How to Make a New Logic

Preprint · August 2021		
CITATIONS	DNS .	READS 18
1 autho	nor:	
9	Takao Inoue National Institute of Biomedical Innovation health and Nutrition 40 PUBLICATIONS 51 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:		
Project	Mizar formalization of mathematics View project	
Project	Epistemic mathematics and logic View project	

How to Make a New Logic*

Takao Inoué

August 13, 2021

Abstract

We discuss about how to make a new logic with considering a slogan: take the inversion of what you know. Suppose that we have a Gentzen-style logical system S. The operation to make a new logic from S is the following: for all the axioms and rules of S, change the direction of all the arrows occurred in the sequents of the axiom or the rule to the opposite side. We call this operation Stahlization. We consider certain logics in this respect.

Keywords: Gentzen's sequent calculus, Stahlization, contradiction, anti-intuitionistic calculus, symmetry, paraconsitent logic, Vasiliev's logic.

1 The slogan for how to make a new logic

When we look at past revolutional works especially for philosophy, art, mathematics and science, which gave us totally different perspectives over individual field, such as Kant's Copernican revolution, J. S. Bach's 'Die Kunst der Fuge' (BWV 1080)¹, homological algebra², H. M. Friedman's reverse mathematics³, the Copernican system, Einstein's special theory of relativity and

^{*}This is the first version of the paper. Some results will be added in the future version.

¹I mean pairs of Contrapunctus recus and inversus contrained in the immortal masterpies, where one sees beutiful symmetries and musical effects.

²In this branch of algebra, homology and cohomology play an important role.

³H. M. Friedman's reverse mathematics can be summerized as the following themes of Friedman [8]: (i) when the theorem is from the right axioms, the axiom can be proved from the theorem; (ii) much more is needed to explicitly define a hard-to define set of integers that to merely prove their existance. For this current research area, see Simpson [19, 20], Tanaka [25] and so on.

so on, we will be soon aware of some similarlity comprised in them⁴. The similarity which we mean can be expressed as the following slogan:

Take the inversion of what you know.

This is a very simple principle. However, the simple operation has already provided us with incredibly great novelties in spite of its simplicity⁵

There actually are many ways to make a new logic. We shall roughly classify the possible ways to two categories.

The first category: a modification of a given logic, in a broad sense, such as the symmetrization of the logic, some addition of new axioms or rules to the logic, taking a meaningful subsystem of the logic and so forth.

The second category: a creation of a totally new logic from a own philosophical point of view, or as a systematic description of some logical struture appeared in particular phenomena which we see.

These two categories are not exclusive. For example, intuitionistic logic whose creating process would belong to the second category is nothing but a subsystem of classical logic as the result of the axiomatization of what L. E. J. Brouwer intended.

2 Stahlization

We think that we would have been enough prefaced with the above paragraphs. The aim of this essay is to make the readers realize that a simple operation to be mentioned below, satisfying the slogan introduced in the first paragraph and the first category just mentioned, is a very promising way to create a new logic. Suppose that we have a Gentzen-style logical system S. The operation to make a new logic from S is the following: for all the axioms and rules of S, change the direction of all the arrows occurred in the sequents of the axiom or the rule to the opposite side. We shall call this operation Stahlization after the name of a philosopher Gerold Stahl, mimicking 'Gödelization' in the terminology of recursion theory⁶.

We can find the example of Stahlization in two papers, i.e. Goodman [9] and Inoué [11]. Though [9] is ornamented by many considerations about his

⁴Rather technical examples are e.g. Lebesgue integration, the Boyer-Moore string-search algorithm, Inverse kinematics and so on.

⁵In this respect, B. C. Fraassen's book [26] is interesting.

⁶We may call the operation dualization instead of Sthalization. However, this terminology is not much fun.

