MATH-VA 140 - Linear Algebra
Lecture 19: Permutations and Cofactors
We have learned how to compute the determinant of a matrix from its pirots. The purpose of this lecture is to learn about formulae for the determinant involving the entries a; of it themselves. Computers calculate determinant iring the pivots, but we will often find the "direct" formulae more convenient. That, we will review and discuss a few points regarding the pivot approach.
I Determinant and Pivot
1) Review  Consider the LU decomposition of a matrix A, possibly requiring permutation. Let de,, de be the n pivots on the diagonal of U.
We have $PA = LU$ $\Rightarrow det P det A = d_1 d_2 - d_n$ $\Rightarrow det A = \pm (d_1 d_2 - d_n)$
If the number of row exchanges is even, the @ rign applies.  Otherwise, the O rign applies.  If A has fewer than n pivots, det A = 0

Example:

A =	2	4	3	PA =	2	4	3
Total halo-pasoning of The state	0	0	5		0	5	<b>3</b> _
	0	5	7		0	0	5

where P is the permutation matrix which exchanges the last two rows. So we conclude that

det A = - 2 x 5 x 5 = - 50

2) Calculating pirot from determinants

Imagine that we have a matrix A and that we use elimination to make pivots appear If row exchange is not needed, then the first & pivots only depend on the matrix entries a: in the kill matrix Az in the left - hand corner of A.

Consider the LU decomposition of Az: Az = Lz Uz, where Lz is a kill lower triangular matrix with I's on the diagonal, and Uz is a kill upper triangular matrix with the first & pivots of A did,

We have det Aq = det Vq = d, d2 - dq dq = det Aq dq

	is provides a formula for the pivot de in terms of sub- terminants of the matrix A:  Ith pivot is de
Ex	ample: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
	$A_{1} = 2 ; det A_{2} = 2 \times 2 - 1 \times 1 = 3 ; det A_{3} = 2(2 \times 2 - 1 \times (-1)) + 1((-1) \times 1 - 1 \times 2) + 3(1 \times 1 - 2 \times 1)$ $= 10 - 3 - 3 = 4$
11	= 2; de det A2 = 3; ds = det A3 = 4  det A2 3  Get A2 3  Get A2 3  Lechure 7, this is indeed the value of the rate
	I General formula for det A in terms of the entries of A
21	culating the determinant of a matrix in terms of its pivot values quite powerful, but also indirect, as it relies on the calculation the pivots in the first place, through elimination. We will now usbruck a formula involving the matrix entries directly.

1) General formula: construction	and the second section of the section
The formula is a direct consequence of the linearity proper-	
by of the determinant let us see this with 2x2 and	
3x3 matrices:	
of seconds natries are tible that invertible	
· a b = a o   o b   a o   a o   o b   o b	
le de le de lo de le olo de le ol	
-ad 10   be 6 1	The Contract of the Contract o
· For 3×3 matrices, the process is more tedians as expanding	
the determinant is the same way would yield 27 terms.	d constitution of the cons
What we will do instead is to expand and keep only the	
nonter terms:	
an an an   an 00   10 an 0   10 0 and	
$a_{21}$ $a_{22}$ $a_{23}$ = 0 $a_{12}$ 0 + 0 0 $a_{23}$ + $a_{21}$ 0 6	
a31 a32 a33   O O a33   a31 O O O O a32 O	
	k delet This work his to simme with the second and the similar and the similar and the second and the second a
+ a1 0 0 + 0 a2 0 + 0 0 a3	n kilaban s Chalaban and an ang kilaban ang kilaban ang kilaban ang kilaban ang kilaban ang kilaban ang kilaba
00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	kinder der Stade Sta
10 a3 0 0 0 a3 a, 00	in de la companya de
	e vitabet kan ta sakat kan taka kan ta sasa kan
We have 6 non zero determinant. Determinants of the	ellen kaltister (la antala li li like kaltista kaltister (la antala li
type an o of are zero because the own are liverly	endlernensjelse for de stere i Sanskale (1875) ble skrive skrive skrive skrive skrive skrive skrive skrive skrive
a 0 0	<b>Without the deal of the start </b>
0 42 6	

	dependent. This is only they do not appear in the expansion. So we have
	a <sub>11</sub> a <sub>12</sub> a <sub>13</sub>   100   010   001
	$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11}a_{22}a_{23} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{23} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + a_{13}a_{23}a_{23} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$
	$+ a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}   1                                  $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	= a,
	where the + scans are used when an even number of you exchanges are
	where the + signs are used when an even number of row exchanges are required to go from the identity matrix to the desired matrix, and the signs are used when an odd number of row exchanges is required.
	- kgns are used when an odd number of row exchanges is required.
	Before we mare, note two important facts regarding our calculation of
	Before we more, note two important facts regarding our calculation of the determinant wing linearity in each row:  * In the determinants that are nonzero, the nonzero entries are in
	Afterent columns and different rows
	* There are six ways to order the columns (1,2,3) row by row:
	(1,2,3), (2,3,1), (3,1,2), (1,3,2), (2,1,3), and (3,2,1)
	The first three correspond to even permutations of the rows the last three to odd permutations of the rows
	2) General formula for any nxn matrix  [To assert formula for any nxn matrix there are N = n(n-1)(n-2)(3)(2)(1)
	In general, for an non matrix, there are $N! = n(n-1)(n-2)(3)(2)(1)$ possible orderings of the columns. For $n=3$ , $3! = 6$ , as we have seen.
•	

The terms appearing in the formula are all of the form a; a; a; a, and we get all the terms by choosing all the possible column sequences (i,j, l) = (1,2,3), (2,3,1), (31,2), (13,2), (2,1,3), (3,2,1) When the column sequence is in the propor order including wrapping around ie (1,2,3), (2,3,1) and (3,1,2), there is a + right in front of the term.

When the column sequence is out of order, i.e. (1,3,2), (2,1,3), and (3,2,1), there is a - Figurin front of the This is generalized as follows for an new matrix: det A = sum over all n' column permutations P= (ij, z)
= \( \tag{det P} \) a, az \( \tag{az} \) \( \tag{az} \) We are sloppy on purpose with the expression above because it is rarely used except for 2×2 and 3×3 matrices. for which we gave the exact expressions previously. The reason is that the formula leads to a very large sumber of terms; for a 12x 12 matrix, we have In terms of direct calculations, the computation through cofactors, which we will see next is much more convenient.

	E. J.
	Example 00000
	A = 1000
	0100
	t. O and the first and the objection
	The only nonzero entry in the first row is and; the only nonzero term in
	det A has column 4 for row 2 and column I for row 3.
	The permutation is (3,4,1,2), which is in the right
	order, so det A = + a13 d24 a31 a42 = 1
	III Determinant by Cofactors
	We start this section by rewriting the determinant of 3x3 matrices as follows:
	matrices as tollows:
	det A = a11 ( a22 a33 - a23 a32) + a12 ( a23 a31 - a21 a33) + a13 ( a21 a32 - a22 a31)
and the second s	
A CANADA MATERIA CANADA CA	This expression can be interpreted as follows: an are and are come from the first row, and the terms in parenthesis are determinants
	of 2x2 matrices in the second and third row of A.
	The expression is the result of the following expansion
	$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{11} & 6 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & - & 6 & a_{22} & a_{23} & + & a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} & + & a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & - & 6 & a_{22} & a_{23} & + & a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ \end{vmatrix}$
	$\begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & - & 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & + & a_{21} & 0 & a_{23} & + & a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 \end{vmatrix}$
	1 132 33
	So the determinant of the 3x3 matrix can be camputed as



