CS101 Algorithms and Data Structures

Stack

Textbook Ch 10.1

Outline

- Stack ADT
- Implementation
- Example applications

Reverse-Polish Notation

Normally, mathematics is written using what we call *in-fix* notation:

$$(3 + 4) \times 5 - 6$$

The operator is placed between two operands

One weakness: parentheses are required

$$(3 + 4) \times 5 - 6 \ = \ 29$$
$$3 + 4 \ \times 5 - 6 \ = \ 17$$
$$3 + 4 \ \times (5 - 6) = \ -1$$
$$(3 + 4) \times (5 - 6) \ = \ -7$$

Reverse-Polish Notation

Alternatively, we can place the operands first, followed by the operator:

$$(3 + 4) \times 5 - 6$$

$$3 \ 4 \ + \ 5 \ \times \ 6 \ -$$

Parsing reads left-to-right and performs any operation on the last two operands:

$$3 \ 4 \ + \ 5 \ \times \ 6 \ -$$

$$7 \qquad 5 \ \times \ 6 \ -$$

$$35 \qquad 6 \ -$$

$$29$$

Reverse-Polish Notation

Other examples:

$$3 \quad \textcolor{red}{4 \quad 5 \quad \times} \quad + \quad 6 \quad -$$
$$\textcolor{red}{3 \quad 20 \quad \quad +} \quad 6 \quad -$$
$$\textcolor{red}{23 \quad \quad 6 \quad -}$$
$$17$$

$$3 + 4 \times 5 - 6 = 17$$

$$3 \quad 4 \quad \textcolor{red}{5 \quad 6 \quad -} \quad \times \quad +$$
$$3 \quad 4 \quad \textcolor{red}{-1} \quad \times \quad +$$
$$\textcolor{red}{3 \quad -4 \quad \quad +}$$
$$-1$$

$$3 + 4 \times (5 - 6) = -1$$

Reverse-Polish Notation

This is called *reverse-Polish* notation after the mathematician Jan Łukasiewicz

Narodowe Archiwum Cyfrowe, sygn. 1-N-358

http://www.audiovis.nac.gov.pl/

REVERSE POLISH SAUSAGE

http://xkcd.com/645/

Reverse-Polish Notation

Benefits:

- No ambiguity and no brackets are required
- It is the same process used by a computer to perform computations:
  - operands must be loaded into registers before operations can be performed on them

Reverse-Polish Notation

The easiest way to parse reverse-Polish notation is to use an operand stack:

- operands are processed by pushing them onto the stack
- when processing an operator:
  - pop the last two items off the operand stack,
  - perform the operation, and
  - push the result back onto the stack

Stack ADT

Also called a *last-in–first-out* (LIFO) behaviour

– Graphically, we may view these operations as follows:

Applications

Numerous applications:

- Parsing code:
  - Matching parenthesis
  - XML (e.g., XHTML)
- Tracking function calls
- Dealing with undo/redo operations
- Reverse-Polish calculators
- Assembly language

Reverse-Polish Notation

Evaluate the following reverse-Polish expression using a stack:

$$1 \ 2 \ 3 \ + \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ \times \ - \ 7 \ \times \ + \ - \ 8 \ 9 \ \times \ +$$

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 1 onto the stack

$1 \ 2 \ 3 \ + \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ \times \ - \ 7 \ \times \ + \ - \ 8 \ 9 \ \times \ +$

1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 1 onto the stack

$$1 \ \textcolor{red}{2} \ 3 \ + \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ \times \ - \ 7 \ \times \ + \ - \ 8 \ 9 \ \times \ +$$

2
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 3 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

3
2
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 3 and 2 and push $2 + 3 = 5$

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 4 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

4
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 5 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad {\color{red}5} \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

${\color{red}5}$
4
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 6 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad \textcolor{red}{6} \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

6
5
4
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 6 and 5 and push 5 × 6 = 30

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

30
4
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 30 and 4 and push $4 - 30 = -26$

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

-26
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 7 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad {\color{red}7} \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

${\color{red}7}$
–26
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 7 and –26 and push –26 × 7 = –182

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

–182
5
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop -182 and 5 and push $-182 + 5 = -177$

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

-177
1

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop −177 and 1 and push 1 − (−177) = 178

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

178

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 8 onto the stack

$$1 \; 2 \; 3 \; + \; 4 \; 5 \; 6 \; \times \; - \; 7 \; \times \; + \; - \; {\color{red}8} \; 9 \; \times \; +$$

