

CUDA UpdateHHNorms Kernel Execution Time Prediction Report

This report applies the CUDA kernel performance prediction template to the kernel: UpdateHHNorms, launched with Grid = (5,5) and Block = (32,32).

We estimate the expected per-launch execution time on five NVIDIA GPUs:

- GeForce GTX TITAN Black
- GeForce GTX TITAN X
- NVIDIA TITAN V
- GeForce RTX 2080 Ti
- GeForce RTX 4070

```
__global__ void UpdateHHNorms(int cols, float *dV, float *dNorms) {  
    int colIndex = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x;  
    if (colIndex < cols) {  
        float val = dV[colIndex];  
        dNorms[colIndex] -= val * val;  
    }  
}
```

1. Workload Analysis

Launch configuration in main():

- Grid = (5,5) → 25 blocks
- Block = (32,32) → 1024 threads per block
- cols = $5 \times 32 \times 5 \times 32 = 25,600$

The kernel uses only blockIdx.x and threadIdx.x to compute colIndex:
 $\text{colIndex} = \text{threadIdx.x} + \text{blockIdx.x} * \text{blockDim.x};$

With gridDim.x = 5 and blockDim.x = 32, colIndex ranges from 0 to 159.
Since cols = 25,600, the condition (colIndex < cols) is always true.

Thus, every launched thread executes the body once:

Total threads = 25 blocks × 1024 threads/block = 25,600

→ N_iters_total = 25,600 iterations

2. FLOP Count

Per iteration:

val = dV[colIndex]; // load

dNorms[colIndex] -= val * val; // one multiply, one add

Approximate FLOPs per iteration = 2 (mul + add).

Total FLOPs:

F_total = $25,600 \times 2 = 51,200 \approx 5.12 \times 10^4$ FLOPs.

3. Memory Traffic

Per iteration global memory:

- Load $dV[\text{collIndex}]$: 4 bytes
 - Load $d\text{Norms}[\text{collIndex}]$: 4 bytes
 - Store $d\text{Norms}[\text{collIndex}]$: 4 bytes
- 12 bytes per iteration.

Total bytes:

$$B_{\text{total}} = 25,600 \times 12 = 307,200 \text{ bytes} \approx 3.07 \times 10^5 \text{ bytes} (\sim 0.29 \text{ MiB}).$$

FLOPs are tiny; the kernel is dominated by memory + launch overhead.

4. GPU Specifications Used

Approximate FP32 peak performance and memory bandwidth:

GPU	Peak FP32 (FLOPs/s)	Bandwidth (bytes/s)
GTX TITAN Black	5.12e12	3.36e11
GTX TITAN X	6.14e12	3.365e11
TITAN V	1.49e13	6.528e11
RTX 2080 Ti	1.345e13	6.16e11
RTX 4070	2.9e13	5.04e11

5. Time Estimates

We compute:

$$t_{\text{compute}} = \text{FLOPs}_{\text{total}} / \text{Peak_FP32}$$

$$t_{\text{mem}} = \text{Bytes}_{\text{total}} / \text{Bandwidth}$$

$$t_{\text{body}} = \max(t_{\text{compute}}, t_{\text{mem}})$$

$$t_{\text{total}} \approx t_{\text{body}} + 5 \mu\text{s} \text{ (kernel launch overhead).}$$

For $F_{\text{total}} = 5.12 \times 10^4$ FLOPs and $B_{\text{total}} \approx 3.07 \times 10^5$ bytes, we obtain:

GPU	$t_{\text{compute}} (\mu\text{s})$	$t_{\text{mem}} (\mu\text{s})$	$t_{\text{body}} (\mu\text{s})$	$t_{\text{total}} (\mu\text{s})$
GTX TITAN Black	0.01	0.91	0.91	≈ 5.91
GTX TITAN X	0.01	0.91	0.91	≈ 5.91
TITAN V	0.003	0.47	0.47	≈ 5.47
RTX 2080 Ti	0.004	0.50	0.50	≈ 5.50
RTX 4070	0.002	0.61	0.61	≈ 5.61

6. Conclusion

UpdateHHNorms performs a very small amount of computation ($\sim 5.12 \times 10^4$ FLOPs) and moves only about 0.29 MiB of data per launch.

As a result, execution time is dominated by kernel launch latency ($\sim 5 \mu\text{s}$). All five GPUs are capable of completing the kernel body in under 1 μs , so the predicted per-launch runtime lies in a narrow band

around 5.5–6.0 μs :

- GTX TITAN Black / TITAN X: $\approx 5.9 \mu\text{s}$
- TITAN V: $\approx 5.5 \mu\text{s}$
- RTX 2080 Ti: $\approx 5.5 \mu\text{s}$
- RTX 4070: $\approx 5.6 \mu\text{s}$

These values are consistent with expectations for small, memory-light CUDA kernels where the fixed launch overhead dominates.