	BANKRUPTCY COURT		
DISTRICT 2	OF DELAWARE		
3 IN RE:	. Chapter 11		
4 8E14 NETWORKS, INC., d/b/a	. Case No. 22-10708 (BLS)		
5 ANANDA NETWORKS,	. Courtroom No. 1		
6	. 824 North Market Street . Wilmington, Delaware 19801		
7 Debtor.	. Friday, August 5, 2022		
8	2:00 P.M.		
9 TRANSCRIPT OF B	TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRENDAN L. SHANNON UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
1 O II			
11 APPEARANCES:			
12 For the Debtor: Morgan	n Patterson, Esquire		
13 WOMBLI	E BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP North Market Street, Suite 1200		
	ngton, Delaware 19801		
For the Subchapter	dina a 66 Daniel		
16 BROWN	Nimeroff, Esquire MCGARRY NIMEROFF LLC		
17 John 1	enn Center, Suite 610 F. Kennedy Boulevard		
	dolphia Doppardrania 10102		
18	delphia, Pennsylvania 19102		
18	delphia, Pennsylvania 19102 sha Harden		
18 19 Audio Operator: LaCris 20 Transcription Company: Reliab	sha Harden		
18 19 Audio Operator: LaCris 20 Transcription Company: Reliable 1007 In Wilmin	sha Harden ole N. Orange Street ngton, Delaware 19801		
18 19 Audio Operator: LaCris 20 Transcription Company: Reliable 1007 In Wilmin	sha Harden ole N. Orange Street ngton, Delaware 19801 654-8080		
Audio Operator: LaCris Transcription Company: Reliab 1007 I Wilmin (302) Email	sha Harden ole N. Orange Street ngton, Delaware 19801 654-8080 : gmatthews@reliable-co.com		
Audio Operator: LaCris Transcription Company: Reliab 1007 I Wilmin (302) Email	sha Harden ole N. Orange Street ngton, Delaware 19801 654-8080 : gmatthews@reliable-co.com		

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
2	For the U.S. Trustee:	Hannah McCollum, Esquire UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3		OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE 844 King Street, Suite 2207
4 5		Lockbox 35 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
6	For VMware, Inc.:	Benjamin Butterfield, Esquire
7		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 250 West 55th Street
8		New York, New York 10019
9		Monique DiSabatino, Esquire SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP
10		1201 North Market Street, Suite 2300 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
11	 Venture Lending &	
12	Leasing IX, Inc.:	Howard Cohen, Esquire FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
13		919 North Market Street, Suite 300 P.O. Box 2323
14		Wilmington, Delaware 19899
15	Pro Se Litigant:	Elad Rave Ilan Shmargad
16		Train Dimiargaa
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX	
2	FIRST DA	Y MOTION GOING FORWARD:	PAGE
3	Agenda		
4	Item 2:	Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtor to Obtain Postpetition Secured Financing,	16
5		(II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims,	
6 7		(III) Authorizing the Use of Cash Collateral, (IV) Granting Adequate Protection, (V)	
8		Modifying the Automatic Stay, and (VI) Granting Related Relief [Filed 8/4/22] (Docket No. 4)	
9		Court's Ruling:	47
10		court of marring.	1,
11			PAGE
12	EXHIBITS		
13	Declarat	ion of Brian Ayers	40
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(Proceedings commenced at 2:08 p.m.) 1 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon, all. This is Judge 3 Shannon. 4 I understand from the court reporter that 5 necessary parties have joined. This is a hearing in the 6 matter of 8E14 Networks, Inc., a Sub V case, Case No. 22-10708. This is, effectively, the first day hearing. My 8 9 understanding is that there is a single matter that is on for 10 the court's consideration today which is the DIP financing motion. 11 I will hear first from counsel for the debtor. 12 13 MS. PATTERSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Morgan Patterson of Womble Bond Dickinson on behalf of the 14 debtor. 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Patterson. 16 17 good to see you. 18 MS. PATTERSON: It is good to see you as well, Your Honor. 19 20 I would start out by just saying we very much 21

I would start out by just saying we very much appreciate Your Honor and staff taking time on this beautiful Friday afternoon in the summer. We, frankly, could have timed this better, but as I'm sure Your Honor knows all too well these things, sometimes, the timing is just out of our control.

22

23

24

25

As Your Honor noted, we are here today on the
first day for 8E14 Networks, which is doing business as

Ananda Networks. With me today, in the virtual courtroom, is
my partner Matthew Ward. We also have Eric Daucher and
Rebecca Winthrop who are from Norton Rose Fulbright who will
be special counsel to the debtor; as well as Brian Ayers from
Rock Creek Advisors who will be the debtor's financial
advisor.

THE COURT: Very good.

MS. PATTERSON: As Your Honor noted, the only motion that we have on today is the DIP.

If it's okay with Your Honor I planned on going through a bit of background about the company, how we got here. As I'm sure you noticed, a bit of -- it's a bit unconventional. So I thought I would walk Your Honor through the history of how we got here and where we see this case going.

THE COURT: That would be great. Thank you. You may proceed.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

So as you noticed, this is one debtor and we elected to -- we're electing to proceed here under Subchapter V. The company, led by its founders, developed a revolutionary technology which will aid many businesses in operating what is becoming an increasingly remote workforce

in this post-pandemic economy. Specifically, the debtor's intellectual property allows companies to create secure networks and removing the need for a VPN. This revolutionizes the networks by allowing it to move up to 25 times faster.

2.3

I'm sure, Your Honor, that my explanation of the technology here is not doing it justice, and under normal circumstances I would allow my company witness to come up here and answer some of Your Honor's questions. But we plan to do that at, hopefully, what will be a hearing on a few first day motions in about a week.

THE COURT: That would be fine. I would like to - I will hold my questions and thoughts until then. That
sounds fine.

MS. PATTERSON: Okay, perfect. Thank you, Your Honor.

The company -- so just to give a little bit more information on the company, structure and debt the company does have some customers and revenue at this time, but the founders really developed the company, this concept, about two years ago with the mind to monetize the IP and sell the company in short order. So the company doesn't have a ton of revenue.

Over the past year the company has proceeded through a number of failed marketing attempts, but much of

that failure stemmed from some internal conflicts among the debtor's founders. Most recently, as the debtor's funding began to get more and more scarce, it became clear that a sale was needed to occur very imminently. And the best possible chance for the company was to maximize the value through a Chapter 11 process which is how we were led here today.

The company's capital structure is relatively simple. They have \$1.4 million in prepetition secured debt from one lender which is Venture Lending & Leasing, and that is an affiliate of Western Technologies Investments. They have approximately \$8.5 million in various other forms of unsecured debt.

THE COURT: I wanted to ask, and I assume some of that must be insider debt or we have some issues with your eligibility under Sub $\,\mathrm{V}.$

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Your Honor. I appreciate you raising that. You are correct that \$3.4 million of that unsecured debt is the affiliate debt.