${\color{red}8}$
178

Reverse-Polish Notation

Push 1 onto the stack

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad {\color{red}9} \quad \times \quad +$$

${\color{red}9}$
8
178

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 9 and 8 and push 8 × 9 = 72

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

72
178

Reverse-Polish Notation

Pop 72 and 178 and push 178 $+$ 72 = 250

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad + \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad \times \quad - \quad 7 \quad \times \quad + \quad - \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad \times \quad +$$

250

Reverse-Polish Notation

Thus

$$1 \; 2 \; 3 \; + \; 4 \; 5 \; 6 \; \times \; - \; 7 \; \times \; + \; - \; 8 \; 9 \; \times \; +$$

evaluates to the value on the top: 250

The equivalent in-fix notation is

$$((1 - ((2 + 3) + ((4 - (5 \times 6)) \times 7))) + (8 \times 9))$$

We reduce the parentheses using order-of-operations:

$$1 - (2 + 3 + (4 - 5 \times 6) \times 7) + 8 \times 9$$

Stack ADT

- Uses an explicit linear ordering

- Two principal operations
  - *Push*: insert an object onto the top of the stack
  - *Pop*: erase the object on the top of the stack
  - *CreateStack:* generate an empty stack
  - *IsEmpty*: determine if stack is empty
  - *IsFull*: determine if stack is full

Outline

- Stack ADT
- Implementation
- Example applications

Implementations

We will look at two implementations of stacks:

- Singly linked lists
- One-ended arrays

The optimal asymptotic run time of any algorithm is $\Theta(1)$

- The run time of the algorithm is independent of the number of objects being stored in the container

Linked-List Implementation

Operations at the front of a singly linked list are all $\Theta(1)$

Front/1st **Back/n^{th}**

Find

Insert

Erase

The desired behavior of an Abstract Stack may be reproduced by performing all operations at the front

void push_front(int)

We could, however, note that when the list is empty,
`list_head == 0`, thus we could shorten this to:

```
void List::push_front( int n ) {
    list_head = new Node( n, list_head );
}
```

If it is empty, we start with:

list_head ⟶ 0

and, if we try to add 81, we should end up with:

list_head ⟶ (81) ⟶ 0

void push_front(int)

We could, however, note that when the list is empty, `list_head == 0`, thus we could shorten this to:

```
void List::push_front( int n ) {
    list_head = new Node( n, list_head );
}
```

If it is not empty, we start with:

list_head ──────────▶ (81)──────────▶ 0

and, if we try to add 70, we should end up with:

list_head ──────────▶ (70)──────────▶ (81)──────────▶ 0

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if (empty()) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

**int front() const**

```
int List::front() const {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    return head()->retrieve();
}
```

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head ──────→ 70 ──────→ 81 ──────→ 0

e = 70

int front() const

```
int List::front() const {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    return head()->retrieve();
}
```

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```cpp
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head → 70 → 81 → 0

*ptr

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head

70 → 81 → 0

*ptr

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```cpp
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head

*ptr

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head ⟶ 81 ⟶ 0

int pop_front()

The correct implementation assigns a temporary pointer to point to the node being deleted:

```
int List::pop_front() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    int e = front();
    Node *ptr = list_head;
    list_head = list_head->next();
    delete ptr;
    return e;
}
```

list_head ────────→ 81 ────────→ 0

e = 70

Array Implementation

For one-ended arrays, all operations at the back are $\Theta(1)$

Front/1st   **Back/nth**

Find

Insert

Erase

Top

If there are n objects in the stack, the last is located at index $n - 1$

```cpp
template <typename Type>
Type Stack<Type>::top() const {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    return array[stack_size - 1];
}
```

Pop

Removing an object simply involves reducing the size

– By decreasing the size, the previous top of the stack is now at the location `stack_size`

```
template <typename Type>
Type Stack<Type>::pop() {
    if ( empty() ) {
        throw underflow();
    }

    --stack_size;
    return array[stack_size];
}
```

Push

Pushing an object onto the stack can only be performed if the array is not full

```cpp
template <typename Type>
void Stack<Type>::push( Type const &obj ) {
    if ( stack_size == array_capacity ) {
        throw overflow();
    }

    array[stack_size] = obj;
    ++stack_size;
}
```

