Support Vector Machine (SVM) Complete Cheatsheet

1. Problem Setup

Goal: Find a hyperplane that separates two classes with maximum margin

Training Data:

- Dataset: $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$
- $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (feature vectors)
- $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ (class labels)

Hyperplane Equation:

$$w \cdot x + b = 0$$

- w: weight vector (normal to hyperplane)
- b: bias term
- x: input vector

Decision Function:

$$f(x) = sign(w \cdot x + b)$$

2. Linear SVM - Hard Margin

Geometric Margin

Distance from point x to hyperplane:

```
distance = |w·x + b| / ||w||
```

Margin (γ): Distance from hyperplane to nearest point

```
\gamma = min(|w \cdot x_i + b| / ||w||) for all i
```

Optimization Problem (Primal Form)

Maximize margin:

```
\max (1/||w||) \iff \min (1/2)||w||^2
```

Subject to constraints:

```
y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \ge 1 for all i = 1,...,n
```

This ensures all points are correctly classified with margin $\geq 1/||w||$

Complete Primal Problem:

```
min w,b (1/2)||w||^2
subject to: y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \ge 1, i = 1,...,n
```

3. Linear SVM - Soft Margin

Why Soft Margin?

- Data may not be perfectly separable
- Allow some misclassifications
- Introduces slack variables ξ_i

Slack Variables

```
\xi_{\,\mathrm{i}}\, \geq\, 0 (amount of constraint violation for point i)
```

Interpretation:

- $\xi_i = 0$: point is correctly classified
- $0 < \xi_i < 1$: point is inside margin but correctly classified
- $\xi_i \ge 1$: point is misclassified

Optimization Problem

Primal Form:

```
min w,b,\xi (1/2)||w||² + C·\Sigma\xi_i subject to: y_i(w\cdot x_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad \text{for all i} \xi_i \ge 0 \quad \text{for all i}
```

C (Regularization Parameter):

- Large C: prioritize correct classification (small margin, low bias, high variance)
- Small C: prioritize large margin (allow misclassifications, high bias, low variance)

4. Dual Formulation (Lagrangian)

Lagrangian Function

Primal variables: w, b, ξ

Dual variables (Lagrange multipliers): α , μ

$$L(w,b,\xi,\alpha,\mu) \,=\, (1/2) \, \big| \, \big| \, w \, \big| \, \big|^{\, 2} \, + \, C \cdot \Sigma \xi_{\, i} \, - \, \Sigma \alpha_{\, i} \, \big[\, y_{\, i} \, (w \cdot x_{\, i} \, + \, b) \, - \, 1 \, + \, \xi_{\, i} \, \big] \, - \, \Sigma \mu_{\, i} \, \xi_{\, i}$$

KKT Conditions

Stationarity:

```
\partial L/\partial w = 0 \implies w = \Sigma \alpha_i y_i x_i

\partial L/\partial b = 0 \implies \Sigma \alpha_i y_i = 0

\partial L/\partial \xi_i = 0 \implies \alpha_i = C - \mu_i
```

Primal Feasibility:

$$y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i$$

 $\xi_i \ge 0$

Dual Feasibility:

```
\alpha_{i} \geq 0
\mu_{i} \geq 0
```

Complementary Slackness:

$$\alpha_{i}[y_{i}(w \cdot x_{i} + b) - 1 + \xi_{i}] = 0$$

 $\mu_{i}\xi_{i} = 0$

Dual Problem

```
max \alpha \Sigma \alpha_i - (1/2)\Sigma \Sigma \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i \cdot x_j) subject to: \Sigma \alpha_i y_i = 0 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \quad \text{for all i}
```

Why use dual?

- Easier to solve for high-dimensional data
- Enables kernel trick
- Only depends on dot products $x_i \cdot x_j$

5. Support Vectors

Classification of points based on a:

- 1. Non-support vectors: $\alpha_i = 0$
 - o Correctly classified, outside margin
 - Don't affect decision boundary
- 2. Support vectors on margin: $0 < \alpha_i < C$
 - Exactly on margin boundary
 - $\circ \quad \xi_i = 0, \ y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) = 1$
- 3. Support vectors inside margin/misclassified: $\alpha_i = C$
 - o Inside margin or misclassified
 - \circ $\xi_i > 0$

Computing w and b:

```
w = \Sigma(\alpha_i y_i x_i) \quad [\text{sum over support vectors}] b = y_s - w \cdot x_s \quad [\text{for any support vector } x_s \text{ with } 0 < \alpha_s < C]
```

Better (averaged):

```
b = (1/N_{s\,v}) \Sigma[\,y_s - w\!\cdot\!x_s\,] [average over all support vectors with 0 < \alpha < C]
```

6. Kernel Trick

Motivation

Transform data to higher-dimensional space where it's linearly separable

Feature Mapping:

```
\phi\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^D \quad (D >> d)
```

Kernel Function:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_j)$$

Computes dot product in high-dimensional space without explicit transformation!