THE COURT: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ PATTERSON: So that allows us to utilize the Sub V.

Prior to filing the debtor was able to line up a purchaser, which you have seen in the documents, and that purchaser is an industry leader in the technology space,

VMware. VMware has also agreed to provide DIP financing;

however, that financing was not available until the case

commenced. So that is how we got here today having filed the

petition and the DIP motion, but have a few other first days

that will need to (indiscernible), like the company --

THE COURT: Hang on. This is Judge Shannon. I want to ask that anybody that's not addressing the court please mute your microphone. We're getting some feedback.

Ms. Patterson, apologies for the interruption. You may proceed.

MS. PATTERSON: No problem, Your Honor. Thank you.

So as I noted, we do plan to put together a few first days. I wouldn't say it's the normal slate of ten motions, but just a couple, I think three or four. Most importantly is the employee wage motions. The employees are — it's no understatement to say they are the lifeblood of this company and very important not only to the debtor, but to the purchaser.

The proposed APA that we was filed as part of the DIP motion package reflects a purchase price of \$12.5 million. It reflects --

THE COURT: Hang on just a second. I believe we're getting feedback from a participant who appears on the screen as Elad Rave. Again, I would ask that that party

please place your phone on mute. Any and all parties that
wish to address the court in this hearing will have an
opportunity to do so, but I can't have people disrupting the
audio.

Ms. Patterson, again, apologies for the interruption. You may proceed.

MS. PATTERSON: No problem, Your Honor. I appreciate that.

So the purchase price that is contemplated from VMware is \$12.5 million. The purchase also contemplates continued employment of all of the employees and continued business for the debtor's vendors and customers.

We anticipate that if everything goes according to plan the purchase price, even if no higher bids are found during the case, this would still allow 100 percent or very close to 100 percent recovery for all creditors.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PATTERSON: So, you know, that is where I think we're headed for now. I'm happy to save scheduling issues for the end if Your Honor would prefer and we could dive right into the DIP motion.

THE COURT: I think so; although, you note, I think correctly, Ms. Patterson, that this is filed with, obviously, a thin record and a single motion. That's fine. I think I would ask if, first, has a Subchapter V Trustee

- been appointed in this proceeding?
- 2 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Ms. Nimeroff, I
- 3 | believe, is on the screen. She was appointed this morning.
- $4 \parallel \mathbb{W}$ e spoke to her earlier to give her a quick rundown. I
- 5 || certainly won't say she is up to speed, but we gave her a
- 6 quick rundown on where things stand.
- 7 We were also able to give almost two weeks' notice
- 8 of the filing to the U.S. Trustees Office. And we were able
- 9 to work with Mr. Cudia to work through his comments on the
- 10 | DIP. So we just have one outstanding comment there. So we
- 11 | appreciate their efforts, you know, in what is a little bit
- 12 of an unorthodox entry into Chapter 11.
- 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Well before we move any
- 14 | further, Ms. Nimeroff -- I see both Ms. Nimeroff and Ms.
- 15 | McCollum. I'm happy to hear from both.
- 16 | Ms. Nimeroff, good morning. Welcome aboard. You
- 17 | and I have about the same exposure to this matter. Good
- 18 | afternoon. Good to see you.
- 19 | MS. NIMEROFF: You too, Your Honor. Can you hear
- 20 || me?

1

- 21 | THE COURT: I sure can, just fine.
- 22 MS. NIMEROFF: Great. Jami Nimeroff, Subchapter V
- 23 ||Trustee.
- 24 Your Honor, you hit the nail on the head. We both
- 25 | are operating with about the same amount of information. I

did get an opportunity to speak to Ms. Patterson and she gave me, sort of, the 30,000 foot view. I didn't get to do, really, a deep dive into the DIP or anything of that sort.

So I look forward to working with the parties and seeing where the case goes as these days and weeks go on.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Nimeroff.

Ms. McCollum, good afternoon. Good to see you.

Any comments or issues from your office and, of course, we will be happy to hear you in connection with the substantive motion, but I figured I'd give you the podium.

MS. MCCOLLUM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Hannah McCollum for the U.S. Trustee.

I am going to ruin the party here and say that I do have several issues with the DIP. I am happy to address them if the debtor wants to move forward with the DIP motion and I can address them at that time, I can weigh them out for Your Honor now, however Your Honor wants to proceed.

THE COURT: I think we will deal with the DIP motion. I will allow Ms. Patterson to present the motion and, of course, I'm happy to hear any issues or concerns from either your office or any other party that wishes to be heard at the appropriate time.

Were there any other either housekeeping or any other open matters relating to this case from the point of view of your office?

MS. MCCOLLUM: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Again, by way of introduction and table setting does anyone else wish to be heard before we turn to the DIP financing motion?

MR. RAVE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Elad Rave. I wish to be heard.

THE COURT: Very well. Yes, sir, I can hear you.

MR. RAVE: So a little bit of a background, I am the founder and the largest shareholder (indiscernible). You know, I (indiscernible). And they asked me to waive my board seat and give away certain amount of shares, and important info. If I will not do so they will the company to bankruptcy.

Your Honor, about two or three weeks ago we were supposed to sign a deal, an asset purchase deal, where it was agreed that I would receive my share of the company which is \$4 million in proceeds. The -- it will happen only if I sign a waiver and if not they will file bankruptcy, and I will get nothing.

Your Honor, this whole notion of bankruptcy is totally fake and it's in order to sell the company to VMware without letting VMware aware of all the representatives, everything that happened in the company and all the illegal aspects that happened in the company over the last year.

Your Honor, we also informed Delaware District

Attorney of this matter. That is why they're running this 1 bankruptcy. It's not really a bankruptcy, just a way for them to get the deal with VMware and to basically strip me of my assets and strip me of my rights of the company after they 5 criminally behaved over the last year or so.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

On top of that, Your Honor, the court documents contain misrepresentations. For example, it says in the court documents that they tried to look everywhere for funds including debts and they were not able to find it. This is absolutely false because I, as the ultra-shareholder of the company, brought a credible investor who wanted to invest in the company under much better terms. They refused to talk to him in order to proceed and to try to get rid of me and go to this bankruptcy.

Also, there are also other misrepresentations. For example, (indiscernible) which they say is (indiscernible) 15K is -- and also they claim that they have debts to an individual who is a friend of the CEO of \$1 million, but we do not see it in the documents.

On top of that, Your Honor, I requested a cap table [phonetic] for the company and they sent me a weird cap table which is not, I guess, the real one. The one that they sent me has 54 percent of the shares were the (indiscernible) option plan which is unheard of and its illegal and you cannot do this without consent.

