MATH 1530 Problem Set 5

Tanish Makadia (Collaborated with Esmé and Kazuya)

March 2023

Problem 1. How many elements of order 6 are in S_7 ?

Proof. By (Gallian, 5.1), every permutation of a finite set can be expressed as a product of disjoint cycles. Additionally, by (Gallian, 5.3), the order of a permutation in disjoint cycle form is the lcm of lengths of the disjoint cycles.

Let $P = \{s \in S_7 \mid |s| = 6\}$. We must find the cardinality of P. Let $p \in P$. From above, p must have a disjoint cycle form in which the lcm of the disjoint cycle lengths equals 6. Therefore, the disjoint cycle form of p must fall under one of the following cases: (note that the order of the disjoint cycles does not matter since they are commutative)

• Case 1 (lengths: 2,2,3): $p = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(c_1, c_2, c_3)$. In this case, the number of ways to construct p using elements of S_7 is:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{7!}{5! \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{5!}{3! \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{3!}{3} \right) = 210$$

• Case 2 (lengths: 3,2,1,1): $p = (a_1, a_2, a_3)(b_1, b_2)(c_1)(d_1)$. In this case, the number of ways to construct p is:

$$\frac{7!}{4! \cdot 3} \cdot \frac{4!}{2! \cdot 2} = 420$$

• Case 3 (lengths: 6,1): $p = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6)(b_1)$. In this case, the number of ways to construct p is:

$$\frac{7!}{1! \cdot 6} = 840$$

Therefore, the number of elements of order 6 in S_7 is card(P) = 210 + 420 + 840 = 1470.

Problem 2. Let D_4 denote the rigid operations on a square taking the square back to itself (i.e., the symmetries of the square). For example, rotating the square by π is a rigid operation taking the square back to itself. This is called the *dihedral group*, and it is a group under composition.

Label the vertices of the square from 1 to 4. Use this to represent the elements of D_4 a subgroup of S_4 (that is, list the elements of D_4 using cycle notation). What is the order of D_4 ? Is D_4 isomorphic to S_4 ?

Proof. The elements of D_4 are the following permutations:

1.	1 2	$\xrightarrow[e]{\text{identity}}$	1	2
	4 3	C	4	3
2.	$1 \xrightarrow{2}$	$\xrightarrow{\text{horizontal flip}}$	2	1
	4 3	(1,2)(4,3)	3	4
3.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \uparrow & \end{bmatrix}$	vertical flip	4	3
	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$	(1,4)(2,3)	1	2
4.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\xrightarrow{\text{left diagonal flip}}$	1	4
	4 3	(2,4)	2	3
5.	1 2	$\xrightarrow{\text{right diagonal flip}}$	3	2
	4 3	(1,3)	4	1
6.	1 2	$\xrightarrow{\text{clockwise rotation}}$	4	1
	4 3	(1,2,3,4)	3	2
7.	1 2	$\xrightarrow{\text{clockwise rotation } (\text{x2})}$	3	4
	4 3	(1,3)(2,4)	2	1
8.	1 2	$\xrightarrow{\text{clockwise rotation } (x3)}$	2	3
	4 3	(1,4,3,2)	1	4

Evidently, $|D_4| = 8$

Problem 3. Prove that a permutation with odd order must be an even permutation. Show that the converse is false.

Proof. Let p be a permutation such that |p| = n where n is odd. We have that, $p^n = e$. By (Gallian, 5.4), $p = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_r$ where each β_i is a two-cycle. Combining these two equations, we obtain $(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r)^n = e$. For contradiction, suppose r is odd. Thus, we have that

$$\begin{split} e &= (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r)^n \\ &= (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r) \stackrel{n \mathrm{\ times}}{\cdots} (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r) \\ &= \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{nr} \end{split}$$

By lemma 1, πr is odd. Since e must equal the product of an even number of two cycles, this is a contradiction. Therefore, r must be even which implies that p is an even permutation. \square

Lemma 1. The product of two odd integers is odd

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x and y are odd. By the division algorithm, we have that $x = 2b_x + 1$ and $y = 2b_y + 1$ where $b_x, b_y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now consider the product of x and y:

$$x \cdot y = (2b_x + 1) \cdot (2b_y + 1)$$

= $4b_x b_y + 2b_x + 2b_y + 1$
= $2(2b_x b_y + b_x + b_y) + 1$

Therefore, $2 \nmid x \cdot y \implies x \cdot y$ is odd.

Problem 4. Let \mathbb{C} be the complex numbers and

$$\mathsf{M} = \left\{ egin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{a} & -\mathfrak{b} \ \mathfrak{b} & \mathfrak{a} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \ \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{R}
ight\}.$$

prove that \mathbb{C}^* and M^* (the nonzero elements of M), viewed as groups with multiplication, are isomorphic.

Proof. We will prove that the following function is an isomorphism from \mathbb{C}^* to M^* :

$$\phi: \mathbb{C}^* \to M^*
a + bi \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

- Injective: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that u = a + bi and v = c + di where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v) \implies \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \implies a = c \text{ and } b = d \implies u = v.$
- Surjective:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{range}\left(\varphi\right) &= \{\varphi(u) \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \\ &= \{\varphi(\alpha + bi) \mid \alpha, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -b \\ b & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; \alpha, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &= M^* \end{split}$$

• Preserves Group Operation: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that u = a + bi and v = c + di where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u \cdot v) &= \varphi((a + bi) \cdot (c + di)) \\ &= \varphi(ac + adi + bci + bdi^2) \\ &= \varphi((ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ac - bd & -ad - bc \\ ad + bc & ac - bd \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi(u) \cdot \varphi(v) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proven that \mathbb{C}^* and \mathbb{M}^* are isomorphic.

Problem 5. Let G be a group. An isomorphism from G to itself is called an *automorphism* of G. Let $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ denote the set of all automorphisms of G. This is a group under the operation of function composition. Find two groups G and H such that $G \not\approx H$ but $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \approx \operatorname{Aut}(H)$.

Proof. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and let $H = \mathbb{Z}_4$. We will now determine Aut(G) and Aut(H).

• Aut(G): Let $k \in G$ and let $\alpha \in Aut(G)$. We have that

$$\alpha(k) = \alpha(1 + \frac{k \text{ times}}{\cdots} + 1)$$

$$= \alpha(1) + \frac{k \text{ times}}{\cdots} + \alpha(1)$$

$$= k\alpha(1)$$

Therefore, the number of distinct automorphisms in Aut(G) is equal to the number of distinct elements that 1 can be mapped to. Since

$$(\mathbb{Z},+) = \{1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{(-1)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

we have that $G = \langle 1 \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle$. Since 1 is a generator of G, by (Gallian, 6.2.4) it must be the case that $\langle \alpha(1) \rangle$ is also a generator of G. Thus, $\alpha(1) = 1$ or -1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1} \in Aut(G)$ denote the automorphisms that map 1 to 1 and -1 respectively. Therefore, we have that $Aut(G) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}\}$.

 Aut(H): Let α∈ Aut(H). A similar process can be used to show that the number of distinct automorphisms in Aut(H) is equal to the number of distinct elements that 1 can be mapped to. Since

$$H = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$
 and $|1| = 4$

we have that $|\alpha(1)| = 4$.