**Project 1 Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section or Criteria** | **Weight** | **Unsatisfactory** | **Below Satisfactory** | **Satisfactory** |
| Abstract, Introduction and Background | 10% | Missing or weak | Weak, only one slide, very little context | Full context of problem, engaging framework that pulls audience in and explains the bigger picture of your research ( at least two slides) |
| Methods | 50% | Missing, unclearly presented | Unclearly presented, inappropriately used methods | Logical, thoughtful methods to approach the problem at hand, clearly articulated in presentation. Emphasis on use of Decision tree classifier |
| Summary/Results | 15% | Weak results, not well conveyed. No mention of interesting discoveries, etc. | Weakly conveyed results | Clearly conveyed results showing strong performance and explaining any weaknesses in model performance. Also, description of interesting discoveries |
| Recommendations/Conclusions | 10% | Not present | Weak | Strong conclusion or recommendation. Thoughts about next steps or extension of research |