It's very easy to understand that they did this in order to strip me of my shares. They are only doing this deal in a way because they failed to execute a legal property sale deal which was on the verge of being signed, but it was made clear to them that it was illegal, so they're trying to legalize it and to make it so using this bankruptcy proceeding so they can overcome their own illegal and criminal wrongdoing which was blackmailing and extortion by the company's lawyer from Norton Rose and the CEO, Adi Ruppin, and one of the investors (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Mr. Rave, if I can just ask, I just want to confirm, I think you said you were the founder and you advised that you are the largest shareholder of the debtor?

MR. RAVE: I am the largest shareholder per the last legitimate cap table, both Adi, the CEO, and myself have the same amount of shares before Adi's illegal acts to do many things.

Your Honor, I have documents that are -- that can prove everything that I say. I would be happy to provide them to court. There are illegal proceedings that are happening as we speak right now. I stand by everything that I say and can prove everything.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Rave. I appreciate it. Obviously, we will need to figure out how we

move forward here, but I appreciate your comments.

2.3

Can I ask, sir, obviously, to the extent that you have a personal stake in this you have the right to represent yourself, but I think I am obliged to ask have you engaged or do you expect to engage an attorney to assist you in dealing with this proceeding?

MR. RAVE: Your Honor, I was just informed by accident that there is this hearing today. I did not receive any emails. I did not receive any communications from the company even every share restructure that they did. I happen to know that this meeting happened about seven hours ago while I was traveling to their Cleveland clinic. I am currently in Ohio. And I have not yet had the time to really consult with a lawyer. I have to have a lawyer that can represent me in Delaware. That is my understanding.

So if it pleases the court I would like to have my opportunity to have my day in court and to show this to a lawyer, somebody that can help me figure out what should be the next step before, again, Adi and the rest of the company try to strip me of my rights and steal my money.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand. Obviously, I recognize that this is a hearing just very briefly after the filing of the bankruptcy so I am certainly not faulting anyone for either not appearing with counsel or otherwise.

The court will certainly afford you an opportunity in due

course to be heard. We will deal with the issues that you have raised, but, again, I appreciate you raising them.

I would ask are there other parties that wish to be heard before we turn to the debtor's request for relief today.

(No verbal response)

2.3

THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Patterson, the debtor has a DIP financing motion and you're advising that you're going to file additional motions. I guess I want to start with the threshold question of what relief is it that you require today and why wouldn't this appropriately wait a few days until we have a hearing next week on the other motions you're going to file.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. This is

Morgan Patterson from Womble Bond Dickinson on behalf of the

debtor.

So, Your Honor, essentially, we, as I mentioned in opening, did not have any funding. The debtor has no funding whatsoever right now to pay its employees, to pay its counsel, so it creates a situation where we are were not able to prepare first days as you would normally do in the run-up of a bankruptcy case which created this unconventional entry into the Chapter 11 case.

So we filed the DIP motion which is supported by our affidavit from Brian Ayers at Rock Creek which sets forth

the need for the funding. Like any other DIP order from today through the interim date of 21 days from now the funding is needed to pay the employees. The funding is needed to fund the professional reserve, it's needed to fund operations including Amazon web services which without that service the entire business would shut down.

2.3

I would emphasize that the reason we did it this way was because, as I mentioned, the employees are the lifeblood of the company. I am not, you know, sure how deep into all of the documents Your Honor had an opportunity to get, but I would note that an employee leaving is a default under both the credit agreement and the APA.

THE COURT: I did see that and I didn't -- it's certainly not typical, but I did see that in the events of default section.

MS. PATTERSON: I agree, Your Honor, that it's not typical, but I think its stresses how important these employees are.

THE COURT: How many employees are there? I did not see in the submissions that have been made a description of the debtor's business operation, the mechanics of how it operates, who it pays, what they pay. I realize you don't have a wages order in front of me, but how many employees are there and are all of them within that -- I didn't necessarily -- I kind of presumed when I saw the employee event of

default provisions in the DIP order I was -- I guess I intuitively thought that that referred to, you know, a couple of people, or the beautiful people, or the brains of the operation, but that is not necessarily clear to me, again, because of the limited record that I have.

What have we got?

2.3

MS. PATTERSON: I completely understand, Your Honor. So the structure is a little bit interesting with respect to the employees. So there are a total of eight employees; three that would be considered key employees under the defined terms in the APA and the credit documents, and five additional employees. A majority of those employees are employed at a wholly-owned subsidiary and there's an agreement between the subsidiary and the parent to pay those employee wages among other things.

So we intended to give the court all of that background in the wage motion and do intend to do so in a period of days, but, again, we've got to a situation where there is no funding for anyone to prepare those documents. So that is how we were led down this path.

You know, those employees really -- even as Your Honor said this is a somewhat unique provision in an APA, and it just goes to show how important these employees are to both the debtor and the purchaser. So we think it's extremely important to get this funding in place so that these

employees have certainty that they're going to be paid over the next few weeks while we get our firm footing into this bankruptcy, be able to get a bidding procedures motion going, get a plan on file, and, you know, move things along.

THE COURT: All right. I think I understand.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: You know, I think I'd like to circle back to Ms. McCollum, if I could, before we turn to the substance of the DIP motion. If you wouldn't mind I kind of deferred on you. You had listed that you have some issues with the financing and if you would, at least, preview them for me I would appreciate that.

MS. MCCOLLUM: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, I do have a number of issues with the financing and they start, I think, with our lack of knowledge, essentially, of what the debtor is, what it does, how long it's been operating, what its income and expenses look like because all of that underlies how much the debtor is going to need in the interim period and for what.

So, for example, what are its sources of revenue, has it been funded by investments from the beginning or does it have customer revenue, does it have any profits, has it ever been profitable. Then physically the mechanics of the requested funding, where is it going. Do the debtors have multiple bank accounts, are those bank accounts held at UDA

banks. I mean that is something that the U.S. Trustee is very concerned about because that means that that funding is collateralized by the bank. If it's not going to be put in a bank -- in a UDA bank -- in a bank that has signed a UDA with our office then we are concerned that that money won't be protected.

I am concerned that if you look at the budget it is unclear how much of the funding that the debtor is asking for in the interim period — and I apologize I'm not looking directly at you because I am looking at my other screen that has the budget on it, how much of that funding is necessary in the interim period because the standard is the amount necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm.

If you look at the budget it looks like there is about \$226,000 that needs to be funded on the 1st of August, but we're now August 5th, has that money been funded, is that now owed to the employees as back wages or back benefits that needs to -- that we need more information about in terms of the caps in the bankruptcy code.

I also note that the asset purchase agreement that is attached to the DIP calls for a \$1.5 million key employee retention plan or something like that. That money is supposed to be funded by the buyer, but if you look to the forecast, the budget forecast for the week of August 22nd, \$282,000 appears in that week. That looks like it is an

anomalous payment. I am questioning whether that is part of this retention bonus and if it is why is it in the budget if the buyer is supposed to be responsible for it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The budget itself is lacking in any -- in detail, essentially, who are the employees, what are the operating expenses, does the entire \$115,000 called for on the 1st of August to be paid to Amazon. If that is the case then we need some information about what it is that Amazon provides for the debtors, if its web-hosting services, if its cloud services; that is the kind of information we need because --THE COURT: Where did you get Amazon?

see that?

