variance between different data sets
- 6. All timings are measured in seconds

3 Hypothesis

Define μ_Q as the average time in seconds of sorting the datasets using **Quicksort** Define μ_M as the average time in seconds of sorting the datasets using **Mergesort**

 $H_0: \mu_Q - \mu_M = 0$ (Mergesort is faster than or equal to Quicksort in speed) $H_A: \mu_Q - \mu_M < 0$ (Quicksort is faster than Mergesort in speed)

```
1 # Function to find the partition position
  def partition(array, low, high):
3
4
       # choose the rightmost element as pivot
5
       pivot = array[high]
6
7
       # pointer for greater element
       i = low - 1
8
9
10
       # traverse through all elements
11
       # compare each element with pivot
12
       for j in range(low, high):
13
           if array[j] <= pivot:</pre>
14
15
               # If element smaller than pivot is found
16
               # swap it with the greater element pointed by i
               i = i + 1
17
18
               \# Swapping element at i with element at j
19
                (array[i], array[j]) = (array[j], array[i])
20
21
22
       # Swap the pivot element with the greater element specified by i
23
       (array[i + 1], array[high]) = (array[high], array[i + 1])
24
25
       # Return the position from where partition is done
26
       return i + 1
27
28 # function to perform quicksort
29
30
31 def quickSort(array, low, high):
32
       if low < high:</pre>
33
           # Find pivot element such that
34
35
           # element smaller than pivot are on the left
36
           # element greater than pivot are on the right
           pi = partition(array, low, high)
37
38
           # Recursive call on the left of pivot
39
40
           quickSort(array, low, pi - 1)
41
42
           # Recursive call on the right of pivot
43
           quickSort(array, pi + 1, high)
44
45 def sort_q(array, size):
       quickSort(array, 0, size - 1)
```

Listing 1: Quicksort Code

```
1 def merge(arr, 1, m, r):
2
       n1 = m - 1 + 1
       n2 = r - m
3
4
5
       # create temp arrays
       L = [0] * (n1)
6
       R = [0] * (n2)
8
9
       # Copy data to temp arrays L[] and R[]
10
       for i in range(0, n1):
11
           L[i] = arr[l + i]
12
13
       for j in range(0, n2):
14
           R[j] = arr[m + 1 + j]
15
16
       # Merge the temp arrays back into arr[l..r]
17
                # Initial index of first subarray
                 # Initial index of second subarray
18
       j = 0
                 # Initial index of merged subarray
19
20
21
       while i < n1 and j < n2:
22
           if L[i] <= R[j]:</pre>
                arr[k] = L[i]
23
                i += 1
24
25
           else:
26
                arr[k] = R[j]
27
                j += 1
28
           k += 1
29
30
       # Copy the remaining elements of L[], if there
31
       # are any
32
       while i < n1:
           arr[k] = L[i]
33
           i += 1
34
           k += 1
35
36
       # Copy the remaining elements of R[], if there
37
38
       # are any
       while j < n2:
39
40
           arr[k] = R[j]
41
           j += 1
42
           k += 1
43
44 # l is for left index and r is right index of the
   # sub-array of arr to be sorted
45
46
47
48
  def mergeSort(arr, 1, r):
49
       if 1 < r:
50
           # Same as (1+r)//2, but avoids overflow for
```

```
# large l and h
52
53
           m = 1+(r-1)//2
54
           # Sort first and second halves
55
56
           mergeSort(arr, 1, m)
57
           mergeSort(arr, m+1, r)
58
           merge(arr, 1, m, r)
59
60 def sort_m(array, size):
       mergeSort(array, 0, size-1)
```

