CS101 Data Structures

Binary Search Trees
Textbook Ch 12

Outline

- Sorted list ADT
- Binary search tree
 - Definition
 - Implementation
- AVL tree
- Red-black tree
- More.

Sorted List ADT

Previously, we discussed Abstract Lists

the objects are explicitly ordered by the programmer

We will now discuss the Abstract Sorted List:

the objects are ordered by their values

Certain operations no longer make sense:

push_front and push_back are replaced by a generic insert

Sorted List ADT

Queries that can be made about data in a Sorted List ADT include:

- Finding the smallest and largest entries
- Finding the k^{th} largest entry
- Find the next larger and previous smaller objects of a given object which may or may not be in the container
- Iterate through those objects that fall on an interval [a, b]

Implementation

If we implement an Abstract Sorted List using an array or a linked list, we will have operations which are O(n)

- As an insertion could occur anywhere in a linked list or array, we must either traverse or copy, on average, O(n) objects

Binary Search Trees

In a binary search tree, we require that


- all objects in the left sub-tree to be less than the object stored in the root node
- all objects in the right sub-tree to be greater than the object in the root object
- the two sub-trees are themselves binary search trees

Definition

Thus, we define a non-empty binary search tree as a binary tree with the following properties:

- The left sub-tree (if any) is a binary search tree and all elements are less than the root element, and
- The right sub-tree (if any) is a binary search tree and all elements are greater than the root element

Examples



Search

To search an object: examine the root node and if we have not found what we are looking for:

- If the object is less than what is stored in the root node, continue searching in the left sub-tree
- Otherwise, continue searching the right sub-tree


Time complexity:

- $\mathbf{O}(h)$

Worst case


Unfortunately, it is possible to construct *degenerate* binary search trees

- This is equivalent to a linked list, *i.e.*, O(n)



Examples

All these binary search trees store the same data



Duplicate Elements

We will assume that in any binary tree, we are not storing duplicate elements unless otherwise stated

 In reality, it is seldom the case where duplicate elements in a container must be stored as separate entities

You can always consider duplicate elements with modifications to the algorithms we will cover

Implementation


We will look at an implementation of a binary search tree in the same spirit as we did with our Single_list class

- We will have a Binary_search_nodes class
- A Binary_search_tree class will store a pointer to the root

We will use templates, however, we will require that the class overrides the comparison operators

Finding the Minimum Object


```
template <typename Type>
Type Binary_search_node<Type>::front() const {
    return ( left() == nullptr ) ? retrieve() : left()->front();
}
```



- The run time O(h)

Finding the Maximum Object

```
template <typename Type>
Type Binary_search_node<Type>::back() const {
    return ( right() == nullptr ) ? retrieve() : right()->back();
}
```




The extreme values are not necessarily leaf nodes


Find

To determine membership, traverse the tree based on the linear relationship:

- If a node containing the value is found, e.g., 81, return true




If an empty node is reached, e.g., 36, the object is not in the tree:



Recall that a Sorted List is implicitly ordered


- It does not make sense to have member functions such as push_front and push_back
- Insertion will be performed by a single insert member function which places the object into the correct location

Any empty node is a possible location for an insertion




The values which may be inserted at any empty node depend on the surrounding nodes


For example, this node may hold 48, 49, or 50



An insertion at this location must be 35, 36, 37, or 38



This empty node may hold values from 71 to 74




Like find, we will step through the tree


- If we find the object already in the tree, we will return
 - The object is already in the binary search tree (no duplicates)
- Otherwise, we will arrive at an empty node
- The object will be inserted into that location
- The run time is O(h)

In inserting the value 52, we traverse the tree until we reach an empty node


The left sub-tree of 54 is an empty node




A new leaf node is created and assigned to the member variable left_tree



In inserting 40, we determine the right sub-tree of 39 is an empty node



A new leaf node storing 40 is created and assigned to the member variable right_tree



Blackboard example:


 In the given order, insert these objects into an initially empty binary search tree:

31 45 36 14 52 42 6 21 73 47 26 37 33 8

- What values could be placed:
 - To the left of 21?
 - To the right of 26?
 - To the left of 47?
- How would we determine if 40 is in this binary search tree?
- Which values could be inserted to increase the height of the tree?


