

Lecture 28: More on linear transformationsII Some more unusual vector spaces

\* Let  $P_n$  be the set of all polynomials with real coefficients of degree  $n$  and smaller:

$$P \in P_n \Leftrightarrow \exists (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$

$P_n$  is a vector space:

\*  $\forall P \in P_n, Q \in P_n, P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$

$$Q(x) = b_n x^n + \dots + b_1 x + b_0$$

$$(P+Q)(x) = (a_n+b_n)x^n + \dots + (a_1+b_1)x + a_0+b_0 \in P_n$$

\* By commutativity of addition for real numbers,  $(P+Q)(x) = (Q+P)(x)$

\* If  $R(x) = c_n x^n + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$ ,

$$(P+Q)(x) + R(x) = P(x) + (Q+R)(x) \text{ by associativity of addition for real numbers}$$

\*  $O(x) = 0 \in P_n$  is such that  $(P+O)(x) = (O+P)(x) = P(x)$

\*  $\forall P \in P_n, P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, T(x) = (-a_n)x^n + \dots + (-a_1)x + (-a_0)$   
is such that  $(P+T)(x) = (T+P)(x) = O(x) = 0$

$T$  is the additive inverse of  $P$ .

- $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in P_n, (kP)(x) = k a_n x^n + k a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + k a_1 x + k a_0 \in P_n$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in P_n, (k+h)P(x) = kP(x) + hP(x)$   
by distributivity for real numbers
- Likewise,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in P_n, (kh)P(x) = k \cdot (hP(x))$   
by associativity of multiplication for real numbers.
- $1 \cdot P(x) = P(x) \quad \forall P \in P_n$

A basis for  $P_n$  is  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$

Indeed, it is clear that  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$  spans  $P_n$ . Furthermore, the vectors in the set are linearly independent:

If there exist  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that

$$c_n x^n + \dots + c_1 x + c_0 \cdot 1 = 0, \text{ then } c_n = 0, c_{n-1} = 0, \dots, c_1 = 0, c_0 = 0.$$

We conclude that the dimension of  $P_n$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Another way to see this is that to any element  $P$  of  $P_n$ , one can uniquely associate the vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ of its coefficients.}$$

\* Let  $V = \{ f: f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \text{ exists for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \}$

with vector addition defined as  $(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$   
and multiplication by a scalar defined by  
 $(kf)(x) = k f(x)$

$V$  is also a vector space, by the properties of real addition, real multiplication, and differentiation.

It turns out that this vector space has infinite dimension.

### III More unusual linear transformations

\* Consider the function  $L: P_n \rightarrow P_n$   
 $P \in P_{n-1} \mapsto \frac{dP}{dx}$

$L$  is a linear transformation.

Indeed, for any  $P \in P_n, Q \in P_n$ ,

$$L(P+Q) = \frac{d}{dx} [P(x) + Q(x)] = \frac{dP}{dx} + \frac{dQ}{dx} = LP + LQ$$

$$\forall P \in P_n, \forall k \in \mathbb{R}, L(kP) = \frac{d}{dx} [kP(x)] = k \frac{dP}{dx} = kLP$$

\* Let us return to  $V = \{ f: f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \text{ exists for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \}$

4/18

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a < b$ .

The function  $L: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $f \in V \mapsto L(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$

is a linear transformation, by the properties of integration: for any  $f \in V$ ,  $g \in V$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$L(\lambda(f+g)) = \int_a^b \lambda(f(x)+g(x)) dx = \lambda \int_a^b f(x) dx + \lambda \int_a^b g(x) dx$$

### III Kernel, range, nullity, and

#### 1) Range

Let  $f: S \rightarrow T$  be a function (not necessarily a linear transformation at this point).

$S$  is called the domain of  $f$ , and  $T$  the codomain of  $f$ .

