

# STAT 3011 Discussion 015

## Week 11: Two-Sample Comparisons

Talha Hamza

University of Minnesota  
College of Science and Engineering

Spring 2025

# Two-Sample Comparison Framework

Independent Samples	Matched Pairs
Compare $\mu_1$ vs $\mu_2$ $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$	Compare $\mu_D$ (pair differences) $\bar{x}_D$ (mean of differences)
<b>Example:</b> Test scores from two different classrooms	<b>Example:</b> Twins assigned to different treatments

## Key Distinction

- **Independent:** Two completely separate groups with no pairing
- **Paired:** Individuals paired by characteristics (age, weight, etc.)

## Note

Matched pairs could be the same subject measured twice

# Assumptions

---

Independent Samples	Matched Pairs
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Two independent random samples</li><li>2. Both populations normal OR <math>n_1, n_2 \geq 30</math></li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Random sample of pairs</li><li>2. Differences normally distributed OR large enough sample size</li></ol>

# Confidence Intervals for Two-Sample Comparisons

Independent Samples	Matched Pairs
$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$ $df = \min(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$	$\bar{x}_D \pm t_{\alpha/2} \frac{s_D}{\sqrt{n_D}}$ $df = n_D - 1$

## Intuitive Interpretation

- **CI excludes 0:** The true difference is unlikely to be zero ("statistically significant")
  - Example: If 95% CI (1.2, 3.4) kg for weight loss  $\implies$  Effective treatment
- **CI includes 0:** No evidence of difference ("null plausible")
  - Example: If 95% CI (-0.5, 1.5) kg  $\implies$  Might just be random variation

# Hypothesis Testing for Two Samples

## Independent Samples

### Hypotheses:

- $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$
- $H_a : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  (two-tailed)
- $H_a : \mu_1 < \mu_2$  (left-tailed)
- $H_a : \mu_1 > \mu_2$  (right-tailed)

### Test Statistic:

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

## Matched Pairs

### Hypotheses:

- $H_0 : \mu_D = 0$
- $H_a : \mu_D \neq 0$  (two-tailed)
- $H_a : \mu_D < 0$  (left-tailed)
- $H_a : \mu_D > 0$  (right-tailed)

### Test Statistic:

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x}_D}{s_D / \sqrt{n_D}}$$

# p-values and Conclusions

---

## Calculating p-values

- Left-tailed: `pt(t*, df, lower.tail=TRUE)`
- Right-tailed: `pt(t*, df, lower.tail=FALSE)`
- Two-tailed: `2*pt(abs(t*), df, lower.tail=FALSE)`

## Conclusion

- if  $\text{p-value} \leq \alpha \implies$  Reject  $H_0$  and Accept  $H_a$
- if  $\text{p-value} > \alpha \implies$  Fail to reject  $H_0$

## Crucial Nuance

- We never **accept**  $H_0$  - we only fail to reject it

# R Commands

---

## Independent Samples

```
t.test(group1, group2, var.equal=FALSE)
```

## Paired Samples

```
t.test(group1, group2, paired=TRUE)
```

## Output Includes

- Confidence interval
- Test statistic
- p-value

Questions?



# Problem 1

---

## **Scenario:**

A researcher randomly samples four patients with high blood pressure and measures their blood pressure initially. The researcher then assigned the patients to walk briskly for half an hour a day. A month later, those patients' blood pressure was measured again.

# Problem 1

---

## **Scenario:**

A researcher randomly samples four patients with high blood pressure and measures their blood pressure initially. The researcher then assigned the patients to walk briskly for half an hour a day. A month later, those patients' blood pressure was measured again.

**Answer:** Matched Pairs

## **Explanation:**

Each patient's blood pressure is measured before and after treatment. Same subjects measured twice = matched pairs design.

## Problem 2

---

### **Scenario:**

A STAT 3011 student compares textbook prices at Amazon vs. Barnes Noble. She randomly selects 10 textbooks used that term and records the price of each book at both sites.

## Problem 2

---

### **Scenario:**

A STAT 3011 student compares textbook prices at Amazon vs. Barnes Noble. She randomly selects 10 textbooks used that term and records the price of each book at both sites.

**Answer:** Matched Pairs

### **Explanation:**

Each book has two prices — one from each site — so prices can be directly matched by textbook. If she had sampled different books from each site, it would be independent.

## Problem 3

---

### **Scenario:**

A researcher is studying smoking and lung capacity. Participants are paired — one smoker and one non-smoker — matched on age, gender, and BMI. Each participant's lung capacity is measured.

## Problem 3

---

### **Scenario:**

A researcher is studying smoking and lung capacity. Participants are paired — one smoker and one non-smoker — matched on age, gender, and BMI. Each participant's lung capacity is measured.

**Answer:** Matched Pairs

### **Explanation:**

Participants are deliberately paired based on similar characteristics. This creates matched pairs suitable for paired analysis.

# Problem 4

---

## **Scenario:**

In an experiment, researchers either stared or didn't stare at drivers at a stop sign, then timed how long it took the drivers to cross. They want to test whether staring affects crossing time.

## **Data:**

No-stare group: 14 observations

Stare group: 13 observations

# Problem 4

---

## **Scenario:**

In an experiment, researchers either stared or didn't stare at drivers at a stop sign, then timed how long it took the drivers to cross. They want to test whether staring affects crossing time.

## **Data:**

No-stare group: 14 observations

Stare group: 13 observations

**Answer:** Independent Samples

## **Explanation:**

There's no way to meaningfully match drivers between the two groups. Also, sample sizes differ. This is a classic two-sample independent design.