

Regression models course project

Taisekwa Chikazhe

11 November 2021

Executive summary

Motor Trend, an automobile trend magazine is interested in exploring the relationship between a set of variables and miles per gallon (MPG) outcome. In this project, we will analyze the mtcars dataset from the 1974 Motor Trend US magazine to answer the following questions:

Is an automatic or manual transmission better for miles per gallon (MPG)? How different is the MPG between automatic and manual transmissions? Using simple linear regression analysis, we determine that there is a significant difference between the mean MPG for automatic and manual transmission cars. Manual transmissions achieve a higher value of MPG compared to automatic transmission. This increase is approximately 2.1 MPG when switching from an automatic transmission to a manual one, with the weight, horsepower and displacement held constant.

Loading necessary libraries and datasets

```
library(ggplot2)
library(datasets)
data(mtcars)
```

Exploratory data analysis.

```
str(mtcars)
```

```
## 'data.frame':   32 obs. of  11 variables:
## $ mpg : num  21 21 22.8 21.4 18.7 18.1 14.3 24.4 22.8 19.2 ...
## $ cyl : num   6  6  4  6  8  6  8  4  4  6 ...
## $ disp: num  160 160 108 258 360 ...
## $ hp  : num  110 110 93 110 175 105 245 62 95 123 ...
## $ drat: num   3.9 3.9 3.85 3.08 3.15 2.76 3.21 3.69 3.92 3.92 ...
## $ wt  : num   2.62 2.88 2.32 3.21 3.44 ...
## $ qsec: num   16.5 17 18.6 19.4 17 ...
## $ vs  : num    0  0  1  1  0  1  0  1  1  1 ...
## $ am  : num    1  1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 ...
## $ gear: num    4  4  4  3  3  3  3  4  4  4 ...
## $ carb: num    4  4  1  1  2  1  4  2  2  4 ...
```

```
summary(mtcars)
```

```
##           mpg           cyl           disp           hp
## Min.      :10.40   Min.      :4.000   Min.      : 71.1   Min.      : 52.0
## 1st Qu.:15.43   1st Qu.:4.000   1st Qu.:120.8   1st Qu.: 96.5
```

```
## Median :19.20      Median :6.000      Median :196.3      Median :123.0
## Mean    :20.09      Mean    :6.188      Mean    :230.7      Mean    :146.7
## 3rd Qu.:22.80      3rd Qu.:8.000      3rd Qu.:326.0      3rd Qu.:180.0
## Max.    :33.90      Max.    :8.000      Max.    :472.0      Max.    :335.0
##      drat          wt          qsec          vs
## Min.    :2.760      Min.    :1.513      Min.    :14.50      Min.    :0.0000
## 1st Qu.:3.080      1st Qu.:2.581      1st Qu.:16.89      1st Qu.:0.0000
## Median  :3.695      Median  :3.325      Median  :17.71      Median  :0.0000
## Mean    :3.597      Mean    :3.217      Mean    :17.85      Mean    :0.4375
## 3rd Qu.:3.920      3rd Qu.:3.610      3rd Qu.:18.90      3rd Qu.:1.0000
## Max.    :4.930      Max.    :5.424      Max.    :22.90      Max.    :1.0000
##      am          gear          carb
## Min.    :0.0000      Min.    :3.000      Min.    :1.000
## 1st Qu.:0.0000      1st Qu.:3.000      1st Qu.:2.000
## Median  :0.0000      Median  :4.000      Median  :2.000
## Mean    :0.4062      Mean    :3.688      Mean    :2.812
## 3rd Qu.:1.0000      3rd Qu.:4.000      3rd Qu.:4.000
## Max.    :1.0000      Max.    :5.000      Max.    :8.000
```

```
head(mtcars)
```

```
##           mpg cyl  disp  hp  drat    wt  qsec vs am gear carb
## Mazda RX4      21.0   6  160  110 3.90  2.620 16.46  0  1   4    4
## Mazda RX4 Wag  21.0   6  160  110 3.90  2.875 17.02  0  1   4    4
## Datsun 710     22.8   4  108   93 3.85  2.320 18.61  1  1   4    1
## Hornet 4 Drive 21.4   6  258  110 3.08  3.215 19.44  1  0   3    1
## Hornet Sportabout 18.7   8  360  175 3.15  3.440 17.02  0  0   3    2
## Valiant        18.1   6  225  105 2.76  3.460 20.22  1  0   3    1
```

```
#code some variables as factors.
```

```
mtcars$cyl <- factor(mtcars$cyl)
mtcars$vs <- factor(mtcars$vs, labels = c("V-Shaped", "Straight"))
mtcars$am <- factor(mtcars$am, labels = c("Automatic", "Manual"))
mtcars$gear <- factor(mtcars$gear)
mtcars$carb <- factor(mtcars$carb)
```

