

Stochastic system consideration for EDMD

October 23, 2024

1 Notation introduction

A list of all the notation and relevant definition:

1. (Autonomous) SDE in the dynamical system (Ω, μ) :

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t.$$

2. A deterministic/stochastic system with flow map: $y = \Phi^t(x)$.
3. m : Number of (i.i.d.) data points
4. N : Dictionary size
5. $n, t = \frac{1}{n}$: sampling frequency and time step between two data snapshots
6. Data(i.i.d.) snapshots:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ x_1 & \dots & x_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ y_1 & \dots & y_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

7. Dictionary: $\Psi = [\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N]$ where each $\psi_i \in L^2(\Omega, \mu)$.
8. Matrices of dictionary $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ evaluated on data $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^m$:

$$\Psi_X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & \Psi(x_1) & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \Psi(x_m) & \text{---} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \dots & \psi_N(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_1(x_m) & \dots & \psi_N(x_m) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\Psi_Y = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & \Psi(y_1) & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \Psi(y_m) & \text{---} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(y_1) & \dots & \psi_N(y_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_1(y_m) & \dots & \psi_N(y_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

9. Definition of Koopman operator in deterministic system:

$$\mathcal{K}f = f \circ \Phi$$

Definition of stochastic Koopman operator(**semigroup**):

$$\mathcal{K}^t f = \mathbb{E}[f \circ \Phi^t]$$

Remark: In the deterministic system, we can still use \mathcal{K}^t, Φ^t where t is the (fixed) time interval between two sampling snapshots.

10. Definition of Koopman generator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\mathcal{K}^t f(x)] - f(x)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[f \circ \Phi^t(x)] - f(x)}{t} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

EDMD framework review

Let $f(x) = \Psi(x)a$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = f(y) = \Psi(y)a = \Psi(x)Ka + r(x)$$

where $r(x)$ is the residual. Notice that the span of $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\}$ is not necessarily invariant under \mathcal{K} .

In the EDMD framework, given a dataset $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^M$, we want to minimize the residual over the finite data set:

$$J := \sum_{i=1}^M |r(x_i)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^M |(\Psi(y_i) - \Psi(x_i)K)\mathbf{a}|^2,$$

so, this is equivalent to $\min_K \|\Psi_Y - \Psi_X K\|_F$ and the minimal K is

$$K = \Psi_X^\dagger \Psi_Y = (\Psi_X^T \Psi_X)^\dagger (\Psi_X^T \Psi_Y) = G^\dagger A$$

where \dagger is the pseudoinverse and $G = \frac{1}{m} \Psi_X^T \Psi_X$, $A = \frac{1}{m} \Psi_X^T \Psi_Y$.

Remark. *Regularization through truncated SVD or by adding a small perturbation is typically applied.*

S-EDMD framework

First, we can expand the Koopman operator(semigroup) $\mathcal{K}(t)$ similar as in EDMD:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(t)f(x) &= \mathbb{E}[f(y)] \\ &= \Psi(x)K(t)\mathbf{a} + r(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Alternatively, we approximate the Koopman operator(semigroup) $\mathcal{K}(t)$ analogous to Taylor expansion using the definition of generator(1):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(t)f(x) &= \mathbb{E}[f(y)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\Psi(y)]\mathbf{a} \\ &= [\Psi(x) + t \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi(x) + O(t^2)] \mathbf{a}\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{L}\Psi(x) = [\mathcal{L}\psi_1(x), \dots, \mathcal{L}\psi_N(x)]$ and

$$\mathcal{L}\psi_j(x) = b(x) \cdot \nabla \psi_j(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) : \nabla^2 \psi_j(x) \quad (4)$$

Remark. We can get explicit higher order term $O(t^2)$ in (3) by applying Itô's formula recursively. See A for more details.

Remark. In order to obtain (4), we can use Itô's formula. From Itô's formula, we know that given $f \in C_b^2(\Omega)$ and $X_0 = x$,

$$df(X_t) = \left(b(X_t) \cdot \nabla f(X_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(X_t) : \nabla^2 f(X_t) \right) dt + \sigma(X_t) \cdot \nabla f(X_t) dW_t$$

Taking expectation on its integral form, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)|X_0 = x] - f(x) = \int_0^t \left(b(X_s) \cdot \nabla f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(X_s) : \nabla^2 f(X_s) \right) ds$$

Let $t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}f &= \mathcal{L}f(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(X_t) - f(x)|X_0 = x]}{t} \\ &= b(X_t) \cdot \nabla f(X_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(X_t) : \nabla^2 f(X_t)\end{aligned}$$

