

Introductory Lectures on Optimization

Homework (2)

Student Name YangYichou
Student ID 3230105697

November 22, 2025

Exercise 1. Convex functions

Please show the following functions are convex.

a. $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]}$ on $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}^n$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x_{[i]}$ denotes the i^{th} largest component of \mathbf{x} .

b. The negative entropy, i.e.,

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i$$

on $\text{dom } f = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < p_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$, where p_i denotes the i^{th} component of \mathbf{p} .

c. The spectral norm, i.e.,

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = \|\mathbf{X}\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}(\mathbf{X})$$

on $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, where σ_{\max} denotes the largest singular value of \mathbf{X} .

Proof of Exercise 1: Write down your solutions step by step here.

a. Let $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \exists |I| = k, I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, s.t.$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k z_{[i]} = \sum_{i \in I} (\alpha x_i + (1 - \alpha)y_i)$$

$\forall |J| = k, J \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \sum_{i \in J} x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} = f(x), \sum_{i \in J} y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]} = f(y)$

Let $I = J$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k z_{[i]} = f(z) = f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = \alpha \sum_{i \in I} x_i + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i \in I} y_i \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$$

Therefore, f is convex.

b.

$$\frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial p_i} = \log p_i + 1, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial p_i^2} = \frac{1}{p_i}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} = 0 (i \neq j)$$

$$\therefore \nabla^2 f(p) = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \succeq 0$$

c. According to the linear property and the triangle inequality of the matrix norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)Y\|_2 &\leq \|\alpha X\|_2 + \|(1 - \alpha)Y\|_2 = \alpha\|X\|_2 + (1 - \alpha)\|Y\|_2 \\ \Rightarrow f(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)Y) &\leq \alpha f(X) + (1 - \alpha)f(Y)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is convex. □

Exercise 2. Operations that Preserve Convexity

Let $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be given convex functions for $i \in I$, where I is an arbitrary index set. Please show that the supremum

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{i \in I} f_i(\mathbf{x})$$

is convex.

Proof of Exercise 2: Write down your solutions step by step here.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{epi}(f) &= \{(x, t) | t \geq f_i(x), \forall i \in I, x \in \cap_{i \in I} \text{dom} f_i\} = \cap_{i \in I} \text{epi}(f_i) \\ &\because \text{epi}(f_i) \text{ are all closed and convex} \\ &\therefore \text{epi}(F) \text{ is convex} \\ &\therefore F(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is convex}\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3. Strong Convex Functions

Suppose that f is twice continuously differentiable and strongly convex with parameter $\mu > 0$. Please show that $\mu \leq \lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof of Exercise 3: Write down your solution step by step here.
Apply the definition of strongly convex functions, we have

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\mu\|y - x\|^2 \quad (1)$$

Exchange the position of x and y , we have

$$f(x) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\mu\|x - y\|^2 \quad (2)$$

Add (1) and (2), we can easily get

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq \mu\|x - y\|^2$$

Let $y = x + \tau s$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \nabla f(x + \tau s) - \nabla f(x), \tau s \rangle \geq \mu\|\tau s\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow &\frac{\langle \nabla f(x + \tau s) - \nabla f(x), \tau s \rangle}{\tau^2\|s\|^2} \geq \mu \\ \Rightarrow &\frac{\langle \nabla f(x + \tau s) - \nabla f(x), s \rangle}{\tau\|s\|^2} \geq \mu\end{aligned}$$

Set $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nabla f(x + \tau s) - \nabla f(x)}{\tau} = \nabla^2 f(x) s \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{\langle \nabla^2 f(x) s, s \rangle}{\|s\|^2} \geq \mu \\
& \Rightarrow \langle \nabla^2 f(x) s, s \rangle \geq \mu \|s\|^2 = \langle \mu I_n s, s \rangle \\
& \Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) \geq \mu I_n, \forall x \\
& \Rightarrow \mu \leq \lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x)), \forall x
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 4. Subdifferentials

Calculation of subdifferentials

a. Let $H \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a hyperplane. The extended-value extension of its indicator function I_H is

$$\tilde{I}_H(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathbf{x} \in H, \\ \infty, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Find $\partial \tilde{I}_H(\mathbf{x})$.

b. Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\|\mathbf{x}\|_1)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Find $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$.

c. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $x_{[i]}$ be the i^{th} largest component of \mathbf{x} . Find the subdifferentials of

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \quad (2)$$

Proof of Exercise 4: Write down your solution step by step here.

a. $\text{dom } \tilde{I}_H = H$

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall x \in H, g \in \partial \tilde{I}_H(x) & \iff \tilde{I}_H(y) \geq \tilde{I}_H(x) + \langle g, y - x \rangle, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
& \iff \tilde{I}_H(y) \geq \langle g, y - x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y \in H & \Rightarrow \langle g, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \\
y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus H & \Rightarrow \infty \geq \langle g, y - x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \partial \tilde{I}_H(x) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle g, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in H\}$$

b. $f = h \circ g$, $g(x) = \|x\|_1$, $h(x) = e^x$

$$\partial f = \partial(h \circ g)(x) = h'(g(x)) \cdot \partial g(x) = e^{\|x\|_1} \cdot \partial g(x)$$

$$\partial g(x) = \partial \|x\|_1 = \partial |x_1| \times \dots \times \partial |x_n|, \partial |x_i| = \begin{cases} \text{sgn}(x_i), & x_i \neq 0 \\ [-1, 1], & x_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \partial g(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_i = \text{sgn}(x_i), x_i \neq 0; |v_i| \leq 1, x_i = 0\}$$

$$\partial f(x) = e^{\|x\|_1} \cdot \partial g(x) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_i = e^{\|x\|_1} \cdot \text{sgn}(x_i), x_i \neq 0; |w_i| \leq e^{\|x\|_1}, x_i = 0 \right\}$$

c. [Lemma] For supporting function $\sigma_P(x) = \sup_{y \in P} \langle x, y \rangle, \partial\sigma_P(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in P} \langle x, y \rangle$

