

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368303608>

On humanization of mathematics: aesthetic mathematics, arXiv:2302.02449v1 [math.HO]

Preprint · February 2023

CITATIONS

0

READS

32

1 author:



[Takao Inoue](#)

National Institute of Biomedical Innovation health and Nutrition

40 PUBLICATIONS 51 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Unprovability and refutation on logical systems [View project](#)



High dimensional data analysis [View project](#)

On humanization of mathematics: aesthetic mathematics

Takao Inoué

2023-2-6

Abstract

In this short essay, I shall try to find a way to humanize mathematics. I shall give some mathematical remarks concerning that. I shall give some brief comments to my past works from the point of view of this paper.

SMC2020: 00A30, 00A05, 00A30, 03A05

Keywords: mathematics, humanization of mathematics, aesthetic mathematics, humanized mathematics, epistemic mathematics, constructive mathematics, modal logic, second order logic, Riemann hypothesis, the recognition of 1.

1 Introduction

In this short essay, I shall try to find a way to humanize mathematics. That is, aesthetic mathematics or humanized mathematics. In §2, I shall give some mathematical remarks concerning that. In §3, I shall give some brief comments to my past works from the point of view of this paper.

2 Mathematical remarks

First I would like to tell the readers that I am *a traditional mathematician* as a mathematician. I am no math maverick.

It seems to me that almost all the mathematics are satisfied with the current way to define mathematical objects to study: mathematical objects are mostly defined by their properties which are formulated in the form of formulas, axioms or axiom-schemata, assuming implicit use of classical predicate logic with equality in both object- and meta-levels, i.e. formal syntactic treatment in mathematics and informal thinking in mind. Does nobody have a doubt about this situation? I know very well that mathematicians prefer to take more and more useful form of definition in a practical sense to conceptually or aesthetically better one, though they might have their own aesthetics in fact. Sometimes I however do not find a current form of definitions so savory. This disconten

of mine about definitions may gradually be resolved by considering some other form of definitions and mathematics. Further by considering mathematic as something more human and aesthetic. I shall show some kinds of believe about mathematics. That is the following.

1. Mathematics is very personal. Thus mathematics may take a variety of forms, depending on each individual. This idea makes me a bit recall Srinivasa Ramanujan, Luitzen Egbertus Jan Brouwer and Florentin Smarandache.

2. A mathematical proof is a story like a novel.

3. I require mathematics esthetic tastes, e.g. in a figuary sense like literature one, musical one and etc.

4. I like L. E. J. Brouwer's idea for mathematics: mathematical objects should be constructive.

5. I am not satisfied with only one mathematical fact. For example, further thinking of many embeddings is possible, when one knows one well-known embedding in mathematics.

6. Natural numbers are not created by the God. Natural numbers are created by human. Kronecker is not right.

7. 1 is very difficult to understand as a mathematical object. I think that the recognition of 1 still has a lot of secrets for mathematics. I think that this may be connected to the resolution of Riemann hypothesis.

8. 0 is not a discovered number. 0 is a number created by human.

9. Right understanding of right proof is like a sky without clouds.

10. Thinking of modality is that of higher structure. On the other hands, thinking of modality is also that of restricting usual thinking of mathematics.

11. The pair of the set of possible worlds and the set of accessiblity relations may become parameter in mathematics, physics, even science and philosophy (Inoué [8]).

12. There would be humanized mathematics or aesthetic mathematics. One example is epistemic mathematics and constructive one as there exist at present. However epistemic mathematics and constructive one are only parts of humanized mathematics or aesthetic mathematics I intend.

13. Mathematics is not only one of Greek and European thoughts but one of human thoughts as a variety of music and scales exists in the world.

14. Good proofs are very natural.

15. Past approaches to Riemann hypothesis would be not natural if one accept my idea that good proofs are very natural. If Riemann hypothesis is solved, its proof should be very natural.

What I can now do for finding a way to humanize mathematics is to consider and to develop the above 15 remarks.

3 Some comments on my papers from the point of this essay

I shall give some brief comments on my papers from the point of view of this essay. I do not intend the propaganda of my past works. I will do it because they are related to the theme of this paper.

[1] Takao Inoué, A note on unprovability-preserving sound translations, *Logique et Analyse* (N.S.), Vol. 33, pp. 243–257, 1990.