In our case, combining (3) and (2), we can minimize the following:

$$J := \sum_{i=1}^m |r(x_i)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| [\Psi(x_i) + t \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi(x_i) + O(t^2) - \Psi(x_i)K] \mathbf{a} \right|^2$$

which is equivalent to minimize

$$J = \min_K \|\Psi_X + t \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O(t^2) - \Psi_X K\|_F^2 \quad (5)$$

and the minimal $K(t)$ is

$$K(t) = \Psi_X^\dagger (\Psi_X + t \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O(t^2)) \quad (6)$$

where the generator(time differential) matrix approximation $[\mathcal{L}\Psi_X]_{ij} = \mathcal{L}\psi_j(x_i)$.

Remark. $O(t^2)$ in (7), (3) and (5) are different. They are scalar, vector and matrix respectively. For example, each element of $O(t^2)$ in (3) and (5) is a scalar $O(t^2)$ in (7).

Empirically, if t is very small, we update the Koopman matrix approximation in the following way with $O(t^2)$ omitted:

$$\widehat{K}(t) = \widehat{\Psi}_X^\dagger (\widehat{\Psi}_X + t \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\widehat{\Psi}_X)$$

Remark. For the case of trained basis by NN, we replace $\Psi_X + t \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O(t^2)$ by Ψ_Y in (5),

Now, we will show that the computed $K_{N,n,m}$ by our S-EDMD method converges not only in large data m and large dictionary size N , but also in zero-limit of sampling time $t = 1/n$.

2 Convergence in the limit of large data

(1) i.i.d. data:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\|\widehat{K}_{N,n,m} - K_{N,n,m}\|_F > \epsilon\right) \\
&= P\left(\|\widehat{\Psi}_X^\dagger(\widehat{\Psi}_X + t\widehat{\mathcal{L}\Psi}_X) - \Psi_X^\dagger\mathbb{E}[\Psi_Y]\|_F > \epsilon\right) \\
&= P\left(\|t\widehat{\Psi}_X^\dagger\widehat{\mathcal{L}\Psi}_X - \Psi_X^\dagger(\Psi_X + t\mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O(t^2))\|_F > \epsilon\right) \\
&= P\left(\|t\widehat{\Psi}_X^\dagger\widehat{\mathcal{L}\Psi}_X - t\Psi_X^\dagger\mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O(t^2)\|_F > \epsilon\right) \\
&\leq P\left(t\|\widehat{\Psi}_X^\dagger\widehat{\mathcal{L}\Psi}_X - \Psi_X^\dagger\mathcal{L}\Psi_X\|_F + O(t^2) > \epsilon\right) \\
&= P\left(t\|\widehat{G}^{-1}\widehat{A} - G^{-1}A\|_F + O(t^2) > \epsilon\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G = \frac{1}{m}\Psi_X^*\Psi_X$ and $A = \frac{1}{m}\Psi_X^*\mathcal{L}\Psi_X$.

(From Wiki)

A function $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the *bounded differences property* if substituting the value of the i th coordinate x_i changes the value of f by at most c_i . More formally, if there are constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n such that for all $i \in [n]$, and all $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}_n$,

$$\sup_{x'_i \in \mathcal{X}_i} |f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i.$$

Lemma 1 (McDiarmid's Inequality). *Let $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the bounded differences property with bounds c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n . Consider independent random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n where $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ for all i . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)]| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

Proof. Omitted. \square

Lemma 2 (Hoeffding's Inequality). *Assume $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \geq \epsilon\right) \leq e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}}$$

Proof. The McDiarmid's Inequality(1) directly implies Hoeffding's inequality by taking $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. \square

Theorem 3. Let $|\psi_i(X_k)| \leq C$ \mathbb{P} -a.e. for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose $\|\mathcal{L}_{N,n,m}\| \leq L$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\widehat{G} - G\right\|_F \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8N^2C^4}\right),$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\widehat{A} - A\right\|_F \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8N^2C^4L^2}\right).$$

