

SULI Peer Evaluation

Peer reviewer: Tanvi Krishnan

Presenter: Brandon Weiss

1) Content.

Brandon's presentation was very informative and clearly focused on the goals, methods, and results of his project. The project was well researched, and the information was broken down into simple slides that were easy to digest. He provided a good amount of background information to help the audience understand the context behind his project and the methods used.

2) Organization/Clarity.

His presentation was easy to follow and was well-organized into clear sections. The slides themselves were simple enough to be easy to follow. He started off his presentation by clearly outlining the overarching goals of his project. One thing that he might consider in the future is having an overview slide outlining the different sections of his talk, as that would help the audience have further clarity on trajectory of the presentation. However, there were section headers in the presentation, outlining the overarching goal of that section and giving the audience some context, which I found very helpful. At the conclusion of his presentation, he clearly explained the conclusions from his project and future steps in this work.

3) Visual aids.

Brandon made good use of images throughout his presentation, including icons, images, plots, and screencaps of code and files he developed to enable easier access to this simulation software in the future. There was also minimal text on each slide, just a few bullet points to highlight the key ideas of a slide which made it easy to follow. The images in the background and overview slides were especially helpful in setting up context for the types of detectors one might simulate using the script he developed. The text on the slides was also large enough to be read from a distance without confusion.

4) Preparation.

The presentation appeared very well rehearsed. As I previously mentioned, the slides had minimal text, but Brandon's delivery of the presentation was smooth. He did not read off his slides and the pacing was easy to follow and not rushed. The slides were well organized, and each topic led into the next smoothly. He was also well equipped to answer the questions he was asked, which signifies thorough preparation.

5) Sensitivity to audience.

presentation was good and not overly fast. He made good use of pauses throughout to highlight key points and give the audience a breath. Brandon also used hand gestures to highlight different figures, which made it easy to understand what he was referring to.