The range, or image, of  $f$  is the set:

$$\text{ran}(f) (= \text{im}(f)) = f(S) = \{ f(s) : s \in S \} \subset T$$

The preimage of any subset  $U$  of the codomain  $T$  is:

$$f^{-1}(U) = \{ s \in S : f(s) \in U \} \subset S$$

## 2) Injectivity, surjectivity, bijectivity

- A function  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is <sup>one-to-one</sup> injective if  $\forall (x, y) \in S^2$ ,  
 $x \neq y \Rightarrow f(x) \neq f(y)$

Example:  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $x \mapsto e^x$   
 is injective

$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $x \mapsto x^2$   
 is not injective (or one-to-one).

- A function  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is <sup>onto</sup> surjective if  $\forall t \in T$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $f(s) = t$

Example:  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$   
 $x \mapsto e^x$   
 is surjective (or onto).

$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $x \mapsto e^x$   
 is not surjective.

- A function which is both one-to-one and onto, i.e. both injective and surjective, is said to be bijective.

Example:  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$   
 $x \mapsto e^x$

is bijective.

Theorem: A function  $f: S \rightarrow T$  has an inverse function  $g: T \rightarrow S$  (such that  $f \circ g(t) = t \ \forall t \in T$ ) if and only if it is bijective.  $g \circ f(s) = s \ \forall s \in S$

Proof: • Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then, any  $t \in T$  has a unique preimage  $s \in S$ . Let  $g$  be the function which for any  $t \in T$  assigns the unique preimage  $s = f^{-1}(t)$  of  $t$ .

$$\text{For any } t \in T, f(g(t)) = f(s) = f(f^{-1}(t)) = t$$

• Conversely, suppose  $f$  has an inverse function  $g$ . Let  $x \in S, y \in S$  such that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Then  $g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) \Rightarrow x = y$ .  $f$  is one-to-one.

Let  $t \in T$ .  $f(g(t)) = t$  so  $g(t)$  is a preimage of  $t$  in  $S$ .  $f$  is surjective.

It is now time to focus on the particular case for which  $f$  is a linear transformation between two vector spaces. The linearity of the function and the vector spaces will allow to derive many more results than for general functions.

### 3) Kernel of a linear transformation

Definition: Let  $L: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. The set of all vectors  $\vec{v} \in V$  such that  $L\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  is called the kernel of  $L$ , written  $\ker L$ .

Note 1: When we write  $L\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , the  $\vec{0}$  here is the zero element for vector addition in  $W$ . One sometimes writes  $\vec{0}_W$  for clarity.

Note 2: We have seen in lecture 27 that if  $L$  is a linear transformation, then  $\vec{0}_V \in \ker L$ .

Theorem: A linear transformation  $L$  is injective if and only if  $\ker L = \{\vec{0}_V\}$ .

Proof: Let  $L$  be a linear transformation such that  $\ker L = \{\vec{0}_V\}$ , and let  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  such that  $L\vec{x} = L\vec{y}$ .

Then  $L(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = \vec{0}_W$   $\xRightarrow{\ker L = \{\vec{0}_V\}}$   $\vec{x} - \vec{y} = \vec{0}_V \Leftrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{y}$   
 $L$  is injective.

Conversely, if  $L$  is one-to-one, then we know that the only vector such that  $L\vec{x} = \vec{0}_W$  is  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}_V$ :  
 $\ker L = \{\vec{0}_V\}$

This completes our proof.

8/18

Observe that if  $L$  has a matrix representation  $M$  in some basis, then finding the kernel of  $L$  is equivalent to finding the nullspace of  $M$ .

In this context, the following theorem makes sense.

Theorem: Let  $L: V \longrightarrow W$  be a linear transformation.  $\text{Ker } L$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

Proof: As mentioned,  $\vec{0}_V \in \text{Ker } L$ .

- Let  $\vec{u} \in \text{Ker } L$ ,  $\vec{v} \in \text{Ker } L$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .  

$$L(k\vec{u} + h\vec{v}) = kL(\vec{u}) + hL(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}_W$$

#### 4) Rank and nullity of a linear transformation

Theorem: Let  $L: V \longrightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. The image  $L(V)$  is a subspace of  $W$ .