Proof. Define the random matrix $\eta(X) := \Psi(X)^T \Psi(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, i.e., $\eta_{ij}(X) = \psi_i(X)\psi_j(X)$. Let $G = \mathbb{E}[\eta(X_1)]$, $\widehat{G} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \eta(X_k)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{G} - G\|_F^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \widehat{G}_{ij} - G_{ij} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_{ij}(X_k) - \mathbb{E}[\eta_{ij}(X_k)] \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}(X) := \eta(X) - \mathbb{E}[\eta(X_1)]$ and thus $|\tilde{\eta}_{ij}(X)| \leq 2C^2$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Next, applying Hoeffding's inequality (2) and union bound, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\widehat{G} - G\right\|_F \geq \epsilon\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\widehat{G} - G\right\|_F^2 \geq \epsilon^2\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\eta}_{ij}(X_k) \right|^2 \geq \epsilon^2\right) \\ &\leq N^2 \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\eta}_{ij}(X_k) \right|^2 \geq \epsilon^2/N^2\right) \\ &= N^2 \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\eta}_{ij}(X_k) \right| \geq \epsilon/N\right) \\ &\leq 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2(m\epsilon/N)^2}{m(4C^2)^2}\right) \\ &= 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8N^2C^4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, define $\xi(X) := \Psi(X)^T \mathcal{L}_{N,n,m} \Psi(X)$. Since

$$|\psi_i(X)\mathcal{L}\psi_j(X)| \leq C^2 \|\mathcal{L}_{N,n,m}\| \leq C^2 L,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\widehat{A} - A\right\|_F \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m\epsilon^2}{8N^2C^4L^2}\right),$$

□

Lemma 4 (Philipp, Lemma C.5). *Let $G, A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be such that G is invertible and $A \neq 0$. Let $\widehat{G}, \widehat{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be random matrices such that \widehat{G} is invertible a.s. Then for any sub-multiplicative matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|G^{-1}A - \widehat{G}^{-1}\widehat{A}\| > \epsilon\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\|A - \widehat{A}\| > \frac{\epsilon}{\tau}\|A\|\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\|G - \widehat{G}\| > \frac{\epsilon}{\tau}\|G^{-1}\|^{-1}\right),$$

where $\tau = 2\|G^{-1}\|\|A\| + \epsilon$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Define $\epsilon_t = (\epsilon - O(t^2)) / t$. Then, with same conditions defined in Theorem 3 and Lemma 4, we have*

$$P\left(\|\widehat{K}_{N,n,m} - K_{N,n,m}\|_F > \epsilon\right) \leq 2N^2 \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m}{8} \left(\frac{\epsilon_t}{NC^2\tau\|G^{-1}\|}\right)^2\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{m}{8} \left(\frac{\epsilon_t\|A\|}{NC^2\tau L}\right)^2\right) \right]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\|\widehat{K}_{N,n,m} - K_{N,n,m}\|_F > \epsilon\right) &= P\left(t\|\widehat{G}^{-1}\widehat{A} - G^{-1}A\|_F + O(t^2) > \epsilon\right) \\ &= P\left(\|\widehat{G}^{-1}\widehat{A} - G^{-1}A\|_F > \epsilon_t\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\|G - \widehat{G}\| > \frac{\epsilon_t}{\tau}\|G^{-1}\|^{-1}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\|A - \widehat{A}\| > \frac{\epsilon_t}{\tau}\|A\|\right) \\ &\leq 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m(\frac{\epsilon_t}{\tau}\|G^{-1}\|^{-1})^2}{8N^2C^4}\right) + 2N^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m(\frac{\epsilon_t}{\tau}\|A\|)^2}{8N^2C^4L^2}\right) \\ &= 2N^2 \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m}{8} \left(\frac{\epsilon_t\|G^{-1}\|^{-1}}{NC^2\tau}\right)^2\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{m}{8} \left(\frac{\epsilon_t\|A\|}{NC^2\tau L}\right)^2\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Convergence in zero-limit of sampling time

Now we have Koopman matrix approximation at discrete time $K_{N,n}(1/n)$. We will use it to construct a sequence of generator approximant $\{A_{N,n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and find its limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if the limit exists in some sense. Once we find the limit, we can create the continuous time N -dimensional Koopman semigroup.

