

NP-Completeness Practice

We need to prove - *"The problem of deciding whether G contains a simple path of length at least k from vertex a to vertex b is NP-complete."* To prove that this problem (A) is NP-complete we need to show four things :

(1) *There is a non-deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that solves A, i.e., $A \in NP$*

- This can be proved by using the "Hamiltonian Path Existence" algorithm. We first check whether the graph has a Hamiltonian path starting from a and ending at b . If it does, then there must exist a linear path between a and b where length is n (number of nodes). If it doesn't then we reduce the vertices and check this again, until we have k vertices left. If at any point we find a Hamiltonian path then we can say *"there is a path of length at least k between a and b ".*

So since the problem A can be reduced to the "Hamiltonian Path Existence" problem, it is a problem in NP.

(2) *Any NP-Complete problem B can be reduced to A*

- We saw that the problem A ("k-length Simple Path Existence") can be reduced to the "Hamiltonian Path Existence" problem. Also to check whether we have a Hamiltonian path we can simply use problem A i.e. check whether we have a simple path between any two vertices a to b where $k = \text{number of nodes} - 1$.

So the "Hamiltonian Path Existence" can be reduced to A and we already know it is an NP-Complete problem so all other NP-Complete problems can be reduced to "Hamiltonian Path Existence" problem and subsequently can be reduced to A.

(3) *The reduction of B to A works in polynomial time*

- Any problem B can be reduced to the "Hamiltonian Path Existence" problem in polynomial time. Now that problem can be reduced to A very easily with $k = \text{number of nodes} - 1$. So we can say B can be reduced to A in polynomial time.

(4) *the original problem A has a solution if and only if B has a solution.*

- A will have a solution if a problem B ("Hamiltonian Path Existence" and subsequently all NP-Complete) problems have a solution. Now if we can check the existence of a hamiltonian path, we can solve this problem because we already established an algorithm in (1) using this. Again if A can be solved then so can B because we can reduce B to A by using $k = \text{number of nodes} - 1$. So this proof works bi-directionally.