Listing 2: Mergesort Code

```
1 import time
2 import random
3 import copy
4 import math
5 # Function to find the partition position
6 def partition(array, low, high):
8
       # choose the rightmost element as pivot
9
       pivot = array[high]
10
       # pointer for greater element
11
12
       i = low - 1
13
14
       # traverse through all elements
15
       # compare each element with pivot
       for j in range(low, high):
16
17
           if array[j] <= pivot:</pre>
18
19
               # If element smaller than pivot is found
20
               # swap it with the greater element pointed by i
               i = i + 1
21
22
23
               # Swapping element at i with element at j
                (array[i], array[j]) = (array[j], array[i])
24
25
26
       # Swap the pivot element with the greater element specified by i
27
       (array[i + 1], array[high]) = (array[high], array[i + 1])
28
29
       # Return the position from where partition is done
       return i + 1
30
31
32 # function to perform quicksort
33
34
35 def quickSort(array, low, high):
36
       if low < high:</pre>
37
38
           # Find pivot element such that
39
           # element smaller than pivot are on the left
```

```
# element greater than pivot are on the right
40
41
           pi = partition(array, low, high)
42
43
           # Recursive call on the left of pivot
44
           quickSort(array, low, pi - 1)
45
           # Recursive call on the right of pivot
46
           quickSort(array, pi + 1, high)
47
48
49
  def sort_q(array, size):
       quickSort(array, 0, size - 1)
50
51
52
53 def merge(arr, 1, m, r):
54
       n1 = m - 1 + 1
       n2 = r - m
55
56
57
       # create temp arrays
       L = [0] * (n1)
58
       R = [0] * (n2)
59
60
       # Copy data to temp arrays L[] and R[]
61
62
       for i in range(0, n1):
           L[i] = arr[l + i]
63
64
65
       for j in range(0, n2):
66
           R[j] = arr[m + 1 + j]
67
68
       # Merge the temp arrays back into arr[1..r]
69
              # Initial index of first subarray
70
       j = 0
                 # Initial index of second subarray
71
       k = 1
                 # Initial index of merged subarray
72
73
       while i < n1 and j < n2:
74
           if L[i] <= R[j]:</pre>
75
                arr[k] = L[i]
                i += 1
76
77
           else:
                arr[k] = R[j]
78
79
                j += 1
80
           k += 1
81
82
       # Copy the remaining elements of L[], if there
       # are any
83
       while i < n1:
84
           arr[k] = L[i]
85
           i += 1
86
           k += 1
87
88
       # Copy the remaining elements of R[], if there
89
       # are any
90
```

```
while j < n2:
91
92
            arr[k] = R[j]
            j += 1
93
94
            k += 1
95
   # l is for left index and r is right index of the
96
97
   # sub-array of arr to be sorted
98
99
100
   def mergeSort(arr, 1, r):
        if 1 < r:
101
102
103
            # Same as (1+r)//2, but avoids overflow for
104
            # large l and h
105
            m = 1+(r-1)//2
106
            # Sort first and second halves
107
            mergeSort(arr, 1, m)
108
109
            mergeSort(arr, m+1, r)
110
            merge(arr, 1, m, r)
111
   def sort_m(array, size):
112
113
        mergeSort(array, 0, size-1)
114 def timing():
115
        experiment_size = 1000
116
        array_size = 100000
117
        quick_sort_times = []
        merge_sort_times = []
118
        for i in range(experiment_size):
119
120
            arr_merge_sort = generate_random(array_size)
121
            arr_quick_sort = copy.deepcopy(arr_merge_sort)
122
            timer = Timer()
123
            timer.start_timer()
124
125
            sort_m(arr_merge_sort, array_size)
126
            timer.end_timer()
            merge_sort_times.append(timer.get_elapsed_time())
127
128
129
130
            timer.start_timer()
131
            sort_q(arr_quick_sort, array_size)
132
            timer.end_timer()
133
            quick_sort_times.append(timer.get_elapsed_time())
        mean_quick_sort = get_mean(quick_sort_times, experiment_size)
134
        mean_merge_sort = get_mean(merge_sort_times, experiment_size)
135
136
        var_quick_sort = get_var(mean_quick_sort,quick_sort_times, experiment_size)
137
        var_merge_sort = get_var(mean_merge_sort, merge_sort_times, experiment_size)
138
139
        print("Results: ----")
140
        print("Mean of quick sort: ", mean_quick_sort)
        print("Mean of merge sort: ", mean_merge_sort)
141
```

```
print("Variance of quick sort: ", var_quick_sort)
142
        print("Variance of merge sort: ", var_merge_sort)
143
        f = open("results.csv" ,"w")
144
145
        f.write("Trial, Quick sort data, Merge Sort Data,, Quick sort mean, Merge sort
       mean, Quick sort variance, Merge sort variance \n")
        f.write(str(1) +","+str(quick_sort_times[0]) + "," + str(merge_sort_times[0])
146
        + ", ," + str(mean_quick_sort) + "," + str(mean_merge_sort) + "," + str(
       var_quick_sort) + "," + str(var_merge_sort) + "\n")
147
       for i in range(1, experiment_size):
            f.write(str(i+1) +","+str(quick_sort_times[i]) + "," + str(
148
       merge_sort_times[i]) + "\n")
        f.close()
149
150
151
152
   def get_mean(arr, experiment_size):
153
        sum = 0
154
        for element in arr:
155
            sum = sum + element
156
        return sum / experiment_size
157
   def get_var(mean, arr, experiment_size):
        sum = 0
158
        for element in arr:
159
160
            sum += pow((element-mean),2)
161
        return sum/(experiment_size -1)
162
163
   class Timer():
164
       def __init__(self):
            self.delta_time = 0
165
            self.start_time = 0
166
167
        def start_timer(self):
168
            self.start_time = time.time()
        def end_timer(self):
169
            if (self.start_time) == 0:
170
                return "timer not started"
171
172
            self.delta_time = (time.time() - self.start_time)
173
            self.start_time = 0
        def get_elapsed_time(self):
174
175
            return self.delta_time
176
177
178
   def generate_random(size):
179
        return [random.randint(0, 100000) for i in range(size)]
180 timing()
```