Dual Problem with Kernels

```
max \alpha \Sigma \alpha_i - (1/2)\Sigma \Sigma \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j)

subject to:

\Sigma \alpha_i y_i = 0

0 \le \alpha_i \le C
```

Decision Function with Kernels

```
f(x) = sign(\Sigma \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x) + b)
```

7. Common Kernel Functions

1. Linear Kernel

```
K(x_i,x_j) = x_i \cdot x_j
```

- Use when data is linearly separable
- Equivalent to no kernel
- Fast computation

2. Polynomial Kernel

$$K(x_i,x_j) = (\gamma \cdot x_i \cdot x_j + r)^d$$

- y: kernel coefficient (default: 1/n_features)
- r: independent term (default: 0)
- d: degree (default: 3)
- Use for non-linear boundaries with polynomial shape

Special case (Homogeneous):

$$K(x_i,x_j) = (x_i \cdot x_j)^d$$

3. Radial Basis Function (RBF/Gaussian) Kernel 🛊

$$K(x_i,x_j) = \exp(-\gamma||x_i - x_j||^2)$$

- γ: kernel coefficient (default: 1/n_features)
- Most popular kernel
- Can handle highly non-linear boundaries
- Maps to infinite-dimensional space

y parameter effect:

- Large γ: narrow Gaussian, complex decision boundary (high variance)
- Small γ: wide Gaussian, smooth decision boundary (high bias)

4. Sigmoid Kernel

$$K(x_i,x_j) = tanh(\gamma \cdot x_i \cdot x_j + r)$$

- Similar to neural network activation
- Not always positive semi-definite (can cause issues)

5. Custom Kernels

Mercer's Condition: Kernel must satisfy

8. Multi-Class Classification

SVMs are binary classifiers. For multi-class:

One-vs-Rest (OvR)

- Train K binary classifiers (K = number of classes)
- Classifier k: class k vs all others
- Predict: class with highest decision function value

Decision:

```
\hat{y} = argmax(w_k \cdot x + b_k)
k
```

One-vs-One (OvO)

- Train K(K-1)/2 binary classifiers
- One for each pair of classes
- Predict: class that wins most pairwise comparisons (voting)

9. SVM Regression (SVR)

ε-insensitive Loss

Goal: Find function $f(x) = w \cdot x + b$ such that $|y_i - f(x_i)| \le \varepsilon$

\epsilon-tube: No penalty for errors within $\pm \epsilon$

Optimization Problem

```
min w,b,\xi,\xi* (1/2)||w||^2 + C \cdot \Sigma(\xi_i + \xi_i^*) subject to: y_i - (w \cdot x_i + b) \le \varepsilon + \xi_i  (w \cdot x_i + b) - y_i \le \varepsilon + \xi_i^* \xi_i, \xi_i^* \ge 0
```

Dual Form:

```
\label{eq:max_alpha_alpha_bound} \begin{aligned} \text{max} \ & \alpha, \alpha^* - \epsilon \cdot \Sigma(\alpha_i + \alpha_i^*) + \Sigma y_i(\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) - (1/2) \Sigma (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)(\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) K(x_i, x_j) \\ \text{subject to:} \\ & \Sigma(\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) = 0 \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_i, \ \alpha_i^* \leq C \end{aligned}
```

Prediction:

```
f(x) = \Sigma(\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)K(x_i, x) + b
```

10. Key Formulas Summary

Training (Dual Optimization)

```
 \max \alpha \quad \Sigma \alpha_i - (1/2) \Sigma \Sigma \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j)  s.t.  \Sigma \alpha_i y_i = 0, \ 0 \le \alpha_i \le C
```

Weight Vector

```
w = \Sigma \alpha_i y_i \phi(x_i) [in feature space]
```

Bias Term

```
b = (1/N_{sv})\Sigma[y_s - \Sigma\alpha_iy_iK(x_i,x_s)]  [average over support vectors]
```

Prediction

```
f(x) = sign(\Sigma \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x) + b)
```

Margin

```
margin = 2/||w||
```

11. Hyperparameter Tuning

C (Regularization)

Effect:

- ↑ C: Lower bias, higher variance (harder margin, fit training data closely)
- \(\subset C: \) Higher bias, lower variance (softer margin, better generalization)

Typical values: 0.1, 1, 10, 100

γ (RBF Kernel)

Effect:

↑ γ: Lower bias, higher variance (more complex boundary)