There are three possible scenarios:

- The node is a leaf node,
- It has exactly one child, or
- It has two children (it is a full node)




A leaf node simply must be removed and the appropriate member variable of the parent is set to nullptr


Consider removing 75




The node is deleted and left_tree of 81 is set to nullptr



Erasing the node containing 40 is similar




The node is deleted and right_tree of 39 is set to nullptr




If a node has only one child...
we can simply promote the sub-tree associated with the child

Consider removing 8 which has one left child




The node 8 is deleted and the left_tree of 11 is updated to point to 3




There is no difference in promoting a single node or a sub-tree

To remove 39, it has a single child 11




The node containing 39 is deleted and left_node of 42 is updated to point to 11

Notice that order is still maintained




Consider erasing the node containing 99




The node is deleted and the left sub-tree is promoted:

- The member variable right_tree of 70 is set to point to 92
- Again, the order of the tree is maintained




Finally, we will consider the problem of erasing a full node, *e.g.*, 42 We will perform two operations:

- Replace 42 with the minimum object in the right sub-tree
- Erase that object from the right sub-tree




In this case, we replace 42 with 47

We temporarily have two copies of 47 in the tree



We now recursively erase 47 from the right sub-tree


We note that 47 is a leaf node in the right sub-tree



Leaf nodes are simply removed and left_tree of 51 is set to nullptr


– Notice that the tree is still sorted:

47 was the least object in the right sub-tree




Suppose we want to erase the root 47 again:


- We must copy the minimum of the right sub-tree
- We could promote the maximum object in the left sub-tree and achieve similar results




We copy 51 from the right sub-tree




We must proceed by delete 51 from the right sub-tree




In this case, the node storing 51 has just a single child



We delete the node containing 51 and assign the member variable left_tree of 70 to point to 59



Note that after seven removals, the remaining tree is still correctly sorted




In the two examples of removing a full node, we promoted:

- A node with no children
- A node with right child


What about a node with two children?

It is impossible for the node to have two children



Recall that we promoted the minimum element in the right sub-tree

If that node had a left sub-tree, that sub-tree would contain a smaller value



Operations specific to linearly ordered data include:


- Find the next (larger) and previous (smaller) objects of a given object which may or may not be in the sorted list
- Find the k^{th} entry of the sorted list
- Iterate through those objects that fall on an interval [a, b]

We will focus on finding the next (larger) object

The others will follow


To find the next object:

 If the node has a right sub-tree, the minimum object in that sub-tree is the next object




If, however, there is no right sub-tree:

 It is the first larger object (if any) that exists in the path from the node to the root



More generally: find the next entry of an arbitrary object Design a function that

- runs a single search from the root node to one of the leaves—an $\mathrm{O}(h)$ operation
- returns the input object if it did not find something greater than it




Ex: $0 \rightarrow 3$; $25 \rightarrow 29$; $40 \rightarrow 51$; $100 \rightarrow 100$

Finding the *k*th Object

Another operation on sorted lists may be finding the k^{th} largest object

- Recall that k goes from 0 to n − 1
- If the left-sub-tree has $\ell = k$ entries, return the current node,
- If the left sub-tree has $\ell > k$ entries, return the k^{th} entry of the left sub-tree,
- Otherwise, the left sub-tree has $\ell < k$ entries, so return the $(k \ell 1)^{\text{th}}$ entry of the right sub-tree



Summary

- Abstract Sorted Lists
 - Problems using arrays and linked lists
- Binary search tree
 - Definition
 - Implementation of:
 - Front, back, insert, erase
 - Previous smaller and next larger objects
 - Finding the *k*th Object

Run Time on BST

Almost all of the relevant operations on a binary search tree are O(h)

- If the tree is *close* to a linked list, the run times is O(n)
 - Insert 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ..., *n* into a empty binary search tree
- The best we can do is if the tree is perfect: $O(\ln(n))$
- Our goal will be to find tree structures where we can maintain a height of $\Theta(\ln(n))$

Solution

- AVL trees
- Red-black trees
- B trees, B+ trees
- Splay trees
- More...

All of which ensure that the height remains $\Theta(\ln(n))$