

Homework 1

Name: Tanish Makadia

Due: 11 pm, February 10

Collaborators: Garv Gaur and Taj Gillin

- You are strongly encouraged to work in groups, but solutions must be written independently.

Please feel free to use the following laws without proving them:

Let A, B, and C be events. Then, we have

- (Commutative Law) $A \cup B = B \cup A$,
- (Commutative Law) $A \cap B = B \cap A$,
- (Associative Law) $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$,
- (Associative Law) $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$,
- (Distributive law) $(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$,
- (Distributive law) $(A \cap B) \cup C = (A \cup C) \cap (B \cup C)$.
- Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ be a sequence of events, then we have

$$\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right)^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c, \quad \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right)^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c.$$

Problem 1 (Set theory)

Suppose we are interested in a sample space Ω . Please review the following definitions

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists at least one } n' \text{ such that } \omega \in A_{n'} \},$$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$$

1. (0.5 points) We define a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ of events as the following:

$$A_1 = \Omega,$$

$$A_n = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Please prove the following:

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Since $A_1 = \Omega$, we immediately have that $\Omega \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Additionally, we have that for all $A_i \in \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $A_i \subset \Omega$. Thus, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset \Omega$ completing the double inclusion. Therefore, we have proven that $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ as desired. \square

2. Let E_1 and E_2 be two events with $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. We define a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ of events as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= E_1, \\ A_2 &= E_2, \\ A_n &= \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } n = 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Please prove the following:

- (a) (0.5 points) The sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ defined in Eq. (2) is mutually disjoint.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $x \neq y$. The possible combinations of x and y can be expressed with the following cases: (we have that \cap is commutative, so the order of x and y does not matter)

- Case 1 ($x = E_1$ and $y = E_2$): $x \cap y = E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$
- Case 2 ($x = E_1$ and $y = \emptyset$): $x \cap y = E_1 \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$
- Case 3 ($x = E_2$ and $y = \emptyset$): $x \cap y = E_2 \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

Therefore, since $x, y \in \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : x \neq y \implies x \cap y = \emptyset$, we have proven that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is mutually disjoint. \square

- (b) (0.5 points) We have the following identity

$$E_1 \cup E_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where A_1, A_2, \dots are defined in Eq. (2).

Proof. Since $A_1 = E_1$ and $A_2 = E_2$, we have that $E_1 \cup E_2 \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Additionally, for all $A_i \in \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have that $A_i \subset E_1 \cup E_2$. Thus, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset E_1 \cup E_2$, completing the double inclusion. Therefore, we have proven that $E_1 \cup E_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. \square

3. (1 points) Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ = the collection of all real numbers. We define a sequence of events as follows

$$A_n = \left[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{3}$$

Please prove the following identity

$$[0, 1] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots are defined in Eq. (3).

Remark: Please read the following explanation for notations:

$$\left[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \left\{x : x \text{ is a real number such that } 0 \leq x \text{ and } x < 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right\}$$

= the collection of real numbers that are no less than 0 but smaller than $1 + \frac{1}{n}$;

$$[0, 1] = \text{the collection of real numbers that are no less than 0 but no higher than 1}$$

$$= \{x : x \text{ is a real number such that } 0 \leq x \text{ and } x \leq 1\}.$$

Proof. $A_{n+1} \subsetneq A_n$ since $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}] \subsetneq [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}]$. Thus,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}] = [0, 1]$$

□

Problem 2 (Definition of Probability Spaces)

(1 point) Suppose n is a fixed positive integer. We define the pair (Ω, \mathbb{P}) as follows

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- For any $A \subset \Omega$, we define $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{\#A}{n}$, where $\#A$ denotes the number of elements in A .

Please prove that the pair (Ω, \mathbb{P}) defined herein is a probability space.

Proof. We will show that (Ω, \mathbb{P}) is a probability space by proving the following three axioms.

