

Compact Operators

Tan-Jianbin

School of Mathematics
Sun Yat-sen University

Seminar on Statistics 105c

Compact Operators

- 1 The Spectrum of Operators
 - Spectrum Decomposition
 - Singular Value Decomposition
- 2 Hilbert-Schmidt Operators
 - Eckart-Young Theorem
- 3 Trace Class Operators
 - von Neumann's Trace Inequality

Definition

V_i normed vector space, $T \in L(V_1, V_2)$ is a compact operator:
 \forall bound set $A \subset V_1$, $T(A) \subset\subset V_2$. We mark that $T \in K(V_1, V_2)$.

Theorem

T is compact $\Leftrightarrow T(B_1[V_1]) \subset\subset V_2$

Proof.

" \Leftarrow ": Let bound set $A \subset B_1(0, r)$, then $T(A) \subset T(B_1(0; r))$
 $= rT(B[V_1]) \subset\subset V_2$ □

Note that $K(V_1, V_2) \subset B(V_1, V_2)$. If V_2 is banach space, we just need to show $T(B_1[V_1])$ is totally bounded.

Theorem

V_2 Banach space, then $K(V_1, V_2)$ is closed sub-space of $B(V_1, V_2)$.

Proof.

Let $T_n \rightarrow T$, $T_n \in K(V_1, V_2) \Leftrightarrow T_n(B[V_1]) \subset\subset V_2 \Leftrightarrow T_n(B[V_1])$ is totally bounded.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists T_n$ s.t. $\|T - T_n\| < \varepsilon/3$. $T_n(B[V_1])$ is totally bounded $\Rightarrow \exists$ finite $\{T_n x_k\}$, $\forall x \in B[V_1]$, $\exists x_j \in B[V_1]$ s.t. $\|T_n x - T_n x_j\|_2 < \varepsilon/3$.

$$\|Tx - Tx_j\|_2 \leq \|Tx - T_n x\|_2 + \|T_n x - T_n x_j\|_2 + \|T_n x_j - Tx_j\|_2$$



Property

$T \in B(V_1, V_2)$, $S \in B(V_2, V_3)$, $ST \in K(V_1, V_3)$ if S or T is compact.

Proof.

If T is compact, $\forall \{x_n\} \subset \text{bound } A$, $\exists T(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow a \in V_2 \Rightarrow ST(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow Sa \in V_3$. □

If V_i infinite-dim normed vector space, let T be a bijection in $B(V_1, V_2)$, then T is not compact since $I = T^{-1}T$ is not compact.

Lemma

$T \in K(V_1, V_2)$, then $\overline{Im(T)}$ is separable.

$T \in B(V_1, V_2)$ s.t. $Rank(T) < \infty \Rightarrow T \in K(V_1, V_2)$.

Proof.

$Im(T) \subset \cup_n T(B(0; n))$ and $\overline{T(B(0; n))}$ is separable $\Rightarrow \overline{Im(T)}$ separable.

$T(B[V_1]) \subset Im(T) \Rightarrow T(B[V_1]) \subset\subset Im(T) \subset V_2$. □

Theorem

$$T \in K(H_1, H_2) \Leftrightarrow \exists T_n \rightarrow T, \text{Rank}(T_n) < \infty.$$

Proof.

" \Rightarrow ": $\overline{\text{Im}(T)}$ is separable, let $\{e_n\}$ is COB of $\overline{\text{Im}(T)}$.

Let $M_k = \text{span}\{e_n, n \leq k\}$, $T_n : H_1 \rightarrow M_k$, $T_k x = P_{M_k}(Tx)$, $\text{Rank}(T_k) < \infty$.

Note that $\|Tx - P_{M_k}Tx\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \forall x \in B[H_1]$ and $T(B[H_1])$ totally bounded, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ finite x_m s.t. $\|Tx - Tx_m\|_2 < \varepsilon/3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - T_k x\|_2 &\leq \|Tx - Tx_m\|_2 + \|Tx_m - T_k x_m\|_2 + \\ \|T_k x_m - T_k x\|_2 &\leq 2\|Tx - Tx_m\|_2 + \|Tx_m - T_k x_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

$T \in K(H_1, H_2) \Leftrightarrow T^* \in K(H_2, H_1)$ since $\|T - T_n\| = \|T^* - T_n^*\|$ and $\text{Rank}(T) = \text{Rank}(T^*)$.