Regression analysis

Automatic vs manual transmission. Null Hypothesis: Transmission type has no statistically significant effect on MPG Alternative Hypothesis: Transmission type does have a statistically significant effect on MPG

```
t.test(mtcars$mpg ~ mtcars$am, conf.level = 0.95)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  mtcars$mpg by mtcars$am
## t = -3.7671, df = 18.332, p-value = 0.001374
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Automatic
## and group Manual is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -11.280194 -3.209684
## sample estimates:
## mean in group Automatic    mean in group Manual
##          17.14737          24.39231
```

Interpretation:

From the t-test output $p\text{-value} < 0.05$, so we reject the Null Hypothesis and conclude that there is an effect from transmission type. The automatic cars have a lower MPG than manual cars. To account for the other variables, we need to run a regression analysis and analyze the covariate parameters.

creating the linear model

```
model1 <- lm(data = mtcars, mpg ~ .)
summary(model1)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ ., data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.5087 -1.3584 -0.0948  0.7745  4.6251
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  23.87913    20.06582   1.190   0.2525
## cyl6         -2.64870     3.04089  -0.871   0.3975
## cyl8         -0.33616     7.15954  -0.047   0.9632
## disp          0.03555     0.03190   1.114   0.2827
## hp           -0.07051     0.03943  -1.788   0.0939 .
## drat          1.18283     2.48348   0.476   0.6407
## wt           -4.52978     2.53875  -1.784   0.0946 .
## qsec          0.36784     0.93540   0.393   0.6997
## vsStraight    1.93085     2.87126   0.672   0.5115
## amManual      1.21212     3.21355   0.377   0.7113
## gear4         1.11435     3.79952   0.293   0.7733
## gear5         2.52840     3.73636   0.677   0.5089
## carb2        -0.97935     2.31797  -0.423   0.6787
## carb3         2.99964     4.29355   0.699   0.4955
## carb4         1.09142     4.44962   0.245   0.8096
## carb6         4.47757     6.38406   0.701   0.4938
## carb8         7.25041     8.36057   0.867   0.3995
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.833 on 15 degrees of freedom
```

```
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8931, Adjusted R-squared:  0.779
## F-statistic:  7.83 on 16 and 15 DF,  p-value: 0.000124
```

None of the variables are statistically significant at a p-value of 5%. The next step we Use the step function to fit the model, by adding and dropping variables to find the best fit.

```
model2 <- step(model1, trace = 0)
summary(model2)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ cyl + hp + wt + am, data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.9387 -1.2560 -0.4013  1.1253  5.0513
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  33.70832     2.60489   12.940 7.73e-13 ***
## cyl6         -3.03134     1.40728   -2.154  0.04068 *
## cyl8         -2.16368     2.28425   -0.947  0.35225
## hp           -0.03211     0.01369   -2.345  0.02693 *
## wt           -2.49683     0.88559   -2.819  0.00908 **
## amManual      1.80921     1.39630    1.296  0.20646
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.41 on 26 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8659, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8401
## F-statistic: 33.57 on 5 and 26 DF,  p-value: 1.506e-10
```

The model identify three variables as having statistically significant effects on MPG:

wt ~ Weight (in 1000 lbs) qsec ~ Acceleration speed (1/4 mile time) am ~ Transmission Type (1 = Manual, 0 = Automatic)

Conclusion


On average an automatic transmission travels 2.0358 MPG less compared to a manual transmission , holding all other variables constant. However an increase in weight by 1000lbs, for example, would cause a net decrease in MPG, despite the change to manual transmission and acceleraation speed will also have an impact on MPG.

Appendix plots

Appendix 1 pairwise variable plot


```
pairs(mpg ~ ., data = mtcars, main = "Pairwise Variable Plot")
```

Pairwise Variable Plot



Appendix 2, effects of transmission type on mpg.


```
plot2 <- ggplot(mtcars, aes(x = factor(am), y = mpg, fill = factor(am)))
plot2 + geom_boxplot() + xlab("Transmission Type") + ylab("Miles Per Gallon of Gasoline (MPG)") + ggtitle("MPG by Transmission Type")
```



Appendix 3, residuals of model 1

```
par(mfrow = c(2,2))  
plot(model1)
```

```
## Warning: not plotting observations with leverage one:  
## 30, 31
```



Appendix 4, residuals of model 2

```
par(mfrow = c(2,2))
plot(model2)
```

