# EECE 7374 – Fall 2023 Homework #1 Solution

## Chapter 1:

### 1) Circuit switching

- a) 4 users, each getting a dedicated 2 Mbps.
- b) If 4 users transmit simultaneously, the input rate is 8 Mbps. Since the link is also 8 Mbps, there will be no queuing delay.
- c) Since a user is transmitting 25% of the time, the probability that a user is transmitting at any instant is 0.25.
- d) Assuming that all 5 users are transmitting independently, the probability that all of them are transmitting simultaneously is  $(0.25)^5$ . Since the queue only grows when all 5 users are transmitting, the fraction of time during which the queue grows is  $(0.25)^5$ .

# 2) Transmission delay and propagation delay

- a) The delay is the sum of the transmission delay and the propagation delay. Transmission delay  $d_{tran} = (1000*8 \text{ bits})/(8000000 \text{ b/sec}) = 1 \text{ msec.}$  Propagation delay  $d_{prop} = (2500*10^3 \text{ m})/(2.5*10^8 \text{ m/sec}) = 10 \text{ msec.}$  Total delay  $d_{tot} = 11 \text{ msec.}$
- b) The bit is just leaving Host A.
- c) The first bit is in the link and has not reached Host B.
- d) The first bit has reached Host B.
- e) 40 terabytes =  $40 * 10^{12} * 8$  bits. So, using the dedicated link will take  $40 * 10^{12} * 8 / (100 * 10^6) = 3200000$  seconds = 37 days. But with FedEx overnight delivery, you can guarantee the data arrives in one day.

### 3) Bandwidth-delay product

- a)  $R* d_{prop} = 3*10^6 \text{ b/sec} * (30000*10^3 \text{ m})/(2.5*10^8 \text{ m/sec}) = 360,000 \text{ bits.}$
- b) 360,000 bits.
- c) The width of a bit = length of link / bandwidth-delay product =  $(30000*10^3 \text{ m})/(360,000 \text{ bits}) = 83.333 \text{ m}$ .
- d)  $R*d_{prop} = 3*10^9 \text{ b/sec} * (30000*10^3 \text{ m})/(2.5*10^8 \text{ m/sec}) = 360,000,000 \text{ bits.}$ min(bandwidth delay product, message size) = 1,200,000 bits. Width of a bit = 0.0833 m.

#### 4) Store-and-forward

a) Host A requires  $L/R_1$  to transmit the packet onto the first link; the packet propagates over the first link in  $d_1/s_1$ ; the packet switch adds a processing delay of  $d_{proc}$ ; after receiving the entire packet, the packet switch connecting the first and the second link requires  $L/R_2$  to transmit the packet onto the second link; the packet propagates over the second link in  $d_2/s_2$ . Similarly, we can find the delay caused by the second switch and the third link:  $L/R_3$ ,  $d_{proc}$ , and  $d_3/s_3$ . Adding these five delays gives:

$$d_{end-to-end} = L/R_1 + L/R_2 + L/R_3 + d_1/s_1 + d_2/s_2 + d_3/s_3 + d_{proc} + d_{proc}$$

b) Because bits are immediately transmitted, the packet switch does not introduce any

transmission delay. Thus,

$$d_{end-end} = L/R + d_1/s_1 + d_2/s_2 + d_3/s_3$$

### Chapter 2:

## 5) HTTP performance

First, it takes 1 msec to send 100 Kbits over a 100 Mbps link.

- a) The delays associated with this scenario are:
  - 300 msec (RTT) to set up the TCP connection that will be used to request the base file
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the base file, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the base file, plus 150 msec for the base file to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.
  - 300 msec (RTT) to set up a TCP connection that will be used to request the first image.
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the first image, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the first image, plus 150 msec for the image to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.

The last two steps are repeated 4 more times for the 4 remaining images. The total response time is 6\*601 msec = 3.606 sec.

- b) Here we have:
  - 300 msec (RTT) to set up the TCP connection that will be used to request the base file.
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the base file, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the base file, plus 150 msec for the base file to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.
  - The client now sets up 5 parallel TCP connections. 300 msec (RTT) is needed to set up the 5 TCP connections since they are set up in parallel.
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET messages in parallel for the 5 images and have the GET messages propagate to the server. It will take the server 5 msec to transmit the 5 images, plus 150 msec for the last image to propagate back to the client (total 305 msec).

Total response time = (300 + 301 + 300 + 305) msec = 1.206 sec.

- c) Here we have:
  - 300 msec (RTT) to set up the TCP connection that will be used to request the base file and the 5 images.
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the base file, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the base file, plus 150 msec for the base file to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.
  - 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the first image, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the first image, plus 150 msec for the image to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.

The last step is repeated 4 more times for the 4 remaining images. The total response time is (300 + 6\*301) msec = 2.106 sec.

d) Here we have:

- 300 msec (RTT) to set up the TCP connection that will be used to request the base file and the 5 images.
- 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET message for the base file, and have the message propagate to the server, plus 1 msec to transmit the base file, plus 150 msec for the base file to propagate back to the client. Total 301 msec.
- 150 msec (one way delay) to send the GET messages in parallel for the 5 images and have the GET messages propagate to the server. It will take the server 5 msec to transmit the 5 images, plus 150 msec for the last image to propagate back to the client (total 305 msec).

Total response time = (300 + 301 + 305) msec = 906 msec.

### 6) **BitTorrent**

- a) Yes, as long as there are enough peers staying in the swarm for a long enough time. Bob can always receive data through optimistic unchoking by other peers.
- b) He can run a client on each machine and let each client do "free-riding", and combine those collected chunks from different machines into a single file. He can even write a small scheduling program to let different machines only asking for different chunks of the file.

# **Bonus problem**

Here each downloaded object can be completely put into one data packet. Let Tp denote the one-way propagation delay between the client and the server.

First, consider non-persistent HTTP with no parallel connections. The total time needed is given by:

```
11*(200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 100,000/150 + Tp)
= (7377 + 44*Tp) seconds
```

Now, consider parallel downloads using non-persistent connections. Parallel downloads would allow 10 connections to share the 150 bits/sec bandwidth, giving each just 15 bits/sec. Thus, the total time needed to receive all objects is given by:

```
(200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 100,000/150 + Tp)
+ (200/(150/10) + Tp + 200/(150/10) + Tp + 200/(150/10) + Tp + 100,000/(150/10) + Tp)
= (7377 + 8*Tp) seconds
```

Finally, consider a persistent HTTP connection without pipelining. The total time needed is given by:

```
(200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 200/150 + Tp + 100,000/150 + Tp)
+ 10*(200/150 + Tp + 100,000/150 + Tp)
= (7351 + 24*Tp) seconds
```

- a) Assuming the speed of light is  $3*10^8$  m/sec, then  $Tp = 10/(3*10^8) = 0.03$  usec. Tp is therefore negligible compared with transmission delay and hence, parallel downloads do not help significantly here it saves only 44\*Tp 8\*Tp = 36\*Tp = 1.08 usec.
- b) We also see that persistent HTTP is not significantly faster (less than 1 percent) than the non-persistent case with parallel download.