(31.6036, 11.7235, 2.5185, 0.5303, 0.3773, 26.2722, 23.8238, -9.9574, 6.3609, 205.261 (162.052 , 136.031 , 4.0612, 0.0374, 0.0187, 116.741 , -64.858 , -45.216 , 76.96 , 256.788 , 'g'), (75.4455, 47.5305, 3.4483, 0.1417, 0.0549, -9.3561,41.0562, -9.4662, 30.2987, 256.516 6, 'h'), (120.5135, 76.9018, 3.9939, 0.0944, 0.0683, 5.8043, -93.5224, -63.8389, 84.6874, 408.316 6, 'h'), (187.1814, 53.0014, 3.2093, 0.2876, 0.1539, -167.3125, -168.4558, 31.4755, 52.731, 272.3174, 'h')], dtype=[('f0', '<f8'), ('f1', '<f8'), ('f2', '<f8'), ('f3', '<f8'), ('f4', '<f8'), ('f5', '<f 8'), ('f6', '<f8'), ('f7', '<f8'), ('f8', '<f8'), ('f9', '<f8'), ('f10', '<U1')]) **Separating Gammas from Hadrons** In order to make both datasets equal in size we will separate Gs from Hs. Knowing that gamma data is in the first 12332 rows we separate the gamma in a variable called g_class Gamma data In [60]: g class=data set[:12332] g_class Out[60]: array([(28.7967, 16.0021, 2.6449, 0.3918, 0.1982, 27.7004, 22.011 , -8.2027, 40.092 , 81.8828, (31.6036, 11.7235, 2.5185, 0.5303, 0.3773, 26.2722, 23.8238, -9.9574, 6.3609, 205.261, 'g'), (162.052 , 136.031 , 4.0612, 0.0374, 0.0187, 116.741 , -64.858 , -45.216 , 76.96 , 256.788 , 'g'),

(22.0913, 10.8949, 2.2945, 0.5381, 0.2919, 15.2776, 18.2296,

8'), ('f6', '<f8'), ('f7', '<f8'), ('f8', '<f8'), ('f9', '<f8'), ('f10', '<U1')])

[(117.16 , 21.5912, 3.0204, 0.3015, 0.1665, -117.488 , -73.3775, -14.3856, 0.181 , 324.714, 'g')

(48.5393, 19.3707, 2.4401, 0.3775, 0.1942, 35.1581, 33.3854, -14.009, 9.9947, 163.199, 'g')

(72.8137, 34.9071, 3.2667, 0.2922, 0.1631, -0.4914, 18.4641, 20.3378, 12.275, 338.84, 'g') (74.7241, 25.517, 3.3375, 0.1687, 0.0857, 52.4757, 57.9373, -9.0161, 4.5284, 261.352, 'g') (40.0652, 21.3799, 2.9811, 0.2851, 0.1655, 18.9428, 26.5156, 16.5628, 18.0501, 213.502, 'g')]

We will concatinate the new shortened g_class with the h_class to have our new dataset with equal parameters for both predections.

[(117.16 , 21.5912, 3.0204, 0.3015, 0.1665, -117.488 , -73.3775, -14.3856, 0.181 , 324.714 , 'g') (20.9316, 15.2379, 2.4857, 0.4575, 0.2402, 25.2371, 14.1903, 5.9376, 36.675 , 137.007 , 'g') (48.5393, 19.3707, 2.4401, 0.3775, 0.1942, 35.1581, 33.3854, -14.009, 9.9947, 163.199, 'g')

(187.1814, 53.0014, 3.2093, 0.2876, 0.1539, -167.3125, -168.4558, 31.4755, 52.731 , 272.3174, 'h')]

We are taking a random 6688 rows from the gamma array to make both datasets equal

(20.9316, 15.2379, 2.4857, 0.4575, 0.2402, 25.2371, 14.1903,

(75.4455, 47.5305, 3.4483, 0.1417, 0.0549, -9.3561,

(120.5135, 76.9018, 3.9939, 0.0944, 0.0683,

Randomizing data by shuffle and splitting

Spliting data to Training, Testing, Validation data sets

train,test_validate=np.array_split(data,[int(0.70 * len(data))])

test, validation=np.array split(test validate, [int(0.50 * len(test validate))])

We are taking the first ten features and putting them in array x_data and the last feature (the predection) in array y_data

We are supposed to use this 'magic' dataset to generate a prediction of wether the energy particles are of type gamma (g) or hadron (h).