Proof:  $\vec{0}_V \in V$  and  $L(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}$  so  $\vec{0} \in W$

- Let  $\vec{u} \in L(V)$ ,  $\vec{v} \in L(V)$ . There exists  $\vec{x} \in V$  and  $\vec{y} \in V$  such that  $L(\vec{x}) = \vec{u}$  and  $L(\vec{y}) = \vec{v}$ .  
 For any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k\vec{u} + h\vec{v} = kL(\vec{x}) + hL(\vec{y})$   

$$= L(k\vec{x} + h\vec{y})$$

$k\vec{x} + h\vec{y} \in V$  since  $V$  is a vector space, so  
 $k\vec{u} + h\vec{v} \in W$



9/18

Definition: The rank of a linear transformation  $L$  is the dimension of its image  $L(V)$ :

$$\text{rank } L = \dim L(V)$$

The nullity of a linear transformation is the dimension of the kernel of  $L$ :

$$\text{null } L = \dim \text{Ker}(L)$$

Theorem (Dimension Formula):

Let  $L: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation, where  $V$  is a finite dimensional space. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim(\text{Ker } L) + \dim L(V) \\ &= \text{null } L + \text{rank } L \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let  $n = \dim V$ , and  $n-r = \dim(\text{Ker } L)$ , with  $r \geq 0$ .

Let  $(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_{n-r})$  be a basis of  $\text{Ker } L$ , which we complete as  $(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_{n-r}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$  for a basis of  $V$ .

We want to show that  $\text{rank } L = r$ . To do so, we will show that  $\{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_r)\}$  is a basis of  $L(V)$ .

Let us first prove that  $L(V) = \text{span}\{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_r)\}$ .

Consider  $\vec{w} \in L(V)$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w} &= L(c_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + c_{n-r} \vec{u}_{n-r} + d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_r \vec{v}_r) \\ &= d_1 L(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + d_r L(\vec{v}_r) \end{aligned}$$

which proves our point.

10/18

Now we need to prove that  $\{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_r)\}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose it is not: there exists  $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  such that  $d_1 L(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + d_r L(\vec{v}_r) = \vec{0}_W$   $\uparrow$   
not all zero

$$\Leftrightarrow L(d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_r \vec{v}_r) = \vec{0}_W$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_r \vec{v}_r \in \text{Ker } L$$

Now  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$  is linearly independent, so  $d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_r \vec{v}_r \neq \vec{0}$

Hence  $d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_r \vec{v}_r$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_{n-r}$ .  
This contradicts the fact that  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_{n-r}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$

is a basis of  $V$

We conclude that  $\{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_r)\}$  is linearly independent.

Hence,  $\{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_r)\}$  is a basis of  $L(V)$ , so  $\text{rank } L = r$ .

The theorem is not a surprise for us. If  $L$  has a matrix representation  $M$  in some basis, we know that:

$$\dim(N(M)) = n - r, \quad \dim(C(M)) = r$$

$$\text{Hence } \dim(N(M)) + \dim(C(M)) = n - r + r = n$$

The theorem has an important corollary:

Let  $L: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation, where  $V$  is a finite dimensional space, and  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ . Then  $L$  is injective if and only if it is surjective. In other words,  $L$  is injective if and only if  $L$  is bijective and has an inverse  $L^{-1}$ .

Proof:  $L$  is injective if and only if  $\dim(\text{Ker } L) = 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $\dim(L(V)) = \dim V = \dim W$ , i.e. if and only if  $L$  is surjective.

From this corollary, we conclude that there is no one-to-one linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$  if  $\dim W < \dim V$ .

There is no onto linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$  if  $\dim V < \dim W$ .