MS. MCCOLLUM: Ms. Patterson said that Amazon web services, I think, was one of the debtor's vendors that they had to pay. It was necessary for their operations.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. I must have missed that.

MS. MCCOLLUM: I think. My ear just always hears Amazon and I perk-up, right, because Amazon is everywhere.

So those are concerns I have with the budget. Then the debtor does have a subsidiary and I understand that subsidiary is not going to be filing for bankruptcy. debtor also proposes that this DIP is to be a priming DIP and it's going to prime the debtor's existing prepetition first lien lender.

If that is the case the record does not have

evidence of adequate protection. The APA has been filed, but there is no actual declaration or anything in the record that would say, yes, that the purchaser is going to pay the \$12.5 million subject to higher and better bids. They're proposing to prime the existing lender on the first day with no notice and the only adequate protection is, essentially, the anticipated purchase price, but why is it that the existing prepetition lender has to bear that risk given that the DIP lender is the proposed purchaser.

Given that it's a little unclear, to me, why all of these -- because we don't have enough information about the budget or what all these things are, why all this money is necessary especially on the first day of \$400,000 professional fee reserve that would then prime the first existing prepetition lender.

You know, the U.S. Trustee has some concerns with the order itself, but that in light of, sort of, our overarching concerns, I think, are sort of at this point the tail wagging the dog. But I did want to preview for Your Honor that I have quite a few issues and if the debtor has a witness I do intend to ask the witness questions to develop the record on this point or these points, I guess.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.3

MS. MCCOLLUM: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Patterson, if I were to capture

1 Ms. McCollum's comments here concerns are that this is not
2 necessary fully baked for a hearing and meaningful relief
3 today. I would like your thoughts.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. For the record Morgan Patterson of Womble Bond Dickinson on behalf of the debtor.

Your Honor, to say I'm surprised by the U.S.

Trustees comments would be an understatement. We provided their office two weeks' notice of this filing. We worked with Mr. Cudia, although I'm sure I'm massacring his last name so I apologize, but we worked with him over the past weeks. I provided him, myself, a copy of the DIP documents on, I believe it was, Monday of this week. He has sent us two rounds of comments. Never did I receive a request for any of the information that Ms. McCollum just listed there.

Certainly, you know, I understand that we came into this case in an unorthodox fashion. I have -- you know, we certainly are not hiding that fact. But that being said, we certainly tried our best to work with the U.S. Trustee. We incorporated every comment they have sent over on --

THE COURT: Can I ask one question? She touched on the issue of priming. Is priming consensual here?

MS. PATTERSON: So, Your Honor, I will absolutely allow the prepetition secured lender to answer that, but I wouldn't -- so we came into this without a consensual deal

despite that we have had many meetings with the prepetition lender; however, right before the hearing we spoke to them and they did tell us they do not intend to object today to the interim relief.

I don't know exactly why, but certainly we intend to tell Your Honor about the adequate protection that the debtor is giving them, not the least of which is a \$12.5 million purchase price providing an enormous equity cushion for a \$1.4 million debt.

THE COURT: Mr. Cohen?

2.3

MR. COHEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Howard Cohen, Fox Rothschild, on behalf of Venture Lending & Leasing.

As the debtor mentioned, we are the only secured creditor coming into this bankruptcy case. I myself have only been involved with this matter for now about two hours. I did reach out immediately upon seeing this to debtor's counsel to discuss the situation.

It was a positive discussion. I mean, I think from my client's standpoint we want to see this case move forward as quickly and efficiently as possible. We don't want to see this case drift sideways. We understand there is this \$12.5 million purchase price that would allow for payment in full to my client as well as the material distribution to unsecured creditors.

So I think for purposes of today we're not planning on objecting. I think we're just going to reserve our rights in terms of a final DIP hearing. Certainly, we don't want to see more money go out then is necessary in this interim period, but I think from our standpoint we're just going to reserve our rights in terms of a final hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.3

All right. Here is what we need to do: I would like to take a short break. We have not turned to the substance of the motion. We have not elicited testimony and I'm, frankly, reluctant to travel down the path that the U.S. Trustee has requested, but I will absolutely permit her to do that and ask the questions that are presently unanswered, at least, in the limited record that is before me.

I am not faulting counsel for how the case arrives. We deal with the cases as they come. I know that counsel does as well.

Look, we are here for a DIP motion, it would seem to me that the primary purpose of the DIP motion is to provide for the payment to professionals. To the extent that the concern is that a DIP motion is needed to pay employees I don't think you can pay employees without an order from me, at least, for obligations that arose prior to the petition date. So even if I approved it today, unless I am misconstruing the circumstances, you can't pay them without

an order from me which, again, I will give you at a hearing on expedited treatment at your convenience early next week.

2.3

I want to take a 15 minute break because I will -again, I heard from Mr. Rave, and I believe there may be
others on the phone that wish to be heard, raising issues
that I really am not in a position to comment on. I am
certainly not going to act on his concerns or allegations at
this point, nor am I going to dismiss or disregard them.
These are issues in the case and the court will deal with
them at the appropriate time, but that is not today.

What is before me right now is the need to deal with the debtor's funding request in a manner that addresses the immediate need of the debtor which, again, at least, largely relates to the need to provide for professional services to get the case moving forward. That is a limited request and would be something that the court would certainly be open to.

absolutely need to be addressed between now and, say,
Wednesday then that is a discussion that we would have. I
have reservations in the face of the U.S. Trustees concerns,
issues that have been raised and, again, the limited record
that I have before me in teeing up an interim order that
authorizes \$1.1 million in financing, lays out milestones,
again, that are not remarkable to the court. They're

certainly quick, but I'm not going to -- you know, I have seen worse, I've seen better, that's all fine.

2.3

You know, I think what we're talking about right now is limited relief that is going to carry us through for the next three, four, five days because you are going to be back in front of me. There is other relief that you need and we can deal with, again, on a more substantive record the overall financing need. Again, I think that a record developed in that regard would be welcome, and helpful, and, again, would give the court confidence in the process that we have going forward.

So I am going to suggest that we take -- I know I told you that we have a hard stop at three. I am going to move that to 3:30, but I do have to leave at 3:30. We're going to take a 15 minute break and I'm going to ask that the parties confer. We can leave the line open.

I will walk away and I promise, my mic, and everything else, and my audio will be off. But I would ask that the parties confer with the thought of what it is that is necessary and sufficient to get us through to, say, Wednesday of next week.

With that we are going to take a 15 minute break. We will reconvene at 3 p.m. Eastern.

MR. RAVE: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Mr. Rave?

