# Mini-Project 3: Confidence Intervals Simulation Study

Tanner Bessette

2025-03-05

I have followed all rules for collaboration for this project, and I have not used generative AI on this project. Tanner Bessette

## Step 1

```
3 different sample sizes: small: n = 5
```

medium: n = 40 large: n = 1000

2 different values for p:

p = 0.5 and p = 0.1

### Steps 2,3,4

Large n (n = 1000) and p = 0.5

library(tidyverse)

```
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
v dplyr
          1.1.4
                    v readr
                               2.1.5
v forcats
          1.0.0
                    v stringr
                               1.5.1
v ggplot2
          3.5.1
                    v tibble
                               3.2.1
v lubridate 1.9.3
                    v tidyr
                               1.3.1
```

```
1.0.2
v purrr
-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()
                  masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<a href="http://conflicted.r-lib.org/">http://conflicted.r-lib.org/</a>) to force all conflicts to become
  n <- 1000
  p < -0.5
  generate_samp_prop <- function(n, p) {</pre>
    x \leftarrow rbinom(1,n,p)
    # number of successes divided by sample size
    phat \leftarrow x / n
    # we have to use 1.645 instead of 1.96 bc 90% confidence
    lb <- phat - 1.645 * sqrt(phat * (1 - phat) / n)</pre>
    ub <- phat + 1.645 * sqrt(phat * (1 - phat) / n)
    prop_df <- tibble(phat, lb, ub)</pre>
    return(prop_df)
  # run the function with our assigned n and p values
  generate_samp_prop(n = 1000, p = 0.5)
# A tibble: 1 x 3
   phat
          lb ub
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0.521 0.495 0.547
  # we want 5000 ci's
  n_{sim} = 5000
  prop_ci_df <- map(1:n_sim,</pre>
       (i) generate_samp_prop(n = 1000, p = 0.5)) |>
    bind_rows()
  prop_ci_df
```

```
# A tibble: 5,000 x 3
   phat
            lb
                  ub
   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
 1 0.513 0.487 0.539
2 0.492 0.466 0.518
3 0.496 0.470 0.522
4 0.524 0.498 0.550
5 0.524 0.498 0.550
6 0.511 0.485 0.537
7 0.511 0.485 0.537
8 0.488 0.462 0.514
9 0.5
       0.474 0.526
10 0.499 0.473 0.525
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
               ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
                                                 true = 1,
                                                 false = 0))
  # output the average interval widths and the coverage rates
  prop_ci_df |> summarise(avg_width = mean(ci_width),
                           coverage_rate = mean(ci_cover_ind))
# A tibble: 1 x 2
  avg_width coverage_rate
      <dbl>
                    <dbl>
     0.0520
                    0.901
1
```

For n=1000 and p=0.5, we have an average interval width of 0.0520, and a coverage rate of 89.5%.

## Large n (n = 1000) and p = 0.1

```
n <- 1000
p <- 0.1

# run the function with our assigned n and p values
generate_samp_prop(n = 1000, p = 0.1)</pre>
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 3
            lb
  phat
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0.095 0.0797 0.110
  # we want 5000 ci's
  n_{sim} = 5000
  prop_ci_df <- map(1:n_sim,</pre>
      (i) generate_samp_prop(n = 1000, p = 0.1)) |>
    bind_rows()
  prop_ci_df
# A tibble: 5,000 x 3
   phat
             1b
                   ub
   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
 1 0.103 0.0872 0.119
 2 0.087 0.0723 0.102
 3 0.111 0.0947 0.127
 4 0.095 0.0797 0.110
 5 0.09 0.0751 0.105
 6 0.101 0.0853 0.117
7 0.107 0.0909 0.123
8 0.101 0.0853 0.117
9 0.095 0.0797 0.110
10 0.111 0.0947 0.127
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
                ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
                                                 true = 1,
                                                 false = 0))
  # output the average interval widths and the coverage rates
  prop_ci_df |> summarise(avg_width = mean(ci_width),
                           coverage_rate = mean(ci_cover_ind))
# A tibble: 1 x 2
  avg_width coverage_rate
```

For n = 1000 and p = 0.1, we have an average interval width of 0.0312, and a coverage rate of exactly 90%.

