#### STAT 431 — Applied Bayesian Analysis — Course Notes

# Population Proportion: Posterior Inference

Spring 2019

#### Inference can include:

- estimation
- hypothesis testing
- prediction

The **frequentist** approach bases all inference on the data and its distribution, regarding the parameter(s) as *fixed*.

The Bayesian approach treats the parameter(s) as random.

Let's compare them in the case of a binomial proportion ...

1

# Frequentist Methods

▶ Point estimate:

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{y}{n}$$

(which is a MOM estimate and a MLE — see Cowles)

Eg: survey — y = 12 out of n = 70 own pets

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{12}{70} \approx 0.171$$

Standard error (estimated):

$$\operatorname{se}(\hat{\pi}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}{n}} \approx 0.045$$

Confidence Interval:

The "Wald"  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  CI is

$$\hat{\pi} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \operatorname{se}(\hat{\pi})$$

For our survey data, the 95% interval is

$$\approx (0.08, 0.26)$$

Q: Would a frequentist statistician say that  $\pi$  has a 95% approx. probability of being in this computed interval?

► Hypothesis Test:

e.g. 
$$H_0: \pi \geq \pi_*$$
  $H_1: \pi < \pi_*$ 

Wald statistic:

$$z = \frac{\hat{\pi} - \pi_*}{\operatorname{se}(\hat{\pi})}$$

For  $H_0$ : at least 30% have pets, we get

$$z \approx \frac{0.171 - 0.3}{0.045} \approx -2.85$$

which is significant for rejecting  $H_0$ .

## R Example 4.1:

Population Proportion — Frequentist Methods

# Bayesian Methods

Basic idea: Use the posterior distribution for everything.

Eg: Recall  $beta(\alpha, \beta)$  prior example, which led to

$$\pi \mid Y = 12 \sim \text{beta}(12 + \alpha, 58 + \beta)$$

#### Point Estimate:

Usually the **posterior mean**:

$$E(\pi \mid Y = y) = E(\pi \mid y)$$

(but could alternatively use posterior median or mode)

Eg: For beta prior (see Cowles, Table A.2)

$$E(\pi \mid Y = 12) = \frac{12 + \alpha}{(12 + \alpha) + (58 + \beta)} = \frac{12 + \alpha}{70 + \alpha + \beta}$$

so for the uniform  $(\alpha = \beta = 1)$ 

$$E(\pi \mid Y = 12) = \frac{12+1}{70+2} \approx 0.181$$

In general (binomial likelihood, beta prior):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(\pi \mid y) &= \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + n} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n} \cdot \frac{y}{n} \\ &= \lambda \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{E}(\pi)}_{\text{prior mean}} + (1 - \lambda) \underbrace{\hat{\pi}}_{\text{sample}}_{\text{proportion}} \end{split}$$

Note: Posterior mean is sample proportion "shrunk" toward the prior mean.

Q: As  $n \to \infty$ , what happens to  $\lambda$ ? What happens to the posterior mean? Does the prior becomes less important or more important?

8

Also, can show that

posterior mode 
$$= \frac{y+\alpha-1}{(y+\alpha)+(n-y+\beta)-2}$$

which for the uniform prior  $(\alpha = \beta = 1)$  gives

$$\frac{y}{n}$$

(In general, the posterior mode under a *flat* prior should be the same as the maximum likelihood estimate.)

g

Posterior Standard Deviation:

Bayesian analogue of the standard error:

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\pi \mid y)}$$

Eg: For beta prior (see Cowles, Table A.2) this is

$$\sqrt{\frac{(12+\alpha)(58+\beta)}{(12+\alpha+58+\beta)^2(12+\alpha+58+\beta+1)}}$$

which is, for the uniform ( $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ), approx. 0.045.

(comparable to standard error)

Remark: For any beta prior, you can show the *frequentist* result that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\pi \mid Y)}}{\operatorname{se}(\hat{\pi})} \quad \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \quad 1 \qquad (w.p. \ 1)$$

(HW?)

