

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367464995>

The LaTeX-source codes for some proof figures of Gentzen(Sch''utte)-type systems

Preprint · January 2023

CITATIONS

0

READS

41

1 author:



[Takao Inoue](#)

National Institute of Biomedical Innovation health and Nutrition

40 PUBLICATIONS 51 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



XarXiv, a very small scale collections of scientific preprints [View project](#)



Sarcoidosis [View project](#)

The \LaTeX -source codes for some proof figures of Gentzen(Schütte)-type systems

Takao Inoué

AI Nutrition Project
National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation,
Health and Nutrition, Osaka, Japan*
Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Hosei University, Tokyo, Japan†
(Email: takaoapple@gmail.com)

2023-1-26

Abstract

We shall show some proof figures of Gentzen(Schütte)-type systems and the corresponding \LaTeX -source codes of them. They will be examples when the readers write the articles in logic with proof figures of Gentzen(Schütte)-type systems.

MSC2020: 03-01, 03-04, 03F99, 97P20, 97P50.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Kleene's Gentzen-style sequent calculus G3	2
3	The \LaTeX-source codes of §2	3
4	Ishimoto's constructive predicate logic with strong negation SN	6
5	The \LaTeX-source codes of §4	8

*t.inoue@nibiohn.go.jp

†takao.inoue.22@hosei.ac.jp

6	Inoué's subsystems PCN and FN of SN	13
7	The L^AT_EX-source codes of §6	14
8	Some useful proof figures	18
8.1	Example 1	18
8.2	Example 2	18
8.3	Example 3	18
8.4	Example 4	19
9	The L^AT_EX-source codes of §8	19

1 Introduction

We shall show some proof figures of Gentzen(Schütte)-type systems and the corresponding L^AT_EX-source codes. They will be examples when the readers write the articles in logic with proof figures of Gentzen(Schütte)-type systems. After each section, we shall give the corresponding L^AT_EXsource codes of the section.

Our coding principle is simpleness. We do not use macros. We shall use \enspace for the adjustment of spaces. We shall make use of \displaystyle for the right arrangement of formulas.

2 Kleene's Gentzen-style sequent calculus G3

Kleene's Gentzen-style sequent calculus **G3** for **IQC** ([1, p. 481]) is shown below. Let Γ , Θ be finite sequences of zero or more formulas of **IQC** with Θ empty or consisting of one formula. The system **G3** consists of the following axiom-schema and rules:

Axiom: $C, \Gamma \longrightarrow C$.

Rules of inference:

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \longrightarrow}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \neg A} \quad (\longrightarrow \neg).$$

$$\frac{\neg A, \Gamma \longrightarrow A}{\neg A, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Theta} \quad (\neg \longrightarrow).$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad \Gamma \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma \longrightarrow A \wedge B} \quad (\longrightarrow \wedge).$$

$$\frac{A, A \wedge B, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Theta \text{ or } B, A \wedge B, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Theta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Theta} \quad (\wedge \longrightarrow).$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \multimap A \text{ or } \Gamma \multimap B}{\Gamma \multimap A \vee B} \quad (\multimap \vee). \\
\\
\frac{A, A \vee B, \Gamma \multimap \Theta \quad B, A \vee B, \Gamma \multimap \Theta}{A \vee B, \Gamma \multimap \Theta} \quad (\vee \multimap). \\
\\
\frac{A, \Gamma \multimap B}{\Gamma \multimap A \supset B} \quad (\multimap \supset). \\
\\
\frac{A \supset B, \Gamma \multimap A \quad B, A \supset B, \Gamma \multimap \Theta}{A \supset B, \Gamma \multimap \Theta} \quad (\supset \multimap). \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \multimap F(b)}{\Gamma \multimap \forall x F(x)} \quad (\multimap \forall),
\end{array}$$

provided that the variable b does not occur in the lower sequent.

$$\frac{F(t), \forall x F(x), \Gamma \multimap \Theta}{\forall x F(x), \Gamma \multimap \Theta} \quad (\forall \multimap),$$

where t is a term.

$$\frac{\Gamma \multimap F(t)}{\Gamma \multimap \exists x F(x)} \quad (\multimap \exists),$$

where t is a term.

$$\frac{F(b), \exists x F(x), \Gamma \multimap \Theta}{\exists x F(x), \Gamma \multimap \Theta} \quad (\exists \multimap),$$

provided that the variable b does not occur in the lower sequent.

