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Rust’s growable array type is called Vec, short for vector (which comes from C++). In python any many functional languages it’s called a list, and in other languages, an array.

To create a vector, one can use this syntax:

let mut v = Vec::new();

The main operation of a vector is push, which appends one element to the end of the vector.

let mut v = Vec::new();  
v.push(5); // The vector now looks like this: [5].

Pushing has an amortized time complexity of O(1). Pushing to a vector has a worst case time complexity of O(n), because vectors dynamically grow.

If a vector is full, and you push back to a vector, the vector must do the following:

1. Allocate a buffer that is twice the size of its previous buffer
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3. Add the new element to the buffer.
4. Free the previous buffer.

The first, third, and fourth step all take constant time, but copying over every item from the previous to the new buffer takes linear (O(n)) time. That being said, as long as you avoid this case as much as possible (which you can mitigate by doubling the buffer size every time) the time complexity for pushing to a vector is constant time on average.

If you want to check the contents of a vector at any given time, you can print it:

let mut v = Vec::new();  
v.push(5);  
println!("{:?}", v);

### 1.14.2 VecDeque

A VecDeque is a Doubly-Ended Queue implemented as a Vector. A VecDeque allows for O(1) appends and pops from either end of the queue, which basically makes it a stack and a queue in one data structure.

### 1.14.3 LinkedList

A LinkedList is a doubly linked list.
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# 2 Macros for Rust

## 2.1 A macro for testing

Unlike C and C++, a testing framework is built into rust. We can create our own tests by creating a mod block and letting cargo know that we want to test it.

Let’s say we create this function:

fn add(a: i32, b: i32) -> i32 {  
 a + b  
}

We can test it at the bottom of the file:

#[cfg(test)]  
mod test {  
 use super::\*;  
  
 #[test]  
 fn add\_one\_and\_one() {  
 assert\_eq!(add(1, 1), 2);  
 }  
  
 #[test]  
 fn add\_one\_and\_two() {  
 assert\_eq!(add(1, 2), 3);  
 }  
}

Macros let us reduce most of the boilerplate:

#[macro\_export]  
macro\_rules! test {  
 ($($name:ident: $left:expr, $right:expr,)\*) => {  
 #[cfg(test)]  
 mod test {  
 use super::\*;  
 $(  
 #[test]  
 fn $name() {  
 assert\_eq!($left, $right);  
 }  
 )\*  
 }  
 }  
}

Our tests can then be rewritten like so:

test! {  
 add\_one\_to\_one: add(1, 1), 2,  
 add\_one\_to\_two: add(1, 2), 3,  
}

And running them gives us this result:

$ cargo test  
running 2 tests  
test test::add\_one\_and\_one ... ok  
test test::add\_one\_and\_two ... ok  
  
test result: ok. 2 passed; 0 failed; 0 ignored; 0 measured; 0 filtered out; finished in 0.01s

# 3 How to Approach Problems

Much has been said about how to become a better problem solver[1](#ref-citeulike:679515). Here we’ll go over some tips and tricks to solve a hard problem by using some of these techniques.

## 3.1 A Plan of Attack

1. Build an intuition about the problem. What should the code return?
2. Write some test cases. Note any edge cases your code should take care of.
3. Start writing out the code, being wary of edge cases.
4. Refactor your code. How can it be improved?

Let’s go over our plan step by step, using an example problem called Jewels and Stones:

You’re given strings jewels representing the types of stones that are jewels, and stones representing the stones you have. Each character in stones is a type of stone you have. You want to know how many of the stones you have are also jewels.

Letters are case sensitive, so “a” is considered a different type of stone from “A”.

Example 1:

Input: jewels = “aA”, stones = “aAAbbbb” Output: 3

Example 2:

Input: jewels = “z”, stones = “ZZ” Output: 0

### 3.1.1 Build Intuition

First, let’s jot down some notes about the problem. It says: We want to know how many of the stones you have are also jewels. This means that we want to return a count of our jewels. A count is going to be a unsigned integer. We can imagine that our return type would be some unsigned integer type, like u32.