We are loading the data from file using numpy genfromtext function. Which will load all the features in a hashmap like data structure to help

22.011 , -8.2027, 40.092 , 81.882

7.3975, 21.068 , 123.281 ,

41.0562, -9.4662, 30.2987, 256.5166,

5.9376, 36.675 , 137.007, 'g')

41.0562, -9.4662, 30.2987, 256.5166, 'h')

5.8043, -93.5224, -63.8389, 84.6874, 408.3166, 'h')

In [59]: data set = np.genfromtxt('magic04.data', delimiter=',', dtype=None, encoding='utf-8')

Out[59]: array([(28.7967, 16.0021, 2.6449, 0.3918, 0.1982, 27.7004,

'g'), (56.2216, 18.7019, 2.9297, 0.2516, 0.1393, 96.5758, -41.2969, 11.3764, 5.911 , 197.209 , 'g'), (31.5125, 19.2867, 2.9578, 0.2975, 0.1515, 38.1833, 21.6729, -12.0726, 17.5809, 171.227, 'g')], dtype=[('f0', '<f8'), ('f1', '<f8'), ('f2', '<f8'), ('f3', '<f8'), ('f4', '<f8'), ('f5', '<f 8'), ('f6', '<f8'), ('f7', '<f8'), ('f8', '<f8'), ('f9', '<f8'), ('f10', '<U1')]) **Hadron data** In [61]: h class=data set[12332:] h class 89.0566, 11.8175, 14.1224, 231.9028, Out[61]: array([(93.7035, 37.9432, 3.1454, 0.168 , 0.1011, 53.2566, (102.0005, 22.0017, 3.3161, 0.1064, 0.0724, -54.0862,43.0553, -15.0647, 88.4636, 274.9392, 'h'), 57.6547, -9.6341, 20.7848, 346.433, (100.2775, 21.8784, 3.11 , 0.312 , 0.1446, -48.1834,

Making Gs the same size as Hs

Constructing a new data array

In [63]: | data=np.concatenate((g class,h class),axis=0)

We are shuffling all our data using numpy

rng = np.random.default rng()

In [65]: print(f"train: {train.shape[0]}\n"

f"test: {test.shape[0]}\n"

f"validation: {validation.shape[0]}")

Separating features from the perdiction

def return_x_y_arrays(data_set_to_be_sliced): buf=data_set_to_be_sliced.tolist()

rng.shuffle(data)

train: 9363 test: 2006

validation: 2007

x data= [] y data=[] for d in buf:

x=d[:10]

x_data=np.array(x_data)

return x_data,y_data

x data.append(x) y=np.array([d[10]])y data.append(y)

y data=np.array(y data).ravel()

x_validation,y_validation=return_x_y_arrays(validation)

from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier as knn

Scoring the model using test and validation datasets

x_train, y_train=return_x_y_arrays(train)

x_test, y_test=return_x_y_arrays(test)

Fitting and training the model

KNeighborsClassifier KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors=1)

We will use various K values to score the model

print(model.score(x test, y test))

print (model.score (x_test, y_test))

print (model.score (x_test, y_test))

print (model.score (x_test, y_test))

KN = KNeighborsClassifier()

g = grid.fit(x_train, y_train)

accuracy=grid.best_score_ * 100

In [75]: | best_k = grid.best_params_['n_neighbors']

Calculation test accuracy score

y_test_pred = knn.predict(x_test)

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

g

h

accuracy

macro avg

weighted avg

g

h

In [77]:

Out[77]:

disp = disp.plot(cmap=plt.cm.Blues)

knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors=best k)

knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=best_k)

y_validation_pred = knn.predict(x_validation)

test_accuracy = knn.score(x_validation, y_validation)

from sklearn.metrics import ConfusionMatrixDisplay

matix = confusion_matrix(y_test,y_test_pred) print(classification report(y test, y test pred))

0.72

0.83

0.78

0.77

916

359

g

results = pd.DataFrame(g.cv_results_)

1

3

5

7

9

11

13

15

17

19

21

23

25

import pandas as pd

needed results

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

Predicted label

1.000000

0.870163

0.837777

0.822956

0.813201

0.806983

0.802295

0.798308

0.795899

0.792374

0.789645

0.786951

0.786180

param_n_neighbors mean_train_score mean_test_score

from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix, classification report

precision recall f1-score support

0.88

0.63

0.76

0.76

disp = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion matrix=matix, display_labels=['g','h'])

0.79

0.72

0.76

0.76

0.76

121

610

h

needed results = results[['param n neighbors', 'mean train score', 'mean test score']]

0.740256

0.759692

0.764817

0.767594

0.768556

0.771227

0.769518

0.768877

0.772829

0.766313

0.765244

0.765993

0.768022

1037

969

2006

2006

2006

900

800

700

- 600

- 500

400

300

200

test_accuracy = knn.score(x_test, y_test)

Calculation validation accuracy score

print(grid.best_params_)

knn.fit(x train, y train)

knn.fit(x_train,y_train)

print(test_accuracy)

print(test_accuracy)

0.7607178464606181 0.7802690582959642

In [76]:

print(model.score(x_validation,y_validation))

print(model.score(x_validation,y_validation))

print(model.score(x validation, y validation))

print(model.score(x_validation,y_validation))

print(model.score(x_validation,y_validation))

In [74]: from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier

param_grid = dict(n_neighbors=k_range)

from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV

 $k_{range} = list(range(1, 26, 2)) # 1, 3, 5, ..., 25$

{'n neighbors': [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25]}

Accuracy for our training dataset with tuning is: 77.28%

grid = GridSearchCV(KN, param_grid, cv=10, scoring='accuracy', return_train_score=True)

print("Accuracy for our training dataset with tuning is : {:.2f}%".format(accuracy))

Using confusion matrix to display the results in human-readable graphic

k=1 k=3 k=10 k=23 -> Best k=600

In [69]: | print(model.score(x test, y test))

0.7318045862412762 0.7409068261086198

0.7582253240279162 0.7513702042850025

0.7681954137587238 0.7678126557050324

0.765702891326022 0.7688091679123069

0.7288135593220338 0.7159940209267563

print(param_grid)

Training data

{'n neighbors': 17}

In [70]: model.n_neighbors=3

In [71]: model.n_neighbors=10

In [72]: model.n_neighbors=23

In [73]: model.n neighbors=600

model=knn(n neighbors=1) model.fit(x train, y train)

In [62]: g class=np.random.choice(g class,size=6688,replace=**False**)

'h'), (75.4455, 47.5305, 3.4483, 0.1417, 0.0549, -9.3561,

Magic Dataset

Introduction

import numpy as np

Loading data

data set

8, 'g'),

us easily manipulate the data

In [58]:

'h'), (120.5135, 76.9018, 3.9939, 0.0944, 0.0683, 5.8043, -93.5224, -63.8389, 84.6874, 408.3166,'h'), (187.1814, 53.0014, 3.2093, 0.2876, 0.1539, -167.3125, -168.4558, 31.4755, 52.731 , 272.3174, 'h')], dtype=[('f0', '<f8'), ('f1', '<f8'), ('f2', '<f8'), ('f3', '<f8'), ('f4', '<f8'), ('f5', '<f

print(g class)

(6688,)

print(data)

(13376,)

In [64]:

In [66]:

In [67]:

In [68]:

Out[68]:

print(data.shape)

print(g class.shape)