First, for each $N > 0$, construct the following sequence of matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{N,n} &:= \frac{K_{N,n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - I}{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{\left[\Psi_X^\dagger \left(\Psi_X + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right] - I}{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{\left[I + \frac{1}{n}\Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right] - I}{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= \Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
 &\quad \text{(Here the first term is not dependent on } n\text{)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, choose $f = \Psi \mathbf{a} \in C_b^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi A_{N,n} \mathbf{a} \| \\
 &= \| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi \left(\Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L}\Psi_X + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \mathbf{a} \| \\
 &= \| \Psi \left(\Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L}\Psi_X \right) \mathbf{a} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \mathbf{a} \| \quad \text{(Here the } O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ is a matrix)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \mathbf{a} \| \\
 &= \| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi_1 \quad \cdots \quad \psi_N] \begin{bmatrix} O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & \cdots & O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & \cdots & O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{bmatrix} \| \\
 &= \| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi_1 \quad \cdots \quad \psi_N] \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ \vdots \\ a'_N \end{bmatrix} \| \\
 &\quad \text{(where } a'_i = \sum_{j=1}^N [O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)]_{ij} a_j \text{)} \\
 &= \| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i a'_i \| \\
 &= \| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^N [O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)]_{ij} a_j \right) \|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left((N \cdot O(\frac{1}{n})) \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (N \cdot O(\frac{1}{n})) \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right) \right\| = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $f = \Psi \mathbf{a}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi A_{N,n} \mathbf{a} = \Psi A_N \mathbf{a}$$

where $A_N = \Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L} \Psi_X$. In other words,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_{N,n} f = \mathcal{A}_N f$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{N,n}, \mathcal{A}_N$ are the operators whose matrix representation are $A_{N,n}, A_N$ respectively. (Not sure if it is appropriate and necessary to mention $\mathcal{A}_{N,n}, \mathcal{A}_N$ here. If yes, do we need to define the domain for these operators?)

Next, by Trotter-Kato Approximation theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi K_{N,n} \mathbf{a} = \Psi K_N \mathbf{a}$$

where $K_{N,n}(t) = e^{tA_{N,n}}, K_N(t) = e^{tA_N}$. In other words, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{N,n}(t) f = \mathcal{K}_N(t) f$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{N,n}(t) := e^{tA_{N,n}}, \mathcal{K}_N(t) := e^{tA_N}$.

First Trotter–Kato Approximation Theorem.

(Trotter 1958, Kato 1959). Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(T_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be strongly continuous semigroups on X with generators A and A_n , respectively, and assume that they satisfy the estimate

$$\|T(t)\|, \|T_n(t)\| \leq M e^{wt} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and some constants $M \geq 1, w \in \mathbb{R}$. Take D to be a core for A and consider the following assertions.

- (a) $D \subset D(A_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n x \rightarrow Ax$ for all $x \in D$.
- (b) For each $x \in D$, there exists $x_n \in D(A_n)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $A_n x_n \rightarrow Ax$.
- (c) $R(\lambda, A_n)x \rightarrow R(\lambda, A)x$ for all $x \in X$ and some/all $\lambda > w$.
- (d) $T_n(t)x \rightarrow T(t)x$ for all $x \in X$, uniformly for t in compact intervals.

Then the implications

$$(a) \implies (b) \iff (c) \iff (d)$$

hold, while (b) does not imply (a).

4 Convergence in large dictionary size N

In this section, we want to show $\mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{K}(t) \mathcal{P}_N = \mathcal{K}_N(t) \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(t)$ or $\mathcal{A}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in strong operator topology. If we can assume the $\mathcal{K}(t)$ in (3) is bounded, then we can use the result from Igor's paper, as stated in Theorem 6.

Here are some questions:

1. Do we show whether $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_N$ or $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_N(t)$ exists? Which way is better?
2. Can we assume the true Koopman operator $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is bounded in order to show $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{t\mathcal{A}_N}$ exists? For example, if the dynamical system (Ω, μ) has an invariant measure μ , the Koopman operator $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is not only bounded but also unitary.
3. Can we also say that $K_N(t) = e^{t\mathcal{A}_N}$ is a Galerkin approximation of true Koopman operator $\mathcal{K}(t)$?

4.1 Convergence of \mathcal{K}_N to $\mathcal{K}(t)$ (in the L^2 norm)

Review on convergence analysis of EDMD: The following theorem and the assumption is from Milan and Igor's paper: *On Convergence of Extended Dynamic Mode Decomposition to the Koopman Operator*.

Assumption 2 The following conditions hold:

1. The Koopman operator $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is bounded.
2. The observables ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N defining \mathcal{F}_N are selected from a given orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} , i.e., $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2 If $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{F} = L_2(\mu)$, then P_N^μ converge strongly to the identity operator I and in addition $\|I - P_N\| \leq 1$ for all N .