MR. RAVE: Your Honor, if I may. Yes, if I may for just a minute. Could you please instruct the parties to -- two things: one to include me on correspondence as, again, the largest shareholder of the company and, second, if you can make sure that I receive the (indiscernible) information which I have requested from the company, but was denied access to it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. RAVE: So I can prepare better for the next hearing.

I am not going to make specific directions to the debtor about information and communications that they will share with you. Before we conclude this hearing, which will reconvene in 15 minutes, we will have a discussion about the extent to which you wish to see information and I will hear from the other side about whether or not they are comfortable providing it.

To the extent that you are a significant stakeholder in this process, and, again, all I have is what people are telling me so far, then there is certainly the right to meaningful information and engagement. And you can expect that I will respect and support that, but right now I have asked that the parties confer in order to figure out a path forward. I am not going to direct who it is that is

obliged to speak to whom in the next 15 minutes. 1 2 We are adjourned, 15 minutes. Thank you, counsel. 3 (Recess taken at 2:46 p.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 3:00 p.m.) 4 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon again. This is Judge 6 We are reconvening in the matter of 8E14 Networks, Shannon. d/b/a as Ananda Networks, and this is the court reconvening after what had been requested short break in order to allow 9 the parties to confer. 10 And I think I'd start with Ms. Patterson. If you 11 need more time, I'm willing to afford you a little more time, or we can move forward, but I think I was clear about the 12 concern the Court had about the scope of the relief that I 13 might be willing to entertain today and where we go from 14 15 there. I don't know if Ms. Patterson is on another line. 16 17 I expect that there were communications. 18 Is Ms. Patterson in the Zoom room? 19 MS. PATTERSON: My apologies, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: No problem. 21 MS. PATTERSON: -- popped out. It's the problem 22 with having one Zoom account. I apologize. 23 THE COURT: No, not a problem at all. And, again, 24 it is cumbersome. One of the things that makes this process

a lot easier is encouraging everybody to go outside into the

25

room and, obviously, that's much more difficult -- that's one of the few things that's more cumbersome virtually than in person.

2.3

accommodate that request.

Ms. Patterson, I'd like your thoughts.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate the time. For the record, Morgan Patterson of Womble Bond Dickinson on behalf of the debtors.

Your Honor, we caucused with the lenders and we have agreed that we could drop the request to \$450,000 and come back. We'll get the employee and other wage motions on file by Wednesday of next week and come back on the following Monday, if Your Honor has time. That would be -- as Your Honor, we have -- to clarify, we have no intention of paying wages that are in arrears; however, we do want the certainty to be able to look at the employees and say we have the funding, we have the money here to pay you. We just are getting our ducks in a row with the court order and we're --

MS. PATTERSON: And so we appreciate -- you know, we certainly appreciate the, you know, posture that we're in here and we're okay with doing it that way if other parties are okay with that and if Your Honor has the time to

THE COURT: And that's a fair point.

THE COURT: Again, I don't want to get ahead of ourselves, but I would -- I think I would open it up to Ms.

- 1 | McCollum, who I think had made certain specific concerns.
- 2 | Obviously, I will hear from Mr. Rave in addition, but, Ms.
- 3 McCollum, I think a lot of your concerns were about the scope
- 4 of the relief that was being sought today and the sufficiency
- $5 \parallel \text{of the record.}$ And, if I understood Ms. Patterson
- 6 | accurately, they reduced the request and the idea would be
- 7 $\|$ that further relief would move forward in just over a week's
- 8 time and the Court does have time on the 15th for a hearing.
- 9 | But, Ms. McCollum, I'm interested in your thoughts.
- MS. MCCOLLUM: Good afternoon, Hannah McCollum for
- 11 | the U.S. Trustee.
- 12 | First, I just wanted to correct the record. Ms.
- 13 | Patterson had noted that she provided our office with the DIP
- 14 | documents in advance of this hearing and I did go back
- 15 | through my emails, and we received the DIP order, we did not
- 16 | ever receive a motion or the credit documents or anything.
- 17 And so, yes, while we did provide comments, it's very
- 18 difficult to do that in a vacuum and we did not know the
- 19 | scope of what -- you know, what the playing field was; what
- 20 | everything looked like.
- 21 So I appreciate your position here and that she
- 22 | did not get any, you know, notice in advance that we were
- 23 going to raise these questions, but that's what we had and
- 24 | that's what we did.
- In terms of the limited, the more limited relief,

- it's -- again, it's very hard for me to comment on it because
 I don't know what it is comprised of. So it's \$450,000 and,
 again, if you look at the budget, there's about 226,000 for
 employees, operating expenses and rent, and is this 450
 intended to encompass that number plus, essentially,
 \$200,000, give or take, for professional fees.

 MS. PATTERSON: Your Honor, if I may, that number
 - THE COURT: As well as the other expenses identified in the budget that you could then tell people I have the funding necessary to pay it once I get authorization; do I have that right?

would encompass a portion of the professional fee reserve.

- MS. PATTERSON: Absolutely, Your Honor. And, to be clear, the professionals are not -- certainly not seeking to take that money or try to pay themselves; it's just, you know, some comfort in what has been certainly an uncomfortable process thus far.
- THE COURT: Okay. I've had an opportunity to review the 20 largest as well, so I certainly appreciate or understand some of the context in which the matter is presented.
- Ms. McCollum, is that responsive to your question?

 Ms. McCollum: It is. I do have additional

 questions, though. I did raise a concern that I don't know

 where the money is going. Where is it going to be?

THE COURT: Oh, yeah. I mean, normally, we don't ask like that sort of foundational question, but I assume, Ms. Patterson, you'll be able to provide Ms. McCollum with identification on what account the funded amounts would go to if the Court were to approve and authorize borrowing today.

2.3

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, absolutely. And one of the motions that we intend to file by Wednesday, five days from now, is the cash management motion, which will set forth the debtors' bank accounts, how the funds flow, and a number of other related items.

THE COURT: All right. Again, not to get ahead of ourselves, but I would think that Ms. McCollum is probably entitled to know this afternoon, if indeed the Court is prepared to approve limited funding, precisely the account that the monies would go to. I'm sure the lender is going to know that, I'm sure you know that. If the name of the account is on a UDA with her office, that's fine. If there are issues with that, then the parties could get me on the phone promptly, but I think -- you know, we're getting ahead of ourselves a little bit, but I think I'm doing that deliberately because of some of the pressures on my time and also just in the way that the Court has circumscribed some of the relief that's being sought.

Ms. McCollum, I recognize -- I guess want to start with recognizing that you actually listed in your

presentation, frankly, more thoroughly than I would have many of the questions that the Court would have. And as Ms.

Patterson I think noted right out of the gate, these are questions that are routinely answered just in a typical presentation that would identify both through the declaration how we got here and what we do, but also through the various

motions saying here's how money moves in our organization and
here are our employees who we need to pay and here are
critical vendors or other people, and we don't necessarily

9 critical vendors or other people, and we don't necessarily 10 have that.