Credible Interval

A  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  (Bayesian posterior) credible interval for a parameter  $\theta$  is a statistical interval I such that

$$P(\theta \in I \mid \mathsf{data}) = 1 - \alpha$$

[Interpret ... ]

Two main approaches:

- equal-tailed
- ▶ highest posterior density (HPD)

[ Illustrate equal-tailed and HPD ... ]

# R Example 4.2(a):

Population Proportion — Credible Intervals

Posterior Probabilities and Testing

Given

$$H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0 \qquad \qquad H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$$

a Bayesian can assign posterior probabilities

$$\mathrm{P}(H_0 \mid \mathsf{data}) \ = \ \mathrm{P}(\theta \in \Theta_0 \mid \mathsf{data})$$

$$P(H_1 \mid data) = P(\theta \in \Theta_1 \mid data)$$

Eg: A Bayesian can assign probabilities to

$$H_0: \pi > 0.3$$
  $H_1: \pi < 0.3$ 

# R Example 4.2(b):

Population Proportion — Posterior Probabilities

### Posterior Predictive Distributions

Let

 $\begin{array}{lcl} \theta & = & \text{the model parameter} \\ y & = & \text{the observed data} \\ Y^* & = & \text{unobserved (new) data} \end{array}$ 

Suppose  $\theta$  has continuous posterior density  $p(\theta \mid y)$ .

The **posterior predictive distribution** for  $Y^*$  is defined by the density

$$p(y^* \mid y) = \int \underbrace{p(y^* \mid \theta)}_{\substack{\text{model for } \\ \text{new data}}} \underbrace{p(\theta \mid y)}_{\substack{\text{posterior } \\ \text{from obs. data}}} d\theta$$

To derive this, we assume  $Y^*$  is conditionally independent of data Y, given  $\theta$ :  $p(y^* \mid \theta, y) \ = \ p(y^* \mid \theta)$ 

Then

$$p(y^* \mid y) = \int p(y^*, \theta \mid y) d\theta$$
$$= \int p(y^* \mid \theta, y) p(\theta \mid y) d\theta$$
$$= \int p(y^* \mid \theta) p(\theta \mid y) d\theta$$

Eg: Pet survey (binomial data,  $\theta = \pi$ )

Suppose we plan to survey  $n^* = 10$  new people. Let

$$Y^*$$
 = number of them having pets

Then

$$Y^* \mid \pi \sim \text{binomial}(10, \pi)$$

Let's use our posterior from the uniform prior ( $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ):

$$\pi \mid Y = 12 \sim \text{beta}(13, 59)$$

The posterior predictive distribution for  $Y^*$  has density (for  $y^* = 0, 1, \dots 10$ )

$$\begin{split} p(y^* \mid Y = 12) &= \int_0^1 \binom{10}{y^*} \pi^{y^*} (1 - \pi)^{10 - y^*} \\ &\cdot \frac{\Gamma(72)}{\Gamma(13)\Gamma(59)} \pi^{12} (1 - \pi)^{58} \, d\pi \\ &= \left(\frac{10}{y^*}\right) \frac{\Gamma(72)}{\Gamma(13)\Gamma(59)} \\ &\cdot \int_0^1 \underbrace{\pi^{y^* + 12} (1 - \pi)^{68 - y^*}}_{\text{kernel of } \text{beta}(y^* + 13, 69 - y^*)} d\pi \end{split}$$

$$\cdots = \binom{10}{v^*} \frac{\Gamma(72)}{\Gamma(13)\Gamma(59)} \frac{\Gamma(y^* + 13)\Gamma(69 - y^*)}{\Gamma(82)}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{10}{y^*} 71 \binom{70}{12}}{81 \binom{80}{y^* + 12}} \quad \text{for } y^* = 0, 1, \dots 10$$

(a type of beta-binomial distribution)

## R Example 4.3:

Population Proportion — Posterior Predictive Distn.