# Medium n (n = 40) and p = 0.5

```
n <- 40
  p < -0.5
  # run the function with our assigned n and p values
  generate_samp_prop(n = 40, p = 0.5)
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  phat
          lb
 <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0.525 0.395 0.655
  # we want 5000 ci's
  n_sim = 5000
  prop_ci_df <- map(1:n_sim,</pre>
      \(i) generate_samp_prop(n = 40, p = 0.5)) \mid >
    bind_rows()
  prop_ci_df
# A tibble: 5,000 x 3
   phat
           lb
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0.475 0.345 0.605
2 0.525 0.395 0.655
3 0.45 0.321 0.579
4 0.525 0.395 0.655
5 0.5
       0.370 0.630
6 0.425 0.296 0.554
7 0.475 0.345 0.605
8 0.5 0.370 0.630
```

```
9 0.475 0.345 0.605
10 0.4 0.273 0.527
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
               ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
                                                true = 1,
                                                false = 0))
  # output the average interval widths and the coverage rates
  prop_ci_df |> summarise(avg_width = mean(ci_width),
                           coverage_rate = mean(ci_cover_ind))
# A tibble: 1 x 2
 avg_width coverage_rate
      <dbl>
                    <dbl>
      0.257
                    0.914
1
```

For n = 40 and p = 0.5, we have an average interval width of 0.257, and a coverage rate of 91.7%.

# Medium n (n = 40) and p = 0.1

```
(i) generate_samp_prop(n = 40, p = 0.1)) |>
    bind_rows()
  prop_ci_df
# A tibble: 5,000 x 3
   phat
               lb
                     ub
   <dbl>
            <dbl> <dbl>
 1 0.075 0.00649 0.144
2 0.125 0.0390 0.211
3 0.1
         0.0220 0.178
4 0.1
         0.0220 0.178
5 0.15
        0.0571 0.243
6 0.05 -0.00669 0.107
7 0.075 0.00649 0.144
8 0
          0
                  0
9 0.2
          0.0960 0.304
10 0.05 -0.00669 0.107
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
               ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
                                                true = 1,
                                                false = 0))
  # output the average interval widths and the coverage rates
  prop_ci_df |> summarise(avg_width = mean(ci_width),
                          coverage_rate = mean(ci_cover_ind))
# A tibble: 1 x 2
 avg_width coverage_rate
      <dbl>
                    <dbl>
      0.149
                    0.901
1
```

For n = 40 and p = 0.1, we have an average interval width of 0.150, and a coverage rate of 90.6%.

# Small n (n = 5) and p = 0.5

```
n < -5
  p < -0.5
  # run the function with our assigned n and p values
  generate_samp_prop(n = 5, p = 0.5)
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  phat
          lb
 <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0.6 0.240 0.960
  # we want 5000 ci's
  n_{sim} = 5000
  prop_ci_df <- map(1:n_sim,</pre>
      (i) generate_samp_prop(n = 5, p = 0.5)) |>
    bind rows()
  prop_ci_df
# A tibble: 5,000 x 3
   phat
            lb
                  ub
   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
 1 0.6 0.240 0.960
2 0.4 0.0396 0.760
 3 0.4 0.0396 0.760
 4 0.4 0.0396 0.760
5
   0.4 0.0396 0.760
6 0.4 0.0396 0.760
7
    0.6 0.240 0.960
    0.6 0.240 0.960
8
9
    0.4 0.0396 0.760
10 0.4 0.0396 0.760
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
               ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
```

For n = 5 and p = 0.5, we have an average interval width of 0.634, and a coverage rate of 62.6%.

