

hw4_project

February 6, 2026

```
[1]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import statsmodels.api as sm
from scipy.stats import norm
```

```
[2]: insurance = pd.read_csv("../datasets/insurance.csv")
insurance.head()
```

```
[2]:    age      sex      bmi  children smoker      region      charges
 0   19  female  27.900        0     yes  southwest  16884.92400
 1   18     male  33.770        1     no  southeast  1725.55230
 2   28     male  33.000        3     no  southeast  4449.46200
 3   33     male  22.705        0     no northwest  21984.47061
 4   32     male  28.880        0     no northwest  3866.85520
```

1 1

```
[3]: # continuous predictor variables only
X = insurance[['age', 'bmi', 'children']]
y = insurance['charges']

X = sm.add_constant(X)
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:      charges      R-squared:       0.120
Model:              OLS          Adj. R-squared:  0.118
Method:             Least Squares  F-statistic:    60.69
Date:              Fri, 06 Feb 2026  Prob (F-statistic): 8.80e-37
Time:                10:18:53    Log-Likelihood: -14392.
No. Observations:    1338        AIC:            2.879e+04
Df Residuals:       1334        BIC:            2.881e+04
Df Model:                   3
Covariance Type:    nonrobust
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	-6916.2433	1757.480	-3.935	0.000	-1.04e+04	-3468.518
age	239.9945	22.289	10.767	0.000	196.269	283.720
bmi	332.0834	51.310	6.472	0.000	231.425	432.741
children	542.8647	258.241	2.102	0.036	36.261	1049.468
Omnibus:		325.395	Durbin-Watson:			2.012
Prob(Omnibus):		0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):			603.372
Skew:		1.520	Prob(JB):			9.54e-132
Kurtosis:		4.255	Cond. No.			290.

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

We see that the DW score is 2, which doesn't indicate any signs of autocorrelation or nonlinearity. The omnibus, skew, and kurtosis scores are quite high however, indicating non-Gaussian residuals. Overall, however, as noted in the previous project as well, this doesn't indicate an ill-fitted *non-linear* relationship, but rather just an omission of more important predictor variables such as the categorical ones that Lantz analyzes is more important towards the model.

2 2

```
[6]: X = insurance.drop(columns=['charges'])
X = pd.get_dummies(X, drop_first=True).astype(float)
y = insurance['charges']

X = sm.add_constant(X)
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results				
Dep. Variable:	charges	R-squared:	0.751	
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.749	
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	500.8	
Date:	Fri, 06 Feb 2026	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00	
Time:	10:24:23	Log-Likelihood:	-13548.	
No. Observations:	1338	AIC:	2.711e+04	
Df Residuals:	1329	BIC:	2.716e+04	
Df Model:	8			
Covariance Type:	nonrobust			
	coef	std err	t	
0.975]			P> t	[0.025

```

-----
const           -1.194e+04    987.819    -12.086     0.000   -1.39e+04
-1e+04
age            256.8564     11.899     21.587     0.000    233.514
280.199
bmi            339.1935     28.599     11.860     0.000    283.088
395.298
children       475.5005     137.804     3.451      0.001    205.163
745.838
sex_male       -131.3144    332.945    -0.394      0.693   -784.470
521.842
smoker_yes    2.385e+04    413.153     57.723     0.000    2.3e+04
2.47e+04
region_northwest -352.9639   476.276    -0.741      0.459   -1287.298
581.370
region_southeast -1035.0220   478.692    -2.162      0.031   -1974.097
-95.947
region_southwest -960.0510   477.933    -2.009      0.045   -1897.636
-22.466
=====
Omnibus:          300.366   Durbin-Watson:        2.088
Prob(Omnibus):   0.000    Jarque-Bera (JB):    718.887
Skew:             1.211    Prob(JB):          7.86e-157
Kurtosis:         5.651    Cond. No.          311.
=====
```

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

This is a better model including all variables. We can see that only `age`, `bmi`, `chidlren`, and `smoker_yes` are significant predictors. Likewise to Lantz, we can convert `bmi` to a categorical binary predictor instead, indicating 1 for individuals that are obese (`bmi > 30`), and 0 otherwise.

```
[8]: # model with only significant predictors
X = insurance.drop(columns=['charges'])
X = pd.get_dummies(X, drop_first=True).astype(float)
X = X[['age', 'bmi', 'children', 'smoker_yes']]

# convert bmi to categorical variable (obese or not)
X['bmi_obese'] = (X['bmi'] >= 30).astype(float)
X = X.drop(columns=['bmi'])

X = sm.add_constant(X)
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable: charges R-squared: 0.753
Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.753
Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 1018.
Date: Fri, 06 Feb 2026 Prob (F-statistic): 0.00
Time: 10:28:54 Log-Likelihood: -13541.
No. Observations: 1338 AIC: 2.709e+04
Df Residuals: 1333 BIC: 2.712e+04
Df Model: 4
Covariance Type: nonrobust
=====
            coef    std err      t    P>|t|    [0.025    0.975]
-----
const    -4549.7577   531.337   -8.563   0.000   -5592.105   -3507.410
age       260.3762    11.783   22.097   0.000    237.261    283.492
children  475.9851   136.795    3.480   0.001    207.628    744.342
smoker_yes 2.383e+04  408.245   58.366   0.000    2.3e+04   2.46e+04
bmi_obese  4184.2284  331.129   12.636   0.000    3534.637   4833.820
=====
Omnibus: 327.599 Durbin-Watson: 2.092
Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): 831.658
Skew: 1.293 Prob(JB): 2.56e-181
Kurtosis: 5.869 Cond. No. 140.
=====
```