Array Capacity

The best option is to increase the array capacity

If we increase the array capacity, the question is:
- How much?
- By a constant?   `array_capacity += c;`
- By a multiple?   `array_capacity *= c;`

Array Capacity

First, let us visualize what must occur to allocate new memory

count == 4
array_capacity == 4
array

S
I
S
T

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {




}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 4
array

S
I
S
T

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {
    Type *tmp_array = new Type[2*array_capacity];



}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 4
array

tmp_array

| S |
| I |
| S |
| T |

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {
    Type *tmp_array = new Type[2*array_capacity];

    for ( int i = 0; i < array_capacity; ++i ) {
        tmp_array[i] = array[i];
    }

}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 4
array

tmp_array

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {
    Type *tmp_array = new Type[2*array_capacity];

    for ( int i = 0; i < array_capacity; ++i ) {
        tmp_array[i] = array[i];
    }

    delete [] array;

}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 4
array

tmp_array

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {
    Type *tmp_array = new Type[2*array_capacity];

    for ( int i = 0; i < array_capacity; ++i ) {
        tmp_array[i] = array[i];
    }

    delete [] array;
    array = tmp_array;

}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 8
array

tmp

S
I
S
T

Array Capacity

The implementation:

```
void double_capacity() {
    Type *tmp_array = new Type[2*array_capacity];

    for ( int i = 0; i < array_capacity; ++i ) {
        tmp_array[i] = array[i];
    }

    delete [] array;
    array = tmp_array;

    array_capacity *= 2;
}
```

count == 4
array_capacity == 8
array

tmp

S
I
S
T

Array Capacity

Back to the original question:

– How much do we change the capacity?

– Add a constant?

– Multiply by a constant?

First, we recognize that any time that we push onto a full stack, this requires n copies, and the run time is $\Theta(n)$

Therefore, push is usually $\Theta(1)$ except when new memory is required

Array Capacity

To state the average run time, we will introduce the concept of **amortized time:**

- If n operations requires $\Theta(f(n))$, we will say that an individual operation has an amortized run time of $\Theta(f(n)/n)$
- Therefore, if inserting n objects requires:
  - $\Theta(n^2)$ copies, the amortized time is $\Theta(n)$
  - $\Theta(n)$ copies, the amortized time is $\Theta(1)$

Array Capacity

Let us consider the case of increasing the capacity by 1 each time the array is full

– With each insertion when the array is full, this requires all entries to be copied

Array Capacity

Suppose we insert k objects

- The pushing of the kth object on the stack requires k-1 copies
- The total number of copies is now given by:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k-1) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\right) - n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \Theta\left(n^2\right)$$

- Therefore, the amortized number of copies is given by

$$\Theta\left(\frac{n^2}{n}\right) = \Theta(n)$$

- Therefore, each push must run in $\Theta(n)$ time
- The wasted space, however is $\Theta(1)$

Array Capacity

Suppose we double the number of entries each time the array is full

– Now the number of copies appears to be significantly fewer

Array Capacity

Suppose we double the array size each time it is full:

- Inserting n objects would require $1, 2, 4, 8, ...,$ all the way up to the largest $2^k < n$ or $k = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor} 2^k = 2^{\lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor + 1} - 1$$

$$\leq 2^{\lg(n)+1} - 1 = 2^{\lg(n)} 2^1 - 1 = 2n - 1 = \Theta(n)$$

- Therefore, the amortized number of copies per insertion is $\Theta(1)$
- The wasted space, however is $\mathbf{O}(n)$

Array Capacity

Note the difference in worst-case amortized scenarios:

	Copies per Insertion	Unused Memory
Increase by 1	$n-1$	0
Increase by m	n/m	$m-1$
Increase by a factor of 2	1	n
Increase by a factor of $r > 1$	$1/(r-1)$	$(r-1)n$

Outline

- Stack ADT
- Implementation
- Example applications

Example：Maze Routing

Objective：

One path from the entrance to the exit

Directions：

Up(N), Down(S), Left(W), Right(E)

Entrance

Exit

Rules：

Go down first. If possible, the man can further explore. Otherwise, the man explores the possible next steps in a counterclockwise direction.

Example：Maze Routing

Example：Maze Routing

Summary

- Stack ADT
  - Push, pop, LIFO
- Implementation
  - Linked list
  - Array
    - How to increase the array capacity
- Example Applications
  - Reverse-Polish Notation
  - Maze Routing