Definition

$T \in B(H)$, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$, then λ is the eigenvalue of T and $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)$ is the eigenspace of λ .

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T\}.$$

Property

$e_i \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda_i I) \setminus \{0\}$, λ_i is distinct and non-zero, then e_i is mutually linear independent. Moreover, if T is self-adjoint, then e_i is mutually orthonormal.

Proof.

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 1/\lambda_i \langle \lambda_i e_i, e_j \rangle = 1/\lambda_i \langle e_i, \lambda_j e_j \rangle = \lambda_j/\lambda_i \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \quad \square$$

Theorem

$T \in K(H)$, $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)$ is finite-dimensional for $\lambda \neq 0$.
 $T \in K(H)$, $\sigma_p(T)/\{0\}$ is countable.

Proof.

$\forall x \in B[\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)]$, $\lambda x = Tx \in T(B[H]) \Rightarrow$
 $\lambda B[\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)] \subset T(B[H]) \Rightarrow \lambda B[\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)] \subset\subset H$.

We firstly proof $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $A_\varepsilon = \sigma_p(T)/(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is finite. If not, we choose $x_n \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda_n I)/\{0\}$, $\lambda_n \in A_\varepsilon$, use GS to $\{x_n\}$ and get a mutually orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\} \subset B[H]$.

$\{Te_n\}$ has no convergent subsequence since $\|Te_n - Te_m\|^2 = \lambda_n^2 + \lambda_m^2 \geq 2\varepsilon^2$. Contradiction. (Let ε be rational) \square

Lemma

If $T \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint, then $\|T\|$ or $-\|T\| \in \sigma_p(T)$.

Proof.

Let $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow \|T\|$, $\|x_n\| = 1$, $\|T^2x_n - \|T\|^2x_n\|^2 \leq 2\|T\|^4 - 2\langle T^2x_n, \|T\|^2x_n \rangle = 2\|T\|^4 - 2\|T\|^2\|Tx_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Then $(T - \|T\|I)(T + \|T\|I)x_n \rightarrow 0$.

If $\|T\|$ and $-\|T\|$ are both not in $\sigma_p(T) \Rightarrow \text{Ker}(T - \|T\|I) = \text{Ker}(T + \|T\|I) = \{0\} \Rightarrow x_n = (T + \|T\|I)^{-1}(T - \|T\|I)^{-1}(T - \|T\|I)(T + \|T\|I)x_n \rightarrow 0$, contradiction. \square

T self-adjoint, let $m = \inf R_T(x)$, $M = \sup R_T(x)$. It's easy to show that $\sigma_p(T) \subset [m, M] \subset [-\|T\|, \|T\|]$ and $\sigma_p(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover, T is compact, then $\sigma_p(T)$ is countable. If $\sigma_p(T)$ is infinite set, we can easily show that $0 \in \overline{\sigma_p(T)}$.

Let $T \neq 0$, let $\{a_i\} = \sigma_p(T) \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $|a_i| > |a_{i+1}|$, and $\{x_{ik_i}\}$ is a finite basis of $\text{Ker}(T - a_i I)$.

Define mutually orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}$ from $\cup_i \{x_{ik_i}\}$, λ_n is the eigenvalue of e_n then $|\lambda_n| \geq |\lambda_{n+1}| \rightarrow 0$. We call (λ_n, e_n) is a spectrum decomposition of T .

Theorem

$$\overline{Im(T)} = \overline{span\{e_n\}} \text{ and } T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n.$$

Proof.

Let $\overline{Im(T)} = \overline{span\{e_n\}} \oplus N$. $\forall x \in N$, $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle = 0$,
 $\forall y \in \overline{span\{e_n\}} \Rightarrow T(N) \subset N \Rightarrow T|_N$ is self-adjoint $\Rightarrow \pm ||T|_N||$
 $\in \sigma_p(T|_N) = \{0\} \Rightarrow T|_N = 0 \Rightarrow N \subset Ker(T)$.

