

# HOMEWORK 5B: Extra Dimensions (Optional)

COURSE: Physics 231, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (2018)

INSTRUCTOR: Professor Flip Tanedo ([flip.tanedo@ucr.edu](mailto:flip.tanedo@ucr.edu))

DUE BY: Friday, Dec 7

This homework is encouraged, but optional.

## 1 The Wave Equation in (3+1) Dimensions

We solve for the Green's function of wave equation for electromagnetism:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \qquad \left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (1.1)$$

We make the speed of light,  $c$ , explicit and have chosen **Lorentz gauge** and are working in vacuum,  $\varepsilon_0 = \mu_0 = 1$ . You should recognize all the physical quantities here. Use spacetime coordinates such that  $x = (ct, \mathbf{r})$ . Define the 4-vector potential  $A_\mu(x) = (\varphi(x), \mathbf{A}(x))$ , where  $x$  is a point in Minkowski space. Let  $G(x, x')$  be the Green's function for each component of  $A_\mu$ . This means that the potential is given by

$$A_\mu(x) = \int d^4x' G(x, x') j_\mu(x') . \quad (1.2)$$

Make sure you're comfortable with the indices<sup>1</sup>.

In comparison to what we did in lecture, we'll keep factors of  $c$  explicit and will write out the space and time components separately rather than writing  $\partial^2 = \partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2 - \partial_z^2$ .

### 1.1 Fourier Transform

The Green's function equation for each component is

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] G(x, x') = \delta^{(4)}(x - x') \equiv \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \delta(z - z') \delta(t - t') . \quad (1.3)$$

We write the Fourier transform in Minkowski space *covariantly*:

$$\tilde{G}(k, x') = \int d^4x e^{ik \cdot x} G(x, x') \qquad G(x, x') = \int d^4k e^{-ik \cdot x} \tilde{G}(k, x') , \quad (1.4)$$

where we use the notation  $\tilde{d} = d/2\pi$ . Further,  $k = (E/c, \mathbf{k})$  and we recall that  $k \cdot x \equiv k_\mu x^\mu = Et - k_x x - k_y y - k_z z$ . Why is  $k_0$  identified  $E$ ? If you're not sure, wait until later and see what  $E$  is fixed to be.

Solve for  $\tilde{G}(k, x')$ . It should look very similar, perhaps with factors of  $c$  as required by dimensional analysis. Write  $G(x, x')$  as a 4D integral over  $\tilde{G}(k, x')$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Be able to answer the following questions for yourself: Why doesn't  $G(x, x')$  have indices? Why shouldn't it be a 4-component object or a matrix?

HINT: It may be useful to recall that  $\delta^{(4)}(x)$  has the following Fourier transform:

$$\delta^{(4)}(x - x') = \int \tilde{d}^4 k e^{-ik \cdot (x - x')} . \quad (1.5)$$

ANSWER: You will find

$$G(x, x') = \int \tilde{d}^4 k \frac{c^2}{c^2 \mathbf{k}^2 - E^2} e^{-ik \cdot (x - x')} . \quad (1.6)$$

Observe that  $G(x, x') = G(x - x')$ , as we expect from spacetime translation invariance.

## 1.2 Angular integrals in hyper-cylindrical coordinates

For convenience, write  $y \equiv x - x' = (cu, \mathbf{s})$ . This means that  $u$  is a shifted time coordinate and  $\mathbf{s}$  is a shifted spatial 3-vector. Note that  $d^4 x = d^4 y$ . In order to integrate (1.6), use 4D ‘hyper-cylindrical coordinates’ over  $k$  where time/energy is treated linearly and the space/momentum directions are treated in 3D spherical coordinates,  $|\mathbf{k}|$ ,  $\cos \theta$ , and  $\varphi$ . Recall that  $\theta$  is the azimuthal angle with respect to the  $k_z$ -axis. The volume element in these coordinates is

$$d^4 k = dE d^3 \mathbf{k} = |\mathbf{k}|^2 dE d|\mathbf{k}| d\cos \theta d\varphi . \quad (1.7)$$

Since we integrate over all values of  $k^\mu$ , we are free to align our axes however we want. A particularly convenient choice is to align  $k_z$  to be aligned with  $\mathbf{s}$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{s} = ks \cos \theta$ , where it should be understood that  $k$  and  $s$  are magnitudes of the spatial 3-vectors<sup>2</sup>. Then we have

$$-ik \cdot y = iks \cos \theta - iEu . \quad (1.8)$$

Perform the angular integrals in (1.6). Show that this gives

$$G(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^3 s} \int_0^\infty k \sin ks \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{c^2}{c^2 k^2 - E^2} e^{-iEu} dE \right) dk . \quad (1.9)$$