Proof. Let $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i \psi_i$ with $\|\phi\| = 1$. Then, by Parseval's identity $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |c_i|^2 = 1$ and

$$\|P_N^\mu \phi - \phi\| = \left\| \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty c_i \psi_i \right\| = \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty |c_i|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

with $\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty |c_i|^2 \leq 1$ for all N . □

Theorem 6. If Assumption 2 holds, then the sequence of operators $\mathcal{K}_N \mathcal{P}_N^\mu = \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu$ converges strongly to \mathcal{K} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_N^\mu \mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi - \mathcal{K} \phi\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ be given. Then, writing $\phi = \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi + (I - \mathcal{P}_N^\mu)\phi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_N^\mu \mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi - \mathcal{K} \phi\| &= \|(\mathcal{P}_N^\mu - I) \mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}_N^\mu - I)\phi\| \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{P}_N^\mu - I) \mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi\| + \|\mathcal{K}\| \|(I - \mathcal{P}_N^\mu)\phi\| \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{P}_N^\mu - I) \mathcal{K} \phi\| + \|(\mathcal{P}_N^\mu - I)\| \|\mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi - \mathcal{K} \phi\| \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{K}\| \|(I - \mathcal{P}_N^\mu)\phi\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2 and by the fact that $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{P}_N^\mu \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \phi$ since \mathcal{K} is continuous by Assumption 2. \square

So, if $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is bounded, we can conclude that $e^{t\mathcal{A}_N} = \mathcal{K}_N(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(t)$ in strong operator topology as $N \rightarrow \infty$, using the results from Igor's paper.

4.2 Convergence of \mathcal{A}_N to \mathcal{A} (in the L^2 norm)

Assumption 1. *We make the following assumptions:*

1. $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a closed operator (Koopman generator) with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = H^2(\Omega)$.
2. $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^2(\Omega)$.
3. $\mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N : A_N = \Psi_X^\dagger \mathcal{L} \Psi_X$ is the matrix representation of the finite-dimensional approximation of \mathcal{A} .
4. For any $f \in H^2(\Omega)$, its projection onto the first N basis functions is:

$$\mathcal{P}_N f = f_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{H^2} \psi_i$$

Definition 7. For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, the graph norm is defined as:

$$\|f\|_0 = (\|f\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{A}f\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$$

Theorem 8. For any $f \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\|\mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For any $f \in H^2(\Omega)$, the error can be decomposed as:

$$\|\mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f - \mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2}$$

1. (Show convergence of 1st term.) Using the graph norm, we have:

$$\|\mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mathcal{A}\| \|f - \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0$$

Since \mathcal{A} is bounded and we know that:

$$\|f - \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

2. (Show convergence of 2nd term.) Since $\mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow I$ strongly as $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\|\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f - \mathcal{P}_N \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_N f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

□

A "Taylor" expansion of stochastic Koopman operator

By applying Itô's formula to both $f(X_t)$ and $\mathcal{A}f(X_t)$, we can derive a "Taylor expansion" for $\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)]$ as in (3).

First, we apply Itô's formula to $f(X_t)$:

$$f(X_t) = f(x) + \int_0^t (\mathcal{A}f)(X_s) ds + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dW_s.$$

Next, we treat $\mathcal{A}f$ as a function and apply Itô's formula to $\mathcal{A}f(X_s)$:

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(X_t) = (\mathcal{A}f)(x) + \int_0^t [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)](X_s) ds + \int_0^t (\mathcal{A}f')(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dW_s.$$

Then, we substitute this expression for $\mathcal{A}f(X_t)$ back into the formula for $f(X_t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) &= f(x) + \int_0^t (\mathcal{A}f)(X_s) ds + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dW_s \\ &= f(x) + \int_0^t \left[(\mathcal{A}f)(x) + \int_0^s [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)](X_u) du + \int_0^s (\mathcal{A}f')(X_u) \sigma(X_u) dW_u \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

After rearranging terms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) &= f(x) + (\mathcal{A}f)(x) t + \int_0^t \int_0^s [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)](X_u) du ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s (\mathcal{A}f')(X_u) \sigma(X_u) dW_u ds + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides and noting that the stochastic integrals have zero mean (assuming appropriate integrability conditions), we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)] = f(x) + (\mathcal{A}f)(x) t + \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)(X_u)] du ds.$$

The double integral term represents the accumulated effect of the higher-order derivatives of f over time. To understand its order, consider that if $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)(X_u)]$ is bounded by some constant M , then:

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)(X_u)] du ds \right| \leq M \int_0^t \int_0^s du ds = M \int_0^t s ds = M \frac{t^2}{2}.$$

This shows that the double integral is of order $O(t^2)$ when t is small.

Therefore, for small t , the expected value simplifies to:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)] = f(x) + (\mathcal{A}f)(x) t + O(t^2). \quad (7)$$