G3 is proof-theoretically equivalent to Gentzen's sequent calculus **LJ** for intuitionistic predicate logic. We note that **G3** does not contain \perp .

3 The L^AT_EX-source codes of §2

`\section{Kleene's Gentzen-style sequent calculus G3}`

Kleene's Gentzen-style sequent calculus **G3** for **IQC** (`\cite[p. 481]{kleene1}`) is shown below. Let Γ , Θ be finite sequences of zero or more formulas of **IQC** with Θ empty or consisting of one formula. The system **G3** consists of the following axiom-schema and rules:

`\bigskip`

Axiom: $\Gamma \vdash C, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash C$.

\bigskip

Rules of inference:

\bigskip

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \neg A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \neg A}$$

\bigskip

$$\frac{\neg A, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Theta}$$

\bigskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A \wedge B}$$

\bigskip

$$\frac{A, A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Theta, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Theta}$$

\bigskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A, \Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{longrightarrow} \vdash A \vee B}$$

$$\frac{A, A \vee B, \Gamma \vdash \Theta}{A \vee B, \Gamma \vdash \Theta}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma A}{\Gamma A \supset B} \supset \Gamma A \supset B$$
$$\frac{A \supset B, \Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow B} \text{ } (\supset \rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow F(b)}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \forall x F(x)} \quad \text{forall intro}$$

\noindent provided that the variable b does not occur in the lower sequent.

$$\frac{F(t)}{\forall x F(x), \Gamma} \Rightarrow \forall x F(x), \Gamma$$

\noindent where t is a term.

\bigskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow F(t) \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \exists x F(x)}{\Gamma \rightarrow \exists x F(x)}$$

\bigskip

\noindent where t is a term.

\bigskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow F(b), \exists x F(x), \Gamma \rightarrow \Theta}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Theta}$$

\bigskip

\noindent provided that the variable b does not occur in the lower sequent.

\bigskip

$\mathbf{G3}$ is proof-theoretically equivalent to Gentzen's sequent calculus \mathbf{LJ} for intuitionistic predicate logic. We note that $\mathbf{G3}$ does not contain \bot .

4 Ishimoto's constructive predicate logic with strong negation **SN**

The following reduction rules for **SN** are proposed by Arata Ishimoto (cf. Inoué [2]).

The reduction rules of **SN** are the following. (Below Γ and Δ are only one formula or empty, respectively.)

3.a

$$\frac{F[A \wedge B^-] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[A^-] \rightarrow \Delta \quad | \quad F[B^-] \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\wedge^- \rightarrow)$$

3.b

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \wedge B_+]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A_+] \quad | \quad \Gamma \rightarrow G[B_+]}, \quad (\rightarrow \wedge_+)$$

3.c

$$\frac{F[A \vee B^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[A^+] \rightarrow \Delta \quad | \quad F[B^+] \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\vee^+ \rightarrow)$$

3.d

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \vee B_-]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A_-] \quad | \quad \Gamma \rightarrow G[B_-]}, \quad (\rightarrow \vee_-)$$

3.e

$$\frac{F[A \supset B^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[A \supset B^+] \rightarrow \Delta \vee A \quad | \quad F[A \supset B^+] \wedge B \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\supset^+ \rightarrow)$$

3.f

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_+]}{\Gamma \wedge A \rightarrow B}, \quad (\rightarrow \supset_+)$$

3.g

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_-]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\quad] \vee A \quad | \quad \Gamma \rightarrow G[B_-]}, \quad (\supset_- \rightarrow)$$

