元者大學 卓越·務實·宏觀·圓融







Introduction to Deep Learning – Concepts and PyTorch Development

Tien-Lung Sun, Dept. of Industrial Engineering and Management, Yuan-Ze University 孫天龍 元智大學 工業工程與管理系 教授


My GitHub




Run GitHub PyTorch code from Colab




Acknowledgement



AI, ML and DL




What are the differences between ML and traditional programming approach to let computer have intelligence?



Regression vs Classification

- y learned is continuous → Regression
- y learned is categorical → Classification




What are the important stages in developing and deploying AI?


- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對

Case 1 – Fall risk prediction

- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對



















Case 2 – Dementia risk prediction

- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對

- (1) 人口學資料、疾病變項
- (2) 認知量表分數: CDR, MMSE, GDS
- (3) 失智相關生理訊號: EEG, Eye Tracker, HRV, GSR
- (4) 血液檢查
- (5) MRI, fMRI
- (1)失智共同照護據點
- (2) 樂齡學習中心
- (1) 認知量表分數沒有差異、但血液檢查有差別的失智 vs 非失智個案, AI模型判讀結果為何?影響AI模型決策的重要生理訊號特徵值為何?與現場人員的過往臨床經驗之異同處為何?
- (2) 認知量表分數與血液檢查都沒有差異,但fMRI結果有差別的失智 vs 非失智個案,分析AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對。
- 1. 在社區中篩選有失智風險的長者到診所或醫院進行進一步檢查
- 2. AI 模型有機會與基層診所及健保給付結合,基層醫師使用AI模型結合簡易量表及生理訊號量測,並使用常規血液檢查內的數值,即可協助民眾及早診斷、就醫與治療。 10

Case 3 – Spirometry reading prediction

- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對





R5Hz	R10Hz	R15Hz	R20Hz	R25Hz	R35Hz	X5Hz	X10Hz	X15Hz	X20Hz	X25Hz
X1	X2	Х3	X4	X 5	X6	X7	X8	X9	X10	X11
X35Hz	Z5Hz	VT	Rc.	Rp	Fres.	AX	R5Hz- R20Hz	Gender	Age	
X12	X13	X14	X15	X16	X17	X18	X19	X20	X21	


Other elderly-care application cases

- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場臨床經驗交叉比對










Manufacturing application cases

- 1. Sensors and instruments to collect X and Y
- 2. Why X? Why X is important to predict Y?
- 3. Data collection protocol
- 4. Data pre-processing (data visualization)
- 5. Feature engineering
- 6. Al model development
- 7. AI模型解讀與現場經驗交叉比對




















