CSE-318 OFFLINE-2 ON CSP

Md. Tamimul Ehsan #1805022

Value Order Heuristic:

For value order heuristic the least constraining value was chosen. As for variable order heuristic it is preferred to fail first to decrease the number of branches. And for value order heuristic it is preferred to fail last. As this increases the chance to reach the goal state faster. But it doesn't matter if the input is solvable. Then failing first or last will give the same result.

Result:

| Input | Solver | VAH | #Node | #Backtrack | Runtime |
|---------|---------------|------|----------|------------|---------|
| d-10-01 | | VAH1 | 291 | 20 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | | | |
| | Backtrack | VAH3 | 77 | 1 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 203 | 15 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | | | |
| | Forward Check | VAH1 | 271 | 20 | 0ms |
| | | VAH2 | 739521 | 569867 | 800ms |
| | | VAH3 | 76 | 1 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 188 | 15 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | 3936 | 2605 | 5ms |
| | Backtrack | VAH1 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | | | |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 59 | 1 | 1ms |
| d-10-06 | | VAH5 | | | |
| d-10-06 | Forward Check | VAH1 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | 3674427 | 2217685 | 3660ms |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 58 | 1 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | 3492 | 2467 | 5ms |
| d-10-07 | Backtrack | VAH1 | 268 | 22 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | 26641951 | 6230656 | 17541ms |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | | | |
| | Forward Check | VAH1 | 246 | 22 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | 32971 | 21802 | 34ms |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | 20793 | 15021 | 34ms |
| d-10-08 | Backtrack | VAH1 | 102 | 3 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | | | |
| | | VAH3 | 382 | 30 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 732 | 84 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | 100874 | 29756 | 97ms |
| | Forward Check | VAH1 | 99 | 3 | 1ms |

| | | VAH2 | 45443578 | 36349776 | 50480ms |
|---------|---------------|------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | VAH3 | 352 | 30 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 643 | 83 | 2ms |
| | | VAH5 | 55216 | 40595 | 82ms |
| d-10-09 | Backtrack | VAH1 | 67 | 1 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | 13597542 | 2753367 | 9559ms |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 71 | 2 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | | | |
| | Forward Check | VAH1 | 66 | 1 | 1ms |
| | | VAH2 | 96171 | 77289 | 111ms |
| | | VAH3 | 58 | 0 | 1ms |
| | | VAH4 | 69 | 2 | 1ms |
| | | VAH5 | 61786 | 52795 | 90ms |
| d-15-01 | Backtrack | VAH1 | 81883 | 7857 | 150ms |
| | | VAH2 | | | |
| | | VAH3 | 81027 | 8412 | 176ms |
| | | VAH4 | 289321 | 34376 | 611ms |
| | | VAH5 | | | |
| | Forward Check | VAH1 | 74026 | 7857 | 146ms |
| | | VAH2 | | | |
| | | VAH3 | 72615 | 8412 | 170ms |
| | | VAH4 | 252865 | 34194 | 567ms |
| | | VAH5 | | | |

Conclusion:

It is seen that VAH1, VAH3 and VAH4 perform consistently for all of the inputs. But as input gets larger and harder to solve VAH1 seems to outperform VAH3 and VAH3 seems to perform better for smaller outputs. VAH5 does a decent job randomly providing some good outputs and sometimes very bad. And for the last VAH2 seems to be the slowest. Surprisingly taking the minimum while calculating VAH2 provides a better result than before.