3.h

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \wedge A(t) \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\forall^+ \rightarrow)$$

3.i

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^-] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[A(b)^-] \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\forall^- \rightarrow)$$

3.j

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\forall x A(x)_+]}{\Gamma \rightarrow A(b)}, \quad (\rightarrow \forall_+)$$

3.k

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\forall x A(x)_-]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\forall x A(x)_-] \vee \sim A(t)}, \quad (\rightarrow \forall_-)$$

3.l

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[A(b)^+] \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\exists^+ \rightarrow)$$

3.m

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \rightarrow \Delta}{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \wedge \sim A(t) \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad (\exists^- \rightarrow)$$

3.n

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_+]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_+] \vee A(t)}, \quad (\rightarrow \exists_+)$$

3.o

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_-]}{\Gamma \rightarrow \sim A(b)}, \quad (\rightarrow \exists_-)$$

where the b 's in $(\exists^+ \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow \forall_+)$, $(\exists^+ \rightarrow)$ and $(\rightarrow \exists_-)$ are variables not occurring free in sequent to be reduced, i.e., proper (eigen) variables, and t is a term. (In the sequent, we will often use the words a *principal formula* and a *quasi-principal formula* of a reduction as usual.) A sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ of **SN** is provable in **SN** if each branch of the tableau obtained from $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ by applying reduction rules 3.a–3.o ends with one of the axioms of **SN**. $\mathbf{SN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ means that it is provable in **SN**. A formula A of **SN** is a *theorem* of **SN** if $\mathbf{SN} \vdash A$. We also write $\mathbf{SN} \vdash A$ if A is a theorem of **SN** in the case where no ambiguity arises.

5 The L^AT_EX-source codes of §4

`\section{Ishimoto's constructive predicate logic with
strong negation \mathbf{SN} }`

The following reduction rules for \mathbf{SN} are proposed by
Arata Ishimoto (cf. Inoue\{'e\} \cite{inoue}).

`\bigskip`

The reduction rules of \mathbf{SN} are the following.
(Below Γ and Δ are only one formula or empty,
respectively.)

`\medskip`

3.a

`\medskip`

$$\frac{F[A \wedge B^-] \rightarrow \Delta}{\{F[A^-] \rightarrow \Delta \mid F[B^-] \rightarrow \Delta\}}$$

\bigskip

3.b

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma[A \wedge B_+] \rightarrow G[A_+]}{\{\Gamma[A_+] \rightarrow G[A_+] \mid \Gamma[B_+] \rightarrow G[B_+]\}}$$

\bigskip

3.c

\medskip

$$\frac{F[A \vee B^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{\{F[A^+] \rightarrow \Delta \mid F[B^+] \rightarrow \Delta\}}$$

\bigskip

3.d

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma[A \vee B_-] \rightarrow G[A_-]}{\{\Gamma[A_-] \rightarrow G[A_-] \mid \Gamma[B_-] \rightarrow G[B_-]\}}$$

\bigskip

3.e

\medskip

$$\frac{F[A \supset B^+] \rightarrow \Delta}{\{F[A \supset B^+] \rightarrow \Delta \vee A \mid \text{ } F[A \supset B^+] \wedge B \rightarrow \Delta \text{ } , \}} \frac{(\supset^+ \rightarrow)}{\$}$$

\bigskip

3.f

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_+] \text{ } \Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_-] \wedge A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_+] \wedge G[A \supset B_-] \rightarrow G[A \supset B_+]} \frac{(\rightarrow \supset_+)}{\$}$$

\bigskip

3.g

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_-] \text{ } \Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_+] \wedge A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[A \supset B_-] \wedge G[A \supset B_+] \rightarrow G[A \supset B_-]} \frac{(\supset_- \rightarrow)}{\$}$$