Note that $H = Ker(T) \oplus \overline{Im(T)} \Rightarrow N = 0$.

$$Tx = \sum_n \langle Tx, e_n \rangle e_n = \sum_n \langle x, \lambda_n e_n \rangle e_n = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n x.$$



Corollary

If T self-adjoint and compact, then T^k is compact and corresponding eigenvalue-eigenvector is (e_n, λ_n^k) .

Moreover, $T \gg 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_p(T) \subset [0, \infty]$, and $T^{1/2}$ is compact and corresponding eigenvalue-eigenvector is $(e_n, \lambda_n^{1/2})$.

Theorem

$T \in K(H)$ is self-adjoint. $M_{k-1} = \text{span}\{e_n, n \leq k-1\}$, then $|\lambda_k| = \|T|_{M_{k-1}^\perp}\| = \max_{x \in M_{k-1}^\perp} |R_T(x)|$.

Moreover, if $T \gg 0$, sub-space $N_k \subset \overline{\text{Im}(T)}$, $\dim(N_k) = k$, $\lambda_k = \min_{N_{k-1}} \max_{x \in N_k^\perp} R_T(x) = \max_{N_k} \min_{x \in N_k} R_T(x)$.

Proof.

If $N_{k-1}^\perp \cap M_{k-1} \neq \{0\}$, take $x \in N_{k-1}^\perp \cap M_{k-1}$ and $\|x\| = 1$, $R_T(x) = \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle, T(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i \langle x, e_i \rangle^2 \geq \lambda_k$.

$$N_k \cap M_k^\perp \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow R_T(x) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i^2 \leq \lambda_k. \quad \square$$

Corollary

$T, G \in K(H)$ and $T, G \gg 0$, $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\phi_n\}$ corresponding eigenvalue, then $\sup_n |\lambda_n - \phi_n| \leq \|T - G\|$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| = 1, R_T(x) &= \langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle Gx, x \rangle + \langle (T - G)x, x \rangle \\ &= R_G(x) + R_{T-G}(x) \Rightarrow \lambda_n \leq \phi_n + \|T - G\|. \end{aligned}$$



Theorem

$T \in K(H_1, H_2)$, then $\sigma_p(T^*T) = \sigma_p(TT^*)$.

Proof.

We know that $T^*T \in K(H_2)$ and $TT^* \in K(H_1)$ and they are both $\gg 0$. Let (λ_n^2, e_n) be the eigenvalue-eigenvector of T^*T , $TT^*(Te_n) = \lambda_n^2 Te_n \Rightarrow \sigma(T^*T) \subset \sigma(TT^*) \Rightarrow \sigma(T^*T) = \sigma(TT^*)$. and $\|Te_n\| = \sqrt{\langle Te_n, Te_n \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle e_n, T^*Te_n \rangle} = \lambda_n$ ($\lambda_n > 0$). \square

$(\lambda_n^2, Te_n/\lambda_n)$ is the eigenvalue-eigenvector of TT^* .

Theorem

$T \in K(H_1, H_2)$, let $e_{1n} = e_n$, $e_{2n} = Te_n/\lambda_n$, then
 $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n}$ and $\|T\| = \lambda_1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\text{span}\{e_n\}} &= \overline{\text{Im}(T^*T)} = \text{Ker}(T^*T)^\perp = \text{Ker}(T)^\perp. \\ \forall x \in H_1, y &= P_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp} x, Tx = Ty = T \sum_n \langle e_n, y \rangle_1 e_n \\ &= \sum_n \langle e_n, x \rangle_1 Te_n = \sum_n (e_n \otimes_1 Te_n)x. \\ \|T\|^2 &= \|T^*T\| = \lambda_1^2.\end{aligned}$$



Example

$A \in M_{p \times q}(R)$, \exists orthonormal matrices $U_{p \times k}$, $V_{k \times q}$ and
 $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $\lambda_i > 0$ s.t. $A = U\Lambda V^T$.