- $\mathbb{P}(A \subset \Omega) \geq 0$: Let $A \subset \Omega$. We have that $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{\#A}{n} \geq 0$ since $\#A \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$.
- $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$: $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \frac{n}{n} = 1$
- Countable Additivity: Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \subset \Omega$ be mutually disjoint events.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m) &= \frac{\#A_1 + \dots + \#A_m}{n} && \text{(definition of } \mathbb{P} \text{)} \\ &= \frac{\#A_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{\#A_m}{n} && \text{(common denominator)} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_1) + \dots + \mathbb{P}(A_m) && \text{(definition of } \mathbb{P} \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 3 (Properties of \mathbb{P})

Let (Ω, \mathbb{P}) be a probability space. Then, we have the following properties

1. (0 point) $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$, i.e., the probability of the impossible event is zero;
2. (0 point) if two events E_1 and E_2 satisfy $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$, we have $\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mathbb{P}(E_1) + \mathbb{P}(E_2)$;
3. (0.5 points) suppose $A, B \subset \Omega$. If $A \subset B$, then $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$;¹

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have that $B = (B \cap A^c) \cup A$ and $(B \cap A^c) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus, $(B \cap A^c)$ and A are additive, which implies that $\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}((B \cap A^c) \cup A) = \mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c) + \mathbb{P}(A)$. Because $\mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c) \geq 0$ by the definition of a probability space, it must be the case that $\mathbb{P}(B) \geq \mathbb{P}(A) \implies \mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$. \square

4. (0.5 points) $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(A) \leq 1$ for any subsets $A \subset \Omega$;

Proof. Let $A \subset \Omega$. Immediately, we have that $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Omega) \implies \mathbb{P}(A) \leq 1$. Since $\emptyset \subset A$, we have that $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) \leq \mathbb{P}(A) \implies 0 \leq \mathbb{P}(A)$. \square

5. (0.5 points) $\mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \Omega$. By the definition of a complement, we have that $A \cup A^c = \Omega$ and $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mathbb{P}(\Omega) && (\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A \cup A^c) && \text{(definition of complement)} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c) && (A \text{ and } A^c \text{ disjoint}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that $\mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$ \square

6. (1 point) for any $A, B \subset \Omega$, we have $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$;

Proof. Let $A, B \in \Omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} A \cup B &= (A \cup B) \cap \Omega && \text{(definition of } \cap) \\ &= (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup A^c) && \text{(definition of complement)} \\ &= A \cup (B \cap A^c) && \text{(distributive law)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$ can be expressed as the probability of the union of two disjoint events.

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cup (B \cap A^c)) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c)$$

Now, we can rewrite $\mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c)$ using a relation derived from $\mathbb{P}(B)$.

¹Hint: If $A \subset B$, we have $B = (B \cap A^c) \cup A$; furthermore, $(B \cap A^c)$ and A are disjoint.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(B) &= \mathbb{P}(B \cap \Omega) && \text{(definition of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(B \cap (A \cup A^c)) && \text{(definition of complement)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}((B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)) && \text{(distributive law)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c) && (\mathbb{P} \text{ is additive})
\end{aligned}$$

Using this relation, we have that $\mathbb{P}(B \cap A^c) = \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$. By substituting this into the first relation, we get $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$ as desired. \square

7. (1 point) for any sequence of subsets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{A_n\}$.²

Proof. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of subsets. We have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^n A_{i_l}\right) \right\}$$

Evidently, the first term of the summation (when $n = 1$) is

$$(-1)^0 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^1 A_{i_l}\right) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$$

Next, we will show that the absolute value of the k th term in the summation is greater than or equal to the $k + 1$ th term.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^k A_{i_l}\right) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k+1} \leq \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^{k+1} A_{i_l}\right)$$

Since the coefficient of the second term in the summation is $(-1)^{2-1} = -1$, and subsequent terms continue alternating signs and decreasing in absolute value, it must be the case that $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{A_n\}$. \square

Properties 1 and 2 were proved in class, and you do not need to prove them in HW 1.

Please prove Properties 3-7 above.

²More precisely, we have the following:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^k A_{i_l}\right) \right\};$$

However, the identity above is not usually used in applications. You do not need to prove this precise identity in HW 1.

Problem 4 (Application of the Probability Properties)

Let (Ω, \mathbb{P}) be a probability space.

1. (1 point) Let A and B are two events. Suppose $B \subset A$. Please prove the following:

$$\mathbb{P}(A^c) \leq \mathbb{P}(B^c).$$

2. (1 point) Let A and B are two events. If $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0.7$ and $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0.6$, what is the smallest possible value of $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$? What is the largest possible value of $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$?
3. (1 point) Let A and B are two events. If $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0.7$ and $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0.6$, what is the smallest possible value of $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$? What is the largest possible value of $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$?