And, again, I think I've been clear, I'm not faulting anybody for the circumstances, but there are limits, obviously, to how much both your office can bless or decline to challenge and, frankly, what I can authorize on the record that's before me. And so that really spurred the colloquy that we had before the break.

I think I'd like to -- I think I'd like to hear from the lender. I presume that we have lender's counsel on. And we're talking a lot about money and we're talking about you and we haven't heard from you.

So do we have counsel for the lender?

MS. DISABATINO: We do, Your Honor. Good afternoon, Your Honor, Monique DiSabatino from Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr on behalf of VMWare, Inc. I'm pleased to be here today with my co-counsel from the Morris & Foerster

- 1 | firm, we have Benjamin Butterfield and Alexander Severance.
- 2 | Their motions for *pro hac vice* admission are currently
- 3 | pending before the Court and, if Your Honor would permit, I
- 4 | will turn the podium to Mr. Butterfield to present.
- 5 | THE COURT: That sounds great. Thank you, Ms.
- 6 Disabatino.
- 7 Good to see you, gentlemen. Obviously, Ms.
- 8 | DiSabatino touched on the question of admissions pro hac.
- 9 | Consistent with my, I think, pretty long-established
- 10 | practice, I'm certainly not going to stand on ceremony.
- 11 | We'll deal with that paperwork as necessary, but I appreciate
- 12 | you all participating in this afternoon's hearing.
- 13 And I'd be interested in your thoughts as lender.
- 14 | I think you've heard, hopefully, loud and clear from the
- 15 | concerns from the U.S. Trustee, and as well as my own
- 16 | observations, and I would be happy to hear your comments.
- 17 MR. BUTTERFIELD: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
- 18 | Benjamin Butterfield, Morrison & Foerster, for VMWare, the
- 19 proposed DIP lender.
- 20 Look, Your Honor, you know, we think this is some
- 21 | great IT, we are definitely interested in acquiring it. We
- 22 | had gone back and forth on a purchase agreement with the
- 23 | company and, prior to bankruptcy, there was a chance we would
- 24 | have acquired this IP out of bankruptcy and could have
- 25 | avoided this process. Unfortunately, it didn't work out that

way. You know, there were some backs and forths, the company did walk away from our proposal at one point, but then they came back.

2.3

And, look, we're willing -- we would talk to them. We said how can we -- what can we do that is constructive here to make a sale work for you and for us. They said, you know, we think we need to do this in bankruptcy. I think us, looking at the situation that exists at the company right now, we agreed. They needed funding to do it. We asked them to talk to their investors to get funding, that the investors were not willing to fund anything. They came back to us, asked if we would provide DIP financing to get this sale process through, you know, we agreed. We agreed to allow our bid to be subject to an option process, we agreed to fund the professional fees, we agreed to pay the employees and, you know, the cost of operating the business through a sale process.

And, look, you know, they need cash and we said show us your budget. And, you know, the budget started out at one number and it got a little bit bigger and it got a little bigger, but we just agreed to funding out because we want to buy this, we think it's a valuable company. We think this business deserves to live on, it's great IT and, you know, we're excited about this process and we hope that our bid will be the best bid.

THE COURT: Okay. Can I hear from Mr. Rave? You had the opportunity to address the Court.

Sir, are you still on?

(No verbal response)

THE COURT: Mr. Rave, are you on the line, sir? (No verbal response)

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SHMARGAD: Your Honor, it seems that Mr. Rave is not online. My name is Ilan Shmargad. I would appreciate if I can address the Court in one minute?

THE COURT: Sure, yes.

MR. SHMARGAD: Okay. So I was -- I'm a strategic adviser at the company for the past two years, working closely with the CEO and the board to actually strategic partnerships funding, as well as, obviously, the M&A process. I was the one that was chartered by the board to look for a buyer and I was able to find more than one that will be willing to buy the company for \$15 million, plus a retention package. However, I would like to -- right now to -- a few issues right now.

First of all, I would like to speak in behalf of the unsecured creditors. The concern that I have with this process is as following. First of all, as far as the process, the purchase price was reduced from 15 million to 14 million because of the lengths of the bankruptcy, which is

going to take two to three months and need the funding for employees, as well as the attorneys; \$2 million have been allocated for that.

2.3

Third, if we look at the creditors and also the projection expenses, the lawyers are going to get \$2 million, will be spent on financial adviser for that merit, the Delaware attorneys, and RF, which basically will be -- already raise the fees for one million, as well as additional 550 projected.

So we're talking about a transaction that actually \$2 million of that or close to that are allocated for the attorney, comparing to other alternatives, and that's really leaving much less for the unsecured creditors, which are in one of them, and I would like to be heard further by the Court.

I haven't yet appointed my lawyer, a bankruptcy lawyer, I will have that later on today, but I would like definitely to have the opportunity to present further data and present my case in front of the Court as well on behalf of the unsecured creditors.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Shmargad, I appreciate it.

And I want to be clear, as I was, I think, with Mr. Rave. I understand the concerns that have been raised and I believe that it would be appropriate to afford an opportunity for those concerns to be heard. And, obviously, I understand,

one of the basic things I understand in this job is that there are two sides to every story. And so I would expect a response, but those issues will be raised.

2.3

I've been, I think, as clear as I can this afternoon that I view my primary and core responsibility to do no harm and to allow the matter at least to move forward. And, again, I very much appreciate the engagement between Ms. Patterson and other stakeholders to kind of read the Court's comments and to make suggestions about a more limited scope of relief, with the opportunity to be back in front of me in just over a week, which to me makes a lot of sense.

And so I think I'd like to -- there are a couple things that I think I want to talk through. We have the declaration and, Ms. Patterson, I assume you're moving -- you would propose to move that declaration into evidence; is that correct?

MS. PATTERSON: It is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The Court would afford an opportunity to cross-examine at the appropriate time, but for the limited purpose of the relief that's being requested today I would ask, are there any objections to the admission of the declaration for purposes of this relief?

(No verbal response)

THE COURT: All right. The Court notes that the United States Trustee has indicated no objection and I hear

no other objection.

2.3

(Ayers declaration received in evidence)

THE COURT: Here's what I think that we ought to do. I think Ms. McCollum hit the nail on the head in terms of the concerns and, again, I think that I translated them into a request for a narrower scope of relief. There were issues in the form of order that I had concerns about, but, again, there were kind of the gating questions raised by Ms. McCollum of precisely what we're doing and where we're going.

I have less concerns over the specifics of those orders. There were a couple things, though, and I guess I'd raise them with Mr. Butterfield. And I don't -- we're in this odd situation, so I'm moving us kind of into a lightning round, people, because typically we would have a lot of back and forth, and I'd figure out and kind of draw out where everybody is, but you have me for about 14 more minutes.