\bigskip

3.h

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \rightarrow \Delta \text{ } F[\forall x A(x)^+] \wedge A(t) \rightarrow \Delta}{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \rightarrow \Delta} \frac{(\forall^+ \rightarrow)}{\$}$$

\bigskip

3.i

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^-]}{\rightarrow \Delta \quad F[A(b)^-] \rightarrow \Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$$

\bigskip

3.j

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\forall x A(x)_+]}{\Gamma \rightarrow A(b)} \rightarrow \Delta$$

\bigskip

3.k

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\forall x A(x)_-] \quad \vee \sim A(t)}{\rightarrow \forall x A(x)_-}$$

\bigskip

3.l

\medskip

$$\frac{}{\quad}$$

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^+]}{F[A(b)^+]} \rightarrow \Delta$$

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^+]}{F[A(b)^+]} \rightarrow \Delta$$

\bigskip

3.m

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^-]}{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \wedge \sim A(t)} \rightarrow \Delta$$

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^-]}{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \wedge \sim A(t)} \rightarrow \Delta$$

\bigskip

3.n

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_+]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_+]} \vee A(t)$$

$$\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_+]$$

\bigskip

3.o

\medskip

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_-]}{\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_-]} \sim A(b)$$

$$\Gamma \rightarrow G[\exists x A(x)_-]$$

\bigskip

\noindent where the $\$b\$$'s in $\$(\exists x A(x)^+ \rightarrow \Delta)\$$,

$(\rightarrow \text{forall}_+)$,
 $(\rightarrow \text{exists}_-)$ and $(\rightarrow \text{exists}_-)$
 are variables not occurring free in sequent to be reduced, i.e.,
 proper (eigen) variables,
 and t is a term. (In the sequent, we will often
 use the words a *principal formula* and a *quasi-principal*
formula
 of a reduction as usual.) A sequent
 $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ of **SN** is provable in **SN**
 if each branch
 of the tableau obtained from $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ by
 applying reduction rules 3.a--3.o ends with one of the axioms
 of **SN**.
SN $\vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ means that
 it is provable in **SN**. A formula of \mathcal{A} of **SN** is a
theorem of
SN if **SN** $\vdash A$. We also write
SN $\vdash A$ if A is a theorem of **SN** in the
 case where no
 ambiguity arises.

6 Inoué's subsystems PCN and FN of SN

We shall show two subsystems **FN** and **PCN** of **SN** proposed in Inoué [2].
 The first subsystem **FN** is obtained from **SN** by suppressing the reduction rule
 $(\supset^+ \rightarrow)$. The second one **PCN** may be called a *pseudo-classical subsystem* of
SN. The axioms and reduction rules of **PCN** are presented as follows:

(Axioms of **PCN**)

4.11 $F[A^-] \rightarrow$ if A is a true constant prime formula.

4.12 $F[A^+] \rightarrow$ if A is a false constant prime formula.

4.13 $F[A^+, B^-] \rightarrow$ if A and B are equivalent formulas of length 0.

4.14 $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow$ where $n \geq 1$ and for every numerals k_1, \dots, k_n
 $A(k_1, \dots, k_n) \rightarrow$ is one of the axioms 4.11, 4.12 ad 4.13.

(Reduction rules of **PCN**)

4.21

$$\frac{F[A \wedge B^-] \rightarrow}{F[A^-] \rightarrow \mid F[B^-] \rightarrow}, \quad (\wedge^- \rightarrow_c)$$

4.22

$$\frac{F[A \vee B^+] \rightarrow}{F[A^+] \rightarrow \mid F[B^+] \rightarrow}, \quad (\vee^+ \rightarrow_c)$$

4.23

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \rightarrow}{F[\forall x A(x)^+] \wedge A(t) \rightarrow}, \quad (\forall^+ \rightarrow_c)$$

4.24

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^-] \rightarrow}{F[A(b)^-] \rightarrow}, \quad (\forall^- \rightarrow_c)$$

4.25

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^+] \rightarrow}{F[A(b)^+] \rightarrow}, \quad (\exists^+ \rightarrow_c)$$

4.26

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \rightarrow}{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \wedge \sim A(t) \rightarrow}, \quad (\exists^- \rightarrow_c)$$

where b 's are proper (eigen) variables and t is a term.