Theorem

$T \in B(H_1, H_2)$, if $\exists \lambda_n \downarrow 0$ and MOS $\{e_{1n}\} \subset H_1$ and $\{e_{2n}\} \subset H_2$, $\overline{\text{span}\{e_{1n}\}} = \text{Ker}(T)^\perp$, $\overline{\text{span}\{e_{2n}\}} = \overline{\text{Im}(T)}$ s.t.
 $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n} \Leftrightarrow T \in K(H_1, H_2)$.

Proof.

" \Rightarrow ": Let $T_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n} \Rightarrow T_k \in K(H_1, H_2)$,
then $\forall x \in H_1$, $\|(T_k - T)x\| = \|\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \lambda_n \langle e_{1n}, x \rangle_1 e_{2n}\| =$
 $\sqrt{\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \lambda_n^2 \langle e_{1n}, x \rangle_1^2} \leq \lambda_{k+1} \|x\|_1 \Rightarrow T \in K(H_1, H_2)$.

" \Leftarrow ": $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n}$, $\lambda_n^2 \in \sigma_p(T^*T) \Rightarrow \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$. And
 $\overline{\text{span}\{e_{2n}\}} = \overline{\text{Im}(TT^*)} = \text{Ker}(TT^*)^\perp = \text{Ker}(T^*)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im}(T)}$.



$T \in K(H_1, H_2)$ and the svd of T is $\sum_n \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n}$, then $T^\dagger = \sum_n e_{2n} \otimes_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} T^\dagger : Im(T) + Im(T)^\perp &\rightarrow Ker(T)^\perp, \forall y \in Im(T) + Im(T)^\perp, \\ \text{let } y_1 \text{ is the part of } y \text{ in } Im(T), T^\dagger y &= T^\dagger y_1 = \sum_n \langle T^\dagger y_1, e_{1n} \rangle_1 e_{1n} \\ &= \sum_n \langle T^\dagger y_1, T^* T e_{1n} / \lambda_n \rangle_1 e_{1n} / \lambda_n = \sum_n \langle T T^\dagger y_1, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n \\ &= \sum_n \langle P_{\overline{Im(T)}} y_1, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n = \sum_n \langle y_1, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n = \\ \sum_n \langle y, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n &= (\sum_n e_{2n} \otimes_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n) y. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary

$T \in K(H_1, H_2)$, if H_i is infinite-dim, then $Im(T)$ is not closed.

Proof.

Noticed that T isn't invertible, svd of T : $\sum_n \lambda_n e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n}$.

If $Im(T)$ is closed, let $y = \sum_k \lambda_{n_k} e_{2n_k}$, $\lambda_{n_k} \leq k^{-4}$, then $y \in Im(T)$.

$\|T^\dagger y\|_1^2 = \|\sum_n \langle y, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{1n} / \lambda_n\|_1^2 = \|\sum_k e_{1n_k}\|_1^2 = \infty \Rightarrow T^\dagger y$ doesn't exist, contradiction. □

Lemma

$T_1, T_2 \in B(H_1, H_2)$, H_i is separable Hilbert space, which COB is $\{e_{in}\}$, then $\sum_n \langle T_1 e_{1n}, T_2 e_{1n} \rangle_2 = \sum_m \langle T_1^* e_{2m}, T_2^* e_{2m} \rangle_1$ if one of them exists.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \langle T_1 e_{1n}, T_2 e_{1n} \rangle_2 &= \sum_n \langle T_1 e_{1n}, \sum_m \langle T_2 e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 e_{2m} \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_n \sum_m \langle T_1 e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 \langle T_2 e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_n \sum_m \langle e_{1n}, T_1^* e_{2m} \rangle_1 \langle e_{1n}, T_2^* e_{2m} \rangle_1 = \sum_m \langle T_1^* e_{2m}, T_2^* e_{2m} \rangle_1 \end{aligned}$$



If $\sum_n (\|T_1 e_{1n}\|_2^2 + \|T_2 e_{1n}\|_2^2) < \infty$, then $\sum_n \langle T_1 e_{1n}, T_2 e_{1n} \rangle_2$ exist.

Definition

$T \in B(H_1, H_2)$, if $\sum_n \|Te_{1n}\|_2^2 := \|T\|_{HS}^2 < \infty$, we call T a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. We mark that $T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$.