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} = \sup_{y \in P} \langle x, y \rangle = \sigma_P(x), P = \{y \in [0, 1]^n | \mathbf{1}^T y = k\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial f(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in P} \langle x, y \rangle$$

Assume $x_{[1]} > x_{[2]} > \dots > x_{[r]} = x_{[r+1]} = \dots = x_{[r+m]} > x_{[r+m+1]} > \dots, \exists k, r \leq k < r + m$

1. $\forall i, i < r, g_i = 1$

2. $\forall i, i > r + m, g_i = 0$

3. For $i \in \{r, r + 1, \dots, r + m\}, \sum_{i=r}^{r+m} g_i = k - (r - 1)$

$$\therefore \partial f(x) = \left\{ g \in [0, 1]^n | g_i = 1, i < r; g_i = 0, i > r + m; \sum_{i=r}^{r+m} g_i = k - (r - 1), i \in \{r, \dots, r + m\} \right\}$$

□

Exercise 5. Supporting Hyperplane

We know that there exists supporting hyperplanes at the boundary point of a convex set.

Please solve the following questions.

- Express the closed convex set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 | x_1 x_2 \geq 1\}$ as an intersection of halfspaces.
- Let $C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|\mathbf{x}_\infty\| \leq 1\}$, the ∞ -norm unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ be a point in the boundary of C . Identify the supporting hyperplanes of C at $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ explicitly. (The ∞ -norm of a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$.)

Proof of Exercise 5: Write down your solution step by step here.

The tangent of $x_1 x_2 = 1$ at $(t, \frac{1}{t})$ is

$$x_2 - \frac{1}{t} = -\frac{1}{t^2}(x_1 - t) \iff tx_2 + \frac{1}{t}x_1 \geq 2, \forall t > 0$$

Let

$$H = \cap_{t>0} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, tx_2 + \frac{1}{t}x_1 \geq 2 \right\}$$

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 | x_1 x_2 \geq 1\}$$

We show that $H = C$:

$$\forall x \in C, tx_2 + \frac{1}{t}x_1 \geq 2\sqrt{x_1 x_2} \geq 2 \Rightarrow x \in H.$$

$$\forall x \in H, \text{ set } t = \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{x_2}}, tx_2 + \frac{1}{t}x_1 = 2\sqrt{x_1 x_2} \geq 2 \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 \geq 1, x \in C.$$

$$\therefore C = \cap_{t>0} \left\{ x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, tx_2 + \frac{1}{t}x_1 \geq 2 \right\}$$

b. \hat{x} at the boundary of $C \Rightarrow \|\hat{x}_\infty\| = 1$

Supporting function of C :

$$h_C(a) = \sup_{x \in C} a^T x = \sup_{|x_i| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \|a\|_1, x_i = \operatorname{sgn}(a_i)$$

Then we have the supporting hyperplanes at point \hat{x} :

$$a^T \hat{x} = \|a\|_1, \forall a \neq 0$$

□

Exercise 6. Concave Function

Consider the following loss function for logistic regression:

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ -\log[1 + \exp(-\theta^T u^{(i)})] - [1 - v^{(i)}] \theta^T u^{(i)} \right\}.$$

where $u^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the input variables, $v^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the output variable, a pair $(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)})$ is called a training example, and the dataset $\{(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)})\}, i = 1, \dots, N$ is called a training set. Find the Hessian \mathbf{H} for this function and show that l is a concave function.

Proof of Exercise 6: Write down your solution step by step here.

$$\begin{aligned} l_i(\theta) &= -\log(1 + \exp(-\theta^T u^{(i)})) - (1 - v^{(i)}) \theta^T u^{(i)} \\ \frac{\partial l_i}{\partial \theta} &= \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)})} - (1 - v^{(i)}) \right) u^{(i)} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l_i}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{1}{(1 + \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)}))^2} \cdot u^{(i)} \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)}) (u^{(i)})^T \\ \nabla^2 l(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\exp(\theta^T u^{(i)})}{(1 + \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)}))^2} \cdot u^{(i)} (u^{(i)})^T \end{aligned}$$

In logistic regression (where σ is the sigmoid function):

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= \sigma(\theta^T u^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^T u^{(i)})} = \frac{\exp(\theta^T u^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)})} \\ \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\theta^T u^{(i)})} &= \sigma(-\theta^T u^{(i)}) = 1 - p_i \\ \therefore \nabla^2 l(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -p_i(1 - p_i) u^{(i)} (u^{(i)})^T = -X^T W X \end{aligned}$$

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}, X_{i \cdot} = (u^{(i)})^T, W = \text{diag}(p_i(1 - p_i))$$

$$\therefore \nabla^2 l(\theta) \preceq 0$$

$\therefore l$ is concave.

□