We can start with that:

fn jewels\_and\_stones(/\* TODO \*/) -> u32 { /\* TODO \*/ }

Next, let’s take note of the two inputs, which are given [as] strings. We can assume we are given one string for the jewels and one for the stones.

fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewels: String, stones: String) -> u32 { /\* TODO \*/ }

The problem also notes that we want to return the number of jewels in our collection of stones, and that every character of jewels is a jewel, and every character of stones is a stone.

Let’s reduce the problem to something easier. Let’s say that instead of having a collection of stone(s), we have just one stone and one jewel. Does this make the problem easier?

It should. We now only need to check if the stone is a jewel, and return our counter at the end.

fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewel: char, stone: char) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 if jewel == stone {  
 count += 1;  
 }  
 count  
}

What if we make it so we have one stone but many jewels? What would we do?

Well for our one stone, we would want to check every jewel to make sure that it is a jewel, and return the count of jewels we have.

fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewels: String, stone: char) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 for jewel in jewels.chars() {  
 if jewel == stone {  
 count += 1;  
 }  
 }  
 count  
}

What happens if we have many stones but one jewel? We do the opposite, where every stone that counts as a jewel increments our count by one.

fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewel: char, stones: String) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 for stone in stones.chars() {  
 if jewel == stone {  
 count += 1;  
 }  
 }  
 count  
}

Now that we have some intuition about how to solve simpler problems, we’ll start by writing test cases for this problem:

## 3.2 Writing test cases

We’ll start off by writing test cases for our simplified problems:

If the jewels and stones have a length of one, either they are the same or not. If they are the same, this function should return 1. If not, this function should return 0.

assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("a".to\_string(), "a".to\_string()), 1);  
assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("a".to\_string(), "b".to\_string()), 0);

If there is one jewel, we iterate through our stones and increment our count every time we find a jewel.

assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("a".to\_string(), "aac".to\_string()), 2);  
assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("a".to\_string(), "xyz".to\_string()), 0);

Otherwise, if there’s one stone, then we check every jewel to see if our stone is a jewel.

assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("abc".to\_string(), "a".to\_string()), 1);  
assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("xyz".to\_string(), "a".to\_string()), 0);

Finally, if there’s more than one jewel and more than one stone, for each stone, we check if it is a jewel in our set of jewels.

assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("abc".to\_string(), "cxx".to\_string()), 1);  
assert\_eq!(jewels\_and\_stones("xyz".to\_string(), "xxa".to\_string()), 2);

## 3.3 Writing Code

Now we can begin writing some code to tackle our original problem:

We have an intuition that for every stone, we want to check if it is a jewel. To do this, we have to iterate through all the jewels, and compare our stone to it. If they’re the same, we can increment the count.

fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewels: String, stones: String) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 for stone in stones.chars() {  
 for jewel in jewels.chars() {  
 if stone == jewel {  
 count += 1;  
 break;  
 }  
 }  
 }  
 count  
}

This turns out to pass the tests outlined above, but it has some problems. Time to refactor!

## 3.4 Refactoring

When refactoring, let’s discuss some things we can do to improve our code:

Our code is very concise. There’s not much that can be done to improve its readability, which is a good thing. That being said, it can have a slow runtime. If we say the length of stones is N and the length of jewels is M, the runtime of our code grows in O(N\*M) (polynomial time). We should be able to do better. But how?

// O(N\*M)  
fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewels: String, stones: String) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 for stone in stones.chars() { // O(N)  
 for jewel in jewels.chars() { // O(M): Wouldn't it be nice if this was O(1)?  
 if stone == jewel {  
 count += 1;  
 break;  
 }  
 }  
 }  
 count  
}

We have a nested for loop, which contributes the slow runtime. Maybe we could represent either jewels or stones in a different fashion, and get rid of a for loop? Would there be a different way of representing jewels that would make this easier? Maybe a data structure that has O(1) time for if it contains an item?