And I think I'd like to know -- the primary concerns that I had related to what seemed to be a 506(c) waiver effective on the entry of the interim order and I would find that problematic even with the limited request. On the 15th, which I assume would be a further interim hearing, Ms. Patterson, I would certainly entertain that and we could discuss it. But, Mr. Butterfield, I want to be clear; I've got concerns regarding that provision, especially given the limited relief that's being provided. And I would

need comfort that --1 2 MR. RAVE: Your Honor, this is --3 THE COURT: Yeah, Mr. Rave. 4 MR. RAVE: -- this is Elad Rave. 5 THE COURT: Yes, sir. I could hear you, I could hear until 6 MR. RAVE: 7 Ilan started talking, but you couldn't hear me and I was dropped off the call. 9 So, Your Honor, I have a few things I would like 10 to say to the Court, if I'm allowed. 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. The first thing is I would like to 12 MR. RAVE: receive the required documents so I can prepare the company 13 cap table and everything being requested from the company. 14 15 The second thing is there was a \$1 million debt to 16 the person who just spoke, Ilan Shmargad, that was presented 17 to us. And, again, everything is in writing and I can show 18 the Court, if it pleases, which I don't know why there is a 19 \$1 million debt to him, but now he's not in the list of 20 people that the company owes the money. 21 Also, I would like to know if there is any 22 agreement that was not presented to the Court regarding

And another question is regarding Norton Rose, the

payments to the CEO, not as part of the asset purchase, which

2.3

24

25

is what we understand had.

law firm. They were hired as Adi's lawyer when Adi and I 1 were having an issue between us. They were paid -- I don't know if they were paid, but I am certain that they were not paid by Adi, but now the company is supposed to pay them for basically going after me. And now they say that they are the company's lawyers. 6

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are filing a complaint against that also in the California Bar Association, but the question is with Adi, did Adi pay them out of his own money or just the company? Adi is on the call, he may address this. And the claim is about their ability to represent Norton Rose.

THE COURT: All right, a couple things, Mr. Rave. First, I appreciate the concerns that you've raised and I think it's appropriate to share and identify those concerns with the debtor and with counsel. I am not approving anyone's retention today and I'm not being asked to do that. I'm not ruling or commenting on how attorneys will be paid, if they're entitled to be paid, or who is being retained by whom or who is eliqible to be retained. All of those are questions that the Court will address in due course over the course of the next few weeks, but those are not today questions.

So at least, while I understand you may not get answers to those questions right now, you can also at least be confident that I am not disposing of those issues right

now or removing your ability to raise those concerns.

2.3

With respect to the request for the cap table, I think the first question I would ask is, if indeed you're a stakeholder and I believe that you are, you've identified yourself as a shareholder, and you intend to participate, you will have the opportunity to get documents.

I think the first question I would ask Ms.

Patterson is if you understand specifically what Mr. Rave means by a cap table. Are you prepared to share what is responsive to that request with him?

Now, obviously, I'm putting you on the spot and I'm sensitive to that, but we're proposing to be back in ten or eleven days, and so I wouldn't want to put Mr. Rave or lawyers, if he gets them, to emergency letters and motion practice before me for purposes of getting, you know, basic documents. If indeed he's objecting to this stuff, you know as well as I do he's entitled to documentation. I'd like your thoughts.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Morgan Patterson for the debtor.

I would say, you know, for the record, Your Honor,
I think it probably goes without saying, but that the debtor
wholeheartedly disagrees with all of the accusations that are
flying around on this hearing and we certainly look forward
to the day where we can address those in the appropriate

hearings and respond to the evidence that these parties are willing to present.

With respect to the question you asked, Your
Honor, I actually am not a hundred percent sure what Mr. Rave
is looking for. I mean, certainly, under the bankruptcy
rules, we will file a list of equity holders, as required by
us, within 14 days. I can endeavor to get that -- you know,
get that filed sooner. I don't think it's a complicated
equity table, if that's what he means by cap table. Perhaps
he could send me an email exactly what he's looking for and I
will -- I will certainly get him whatever I have.

I think that it also goes without saying that the company filed this bankruptcy in order to be as transparent as possible. That's what Chapter 11 is. We want to -- we are willing to provide whatever information we have and certainly will not be holding it back in an effort to be hiding anything.

MR. RAVE: Well, if this was a conspiracy, then how come I did not even know about this until the rumor mill started and I knew about this process?

THE COURT: All right --

MR. RAVE: And I am, as you know, the founder of the company.

THE COURT: Mr. Rave, hang on. So I hear you.

Ms. Patterson has, I think, responded to the request, but

also invited you to contact her directly. If there's information or documents that you're looking for, I would recommend that you reach out to her promptly and ask for them. And to the extent that you're not satisfied or concerned by the -- or you have concerns with the response, you should be confident that I will be prepared to get on the phone promptly with people. That's how I deal with these issues and that is certainly how I deal with them when I've got matters that are scheduled for a further hearing in --you know, in such a short period.

But I think I've responded to the issues that you've raised. And, again, I think for all parties the Court has been clear that my purpose here is to minimize the prospect of risk to the debtor to allow the case to start to move forward, but also to minimize the impact on parties that may have rights and want an opportunity for a more substantive hearing.

And, again, I very much appreciate the engagement by the U.S. Trustee in articulating some concerns. And, again, I think everyone's powder is being kept particularly dry, including Mr. Rave, but also the U.S. Trustee and all other parties.

So what I'm trying to do right now is get to a point where we can discuss some of the specifics of what might be a structure that would allow the debtor to move

forward for that week and a half period.

2.3

Mr. Butterfield, I think I had floated a question
to you prior to Mr. Rave rejoining and it related to the

Section 506(c) waiver that was, I believe, proposed to be
effective as of the entry of the interim. I've shared with
you, it's probably not a surprise that I've got some
heartburn about that and I'd like your thoughts.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. We think the waiver makes sense, but, you know, it's for reasons that we don't really have time to talk about now. I don't want to belabor this point in this context since you have time constraints. So why don't we just exit out from this like initial interim order and we can talk about when we come back.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'd like to know, are there other parties that wish to be heard with respect to the request for financing?

(No verbal response)

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. NIMEROFF: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes? Oh, Ms. Nimeroff.

MS. NIMEROFF: Yeah, hi, Jami Nimeroff again.

Your Honor, I don't really have any specific comments. I mean, I just think, if the idea is at this point to sort of do no more, that the relief that is provided in

the order should be, you know, as sort of minimal as possible

-- let me take that back. I just mean that the things that

we generally get concerned about possibly being deferred to

the final order, that it makes sense that most of those

issues get really deferred.