## 1.3 Practical Pole Pushing for Poor Physics People

The  $dE$  integral in parenthesis in (1.9) looks very familiar. We evaluate it using a contour integral. Identify the location of the two poles in the complex  $E$  plane. You’re already an expert on this integral. You have a choice of contours:  $\mathcal{C}_+$  in the upper half plane and  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_-$  in the lower half plane. These are parameterized by:

$$\mathcal{C}_+ : \quad z = Re^{i\theta} \quad 0 < \theta < \pi \quad (1.10)$$

$$-\mathcal{C}_- : \quad z = Re^{i\theta} \quad \pi < \theta < 2\pi , \quad (1.11)$$

where in each case we take  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Comment on which contour one should choose depending on the sign of  $u \equiv t - t'$ .

Now we have to pick a prescription for how to navigate the poles. There are two physically-motivated choices: one can push the poles into the upper half plane and into the area enclosed by  $\mathcal{C}_+$ , or one can push the poles into the lower half plane and into the area enclosed by  $-\mathcal{C}_-$ . Which one corresponds to the causal case? Perform the  $dE$  integral for this case.

---

<sup>2</sup>That is, from now on I write  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ . There should not be any ambiguity with the 4-vector,  $k^2 = E^2/c^2 - \mathbf{k}^2$ , which no longer shows up in our expressions.

## 1.4 The Green's Function

You now have  $G(y)$  as a single integral over  $dk$ . Go ahead and perform this integral.

HINTS: Use, once again, the fact that  $\int e^{ikx} dk = 2\pi\delta(x)$ . The most straightforward calculation involves writing the solution to the  $dE$  integral as a sum of exponentials rather than a sine.

INTERMEDIATE STEP: It is useful to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-e^{-i(E/c)(cu)}}{[(E/c) - k - i\varepsilon][(E/c) + k - i\varepsilon]} dE = \frac{-i\pi c}{k} (e^{icku} - e^{-icku}) . \quad (1.12)$$

It may be useful to define a variable  $\mathcal{E} = E/c$ .] Another useful tip is to use

$$\int_0^{\infty} (e^{ikX} + e^{-ikX}) dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikX} dk = 2\pi\delta(X) . \quad (1.13)$$

INTERMEDIATE STEP: Writing  $s = |\mathbf{s}|$ , you should find:

$$G^{(r)}(y) = \frac{c}{4\pi r} \begin{cases} \delta(s - cu) - \delta(s + cu) & \text{if } u = t - t' \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = t - t' < 0 . \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

Then observe that the radial coordinate  $s \geq 0$  so that one of the  $\delta$  functions in each expression will always be zero.

ANSWER: Taking  $x' = 0$  so that  $u = t$  and  $s = r$ , the final expression is:

$$G^{(r)}(x) = \frac{c}{4\pi r} \delta(r - ct) \Theta(t) . \quad (1.15)$$

The physical interpretation is that the Green's function solves the electromagnetic wave equation for a 'blip' impulse at  $t = 0$  and  $\mathbf{r} = 0$ .

## 2 The Wave Equation in Flatland

There's a fantastic novella written in 1884 called *Flatland: A Romance of Many Dimensions*<sup>3</sup>. It is a story about a 2+1 dimensional universe that doubled as a social commentary. In this problem, we explore electromagnetic waves in such a universe.

NOTE: We walked through most of this problem in Lecture 20, feel free to follow along with the lecture notes.

We follow the same procedure as the previous problem.

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (2.1)$$

The Green's function for each component is:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \right] G(x, x') = \delta^{(3)}(x - x') \equiv \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \delta(t - t') . \quad (2.2)$$

---

<sup>3</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flatland>; see also <http://www.geom.uiuc.edu/~banchoff/Flatland/>

## 2.1 Fourier Transform

Solve for the Green's function using a Fourier transform,

$$\tilde{G}(k, x') = \int d^3x e^{ik \cdot x} G(x, x') \quad G(x, x') = \int \tilde{d}^3k e^{-ik \cdot x} \tilde{G}(k, x') , \quad (2.3)$$

where continue to use the notation  $\tilde{d} = d/2\pi$ . Further,  $k = (E/c, \mathbf{k})$  and we recall that  $k \cdot x \equiv k_\mu x^\mu = Et - k_x x - k_y y$ . Also note the  $\delta$  function in 3-space:  $\delta^{(3)}(x - x') = \int \tilde{d}^3k e^{-ik \cdot (x - x')}$ .