Property

$B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$ normed vector space. If $T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$, $\sum_n \|Te_{1n}\|_2^2 = \sum_n \|T^*e_{2n}\|_1^2$, then $T^* \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$.

It also means that $\|T\|_{HS}$ doesn't depend on the COB of H_i .

Theorem

$$B_{HS}(H_1, H_2) \subset K(H_1, H_2).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2), \text{ define } T_n x &= \sum_{k \leq n} \langle Tx, e_{2k} \rangle_2 e_{2k}, \\ \forall x \in B[H_1], \|Tx - T_n x\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k > n} \langle Tx, e_{2k} \rangle_2^2 \leq \sum_{k > n} \langle x, T^* e_{2k} \rangle_1^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k > n} \|T^* e_{2k}\|_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition

Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{HS}$: $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{HS} = \sum_n \langle T_1 e_{1n}, T_2 e_{1n} \rangle_2$

Without loss of generation, let $\{e_{1n}\}$ be the eigenvector of T^*T , and make a complement to get a COB of H_1 , then

$$\|T\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_n \|Te_{1n}\|_2^2 = \sum_n \lambda_n^2$$

Theorem

$B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$ is separable Hilbert space and $\{e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m}\}$ is a COB of $B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\forall T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2), Tx &= \sum_m \langle T \sum_n \langle x, e_{1n} \rangle_1 e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 e_{2m} \\ &= \sum_{n,m} \langle x, e_{1n} \rangle_1 \langle T e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 e_{2m} = \sum_{n,m} a_{nm} (e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m}) x, \\ a_{nm} &= \langle T e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle_2 \Rightarrow T = \sum_{n,m} a_{nm} (e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Noticed that } \langle e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m}, e_{1j} \otimes_1 e_{2k} \rangle_{HS} &= \delta_{nj} \langle e_{2m}, e_{2k} \rangle_2 \Rightarrow \\ \|T\|_{HS} &= \sum_{n,m} a_{nm}^2 < \infty \Rightarrow B_{HS}(H_1, H_2) \cong l^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\langle T, e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m} \rangle_{HS} = 0 \forall n, m$, then $\langle T e_{1n}, e_{2m} \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow T e_{1n} = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0$, then $\{e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2m}\}$ is a COB of $B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$.



Theorem

$T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$ with $(\lambda_n, e_{1n}, e_{2n})$. $\forall G \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$, $\text{Rank}(G) \leq n$, $\|T - G\|_{HS} \geq \|T - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e_{1k} \otimes_1 e_{2k}\|_{HS}$.

Note that $\|T - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e_{1k} \otimes_1 e_{2k}\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^2$.

$\exists \{x_k\}, \{y_k\}$ MOS s.t. $G = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k (x_k \otimes_1 y_k)$.

$\|G\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{k \leq n} \sum_j \|\mu_k \langle x_k, e_{1j} \rangle_1 y_k\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \leq n} \mu_k^2$.

$\langle T, \mu_k (x_k \otimes_1 y_k) \rangle_{HS} = \mu_k \sum_j \langle T e_{1j}, \langle x_k, e_{1j} \rangle_1 y_k \rangle_2 = \mu_k \langle x_k, T^* y_k \rangle_1$
 $\mu_k^2 - 2\mu_k \langle x_k, T^* y_k \rangle_2 = \|\mu_k x_k - T^* y_k\|_1^2 - \|T^* y_k\|_1^2 \geq -\|T^* y_k\|_1^2$

$\|T - G\|_{HS}^2 \geq \|T\|_{HS}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^* y_k\|_1^2$, then we just need to prove that $\sum_{k \leq n} \|T^* y_k\|_1^2 \leq \sum_{k \leq n} \lambda_k^2$.