We shall continue to use the name of axioms and reduction rules of **SN** in place of the above ones from now on because axioms 4.11–4.14 and 4.21–4.26 are their special cases of their conterprts in **SN** with the lack of sucfcedent formula.

A formula of **PCN** may contain implication sign though reduction rules for implication are not available in **PCN**. The point will be crucial in the sequel to show that the cut elimination theorem is provable with in **PCN**. The fact will lead us to a proof of the embedding theorem.

The subsystems **FN** and **PCN** here introduced are very special systems. It is, however, believed that these logics are worthy of more careful studies.

Proposition 6.1

- (1) $\mathbf{FN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \mathbf{SN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta.$
- (2) $\mathbf{PCN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Rightarrow \mathbf{SN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow.$

Proof. Trivial from the definitions. \square

Theorem 6.2 (**PCN**-cut elimination theorem, Inoué [2])

$$(\mathbf{PCN} \vdash F_1[A^+] \rightarrow \text{ and } \mathbf{PCN} \vdash F_2[A^-] \rightarrow) \Rightarrow \mathbf{PCN} \vdash F_1[{}^+] \wedge F_2[{}^-] \rightarrow.$$

7 The L^AT_EX-source codes of §6

\section{Inoué's subsystems \mathbf{PCN} and \mathbf{FN} of \mathbf{SN} }

We shall show two subsystems \mathbf{FN}
and \mathbf{PCN} of \mathbf{SN} proposed in Inoué \cite{inoue}.
The first subsystem \mathbf{FN} is obtained from \mathbf{SN}

by supressing the reduction rule $(\supset^+ \rightarrow)$.
The second one \mathbf{PCN} may be called a \it pseudo-classical
subsystem \rm of \mathbf{SN} . The axioms and reduction rules of
 \mathbf{PCN} are presented as follows:

\medskip

(Axioms of \mathbf{PCN})

\medskip

4.11 $\mathcal{F}[A^+] \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{F}[A^-]$
if \mathcal{A} is a true constant prime formula.

4.12 $\mathcal{F}[A^+] \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{F}[A^-]$
if \mathcal{A} is a false constant prime formula.

4.13 $\mathcal{F}[A^+, B^-] \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{F}[A^-, B^+]$
if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are equivalent formulas of length 0 .

4.14 $\mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
 $\mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $n \geq 1$ and for every numerals
 k_1, \dots, k_n
 $\mathcal{A}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \rightarrow$ \mathcal{A} is one of the
axioms 4.11, 4.12 ad 4.13.

\medskip

(Reduction rules of \mathbf{PCN})

\medskip

4.21

\medskip

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}[A \wedge B^-] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}[A^+]}{\mathcal{F}[A^+ \wedge B^-] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}[A^+]} \rightarrow$$

\bigskip

4.22

\medskip

$$\frac{F[A \vee B^+]}{F[A^+] \rightarrow F[B^+] \rightarrow ,}}$$

\bigskip

4.23

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^+]}{F[\forall x A(x)^+ \wedge A(t) \rightarrow ,]}$$

\bigskip

4.24

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\forall x A(x)^-]}{F[A(b)^-] \rightarrow ,}}$$

\bigskip

4.25

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^+]}{F[A(b)^+] \rightarrow ,}}$$

\bigskip

4.26

\medskip

$$\frac{F[\exists x A(x)^-] \rightarrow F[\exists x A(x)^-] \wedge \sim A(t)}{\rightarrow \quad ,}}{\exists^-\quad \underset{c}{\rightarrow}} \quad$$

\bigskip

\noindent where b 's are proper (eigen) variables and t is a term.