ANSWER: It should be no surprise to you at all that you end up with the following:

$$G(x, x') = \int \tilde{d}^3k \frac{c^2}{c^2 \mathbf{k}^2 - E^2} e^{-ik \cdot (x - x')} . \quad (2.4)$$

## 2.2 Something familiar

For convenience, write  $y \equiv x - x' = (cu, \mathbf{s})$ . Check that it is still true that

$$-ik \cdot y = iks \cos \theta - iEu . \quad (2.5)$$

Unlike Problem 1, however, our integration measure is

$$d^3k = dE d^2\mathbf{k} = |\mathbf{k}| dE d|\mathbf{k}| d\theta . \quad (2.6)$$

WARNING: At this stage, you have plenty of options for which integral to do first. Mathematically, it doesn't matter which order you do them—but some paths have more tedious integrals than others. I'm going to give hints for doing the integrals in the following order:  $dE$ ,  $d|\mathbf{k}|$ ,  $d\theta$ .

Go ahead and perform the  $dE$  integral for the case of the retarded Green's function. HINT: hey, haven't you done this *exact* integral before?

ANSWER:

$$\int dE \frac{e^{-iEt}}{c|\mathbf{k}|^2 - E^2} = \frac{-i\pi}{c|\mathbf{k}|} (e^{ic|\mathbf{k}|t} - e^{-ic|\mathbf{k}|t}) . \quad (2.7)$$

COMMENT: At this point, you might want to make a mental note of where  $i\varepsilon$ 's would be if we were keeping track of them.

## 2.3 The $d|\mathbf{k}|$ integral

Now perform the  $d\theta$  integral. I suggest doing this the following way: write the result of (2.7) into a sine function. Then use the relation

$$\int_0^\infty e^{iAk} \sin(ckt) dk = \frac{ct}{-A^2 + c^2t^2} . \quad (2.8)$$

REMARK: This is a slightly mathematically dubious step which is okay if we judiciously kept track of the  $i\varepsilon$ . Specifically, this only holds when  $t > r/|\cos \theta|$ . We'll be sloppy about this for now, we'll see the actual constraints come out more cleanly below.

ANSWER: You will find:

$$G(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\theta \frac{ct}{c^2t^2 - r^2 \cos^2 \theta} . \quad (2.9)$$

## 2.4 The angular integral

A useful trick here is to use

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{1 - B \cos^2 \theta} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - B}} . \quad (2.10)$$

This only holds when  $B < 1$ .

ANSWER: You will find

$$G(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 t^2 - s^2}} , \quad (2.11)$$

with the caveat that  $c^2 t^2 > s^2$ . Convince yourself that this makes sense from the point of view of causality.

## 2.5 Dimensional Reduction

The result (2.11) solves the problem. There's actually a much nicer way to get the lower-dimensional Green's function from a higher-dimensional Green's function.

Prove that

$$G(x, y, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{(3)}(x, y, z, t) dz , \quad (2.12)$$

where  $G_{(3)}$  is the (3+1)-dimensional Green's function, (1.15). In other words: one can derive the (2+1)-dimensional Green's function by integrating over the third dimension.

We prove this result without using the explicit form of  $G_{(3)}(x, y, z, t)$ , just the defining relation (1.3). Write the right-hand side of (2.12) as a Fourier transform, then do the  $dz$  integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz G_{(3)}(x, y, z, t) dz = \int d^4 k \left[ dz e^{ikz} \tilde{G}_{(3)}(E, k_x, k_y, k_z) \right] e^{-iEt} e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} . \quad (2.13)$$

The  $dz$  integral is just an inverse Fourier transform that gives  $2\pi\delta(k_z)$ . Perform the  $k_z$  integral to write the right-hand side of (2.12) in the form of a (2+1)-dimensional Fourier transform:  $\int d^3 k \tilde{\mathbf{g}} e^{-iEt} e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}$ .

Writing  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  as the Fourier transform of the right-hand side of (2.12), show that (1.3) implies

$$(E^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2) \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = 1 , \quad (2.14)$$

thus proving that  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  is the Fourier transform of the (2+1)-dimensional Green's function.