#### IV | Sum of subspaces

##### 1) Definition

Suppose  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two subspaces of a vector space  $V$ . We define:

$$S_1 + S_2 = \left\{ \vec{s}_1 + \vec{s}_2 : \vec{s}_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } \vec{s}_2 \in S_2 \right\}$$

It is a good exercise to prove that  $S_1 + S_2$  is a subspace of  $V$  (proof left for the reader), which is called the sum of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

12/18

Example: Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $S_1 = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$S_2 = \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then  $S_1 + S_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ , since  $\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{x-y}{2}, -\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$$

Theorem: If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subspaces of a vector space  $V$ , all with finite dimension, then

$$\dim(S_1 + S_2) = \dim(S_1) + \dim(S_2) - \dim(S_1 \cap S_2)$$

Proof: Let  $B = (\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_r)$  be a basis of  $S_1 \cap S_2$ .

$B$  can be complemented to a basis  $B_1 = (\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_r, \vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_s)$  of  $S_1$  and to a basis  $B_2 = (\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_r, \vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_t)$  of  $S_2$ .

$$\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) = r, \dim(S_1) = r+s, \dim(S_2) = r+t.$$

Let  $B = (\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_r, \vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_s, \vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_t)$ . If  $B$  is a basis of  $S_1 + S_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(S_1 + S_2) &= r+s+t \\ &= (r+s) + (r+t) - r \\ &= \dim(S_1) + \dim(S_2) - \dim(S_1 \cap S_2) \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove  $B$  is a basis of  $S_1 + S_2$ .

13/18

It is clear that  $S_1 + S_2 \subset \text{span}(B)$ .

Indeed, let  $\vec{w} \in S_1 + S_2$ . There exist  $\vec{w}_1 = l_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + l_r \vec{b}_r + l_{r+1} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + l_{r+s} \vec{c}_s$

and  $\vec{w}_2 = h_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + h_r \vec{b}_r + h_{r+1} \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_{r+t} \vec{d}_t$  such that

$$\vec{w} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = (l_1 + h_1) \vec{b}_1 + \dots + (l_r + h_r) \vec{b}_r + l_{r+1} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + l_{r+s} \vec{c}_s + h_{r+1} \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_{r+t} \vec{d}_t \in \text{span}(B)$$

Let us now show  $B$  is linearly independent. Consider coefficients  $l_1, \dots, l_{r+s}, h_1, \dots, h_t$  such that

$$l_1 \vec{b}_1 + l_2 \vec{b}_2 + \dots + l_r \vec{b}_r + l_{r+1} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + l_{r+s} \vec{c}_s + h_1 \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_t \vec{d}_t = \vec{0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{l_1 \vec{b}_1 + l_2 \vec{b}_2 + \dots + l_r \vec{b}_r + l_{r+1} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + l_{r+s} \vec{c}_s}_{\in S_1} = - \underbrace{(h_1 \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_t \vec{d}_t)}_{\in S_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \in S_1 \cap S_2$$

There exists  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  such that  $h_1 \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_t \vec{d}_t = p_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + p_r \vec{b}_r$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + p_r \vec{b}_r + h_1 \vec{d}_1 + \dots + h_t \vec{d}_t = \vec{0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} p_i = 0 \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, r \\ h_i = 0 \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, t \end{cases}$$

$\begin{matrix} \nearrow \\ B_2 \text{ is a} \\ \text{basis of} \\ S_2 \end{matrix}$

$$\text{Hence } l_1 \vec{b}_1 + l_2 \vec{b}_2 + \dots + l_r \vec{b}_r + l_{r+1} \vec{c}_1 + \dots + l_{r+s} \vec{c}_s = \vec{0}$$

$B_1$  is a  
basis of  $S_1$

$$\Leftrightarrow l_i = 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, r+s$$

14/18

so  $B$  is linearly independent, and indeed a basis of  $S_1 + S_2$  ■

## 2) Direct sum of subspaces

Definition: The sum  $W_1 + W_2$  is called direct if  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}_V\}$ .