We can use a set for this:

So our solution turns into this:

// O(N)  
fn jewels\_and\_stones(jewels: String, stones: String) -> u32 {  
 let mut count = 0;  
 let jewels\_set: HashSet<char> = HashSet::from\_iter(jewels.chars());  
 for stone in stones.chars() { // O(N)  
 if jewels\_set.contains(&stone) {  
 count += 1;  
 }  
 }  
 count  
}

And we get down from O(N\*M) to O(N) time.

# 4 Trees

## 4.1 Validate Binary Search Tree

use crate::\*;  
  
pub fn is\_valid\_bst(root: BSTNode) -> bool {  
 fn helper(node: &BSTNode, possible\_min: i64, possible\_max: i64) -> bool {  
 if let Some(n) = node {  
 let borrowed = n.borrow();  
 let left = &borrowed.left;  
 let right = &borrowed.right;  
 let val: i64 = borrowed.val.into();  
 if val >= possible\_min && val <= possible\_max {  
 helper(&left, possible\_min, val) && helper(&right, val, possible\_max)  
 } else {  
 false  
 }  
 } else {  
 true  
 }  
 }  
 helper(&root, i64::MIN, i64::MAX)  
}  
  
test! {  
 test\_1: is\_valid\_bst(btree![2, 1, 3]), true,  
 test\_2: is\_valid\_bst(btree![5, 1, 3]), false,  
}

## 4.2 Same Tree

### 4.2.1 Problem

Given the roots of two binary trees p and q, write a function to check if they are the same or not. Two binary trees are considered the same if they are structurally identical, and the nodes have the same value.

### 4.2.2 Intuition

This question tests your knowledge of recursion. To do so, start off with the base case:

* What happens when left is None and right has a value? Return false.
* What happens when left has a value and right is None? Return false.
* What happens when both left and right are None? Return true.
* What happens when left and right have different values? Return false.
* What happens when left and right have the same values? Test their left and right nodes for equality as well.

### 4.2.3 Test Cases

test! {  
 test\_1: is\_same\_tree(btree![], btree![]), true,  
 test\_2: is\_same\_tree(btree![1], btree![]), false,  
 test\_3: is\_same\_tree(btree![1,2,3], btree![1,2,3]), true,  
 test\_4: is\_same\_tree(btree![1,2,3,4], btree![1,2,3]), false,  
}

### 4.2.4 Answer

/// Calculates if two binary search trees have the same values.  
/// In this question, there are four possible cases:  
/// 1. Both left and right point to a `None` node. In this case, return true.  
/// 2. Both left and right point to nodes with the same value. Continue recursing through both  
/// trees left and right subtrees.  
/// 3. For any other case, return false.  
pub fn is\_same\_tree(p: BSTNode, q: BSTNode) -> bool {  
 fn same(p: &BSTNode, q: &BSTNode) -> bool {  
 match (p, q) {  
 (Some(left), Some(right)) => {  
 let left = left.borrow();  
 let right = right.borrow();  
 left.val == right.val  
 && same(&left.left, &right.left)  
 && same(&left.right, &right.right)  
 }  
 (None, None) => true,  
 \_ => false,  
 }  
 }  
 same(&p, &q)  
}

| Left | Right |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

## 4.3 Maximum Path through a Binary Tree

use crate::\*;  
use std::cmp::max;  
  
/// Finds the maximum path sum through a binary tree.  
pub fn max\_path\_sum(root: BSTNode) -> i32 {  
 let mut max\_so\_far = i32::MIN;  
 fn helper(node: &BSTNode, max\_so\_far: &mut i32) -> i32 {  
 match node {  
 Some(n) => {  
 let val = n.borrow().val;  
 let l = max(0, helper(&n.borrow().left, max\_so\_far));  
 let r = max(0, helper(&n.borrow().right, max\_so\_far));  
 \*max\_so\_far = max(\*max\_so\_far, val + l + r);  
 val + max(l, r)  
 }  
 None => 0,  
 }  
 }  
 helper(&root, &mut max\_so\_far);  
 max\_so\_far  
}  
  
test! {  
 test\_1: max\_path\_sum(btree![1,2,3]), 6,  
 test\_2: max\_path\_sum(btree![-10, 9, 20, null, null, 15, 7]), 42,  
}
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