## 2.6 Dimensional Reduction: explicit result

For the retarded Green's function in (3+1)-dimensions, we found

$$G_{(3)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{c}{4\pi r} \delta(r - ct) \quad \text{for } t > 0 , \quad (2.15)$$

and zero for  $t < 0$ . Integrate this expression over  $dz$  to obtain the (2+1)-dimensional Green's function,  $G(x, y, t)$ ,

$$G(x, y, t) = \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \delta(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - ct) dz . \quad (2.16)$$

HINT: you have one integral to do, but fortunately you have a  $\delta$ -function to do it. The only problem is that the  $\delta$  function is of the form  $\delta(f(z))$ . One way to solve this is to do a change of variables to  $w = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$  so that the  $\delta$ -function is of the form  $\delta(w - ct)$ . Doing this more generally gives a convenient rule,

$$\delta(f(z)) = \sum_{z_i} \frac{1}{|f'(z_i)|} \delta(z - z_i) \quad z_i \text{ such that } f(z_i) = 0 . \quad (2.17)$$

ANSWER: The retarded Green's function is:

$$G(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 t^2 - x^2 - y^2}} & \text{if } c^2 t^2 > x^2 + y^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \quad (2.18)$$

## 2.7 Discussion: The Odd Electrodynamics of Flatland

Sketch  $G(r, t)$  as a function of  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  for two values of  $t$ . Compare this to the corresponding plot for  $G_{(3)}(r, t)$ .

Think about how strange this lower-dimensional universe is! In our universe, if you used a flash camera in the dark, the each part of the room would light up for a brief instant corresponding to when the photons from the flash reaches each part of the room. In this lower-dimensional universe,  $G(r, t)$  is telling us that the entire room remains illuminated for an *infinite* amount of time with a rapidly decreasing (but never strictly zero) intensity.

## Extra Credit

These problems are not graded and are for your edification. You are strongly encouraged to explore and discuss these topics, especially if they are in a field of interest to you.

### 1 Invariance of the Green's Function

#### 1.1 Invariance of that funny $\delta$ -function

In Problem 1, you might be concerned about is the covariance of the  $\delta$ -function with respect to Lorentz transformations. The Green's function  $G(x)$  is supposed to be invariant under Lorentz transformations. However, the argument of  $\delta(ct - r)$  does not appear to Lorentz invariant. As a reminder, under a Lorentz transformation  $x^\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$  (this is matrix multiplication). However, the scalar quantity  $x^2 = x_\mu x^\mu$  remains unchanged (invariant).

Show that the Green's function is indeed invariant.

HINT: Recall that the following rule for  $\delta$ -functions:

$$\delta(g(x)) = \sum_{x_i} \frac{\delta(x - x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|} \quad g(x_i) = 0 . \quad (1.1)$$

Writing  $x = (ct, \mathbf{r})$ , show that

$$\delta(x^2) = \frac{1}{2r} [\delta(r - ct) - \delta(r + ct)] . \quad (1.2)$$

Compare this to (1.14).

ANSWER: You will find that

$$G^{(r)}(x) = \frac{c}{2\pi} \delta(x^2) \Theta(t) \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Theta(t)$  is the Heaviside step function. Argue that even though  $t = x^0$  is itself not invariant,  $\Theta(t)$  is invariant under any *physical* Lorentz transformation.

## 1.2 Discussion

It turns out that when calculating matrix elements (amplitudes) for quantum mechanical scattering a relativistic particle of mass  $m$  with four-momentum  $p = (E, \mathbf{p})$ , you often end up with expressions in momentum space where an integrand  $f(p)$  needs to be integrated over phase space in a way where the on-shell conditions are fixed:

$$p^2 = E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 = m^2 \quad E > 0 . \quad (1.4)$$

In other words: you have an integral over the four directions in momentum space, but ‘physicality’ imposes a constraint. You should be dreaming of Lagrange multipliers<sup>4</sup>.

What one ends up doing is writing down integrals of the form

$$\int d^4p \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(E) f(p) . \quad (1.5)$$

This says: *integrate over all of the phase space for  $p^\mu$ , but restrict it to positive energies and to 4-momenta that satisfy the  $p^2 = m^2$ .*

Writing  $E(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$ , show that

$$\int d^4p \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(E) f(p) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{2E(\mathbf{p})} f(E(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p}) . \quad (1.6)$$

This funny-looking differential element  $d^3\mathbf{p}/2E(\mathbf{p})$  doesn't look Lorentz invariant, but we have derived that it is. Note that in the function,  $f$ , we fix  $E$  to be the required value by ‘on-shell-ness.’