Lemma

$$\sum_{k \leq n} \|T^* y_k\|_1^2 \leq \sum_{k \leq n} \lambda_k^2.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^* y_k\|_1^2 &= \left\| \sum_m \lambda_m \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2 e_{1m} \right\|_1^2 = \sum_m \lambda_m^2 \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m^2 \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 + \sum_{m>n} \lambda_m^2 \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 - \lambda_n^2 \sum_m \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_n^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^n (\lambda_m^2 - \lambda_n^2) \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 + \lambda_n^2 \\ &\quad \sum_{m,k \leq n} ((\lambda_m^2 - \lambda_n^2) \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 + n \lambda_n^2) \leq \sum_{m \leq n} (\lambda_m^2 - \lambda_n^2) \\ &\quad \sum_{k \leq n} \langle e_{2m}, y_k \rangle_2^2 + n \lambda_n^2 \leq \sum_{m \leq n} \lambda_m^2. \end{aligned}$$



Definition

$T \in B(H_1, H_2)$, H_i separable Hilbert space, then T is a trace class operator if COB $\{e_n\}$ of H_1 , $tr(T) := \sum_n \langle (T^*T)^{1/2} e_n, e_n \rangle_1 = \| (T^*T)^{1/4} \|_{HS}^2 < \infty$.

For a matrix A , $tr(A) = tr((A^T A)^{1/2}) = tr(V^T (A^T A)^{1/2} V)$

Property

If $\text{tr}(T) < \infty$, then $T \in K(H_1, H_2)$.

Proof.

$\{e_{1n}\}$ is the eigen-vector of T^*T and $\{e_{2n}\}$ is TT^* . $\forall x \in H_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}Tx &= \sum_n \langle T \sum_m \langle x, e_{1m} \rangle_1 e_{1m}, e_{2n} \rangle_2 e_{2n} \\&= \sum_{n,m} \langle T e_{1m}, e_{2n} \rangle_2 \langle x, e_{1m} \rangle_1 e_{2n} \\&= \sum_{n,m} \langle T^*T e_{1m}, e_{1n} \rangle_1 / \lambda_n (e_{1m} \otimes_1 e_{2n}) x \\&= \sum_{n,m} \langle T^*T e_{1m}, e_{1n} \rangle_1 (e_{1m} \otimes_1 e_{2n}) x \\&= \sum_n \langle (T^*T)^{1/2} e_{1n}, e_{1n} \rangle_1 (e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n}) x\end{aligned}$$

Then $T = \sum_n \lambda_n (e_{1n} \otimes_1 e_{2n})$. □

Property

$tr(T) = \sum_n \lambda_n$, λ_n is singular value of T .

Proof.

$$tr(T) = \langle (T^*T)^{1/2} e_n, e_n \rangle_1 = \sum_n \lambda_n. \quad \square$$

If $T \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$ since $\|T\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_n \lambda_n^2 \leq \lambda_1 tr(T)$.

Let $B_T(H_1, H_2)$ denote the operator which trace is finite. We now can conclude that:

$$B_T(H_1, H_2) \subset B_{HS}(H_1, H_2) \subset K(H_1, H_2) \subset B(H_1, H_2)$$

Theorem

$T, G \in B_{HS}(H_1, H_2)$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\phi_n\}$ are corresponding singular values, then $\sum_n (\lambda_n - \phi_n)^2 \leq \text{tr}((T - G)^*(T - G))$.

Proof.

$$\text{tr}((T - G)^*(T - G)) = \text{tr}(T^*T) + \text{tr}(G^*G) - 2\text{tr}(G^*T) = \sum_n (\lambda_n^2 + \phi_n^2) - 2\langle Te_{1n}^T, Ge_{1n}^T \rangle_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Te_{1n}^T, Ge_{1n}^T \rangle_2 &= \lambda_n \langle e_{2n}^T, Ge_{1n}^T \rangle_2 = \lambda_n \langle e_{2n}^T, \sum_m \langle Ge_{1n}^T, e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_m \lambda_n \langle e_{2n}^T, \langle e_{1n}^T, G^* e_{2m}^G \rangle_1 e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_m \lambda_n \langle e_{2n}^T, \langle e_{1n}^T, \phi_m e_{1m}^G \rangle_1 e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 = \lambda_n \phi_m \langle e_{2n}^T, e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 \langle e_{1n}^T, e_{1m}^G \rangle_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } \sum_{n,m} \lambda_n \phi_m \langle e_{2n}^T, e_{2m}^G \rangle_2 \langle e_{1n}^T, e_{1m}^G \rangle_1 \leq \sum_n \lambda_n \phi_n \quad \square$$