• ↓ y: Higher bias, lower variance (smoother boundary)

Formula: $\gamma = 1/(2\sigma^2)$

Typical values: 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1

Selection Strategy

Use Grid Search or Random Search with Cross-Validation

Common ranges:

```
param_grid = {
    'C': [0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000],
    'gamma': [1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001],
    'kernel': ['rbf', 'poly', 'sigmoid']
}
```

12. Computational Complexity

Training

- **Primal:** O(nd) per iteration
- **Dual:** O(n² to n³) depending on solver
 - o n: number of samples
 - o d: number of features

For large n: Use linear SVM or approximations

Prediction

```
0(n<sub>sv</sub> · d)
```

- n_{sv}: number of support vectors
- Typically n_{sv} << n

13. Advantages vs Disadvantages

✓ Advantages

- 1. Effective in high dimensions (d > n)
- 2. **Memory efficient** (only stores support vectors)
- 3. Versatile (different kernels)
- 4. Robust to outliers (when C is small)
- 5. Global optimum (convex optimization)
- 6. Good generalization with proper tuning

X Disadvantages

- 1. Slow for large datasets $(O(n^2)$ to $O(n^3)$)
- 2. No probability estimates (requires Platt scaling)
- 3. Sensitive to feature scaling
- 4. Kernel/parameter selection can be difficult
- 5. **Black box** with non-linear kernels (interpretability)
- 6. Not suitable for large n (use linear SVM or logistic regression)

14. Feature Scaling

Critical: SVMs are sensitive to feature scales

Standardization (Recommended)

```
x' = (x - \mu) / \sigma
```

- Mean = 0, Std = 1
- Preserves outliers

Normalization

```
x' = (x - min) / (max - min)
```

- Range [0, 1]
- Affected by outliers

Rule: Always scale features before training!

15. Implementation Tips

Scikit-learn Example

```
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

# Scale features
scaler = StandardScaler()
X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)

# Train SVM
svm = SVC(kernel='rbf', C=1.0, gamma='scale')
svm.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
```

```
# Hyperparameter tuning
param_grid = {'C': [0.1, 1, 10], 'gamma': [0.001, 0.01, 0.1]}
grid = GridSearchCV(SVC(), param_grid, cv=5)
grid.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)

# Access support vectors
n_support = svm.n_support_ # number per class
support_vectors = svm.support_vectors_
support_indices = svm.support_
# Prediction
y_pred = svm.predict(X_test_scaled)
```

16. When to Use SVM

✓ Use SVM when:

- High-dimensional data (text classification, genomics)
- Clear margin of separation exists
- More features than samples
- Need robust model against outliers
- Non-linear decision boundaries (with kernels)

X Avoid SVM when:

- Very large datasets (n > 100,000)
- Need probability estimates (use logistic regression)
- Need interpretability (use decision trees)
- Data has significant noise/overlapping classes
- Computational resources are limited

17. Common Applications

- 1. Text Classification (spam detection, sentiment analysis)
- 2. Image Recognition (face detection, handwriting recognition)
- 3. **Bioinformatics** (protein classification, gene expression)
- 4. Medical Diagnosis (cancer classification)
- 5. Time Series Prediction (with SVR)
- 6. Financial Forecasting
- 7. **Remote Sensing** (land cover classification)

18. Important Notes

Probability Estimates

SVM doesn't naturally output probabilities. Use **Platt Scaling:**

$$P(y=1|x) = 1 / (1 + exp(A \cdot f(x) + B))$$

- Requires additional cross-validation
- Enable with probability=True in sklearn

Class Imbalance

- Use class_weight='balanced' parameter
- Or manually set: class_weight={0: w₀, 1: w₁}
- Adjusts C: C_i = C × W_i

Kernel Selection Guidelines

- 1. Start with **linear** kernel (baseline)
- 2. Try **RBF** if linear doesn't work well
- 3. Use **polynomial** for specific problem knowledge
- 4. Avoid **sigmoid** (rarely useful)

Quick Reference Card

Concept	Formula
Hyperplane	$w \cdot x + b = 0$
Primal Problem	min (1/2) w ² + C·Σξ _i
Dual Problem	max Σ α_i - (1/2)ΣΣ $\alpha_i\alpha_jy_iy_jK(x_i,x_j)$
Decision Function	$f(x) = sign(\Sigma \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x) + b)$
Margin	2/ w
RBF Kernel	$K(x,x') = \exp(-\gamma x-x' ^2)$
Support Vectors	Points where $0 < \alpha_i \le C$

Remember:

- Always scale features
- Tune C and γ carefully
- Use cross-validation
- Consider linear SVM first for large datasets