This paper is concerned with the above 5 in the previous section.

[2] Takao Inoué, A definition of field from a philosophical point of view, *Abstracts of Papers Presented to the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 13, p. 337, 1992.

This paper is concerned with the number of axioms of fields. There are some similar works about Boolean algebras, etc.

[3] Takao Inoué, Some type of formulas and the faithfulness of Flagg and Friedman's translation, *Bulletin of the Section of Logic* (Lodz, Poland), Vol. 21, pp. 2–11, 1992.

This paper is to find some set of S4-formulas such that the unprovability of the formula of the set preserves in a special case of Flagg and Friedman's translation. I was not satisfied with the only fact that Flagg and Friedman's translation is not faithful.

[4] Takao Inoué, Corrections and additions to my paper "A note on unprovability-preserving sound translations", more general constructions, *Logique et Analyse* (N.S.), Vol. 39, pp. 335–367, 1996.

This paper is also concerned with the above 5 in the previous section.

[5] Takao Inoué, How to Make a New Logic, arXiv:2108.05934 [math.LO], 2021, Cornell University.

This paper is very suggestive one to make a new logic, taking the inverse arrow system in a given Gentzen system.

[6] Takao Inoué, A sound interpretation of Lesniewski's epsilon in modal logic KTB, *Bulletin of the Section of Logic*, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 455–463, 2021.

This paper gives a conjecture that Lesniewski's propositional ontology can be embedded in modal logic KTB. The soundness of it is proved. The proof is very natural. In the sense, it is also related to the 14 in the previous section. So is to the above 1, 5, 10 in the previous section.

[7] Takao Inoué, On Blass translation for Lesniewski's propositional ontology and modal logics, *Studia Logica*, Vol. 110, No. 1, pp. 265–289, 2022.

This paper is related to existence, deontic concepts, provability, normal modality. This is also concerned with the above 10 in the previous section. That is, the relation between modality and second order logic.

[8] Takao Inoué, On the fifth dimension as the set of possible worlds with tree structures, *Journal of Computer Science, Center for Computer Science, Mathematics, and Engineering Physics* 2023. <https://ccsmep.weebly.com>

This paper is concerned with the above 11 in the previous section.

[9] Takao Inoué, Epistemic systems and Flagg and Friedman's translation. Forthcoming.

This paper will be forthcoming. This paper treats the inverse flow of thoughts in metamathematics.

References

- [1] TAKAO INOUÉ, A note on unprovability-preserving sound translations, **Logique et Analyse (N.S.)**, Vol. 33, pp. 243–257, 1990.
- [2] TAKAO INOUÉ, A definition of field from a philosophical point of view , **Abstracts of Papers Presented to the American Mathematical Society**, Vol. 13, p. 337, 1992.
- [3] TAKAO INOUÉ, Some type of formulas and the faithfulness of Flagg and Friedman's translation, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic (Lodz, Poland)**, Vol. 21, pp. 2–11, 1992.
- [4] TAKAO INOUÉ, Corrections and additions to my paper “A note on unprovability-preserving sound translations”, more general constructions, **Logique et Analyse (N.S.)**, Vol. 39, pp. 335–367, 1996.
- [5] TAKAO INOUÉ, How to Make a New Logic, arXiv:2108.05934 [math.LO], 2021, Cornell University.
- [6] TAKAO INOUÉ, A sound interpretation of Lesniewski's epsilon in modal logic KTB, **Bulletin of the Section of Logic**, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 455–463, 2021.
- [7] TAKAO INOUÉ, On Blass translation for Leśniewski's propositional ontology and modal logics, **Studia Logica**, Vol. 110, No. 1, pp. 265–289, 2022.

- [8] TAKAO INOUÉ, On the fifth dimension as the set of possible worlds with tree structures, **Journal of Computer Science**, Center for Computer Science, Mathematics, and Engineering Physics 2023. <https://ccsmep.weebly.com>
- [9] TAKAO INOUÉ, Epistemic systems and Flagg and Friedman's translation. Forthcoming.

Takao Inoué

AI Nutrition Project

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition
Osaka, Japan

Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Hosei University
Tokyo, Japan

t.inoue@nibiohn.go.jp

takao.inoue.22@hosei.ac.jp

takaoapple@gmail.com