We shall continue to use the name of axioms and reduction rules of \mathbf{SN} in place of the above ones from now on because axioms 4.11--4.14 and 4.21--4.26 are their special cases of their counterparts in \mathbf{SN} with the lack of succedent formula.

A formula of \mathbf{PCN} may contain implication sign though reduction rules for implication are not available in \mathbf{PCN} . The point will be crucial in the sequel to show that the cut elimination theorem is provable with in \mathbf{PCN} . The fact will lead us to a proof of the embedding theorem.

The subsystems \mathbf{FN} and \mathbf{PCN} here introduced are very special systems. It is, however, believed that these logics are worthy of more careful studies.

\begin{proposition} \quad

(1) $\mathbf{FN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \mathbf{SN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

(2) $\mathbf{PCN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \mathbf{SN} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

\end{proposition}

\it Proof. \rm Trivial from the definitions. \square

\begin{theorem} \rm (\mathbf{PCN} -cut elimination theorem,
Inoue \cite{inoue}) \quad
 $\mathbf{PCN} \vdash F_1[A^+] \rightarrow \text{and } \mathbf{PCN} \vdash F_2[A^-] \rightarrow \quad$
 \Rightarrow

$\boxed{\text{PCN}} \vdash F_1[\backslash; \backslash; ^+] \wedge F_2[\backslash; \backslash; ^-]$
 $\rightarrow \text{enspace} . \$ \$$
 $\end{theorem}$

8 Some useful proof figures

The following examples are all quoted from Inoué [2].

8.1 Example 1

In what follows, such inferences as:

$$(\vdash \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \text{ and } \vdash \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2) \Rightarrow \vdash \Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Delta_3$$

is described á la Gentzen as:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2}{\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Delta_3}.$$

8.2 Example 2

Case $\vdash A \supset B, \supset . (A \supset . B \supset C) \supset (A \supset C)$: The sequent obtained by the translation is of the form;

$$\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee . \sim (\sim TA \vee . \sim (TB \vee TC)) \vee \sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \rightarrow .$$

We then have the reduction:

$$\frac{\sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee . \sim (\sim TA \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC))) \vee \sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \rightarrow}{\begin{array}{c|c} S_1 & \frac{S_2}{S_3 \mid \frac{S_4}{S_5 \mid S_6}, (\vee^+ \rightarrow)} \end{array}} (\vee^+ \rightarrow)$$

8.3 Example 3

The reduction proceeds in the following way:

$$\frac{\sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim (TA \vee TB) \vee TC)) \rightarrow}{\frac{S_1}{S_3 \mid S_4} (\vee^+ \rightarrow) \mid \frac{S_2}{S_5 \mid S_6} (\vee^+ \rightarrow)} (\vee^+ \rightarrow)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow, \\ S_2 &= \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim TB \vee TC)) \rightarrow, \\ S_3 &= \sim (\sim \sim TA \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow, \\ S_4 &= \sim (\sim TC \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow, \\ S_5 &= \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim \sim TB \vee (\sim TB \vee TC)) \rightarrow, \\ S_6 &= \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim TC \vee (\sim TB \vee TC)) \rightarrow. \end{aligned}$$

8.4 Example 4

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{H.I. on } grade \quad \frac{F_1[A_1 \wedge A_2^+] \rightarrow \quad F_2[A_1^-] \rightarrow}{(F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge A_2) \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-] \rightarrow} \\
 \text{Interchange} \quad \frac{\quad}{(F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-]) \wedge A_2 \rightarrow} \quad F_2[A_2^-] \rightarrow \\
 \hline
 \frac{(F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-]) \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-] \rightarrow}{F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-] \rightarrow} \quad \text{Contraction} \quad \text{H.I. on } grade
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{H.I. on } grade \quad \frac{F_1[A_1^+] \rightarrow \quad F_2[A_1 \vee A_2^-] \rightarrow}{(F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-]) \wedge \sim A_2 \rightarrow} \\
 F_1[A_2^+] \rightarrow \quad \text{Translation (twice)} \quad \frac{\quad}{(F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-]) \wedge A_2 \rightarrow} \\
 \hline
 \frac{F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge (F_1[\text{ }^+]) \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-] \rightarrow}{F_1[\text{ }^+] \wedge F_2[\text{ }^-] \rightarrow} \quad \text{Contraction} \quad \text{H.I. on } grade
 \end{array}$$

9 The L^AT_EX-source codes of §8

`\section{Some useful proof figures}`

The following examples are all quoted from Inou\''{e} \cite{inoue}.