---

<sup>4</sup>We won't use Lagrange multipliers, but a generalization of this method where the  $\delta$ -function is promoted to a Lagrange multiplier shows up in gauge theory and is called the Fadeev-Popov procedure.

## 2 Dimensional Regularization

The Euler  $\Gamma$  function is a meromorphic generalization of the factorial,

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx . \quad (2.1)$$

For positive integers,  $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ . A fact that shows up occasionally in physics that area of the unit sphere in  $d$  dimensions is

$$S_d = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} . \quad (2.2)$$

There's always ambiguity (to me at least) when people say things like “ $d$ -sphere” ... is this a sphere embedded in  $d$  dimensions whose area has units  $L^{d-1}$ , or is this the sphere embedded in  $(d+1)$  dimension whose area has units  $L^d$ ? What is the correct interpretation of (2.2)? What is the area of the  $d$ -sphere of radius  $r$ ? What is the [hyper]-volume enclosed by this sphere? (This is discussed in Cahill's textbook, around exercise 4.12.)

In physics, one often has to deal with quantifying and understanding apparent divergences in our calculations<sup>5</sup>. A systematic treatment of how to do this is usually buried deep in a course of quantum field theory, where there is a danger of confusing the physics from the mathematical techniques. In this problem we explore one such technique called **dimensional regularization** in a very simple electrostatic system.

Consider the case of an infinite line with constant charge density  $\lambda$ . (This should remind you of the dimensional reduction in Problem 3!) Pick coordinates such that the charged line is along the  $y$ -axis, and the observer is on the  $x$ -axis<sup>6</sup>. Observe that the potential (an integral over the Green's function!) at  $x$  is

$$V(x) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} . \quad (2.3)$$

Note that this integral is divergent<sup>7</sup>. What does this mean and how do we make sense of quantities like  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$  in this case? As physicists, we deal with infinite quantities by **regulating** them: we *quantify* the infinity by some parameter which allows us to compute finite physical quantities like  $\mathbf{E}$  and check that those physical quantities are independent of the regulator.

Regulate the  $dy$  integral (2.3) by converting the one-dimensional integral into a  $(1-2\epsilon)$ -dimensional integral, where  $\epsilon$  is a small number. Don't stop to ask what this means<sup>8</sup>. This means we write:

$$V(x) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty dy d\Omega_{(1-2\epsilon)} \frac{y^{-2\epsilon}}{\mu^{-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} , \quad (2.4)$$

<sup>5</sup>These non-analytic results are the sign of our theory trying to tell us something.

<sup>6</sup>By rotational symmetry  $x$  may as well be the radial cylindrical coordinate,  $\rho$ .

<sup>7</sup>The expression is also scale invariant:  $V(x) = V(kx)$ . Think about what this means physically: an infinite line charge looks the same when you're close to it versus when you are far from it. This makes sense: you have no other length scale to compare to—thus by dimensional analysis, you have no basis to even use the words ‘close’ or ‘far.’

<sup>8</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ItV8ute1Ylc>. You can (and should) think about what this ‘slightly less than one dimension’ means after you see the end result.



where  $d\Omega_{(1-2\epsilon)}$  is the differential area of the unit sphere in  $(1 - 2\epsilon)$  dimensions, the factor of  $y^{-2\epsilon}$  is inserted to give the right measure for a  $(1 - 2\epsilon)$ -dimensional volume, and some *arbitrary* dimensionful parameter  $\mu$  has been introduced to make units work out<sup>9</sup>.

(a) Show that this integral evaluates to

$$V(x) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{x^{2\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{\pi^\epsilon} . \quad (2.5)$$

(b) Confirm that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $V(x)$  becomes ill defined. HINT: Recall from  $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$  that  $\Gamma(z)$  has a simple pole at the origin.

(c) Calculate the electric field in the  $x$  direction;  $E_x = -\partial_x V(x)$  and show that

$$E_x = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2\epsilon\mu^{2\epsilon}\Gamma(\epsilon)}{\pi^\epsilon x^{1+2\epsilon}} \right] . \quad (2.6)$$

(d) Take the  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit and observe that  $E_x = \lambda/2\pi x$ , which is independent of the arbitrary scale  $\mu$  and behaves the way that one expects.

HINT: Use the relation

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{y^{n-1} dy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1-n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} x^{n-1} . \quad (2.7)$$

This problem is worked out in <http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.3578>; you are strongly encouraged to read and think carefully about the entire article.

---

<sup>9</sup>I am using units such that  $[V] = [\lambda]$ . You can put in  $\epsilon_0$  as appropriate, if you really wish.