subject, it is, in a word, a study of the Stahliaztion of intuitionistic propositional calculus in Gentzen-style (for that, see Kleene [15]). He called the Stahlization of the logic anti-intuitionistic propositional calculus. According to him, the choice of a logic in order to express his motivation and intention was due to F. W. Lawvere, one of great figures in the area of category theory⁷. Goodman's motivation lies on a study in logic subject to vagueness or indeterminateness in the scope of his way and interest. His attempt is however still in the stage of try and error. We shall mention Goodman's contribution again in the sequel. Now, we shall proceed to another exmple of Stahlization. Stahl [24] proposed some propositional calculi to derive only the negation of a thesis in classical propositional logic. Such a system is called Stahl's opposite system⁸ and we call a thesis in such a system a contradiction. The real novelty of Stahl's formulation from the standpoint of axiomatic rejection consists in the axiomatization of all the contradictions free from the notion of provability⁹. In our use of words, Inoué [11] observed that the idea of Stahl is nothing but the Stahlization of classical propositional logic in Gentzen-style. His observation is based on the inversion principle after P. Lorenzen with respect to negation in Gentzen's sequent calculus LK¹⁰. He could extend Stahl's logic to the first-order predicate level on the basis of the Stahlization (see [11]). It should be mentioned that I, the author of [11] , also was stimulated by category theory to get the idea¹¹.

We remark that Goodman's anti-intuitionistic propositional calculus and my systems SP and SC, in the notion of [11], which are thus the Stahlization of classical propositional and predicate logics, since the former is a consistent system¹² in which not all but some contradictions are derived, and the later is the extreme case of paraconsistent logic, namely the logic in which all theses are inconsistent.

⁷Better to say, in the area of the foundation of mathematics, we do not mean however that category theory is the foundation of mathematics.

⁸Some systems equivalent to Stahl's opposite system were independently studied by Morgan [16] and Bunder [5].

⁹Skura [21] obtained some general result in their respect. See also Inoué [12].

¹⁰The inversion principle with respect to negation reads that if $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \to \Theta_1, \sim A, \Theta_2$ holds in LK, then so does $\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \to \Theta_1, \Theta_2$.

¹¹Goodman's system is a consistent in the sense that not every sentence is derivable in the system.

¹²I was also inspirated by studying the method of axiomatic rejection. For axiomatic rejection, see for example Inoué [12] and Inoué, Ishimoto and Kobayashi [14]. Also refer to Carnielli and Pulcini [6], and Goranko, Pulcini and Skura [10].

3 Paraconsitent logic, Vasiliev's logic and beyond

It is not a wonder that some of the current interests in philosophy and logic are in something vague or inconsistent such as vagueness, fuzzy logic, paraconsistent logic¹³ and so on. Also we know a tradition which goes back to Heraclitus, who insisted that the world is not consistent¹⁴. We also have to consider the influence of computer science to philosophy and logic. Computer science needs much more knowledge of inconsistent reasoning such as that of paraconsistent logic. Bodies of data stored in a computer memory may be not consistent, and if the stock of data is very large, it is not practical (thus not economical) to check the consistency of it at all¹⁵.

In connection with this essay, it is very important that G. Priest pointed out the similarlity between the interpretation of Vasiliev's neo-syllogistic proposed by Smirnov [23] and that of anti-intuitionistic propositional calculus in Goodman [9] in his review Priest [17] of [23]. N. A. Vasiliev considered in the early years of this century atomic propositions of the form: S is and is not P^{16} . In the interpretion presented in Smirnov [23], such statements are true if all the points in the set S are on the topological boundary of the set P. From this fact, I am tempted to say that there is a meaningful syllogistic and Vasiliev's logic is the Stahlization of the logic. To find such an original logic is kind of inverse problem. From the definition of Stahlization, if we have a Genzen-style formulation of Vasiliev's neo-syllogistic, we immediately obtain the original logic by taking the Stahlization of the neo-syllogistic. We will obtain much more about the neo-syllogistic by the simple operation.

If we are given a logic, the Stahlization of it will give us new perspective over the original logic, if the Gentzen-style formulation of the logic is possible. This means the creation of a new logic in other words. This is what I wanted to write in this essay, that is, one of my paradigm over logic.

¹³As a recent literature, see Başkent and Ferguson [4].

¹⁴See for example Rescher and Brandom [18, pp. 1-2].

¹⁵See da Costa and Marconi [7, p. 24].

¹⁶For Vasiliev's works, see especially Smirnov [22, 23] and Arruda [1, 2, 3].

Acknowledgments. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude and deep condolences to the following three scholars who have passed away.

```
Logician, Marcin Mostowski (1955-2017),
Computer scientist, Grzegorz Bancerek (1966-2017),
Mathematician/Logician, Stanisław Świerczkowski (1932-2015)
```

I would also like to thank an anonymous referee for suggestions on this paper.