And I'm not sure about the priming lien here, Your Honor. If the prepetition lender is not objecting to that, the amount on the table for today is not -- you know, it's not huge, but I just throw that out there that that still is an issue about the granting of a priming lien today.

with you and share that I expect that I'll be visiting with all of you a week from Monday for purposes of a further interim because, at least on my math, 4001 would require the entry of a further interim, and we can address some of these questions. And, again, I think it would be appropriate to preserve them as best as possible. And, obviously, there's going to need to be some tinkering to the order, but I believe -- I'm in agreement with Ms. Nimeroff's concerns and I would approach any interim order that I'm entering now for the very limited scope that's been requested and described by Ms. Patterson and capped at \$450,000 as being, you know, just the -- you know, just a very limited interim order.

And, again, I think, Mr. Butterfield, I appreciate you accommodating my schedule and I apologize, I would love

to have this conversation with you and I expect that we will in due course, but right now I think it makes sense for the Court to move forward for the reasons that I've described in order to allow the case to get up and running and, again, subject to the rights of all parties to address them.

2.3

Based upon the record before me and the declaration that's been submitted, I would be prepared to approve and authorize post-petition financing and use of cash collateral on a secured basis pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 361, 363, and 364.

And, again, given the limited relief and the record before me, I'm not going to burden the record with extensive findings, but rather, simply, find that the debtors have carried their burden under those particular sections.

And Ms. Patterson has described on the record and I think they'll be some tinkering either with the budget or with the proposed form of order that will be submitted to the Court in order to reflect the narrower relief that's being sought today, with an expectation that we will be back before the Court at 9:00 a.m. on the 15th of August for purposes of a further hearing.

And at that point, Ms. Patterson, I would be happy to entertain the motions you've described as the additional packet of routine first day matters and that may give us then more of context.

So the Court is prepared to approve and authorize that relief as decried for interim lending in the amount of \$450,000. Again, I appreciate everyone's approach to this. This is not a typical set of issues that we're dealing with, but we're preserving the status quo for purposes of ensuring that parties have their opportunity to be heard, but nevertheless providing necessary and appropriate funding in order to get the case out of the gate.

2.3

I also note that the debtor has carried its burden under Bankruptcy Rule 4001 in that I do find that the limited financing that's been described here is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the debtors' reorganization effort.

With that, I would look for that order to be submitted under certification and I would be prepared to enter that order. And, again, if there are issues with respect to it, then we can get on the phone promptly, but I'm hopeful that for the limited relief that's being requested and sought, parties can look at it for what it is and be able to come to terms on an order that will carry us to a week from Monday.

Finally, in connection with the issues that were raised by Mr. Rave and there are others, then we'll deal with it. But, again, I see a lot of familiar faces on the Zoom screen in front of me and one of the luxuries that I said I

have in this job is able and experienced professionals that have done this process before and particularly that have dealt with proceedings in front of me, in expedited matters particularly, but as a general proposition. I'm not a big fan of motion practice when it comes to discovery and requests for information and scheduling and briefing and those kind of things. If there are issues that the parties are at loggerheads about, get me on the phone, particularly if we're trying to get to a hearing next week.

2.3

And, again, I think Ms. Patterson correctly noted that a Chapter 11 proceeding is built around the concept of disclosure and transparency and so parties that are going to seek information likely are entitled to it. And, again, while we haven't scheduled or addressed the milestones and the time lines that have been laid out, I take the cases as they're presented and the debtors postured this case as a sale case. And I do know that, at least from the comments from parties before me, there may be discussions about that, but right now this debtor is seeking to move forward in a fairly prompt format in order to achieve a result that, at least from the debtors point of view and in its submissions, would be a positive result for holders of unsecured claims, at least on the debtor's math thus far. I make no comment on the substance of any of that.

And, again, I appreciate Mr. Butterfield's candor

and description of his client's view of the process and I
believe that that's helpful. But, again, I think that we're
prepared to enter a financing order today or as soon as it
comes in under certification, and that we'll be back before
the Court again on the 15th at 9:00 a.m.

The last observation is, Ms. Patterson, I would ask that you do what you can to keep my chambers advised about the state of play in the matters that are coming. I have a matter scheduled for 11:00 a.m. on the 15th that by all outward appearances is hotly contested and multiple witnesses, so we may have some scheduling issues. The last thing I would want to do is complicate everyone's life by my schedule. So, if you see it coming that you're going to need a substantial amount of time, the earlier that you can let chambers know -- and this goes, frankly, to all parties, but the earlier that you can let us know, the earlier I can juggle a little bit in advance and not waste everyone's time.

Okay?

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Would Your Honor be willing, it may help in that regard, if we could set an objection deadline for the DIP of maybe Friday, so at least we're prepared to --

THE COURT: Friday at noon.

MS. PATTERSON: Perfect. Thank you so much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think that that would be helpful. It would certainly let me see it and other folks.

All right, any other matters that we need to address before we conclude? And, again, we'll reconvene in what I think is ten days.

MR. SHMARGAD: Yes, Your Honor, this is Ilan again. Just for clarity and forgive me for my lack of professionalism of the process, so should I tell my lawyer that we should approach you to present or we'll be presenting on the 15th, or do you prefer email? How are we actually going about it?

THE COURT: Okay. I would start with by having your attorney, to the extent that you have one, communicate with Ms. Patterson. Certainly, you're welcome to reach out to Ms. McCollum, she represents the Office of the United States Trustee. All of those --

MR. RAVE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- should be contact information that you can find on the docket in this proceeding and I'm sure that if you can get in touch with Ms. Patterson she'd be happy to give you contact information.

As far as the next steps for what you or your attorney should do, I'm not in -- I'm obviously not in a position and I'm not authorized to provide you with legal advice, but if you wish to be heard and interpose objections,

to present objections to relief that's being sought or

challenge the path that the debtor is laying out, then you're

going to need to make filings in the court and to appear in

the court for purposes of the hearings, which I presume for

Monday will be by Zoom.

And, again, we are tinkering or we're rolling out

with baby steps live hearings. But, again, I'm sensitive to

And, again, we are tinkering or we're rolling out with baby steps live hearings. But, again, I'm sensitive to the burden on the parties and I wouldn't necessarily impose and require parties to travel for this. So we'll assume that the hearing on the 15th is via Zoom.

And again, Mr. Shmargad, your attorney hopefully can get in touch with folks on the other side and figure out the path forward.

Are there any other questions?
(No verbal response)

THE COURT: Very good. Again, I appreciate everyone's time, I appreciate your truncating a little bit to accommodate my schedule and I apologize to the parties for burdening you with my schedule.

But, with that, we are adjourned. I will look for the DIP financing order under certification.

Thank you, Counsel. Have a good weekend.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings concluded at 3:35 p.m.)

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	We certify that the foregoing is a correct
3	transcript from the electronic sound recording of the
4	proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of our
5	knowledge and ability.
6	
7	/s/ Tracey J. Williams August 8, 2022
8	Tracey J. Williams, CET-914
9	Certified Court Transcriptionist
10	For Reliable
11	
12	/s/ Mary Zajaczkowski August 8, 2022
13	Mary Zajaczkowski, CET-531
14	Certified Court Transcriptionist
15	For Reliable
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	