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

This model doesn't really improve anything. We can try again by adding an age² term and interaction between obesity and smoking like Lantz does.

```
[13]: X = insurance.drop(columns=['charges'])
X = pd.get_dummies(X, drop_first=True).astype(float)
X[['age', 'bmi', 'children', 'smoker_yes']]
X['bmi_obese'] = (X['bmi'] >= 30).astype(float)
X = X.drop(columns=['bmi'])
X['age_squared'] = X['age'] ** 2
X['obese_smoker'] = X['bmi_obese'] * X['smoker_yes']
X = sm.add_constant(X)
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable: charges R-squared: 0.864
Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.863
Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 1404.
Date: Fri, 06 Feb 2026 Prob (F-statistic): 0.00
=====
```

```

Time:                      10:33:28    Log-Likelihood:             -13145.
No. Observations:          1338     AIC:                  2.630e+04
Df Residuals:              1331     BIC:                  2.634e+04
Df Model:                  6
Covariance Type:           nonrobust
=====

```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	2237.3818	1083.910	2.064	0.039	111.023	4363.741
age	-24.5114	60.287	-0.407	0.684	-142.779	93.757
children	669.3870	106.688	6.274	0.000	460.092	878.682
smoker_yes	1.339e+04	442.587	30.253	0.000	1.25e+04	1.43e+04
bmi_obese	42.3927	276.907	0.153	0.878	-500.828	585.614
age_squared	3.6643	0.752	4.870	0.000	2.188	5.140
obese_smoker	1.976e+04	608.631	32.465	0.000	1.86e+04	2.1e+04

```

Omnibus:                  880.074   Durbin-Watson:            2.062
Prob(Omnibus):            0.000     Jarque-Bera (JB):       7491.608
Skew:                     3.125     Prob(JB):                0.00
Kurtosis:                 12.763    Cond. No.               1.84e+04
=====
```

Notes:

- [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
- [2] The condition number is large, 1.84e+04. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.

3 3

This is a much better model than our one from project 3. The R² is significantly higher.

Comparing the coefficients:

Intercept: 2237.3818, SE 1083.910, p 0.039

Still significant, albeit with a completely different value. No big change.

Age: 024.5114, SE 60.287, p 0.684

Age has now become a statistically insignificant predictor. This is expected as we now added in an age² term.

We now have additional coefficients such as **smoker_yes**, **bmi_obese**, and **obese_smoker**. These coefficients are all relatively well estimated and statistically significant with the exception of **bmi_obese**.

```

[ ]: X = insurance.drop(columns=['charges'])
y = insurance['charges']
X = pd.get_dummies(X, drop_first=True).astype(float)
X['age_squared'] = X['age'] ** 2
X['bmi_obese'] = (X['bmi'] >= 30).astype(float)

```

```

X['obese_smoker'] = X['bmi_obese'] * X['smoker_yes']
X = sm.add_constant(X)
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())

```

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	charges	R-squared:	0.866		
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.865		
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	781.7		
Date:	Fri, 06 Feb 2026	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00		
Time:	12:25:25	Log-Likelihood:	-13131.		
No. Observations:	1338	AIC:	2.629e+04		
Df Residuals:	1326	BIC:	2.635e+04		
Df Model:	11				
Covariance Type:	nonrobust				
<hr/>					
<hr/>					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025
0.975]					
<hr/>					
const	134.2509	1362.751	0.099	0.922	-2539.132
2807.634					
age	-32.6851	59.824	-0.546	0.585	-150.045
84.675					
bmi	120.0196	34.266	3.503	0.000	52.798
187.241					
children	678.5612	105.883	6.409	0.000	470.844
886.278					
sex_male	-496.8245	244.366	-2.033	0.042	-976.210
-17.438					
smoker_yes	1.34e+04	439.949	30.469	0.000	1.25e+04
1.43e+04					
region_northwest	-279.2038	349.275	-0.799	0.424	-964.395
405.987					
region_southeast	-828.5467	351.635	-2.356	0.019	-1518.369
-138.725					
region_southwest	-1222.6437	350.528	-3.488	0.001	-1910.294
-534.993					
age_squared	3.7316	0.746	5.000	0.000	2.268
5.196					
bmi_obese	-1000.1403	422.840	-2.365	0.018	-1829.649
-170.632					
obese_smoker	1.981e+04	604.657	32.764	0.000	1.86e+04
2.1e+04					
<hr/>					
Omnibus:	890.976	Durbin-Watson:			2.064

Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):	7722.759
Skew:	3.170	Prob(JB):	0.00
Kurtosis:	12.916	Cond. No.	2.34e+04

Notes:

- [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
- [2] The condition number is large, 2.34e+04. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.

We can also generate a model similar to Lantz's final model and find similar results. There are varying degrees of statistical significance between the added coefficients, however overall model performance is the same.

Adding in the `age^2` term and interaction effects between obesity indication and smoking have significantly improved our model compared to just using the continuous predictor variables.

LLM Usage: All work was done by myself in VSCode with [GitHub Copilot integration](#). The integration “provides code suggestions, explanations, and automated implementations based on natural language prompts and existing code context,” and also offers autonomous coding and an in-IDE chat interface that is able to interact with the current codebase. Only the Copilot provided automatic inline suggestions for both LaTex and Python in `.tex` and `.ipynb` Jupyter notebook files respectively were taken into account / used.