References

- [1] Arruda, A. I., "On the imaginary logic of N. A. Vasiliev", pages 3–24 in A. I. Arruda, R. Chuaqui and N. C. A. da Costa (eds.), Non-Classical Logics, Model Theory and Computability, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1980.
- [2] Arruda, A. I., "A survey of paraconsistent logic", pages 1–41 in A. I. Arruda, R. Chuaqui and N. C. A. da Costa (eds.), *Mathematical Logic in Latin America*, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1977.
- [3] Arruda, A. I., "N. A. Vasil'íev: a forerunner of paraconsistent logic", *Philosophia Naturalis*, 21, 472–491 (1984).
- [4] Başkent, C. and T. M. Ferguson, *Graham Priest on Dialetheism and Praconsistency*, Switzerland: Springer Nature, 2019.
- [5] Bunder, M. W., "The logic of inconsistency", The Journal of Non-Classical Logic, 6, 57–62 (1989).
- [6] Carnielli, W. and G. Pulcini, "Cut-elimination and deductive polarization in complementary classical logic", Logic Journal of IGPL, 25, 273–282 (2017).
- [7] da Costa, N. C. A and D. Marconi, "An overview of paraconsistent logic in the 80s", The Journal of Non-Classical Logic, 6, 5–32 (1989).
- [8] Friedman, H. M., "Some systems of second order arithmetic and their use", pages 235–242 in R. D. James (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vancouver 1974, Vol. 1*, the Canadian Mathematical Congress, 1975.

- [9] Goodman, N. D., "The logic of contradiction", Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik, 27, 112–126 (1981).
- [10] Goranko, V., G. Pulcini and T. Skura, "Refutation Systems: An Overview and Some Applications to Philosophical Logics", pages 173– 197, in F. Liu, H. Ono and J. Yu (eds.), Knowledge, Proof and Dynamics, The Fourth Asian Workshop on Philosophical Logic, Singapor: Springer, 2020.
- [11] Inoué, T., "A note on Stahl's opposite system", Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik, 35, 387–399 (1989).
- [12] Inoué, T., "On Ishimoto's theorem in axiomatic rejection -the philosophy of unprovability-", *Philosophy of Science*, 22, 77–93 (1989) (Tokyo: Waseda University Press) (in Japanese).
- [13] Inoué, T., "On rejected formulas -Hintikka formula and Ishimoto formula-", The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 56, 1129 (1991).
- [14] Inoué, T., A. Ishimoto and M. Kobayashi, "Axiomatic rejection for the propositional fragment of Leśniewski's ontology", *Forthcoming*.
- [15] Kleene, S. C., *Introduction to Metamathmatics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1952.
- [16] Morgan, C. G., "Sentential calculus for logical falsehoods", Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 14, 347–353 (1973).
- [17] Priest, G., "Review of V. A. Smirnov [22]", Zentralblatt für Mathematik und ihre Grenzgebiete, Mathematics Abstract, 15, 681 (1989).
- [18] Rescher, N. and R. Brandom, *The Logic of Inconsistency, A Study in Non-Standard Possible-World Semantics and Ontology*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1980.
- [19] Simpson, S. G., "Subsystems of Z_2 and reverse mathematics", pages 432–446, in G. Takeuti, *Proof Theory*, 2nd. ed., Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987.
- [20] Simpson, S. G., Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic, 2nd. ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

- [21] Skura, T., "On pure refutation formulations of sentential logics", Bulletin of the Section of Logic, 19, 102–107 (1990).
- [22] Smirnov, A. V., "Modality de re and Vasiliev's imaginary logics", Logique et Analyse, 29, 205–212 (1986).
- [23] Smirnov, A. V., "The logical ideas of N. A Vasiliev and modern logic", pages 625–640, in J. E. Fenstad, I. T. Frolov and R. Hilpinen (eds.), Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science VIII, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1989.
- [24] Stahl, G., "An opposite and an expanded system", Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik, 4, 244–247 (1958).
- [25] Tanaka, K., "'Reverse mathematics', the proof theory of second order arithmetic", Sugaku, 42, 244–260 (1990). (in Japanese)
- [26] van Fraassen, B. C., Laws and Symmetry, Oxford: Clarendon, 1989.

Meiji Pharmaceutical University Department of Medical Molecular Informatics Tokyo, Japan

Hosei University Graduate School of Science and Engineering Tokyo, Japan

Hosei University Faculty of Science and Engineering Department of Applied Informatics Tokyo, Japan

ta-inoue@my-pharm.ac.jp takao.inoue.22@hosei.ac.jp takaoapple@gmail.com