A vector space  $V$  is said to be the direct sum of two subspaces  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  if  $V = W_1 + W_2$  and  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}_V\}$ .

When  $V$  is a direct sum of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , we write  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

Theorem: Suppose  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are subspaces of a vector space  $V$  such that  $V = W_1 + W_2$ . Then  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$  if and only if every vector  $\vec{v} \in V$  can be written in a unique way as  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$ , with  $\vec{w}_1 \in W_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2 \in W_2$ .

Proof: Suppose  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , and assume there exist  $\vec{w}_1$  and  $\vec{w}_1'$  in  $W_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2$  and  $\vec{w}_2'$  in  $W_2$  such that  $\vec{v} \in V$  can be written as  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = \vec{w}_1' + \vec{w}_2'$ .

Then,  $\underbrace{\vec{w}_1 - \vec{w}_1'}_{\in W_1} = \underbrace{\vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_2'}_{\in W_2}$

Since  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , this implies  $\vec{w}_1 - \vec{w}_1' = \vec{0}_V = \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_2'$ , so that  $\vec{w}_1 = \vec{w}_1'$ ,  $\vec{w}_2 = \vec{w}_2'$ .

15/18

Conversely, suppose there is a unique way to write  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$ , with  $\vec{v} \in V$ ,  $\vec{w}_1 \in W_1$ , and  $\vec{w}_2 \in W_2$ .

Let us assume there exists  $\vec{u} \neq \vec{0}_V$  such that  $\vec{u} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .

Then  $\vec{u} \in V$ , and  $\vec{u} = \underbrace{\vec{u}}_{\in W_1} + \underbrace{\vec{0}_V}_{\in W_2} = \underbrace{\vec{0}_V}_{\in W_1} + \underbrace{\vec{u}}_{\in W_2}$ , which contra-

dicts the uniqueness of the decomposition.

Thus, the only vector in  $W_1 \cap W_2$  is  $\vec{0}_V$ , and  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

Examples:

\* Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix

We saw in Lecture 14 that  $C(M^T) \oplus N(M) = \mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $C(M) \oplus N(M^T) = \mathbb{R}^m$

\* Let  $V$  be the vector space of all  $n \times n$  matrices with real coefficients. Let  $W_1$  be the subspace of all  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices, and  $W_2$  the subspace of antisymmetric matrices.

You showed in Problem Set 3 that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$

\* Let  $V$  be the vector space of all  $n \times n$  matrices with real coefficients. Let  $W_1$  be the subspace of upper triangular matrices, and  $W_2$  the subspace of lower triangular matrices.

Can we write  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ ?

\* Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix with real coefficients, and let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  be the eigenvalues

16/18

of  $M$ , with  $r \leq n$ .

We define the subspaces spanned by the eigenvectors for each distinct eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ :

$$E_{\lambda_i} = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : M\vec{x} = \lambda_i \vec{x} \}$$

Since  $M$  is diagonalizable, we can write

$$\mathbb{R}^n = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_r}$$

### Direct sums and dimension

At the beginning of this section, we showed that if  $V = W_1 + W_2$ , then

$$\dim V = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) \leq \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$$

We easily conclude that when  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ ,

$$\dim V = \dim W_1 + \dim W_2$$

### V) Direct sums and projections

Proposition 1: If  $V$  is a vector space and  $P: V \rightarrow V$  is a projection, then

$$V = \text{Im } P \oplus \text{Ker } P$$

Proof: • Let us first show that  $V = \text{Im } P + \text{Ker } P$ .  
Clearly,  $\text{Ker } P \subseteq V$  and  $\text{Im } P \subseteq V$ , so  $\text{Im } P + \text{Ker } P \subseteq V$   
since  $V$  is a vector space.