Listing 3: Timing Code

4 Results

```
s_p^2 = \frac{(s_Q^2)(n_Q - 1) + (s_M^2)(n_M - 1)}{n_Q + n_M - 2} = \frac{(3.37 \cdot 10^{-5})(1000 - 1) + (7.53 \cdot 10^{-5})(1000 - 1)}{1000 + 1000 - 2} \approx 5.45 \cdot 10^{-5}
t = \frac{\bar{x}_Q - \bar{x}_M - d_0}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_Q} + \frac{1}{n_M}}} = \frac{0.147 - 0.302 - 0}{\sqrt{5.45 \times 10^{-5}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{1000}}} = -469.48
```

$$v = n_Q + n_M - 2 = 1998$$

$$t_{0.05,1998} \approx t_{0.05,\infty} = 1.645$$

5 Conclusions

Because our test statistic t fell into the rejection region, we can reject H_0 , the null hypothesis, and conclude that at the 0.05 significance level that **Quicksort** is faster than **Mergesort** in speed using the assumptions and experiment setup presented previously

6 References

- 1. https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/python-program-for-merge-sort/
- 2. https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/python-program-for-quicksort/

Rejection Region:

$$t < t_{a,n_Q + n_M - 2}$$

$$-469.48 < 1.645$$

Confidence Interval:

$$error = t_{\alpha/2} s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_Q} + \frac{1}{n_M}}$$

$$t_{0.025,1998} \approx t_{0.025,\infty} = 1.645$$

error =
$$1.645 \cdot 5.45 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{1000}} = 4.01 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

$$(\bar{x}_Q - \bar{x}_M) - error < \mu_Q - \mu_M < (\bar{x}_Q - \bar{x}_M) + error$$

$$(0.147 - 0.302) - 4.01 \cdot 10^{-6} < \mu_Q - \mu_M < (0.147 - 0.302) + 4.01 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

$$-0.15500 < \mu_Q - \mu_M < -0.15499$$

7 Conclusions

Because our test statistic t fell into the rejection region, we can reject H_0 , the null hypothesis, and conclude that at the 0.05 significance level that Quicksort is faster than Mergesort in speed using the assumptions and experiment setup presented previously

8 References

- ${\bf 1.\ https://www.geeks for geeks.org/python-program-for-merge-sort/}$
- ${\bf 2.\ https://www.geeks for geeks.org/python-program-for-quicksort/}$