Warning of math and coding



- Statistics
- Linear algebra
- Non-linear optimization

Python coding









Notations

 x_i

No	age t	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5	tб	time	Step frequency	n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	nб	рх	ру	pz	Steps Geno	ler TUG	y1	BBS 3	<i>j</i> 2
1	70	1.76	2.64	6.24	7.02	10	12.8	11	2.285	80	120	282	317	453	575	11.67	1.809	-1.99	13 F	11	0	26	0
2	86	1.64	2.6	5.82	7.27	10.4	12.6	11	1.934	75	118	263	328	470	570	11.14	2.302	4.651	12 F	11	0	24	0
3	76	1.76	2.93	6.27	7.04	10.3	12.8	11	2.109	80	133	283	318	465	575	11.53	2.169	-3.253	14 F	11	0	22	1
4	70	2.38	3.29	5.58	6.47	9.02	10.4	8	2.461	108	149	252	292	407	468	11.6	1.838	-3.138	12 F	8	0	24	0
5	66	3.09	4.07	6.6	7.4	10.2	12.1	9	2.461	140	184	298	334	462	545	_ 11.55	2.531	-2.742	12 F	9	0	26	0
6	79	1.76	2.91	5.87	6.6	10.2	12.8	11	2.109	80	132	265	298	462	575	$_{\sim}n$	1.788	-1.349	13 F	11	0	26	0
7	85	1.2	2.33	5.42	8.31	12.1	17.2	16	2.988	55	106	245	375	545	775	\mathcal{X}_i	2.203	4.89	17 M	16	1	18	1
8	81	1.64	2.93	5.98	7.47	10.9	13.6	12	1.758	75	133	270	337	493	515	11.1	2.667	-4.594	10 F	12	0	24	0
9	82	0.64	1.47	4.76	5.76	9.36	11.6	11	2.109	30	67	215	260	422	525	11.26	4.1	-2.693	14 M	11	0	24	0
10	69	1.64	2.49	5.02	5.98	9.82	12.6	11	2.637	75	113	227	270	443	570	11.27	3.292	-3.522	13 F	11	0	20	1
11	84	0.64	1.4	5.67	7.29	11.5	14.6	14	1.934	30	64	256	329	520	660	11.53	2.335	-2.999	15 M	14	1	26	0
12	69	1.09	1.98	5	5.62	8.38	10.1	9	2.109	50	90	226	254	378	455	11.15	1.919	-4.608	11 M	9	0	26	0
13	73	1.09	2.13	6.78	8.38	12.4	17.1	16	3.691	50	97	306	378	558	770	11.46	2.264	-3.333	16 F	16	1	14	1
14	81	0.64	1.87	9.24	11.2	19	22.6	22	1.934	30	85	417	507	857	1020	11.58	2.511	-2.157	27 M	22	1	24	0
15	80	0.76	1.71	3.98	5	7.58	9.76	9	2.109	35	78	180	226	342	440	11.33	2.821	-3.595	10 M	9	0	26	0
16	88	0.98	2.13	6.31	7.44	11.5	14	13	1.934	45	97	285	336	518	630	11.38	2.498	-3.702	16 M	14	1	26	0
17	81	1.09	2.09	4.18	5.16	7.76	10.1	9	2.285	50	95	189	233	350	455	11.21	2.241	-4.337	10 M	9	0	28	0
18	76	1.76	2.64	5.87	6.98	9.98	12.8	11	1.406	80	120	265	315	450	575	11.33	2.679	-3.736	10 M	11	0	26	0
19	69	0.36	3.76	13.3	16.7	24.2	29.4	29	3.691	17	170	598	753	1090	1322	11.31	1.361	-4.171	28 F	29	1	10	1
20	75	1.98	2.93	5.98	7.91	12.2	15	13	1.934	90	133	270	357	551	675	11.5	2.202	-1.495	14 M	13	0	28	0
21	87	1.53	3.2	10.9	13.8	21.3	26.5	25	2.9	70	145	492	624	960	1195	11.6	2.199	-2.54	19 F	25	1	16	1
22	72	0.2	1.02	3.36	4.11	7.42	10.2	10	1.758	10	47	152	186	335	460	11.52	2.658	-2.081	9 M	10	0	28	0
23	109	0.64	1.93	5.04	5.71	9.13	10.6	10	2.285	30	88	228	258	412	480	11.51	2.056	-3.158	15 F	10	0	28	0 16

 χ^n

Mechanism for computer to learn from data

- Define a function to be learned: $y^n = f(x^n)$
- Define a loss function $\mathcal{L}(f)$ to describe the error between y^n and \hat{y}^n
- Find the optimal parameters that minimize $\mathcal{L}(f)$


ML model (1) – Linear regression

- Linear model: $y^n = \sum_i (w_i x_i^n) + b$
- Loss function: $L(w,b) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\hat{y}^n y^n)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\hat{y}^n (\sum_i (w_i x_i^n) + b))^2$
- Find the optimal parameters that minimize loss: $\underset{w, b}{\text{arg } min } L(w, b)$

Use gradient decent to find optimal parameters

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w} L(w)$$


• Consider loss function L(w) with one parameter w:



Gradient decent

$$w^* = arg \min_{w} L(w)$$

• Consider loss function L(w) with one parameter w:



Gradient decent to find two parameters w^* and b^*


- How about two parameters? $w^*, b^* = arg \min_{w,b} L(w,b)$
 - (Randomly) Pick an initial value w⁰, b⁰
 - ightharpoonup Compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w}|_{w=w^0,b=b^0}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b}|_{w=w^0,b=b^0}$

$$w^1 \leftarrow w^0 - \frac{\partial L}{\partial w}|_{w=w^0,b=b^0}$$
 $b^1 \leftarrow b^0 - \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}|_{w=w^0,b=b^0}$

$$ightharpoonup$$
 Compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w}|_{w=w^1,b=b^1}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b}|_{w=w^1,b=b^1}$

$$w^2 \leftarrow w^1 - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w}|_{w=w^1,b=b^1} \qquad b^2 \leftarrow b^1 - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}|_{w=w^1,b=b^1}$$

What are the difference between ML and DL?



Jeffery Hinton



Geoffrey Hinton spent 30 years hammering away at an idea most other scientists dismissed as nonsense. Then, one day in 2012, he was proven right. Canada's most influential thinker in the field of artificial intelligence is far too classy to say I told you so


https://torontolife.com/tech/ai-superstars-google-facebook-apple-studied-guy/

For more than 30 years, Geoffrey Hinton hovered at the edges of artificial intelligence research, an outsider clinging to a simple proposition: that computers could think like humans do—using intuition rather than rules.

Geoffrey Hinton 多年來堅持着一個簡單的觀點:電腦可以像人類一樣思考-用直覺而不是規則。 Hinton 一直好奇的是,電腦能不能像人類大腦一樣的工作:信息通過一個巨大的,由神經元圖譜連接 起來的細胞網絡傳播,在多達十億條的路徑上發射、連接和傳輸。

Machine learning model (2) – Deep learning

Define a function to be learned: $y^n = f(x^n)$



$$n_3 = \sigma(x_1 * w_{13} + x_2 * w_{23} + b_3)$$


$$1 n_4 = \sigma(x_1 * w_{14} + x_2 * w_{24} + b_4)$$

$$n_5 = \sigma(x_1 * w_{15} + x_2 * w_{25} + b_5)$$

3
$$y_1 = \sigma (n_6 * w_{6y} + n_7 * w_{7y} + b_y)$$

Machine learning model (2) – Deep learning

Use gradient decent to find optimal parameters



 $\mathbf{w_i} \leftarrow \mathbf{w_i} - \eta \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mathbf{w_i}}$

$$L = g(y - \hat{y})$$
 $y = \sigma(n_6 * w_{6y} + n_7 * w_{7y} + b_y)$


$$\mathbf{w}_{6y} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_{6y} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{6y}} \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{6y}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{6y}}$$

$$w_{7y} \leftarrow w_{7y} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{7y}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{7y}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{7y}}$$


$$\mathbf{w}_{57} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_{57} - \mathbf{\eta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

$$n_7 = f(n_3 * w_{37} + n_4 * w_{47} + n_5 * w_{57} + b_7)$$

MLP is a fully connected feedforward network



Fully connected feed forward network is implemented as matrix operation



Reference: 李弘毅 ML Lecture 6 https://youtu.be/Dr-WRIEFefw


Practice

Run "1.1 Matrix operation.ipynb"




Matrix operation

```
MyNet = nn. Sequential(
    nn. Linear(2, 3),
    nn. Linear(3, 2),
    nn. Linear(2, 1)
)
```