Now, let  $\vec{x} \in V$ .  $\vec{y} = P(\vec{x}) \in \text{Im } P$ .  $\vec{z} = \vec{x} - \vec{y}$  is such that  $P(\vec{z}) = P(\vec{x}) - P(\vec{y}) = \vec{y} - \vec{y} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\vec{z} \in \text{Ker } P$ , and we constructed  $\vec{x} = \vec{y} + \vec{z}$

$$\vec{y} \in \text{Im } P \quad \vec{z} \in \text{Ker } P$$

$$V \subseteq \text{Ker } P + \text{Im } P, \text{ so } V = \text{Ker } P + \text{Im } P$$

Finally, let  $\vec{x} \in \text{Im } P$ . There exists  $\vec{u} \in V$  such that  $\vec{x} = P(\vec{u})$ . Hence,  $P(\vec{x}) = P^2(\vec{u}) = P(\vec{u}) = \vec{x}$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Im } P \cap \text{Ker } P \Leftrightarrow \vec{x} = P(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Ker } P$$

$$\text{so } \vec{x} = \vec{0}_V$$

We conclude that  $\text{Ker } P \oplus \text{Im } P = V$ , as desired.

Proposition 2: Let  $V$  be a vector space, and  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  two subspaces such that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . Then there exists a unique projection  $P: V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\text{Im } P = W_1$  and  $\text{Ker } P = W_2$ .

Proof:  $\forall \vec{v} \in V$ , there is a unique decomposition  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$

$$\vec{w}_1 \in W_1 \quad \vec{w}_2 \in W_2$$

Let us then consider the transformation

$$P: V \longrightarrow W_1$$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 \longmapsto P(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}_1$$

$$\text{Let } (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) \in V^2, (l, h) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad \vec{v}_1 = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \vec{w}_1' + \vec{w}_2'$$

$$l\vec{v}_1 + h\vec{v}_2 = l\vec{w}_1 + h\vec{w}_1' + l\vec{w}_2 + h\vec{w}_2'$$

$$P(l\vec{v}_1 + h\vec{v}_2) = P(l\vec{w}_1 + h\vec{w}_1' + l\vec{w}_2 + h\vec{w}_2') = l\vec{w}_1 + h\vec{w}_1' = lP(\vec{w}_1) + hP(\vec{w}_1') = lP(\vec{v}_1) + hP(\vec{v}_2)$$

18/18

and in particular  $P(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}_V$ .

We conclude that  $P$  is a linear transformation.

Let  $\vec{v} \in V$ ,  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$

$$P(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}_1$$

$$P^2(\vec{v}) = P(\vec{w}_1) = P(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{0}) = \vec{w}_1 = P(\vec{v})$$

$P^2 = P$ , so  $P$  is a projection.

By the definition of  $P$ ,  $\text{Im } P \subseteq W_1$ .

Now, let  $\vec{w}_1 \in W_1$ . Then  $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{0} \in V$ , and

$$P(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{0}) = \vec{w}_1 \Rightarrow \vec{w}_1 \in \text{Im } P, \text{ and } W_1 \subseteq \text{Im } P$$

$$\text{Hence } W_1 = \text{Im } P$$

Like wise, by definition of  $P$ ,  $W_2 \subseteq \text{Ker } P$

Now, let  $\vec{v} \in \text{Ker } P$ .  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$   
 $\in W_1 \quad \in W_2$

$$P(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}_V = P(\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2) = \vec{w}_1$$

$$\text{Hence } \vec{w}_1 = \vec{0}_V, \vec{v} = \vec{w}_2 \in W_2 \Rightarrow \text{Ker } P \subseteq W_2$$

$$W_2 = \text{Ker } P$$

Example: For  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , where  $V$  is the vector space of all  $n \times n$  matrices with real coefficients,  $W_1$  the subspace of all symmetric matrices and  $W_2$  the subspace of all antisymmetric matrices, you showed in Problem Set 2 that

$$P(M) = \frac{M + M^T}{2} \text{ is the projection.}$$