

One and a half hours

**UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

Algorithms and Imperative Programming

Date: Thursday 17th January 2013

Time: 09:45 - 11:15

Please answer any TWO Questions from the THREE Questions provided

Use a SEPARATE answerbook for each QUESTION

Marks will be awarded for reasoning and method as well as being correct.

This is a CLOSED book examination

The use of electronic calculators is permitted provided they are
not programmable and do not store text.

[PTO]

1. Algorithm design.

For each of the following computational tasks

- (i) describe an algorithm for the task. Your description may be a program in a standard language, in pseudocode, or a clear and precise step-by-step description. You should explain your algorithm briefly. Marks are awarded for a correct algorithm. Some marks will also be awarded for efficiency: the more efficient your algorithm is, the more marks it will be awarded.
 - (ii) give the worst-case time complexity of your algorithm in terms of the size of the input and the number of operations required. Explain your answer.
- a) Given a list of integers as input, determine whether or not two integers (not necessarily distinct) in the list have a product k . For example, for $k = 12$ and list $[2, 10, 5, 3, 7, 4, 8]$, there is a pair, 3 and 4, such that $3 \times 4 = 12$. (6 marks)
 - b) A *majority element* in a list of integers of length N , is an element that occurs strictly more than $N/2$ times in the list. Determine whether or not a list of integers has a majority element. (7 marks)
 - c) Choosing k -items from a list of n distinct integers *at random and without repetition* (i.e. an item must not be chosen more than once). Assume you are given a function $random(m)$ which chooses an integer at random between 1 and m . (7 marks)

2. Sorting

- a) What is the *worst case* time complexity of each of the following sorting algorithms in terms of the number of items N to be sorted? Give your answer in Big-Oh notation.
 - (i) merge sort,
 - (ii) bucket sort,
 - (iii) quick sort,
 - (iv) radix sort,
 - (v) insertion sort,
 - (vi) selection sort.

(3 marks)

b) Look at the following pseudocode for a sorting algorithm.

Sorting algorithm

(based on pseudocode from Wikipedia)

```

input: array of numbers  $A[0, \dots, N-1]$ 
for  $i := 1$  to  $i := N-1$ 
{
    //comment: save  $A[i]$  to make a hole at index  $iHole$ 
     $item := A[i]$ 
     $iHole := i$ 
    //comment: keep moving the hole to next smaller index until
         $A[iHole-1]$  is  $\leq item$ 
    while  $iHole > 0$  and  $A[iHole-1] > item$ 
    {
        //comment: move hole to next smaller index
         $A[iHole] := A[iHole-1]$ 
         $iHole := iHole-1$ 
    }
    //comment: put item in the hole
     $A[iHole] := item$ 
}
output:  $A[]$  in sorted order

```

- i) Does the algorithm sort the items into ascending or descending order? (1 mark)
 - ii) What type of input would lead to a best-case behaviour in terms of the number of assignments done? Give the precise number of assignments done in this case (do *not* use Big Oh). (2 marks)
 - iii) Can the sorting algorithm shown be described as ‘in-place’? Explain your answer. (1 mark)
- c) QuickSort and MergeSort are two Divide and Conquer algorithms for sorting.
- i) For *each* algorithm, briefly describe how it recursively divides up the input array. (4 marks)
 - ii) Explain how the merge operation in MergeSort works, and give its time complexity. (4 marks)
 - iii) Which of the two algorithms cannot be implemented in place? (1 mark)
- d) QuickSort is not a stable algorithm. However, if the number in $A[i]$ is changed to $A[i] \times n + i - 1$, then the new numbers are all distinct. After sorting, which transformation will restore the numbers to their original values? Explain your answer. (4 marks)

3. Complexity

- a) Look at the following pairs of functions. In each case state whether (asymptotically) $f(n)$ grows faster, $g(n)$ grows faster, or they are of the same order. (Remember: asymptotically means for sufficiently large n).

For example, for the pair $f(n) = 5n$, and $g(n) = 10n$, the answer would be: THE SAME (since they are of the same *order*, both $O(n)$).

- i) $f(n) = n^2 + n$, and $g(n) = 6n^2 + 2$ (1 mark)
 - ii) $f(n) = n \log_2 n$, and $g(n) = n\sqrt{n}$ (1 mark)
 - iii) $f(n) = 2^{\sqrt{n}}$, and $g(n) = n^{20}$ (1 mark)
 - iv) $f(n) = \log \log n$, and $g(n) = \log n$ (1 mark)
- b) Which of the following statements (A-E) are true about the four functions given below? In each case, indicate *all* the statements that apply.
- A. The function has exponential growth
 - B. The function is constant, it does not depend on n
 - C. The function is $O(n^2)$ (Big-Oh of n^2 , it grows at the same order or more slowly than n^2)
 - D. The function has linear complexity, it is $\Theta(n)$
 - E. The function is $\Omega(n^5)$ (it grows at the same order or faster than N^5)
- i) 3^{10} (2 marks)
 - ii) $17n^2 + 5n + 4$ (2 marks)
 - iii) $2n \log n$ (2 marks)
 - iv) 3^n (2 marks)
- c) Give *three* different reasons why computer scientists tend to give the time complexity of algorithms using Big-Oh notation, instead of e.g. quoting exact numbers of operations used. (Do not say ‘tradition’ or the ‘status-quo’). (3 marks)

- d) The following is the celebrated Gale-Shapley algorithm for ‘stable marriage’ (which is actually used to assign guests to hotel rooms, places to university applicants, etc.) The input to the algorithm is a list of men M and an equal-sized list of women W . Moreover, each man knows which is his most preferred woman, second favourite, and so on. Each woman likewise has a ranking of the men.

```

function stableMarriage {
  Initialize all  $m \in M$  and  $w \in W$  to free
  while there exists a free man  $m$  who still has a woman  $w$  to propose to {
     $w = m$ 's highest ranked such woman to whom he has not yet proposed
    if  $w$  is free
       $(m, w)$  become engaged
    else some pair  $(m', w)$  already exists
      if  $w$  prefers  $m$  to  $m'$ 
         $(m, w)$  become engaged
         $m'$  becomes free
      else
         $(m', w)$  remain engaged
  }
  for each engaged man  $m$  and his fiancée  $w$  {
    marry  $(m, w)$ 
  }
  output: complete list of married couples
}

```

Notice: in the algorithm, men sometimes propose to engaged women, and engaged women always swap if they prefer the proposer !

Give an argument that the algorithm stops (with everyone sure to be married), and use this argument also to give an estimate of the worst-case time complexity (using Big-Oh). (Hint: consider what happens to the women as the algorithm progresses).
(5 marks)