## Small n (n = 5) and p = 0.1

```
n <- 5
  p < -0.1
  # run the function with our assigned n and p values
  generate_samp_prop(n = 5, p = 0.1)
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  phat
           lb
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 0
            0
  # we want 5000 ci's
  n_{sim} = 5000
  prop_ci_df <- map(1:n_sim,</pre>
      (i) generate_samp_prop(n = 5, p = 0.1)) |>
    bind_rows()
  prop_ci_df
```

```
1b
    phat
                    ub
   <dbl>
           <dbl> <dbl>
     0.2 -0.0943 0.494
2
     0.2 -0.0943 0.494
3
          0
                 0
          0
                 0
 5
     0
6
     0.2 -0.0943 0.494
7
     0.2 -0.0943 0.494
8
          0
                 0
     0
9
     0
          0
                 0
10
                 0
     0
          0
# i 4,990 more rows
  prop_ci_df <- prop_ci_df |> mutate(ci_width = ub - lb,
                ci_cover_ind = if_else(p > lb & p < ub,</pre>
                                                  true = 1,
                                                  false = 0))
  # output the average interval widths and the coverage rates
  prop_ci_df |> summarise(avg_width = mean(ci_width),
                           coverage_rate = mean(ci_cover_ind))
# A tibble: 1 x 2
  avg_width coverage_rate
      <dbl>
                    <dbl>
1
      0.249
                     0.398
```

For n=5 and p=0.1, we have an average interval width of 0.253, and a coverage rate of 40.1%.

### **Table**

# A tibble: 5,000 x 3

Table 1: Table of Results

		n = 5	n = 40	n = 1000
p = 0.5	Coverage Rate	62.6%	91.7%	89.5%
p = 0.1	Coverage Rate	40.1%	90.6%	90%

		n=5	n = 40	n = 1000
•	Average Width Average Width			0.052 0.0312

# **Large Sample Assumption Calculations**

Check that:

$$n\, *\, \hat{p} > 10$$
 and  $n\, *\, (1-\hat{p}) > 10$ 

are both satisfied for the large sample assumption to hold.

Setting 1: Large n (
$$n = 1000$$
) and  $p = 0.5$ 

$$1000 * 0.5 > 10$$
 and  $1000 * (1 - 0.5) > 10$  both true

So, the large sample assumption holds.

Setting 2: Large n (
$$n = 1000$$
) and  $p = 0.1$ 

$$1000$$
 \*  $0.1 > 10$  and  $1000$  \*  $(1$  -  $0.1) > 10$  both true

So, the large sample assumption holds.

### Setting 3: Medium n (n = 40) and p = 0.5

$$40$$
 \*  $0.5 = 20 > 10$  and  $40$  \*  $(1$  -  $0.5) = 20 > 10$  both true

So, the large sample assumption holds.

### Setting 4: Medium n (n = 40) and p = 0.1

$$40 * 0.1 = 4 < 10$$

So, the large sample assumption does not hold.

Setting 5: Small n 
$$(n = 5)$$
 and  $p = 0.5$ 

$$5*0.5 = 2.5 < 10$$

So, the large sample assumption does not hold.

Setting 6: Small n 
$$(n = 5)$$
 and  $p = 0.1$ 

$$5 * 0.1 = 0.5 < 10$$

So, the large sample assumption does not hold.

## **Mini-Project Summary**

Generally, the bigger the sample size n, the smaller the average width. The smaller the sample size, the larger the average width, especially with our extremely low sample size (n = 5), where we had average widths of 0.634 (p = 0.5) and 0.253 (p = 0.1)! For all of the different n's, the simulations yielded a larger average width for p = 0.5 than for p = 0.1 (about twice as large of a width for p = 0.5 than p = 0.1).

From our large sample assumption calculations, the settings that have sufficiently large n are n = 1000 and p = 0.5, n = 1000 and p = 0.1, and n = 40 and p = 0.5. For these settings, we are able to interpret: "We are 90% confident that the true population proportion p is contained within our confidence intervals." The settings that do not have sufficiently large n are n = 40 and p = 0.1, n = 5 and p = 0.5, and n = 5 and p = 0.1. In these settings, we do not have a large enough n to trust the confidence interval to be reliable.

With n=1000 and n=40 the coverage rates are extremely close to 90%, which is our confidence interval. For n=1000 and p=0.5, the coverage rate is likely only 89.5% (and not 90%) due to random variation. When the n is too small, i.e. n=5, the coverage rate also goes way down (far below 90% coverage). This is likely in part due to the fact that these two settings weren't even close to satisfying the large sample assumption.

The overall takeaway is that if we get a large enough n, we can have a coverage rate very close, if not exactly equal to, our confidence level, and the average width will be smaller with higher n. With a smaller n, we lose some of the accuracy in coverage rate, and the interval widths grow larger.