Matrix operation

```
for param in MyNet.parameters():
    if param.requires_grad:
        print(param.data)
```



```
tensor([[ 0.4727, -0.5188],
                                                            W_{23}
                                                      W_{13}
           [-0.5681, -0.6032],
                                                            W_{24}
                                                      W_{14}
                                                     [w_{15}]
                                                            W_{25}
           [-0.0252, -0.3011]
                                                     [b_3 \quad b_4 \quad b_5]
tensor ([-0.6986, -0.6602, -0.4860])
tensor([[-0.5549, 0.2550,
                                   0.4584],
                                                     \Gamma W_{36}
                                                            W_{46}
            0. 2930, 0. 0849, -0. 3146]
                                                     [w_{37} \quad w_{47} \quad w_{57}]
                                                     [b_6 \ b_7]
tensor([0.1677, 0.0736])
                                                     W_{6y}
                                                            w_{7y}
tensor([[ 0.4106, -0.3618]])
tensor([-0.2270]
```

$$\vec{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 10 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} w_{13} \\ w_{14} \\ w_{23} \\ w_{24} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 10 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{13} & w_{14} & w_{15} \\ w_{23} & w_{24} & w_{25} \end{bmatrix} + [b_3 \quad b_4 \quad b_5]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_3^1 & k_4^1 & k_5^1 \\ k_3^2 & k_4^2 & k_5^2 \\ k_3^3 & k_4^3 & k_5^3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \\ b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \\ b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_3^1 & n_4^1 & n_5^1 \\ n_3^2 & n_4^2 & n_5^2 \\ n_3^3 & n_4^3 & n_5^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use Excel to verify

n3

n4

n5

```
#Calculate n3, n4, n5
HiddenLayer1 = MyNet[0](tensorX)
print(HiddenLayer1)
```

```
tensor([[-1.2635, -2.4348, -1.1135], [-1.3097, -3.6061, -1.4398], [ 1.4340, -9.3577, -2.2441]],
```

```
#Calculate n3, n4, n5 using Pytorch matrix operation

HiddenLayer1 = tensorX.mm(torch.transpose(W1, 1, 0)) + b1

print(HiddenLayer1)
```

```
tensor ([[-1.2635, -2.4348, -1.1135],

[-1.3097, -3.6061, -1.4398],

[ 1.4340, -9.3577, -2.2441]], grad_fn=<AddBackward0>)
```

```
#Calculate n6, n7 using PyTorch matrix operation
W2 = MyNet[1].weight
b2 = MyNet[1].bias
HiddenLayer2 = HiddenLayer1. mm(torch. transpose(W2, 1, 0)) +b2
print(HiddenLayer2)
tensor([[-0.2625, -0.1530],
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_3^1 & n_4^1 & n_5^1 \\ n_3^2 & n_4^2 & n_5^2 \\ n_3^3 & n_4^3 & n_5^3 \end{bmatrix}$$


[-4.0429, 0.4054]], grad_fn=<AddBackward0>)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1.2635 & -2.4348 & -1.1135 \\ -1.3097 & -3.6061 & -1.4398 \\ 1.4340 & -9.3577 & -2.2441 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{36} & w_{37} \\ w_{46} & w_{47} \\ w_{56} & w_{57} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_6 & b_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+\begin{bmatrix}b_6&b_7\\b_6&b_7\\b&b\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_6^1 & n_7^1 \\ n_6^2 & n_7^2 \\ n_6^3 & n_7^3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Use Excel to} \\ \text{verify} \end{array}$$

Use parallel computing to speed up matrix operation



Use parallel computing to speed up matrix operation

```
In [2]: if(torch.cuda.is_available()):
    device = torch.device("cuda")
    print(device, torch.cuda.get_device_name(0))
else:
    device= torch.device("cpu")
    print(device)

cuda Tesla P100-PCIE-16GB
```

tensorX = torch.FloatTensor(trainX).to(device)
tensorY_hat = torch.FloatTensor(trainY_hat).to(device)
print(tensorX.shape, tensorY_hat.shape)

```
torch.Size([128, 2]) torch.Size([128, 1])
```

```
conv1_out = conv1(imageTensor.to(device))
conv1_out.shape
#output image (feature map) has 64 channels
torch.Size([1, 64, 55, 55])
```