`\subsection{Example 1}`

In what follows, such inferences as:

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \vdash \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2}{\vdash \Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Delta_3}$$
is described 'a la Gentzen as:

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1}{\vdash \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2}{\vdash \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2}}{\vdash \Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Delta_3}$$

`\subsection{Example 2}`

Case $\vdash A \supset B . \supset . (A \supset B \supset C)$
 $\supset (A \supset C)$: The sequent
obtained by the translation is of the form;

$$\frac{\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee . \quad \sim (\sim TA \vee . \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC)) \vee \sim (\sim TA \vee TC)}{\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee .}$$
We then have the reduction:

$$\frac{\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee .}{\sim (\sim TA \vee TB) \vee .}$$

```

\sim (\sim TA \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC))
\vee \sim (\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow \enspace \enspace }
{\enspace S_1 \enspace \enspace \enspace | \enspace \enspace }
\displaystyle{\frac{\enspace \enspace }{\enspace \enspace }
S_2 \enspace \enspace }
{\enspace \enspace \enspace S_3 \enspace \enspace \enspace |
\enspace \enspace }
\displaystyle{\frac{\enspace \enspace S_4
\enspace \enspace }{\enspace \enspace \enspace S_5 \enspace \enspace \enspace |
\enspace \enspace }
\enspace \enspace S_6 \enspace \enspace , } \enspace (\vee^+
\rightarrow) \} \%S_4
\enspace (\vee^+ \rightarrow) \} \%S_2
\} \enspace (\vee^+ \rightarrow) \$\$

```

\subsection{Example 3}

The reduction proceeds in the following way:

```

\displaystyle{\frac{\enspace \sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee .
\sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee (\sim (TA \vee TB) \vee TC))
\rightarrow \enspace }
{\displaystyle{\frac{\enspace \enspace S_1 \enspace \enspace }{\enspace \enspace \enspace S_3 \enspace \enspace |
\enspace \enspace S_4 \enspace \enspace } \enspace \enspace }
(\vee^+ \rightarrow) } \enspace \enspace
| \enspace \enspace }
\displaystyle{\frac{\enspace \enspace S_2 \enspace \enspace }{\enspace \enspace \enspace S_5 \enspace \enspace | \enspace \enspace \enspace S_6 \enspace \enspace }
\enspace \enspace (\vee^+ \rightarrow) \} \enspace \enspace }
(\vee^+ \rightarrow) \$\$

```

where

\medskip

```

$S_1 = \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC)
\vee (\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow$,

```

```

$S_2 = \sim (\sim (\sim TA \vee TC) \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC)
\vee (\sim TB \vee TC)) \rightarrow$,

```

```

$S_3 = \sim (\sim \sim TA \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee
(\sim TA \vee TC)) \rightarrow$,

```

```

$S_4 = \sim (\sim TC \vee . \sim (\sim TB \vee TC) \vee

```


References

- [1] S. C. KLEENE, **Introduction to Metamathematics**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1952.
- [2] T. INOUÉ, The consistency of arithmetic from a point of view of constructive tableau method with strong negation, Part I: the system without complete induction, arXiv:2010.07368v2 [math.LO], 2021, Cornell University.

Takao Inoué

AI Nutrition Project

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition

Osaka, Japan

Graduate School of Science and Engineering

Hosei University

Tokyo, Japan

t.inoue@nibiohn.go.jp

takao.inoue.22@hosei.ac.jp

takaoapple@gmail.com